Effort Response, Harvest, and Climate in the Gulf of Mexico Recreational Fishery

David W. Carter, NOAA David Letson, UM

NMFS Economics & Social Research Brown Bag Series, April 6, 2005



Outline

Policy Background

□Research Questions

□Model and Approach

Data

Discussion





As directed by a Fishery Management Council (FMC) biologists conduct stock assessments to determine the fish stock status (OK, overfished, undergoing over-fishing, etc.). Based on the stock status the FMC proposes alternative amendments to a fishery management plan (FMP). There are typically policies proposed for the recreational fishing sector included among the proposed alternatives. NMFS economists are frequently asked to evaluate the effects of these proposals in terms of changes in effort, harvest, and value to the recreational anglers and for-hire industry. These evaluations require economic models to predict the aggregate effects over a long time horizon. There is very little published research on *applied* bioeconomic modeling of recreational fisheries. This research does not cover the range of variables that could influence how policy changes can effect angler behavior and, in turn, the aggregate policy affect.



We don't consider biomass in this analysis.

Research Questions

What are the time series properties of aggregate recreational fishing data?
Do landings predict effort?
How do the economy and climate affect aggregate recreational fishing?
How do policies affect aggregate recreational fishing?

SEFSC Southeast Fisheries Science Conter



Time Series Questions

Do effort and landings fluctuate around a long run mean or trend?
If not, is there a linear combination of effort and landings that does?





A series that must be differenced X times to become stationary is integrated of order X or I(X).

An I(1) series is a random walk.



Following Engel and Granger (*Econometrica*, 1987), the long-run <u>cointegrating equation</u> between the series can be estimated via OLS. The residuals from the cointegrating equation track deviations from the long-run equilibrium. When included to a regression of effort and landings in differences, the cointegrating equation residuals form an <u>error correction</u> term that measures the short-run response to deviations from the long-run equilibrium.



Red Snapper Regulations				
Year Siz	ze Limit	Daily Bag Limit	Season Length	
1984	13	none	365	
1990	13	(7)	365	
1994	(14)	$\widetilde{\frac{7}{7}}$	365	
1995	(15)	(5)	365	
1996	15	5	365	
1997	15	5	(330)	
1998	15	(4)	(272)	
1999	15	4	(240)	
2000	(16)	4	(194)	
Social Science Research Group				

•Changes circled. Regulations have not changed since 2000.

•Prior to 1990, the bag limit was modeled as 99.

•The closed seasons were modeled as the percent of each wave that was closed, i.e., 0 indicates the wave is open to fishing and 1 indicates that the fishing was restricted for the full two months.

•Size and bag limits were transformed to annual changes (i.e., differenced six periods) prior to estimation.

•Closed seasons were transformed to wave changes (i.e., differenced one period) prior to estimation.



Each wave observation is expressed in terms of standard deviations from the mean level in that wave from 1986 to 2003. All means were normalized to zero. This transformation was conducted by subtracting the relevant wave average from each observation and then dividing the resultant series by it's standard deviation.

Head boat effort is integrated, I(1).



Red snapper landings is integrated, I(1).



•Effort and landings are cointegrated. These series were differenced once prior to estimation.

•Five autoregressive lags of effort and landings are used in the VAR.



•Effort is integrated, I(1). This finding is robust to structural change, i.e., the change in the MRFSS effort estimation method in 1998. The series was differenced once prior to estimation.

- •Red snapper landings is stationary, I(0).
- •Cointegration is not applicable.
- •Five autoregressive lags of effort and landings are used in the VAR.



- •Effort is trend stationary, I(0). The trend was removed prior to estimation.
- •Red snapper landings is stationary, I(0).
- •Cointegration is not applicable.
- •One autoregressive lag of effort and landings is used in the VAR.





- •El Nino winters are cooler and wetter in the Southeast.
- •La Nina winters are warmer and dryer in the Southeast.
- •The SOI is stationary, I(0)



•Positive deviations in the Bermuda high index are related to warmer and wetter springs in the Southeast.

•Negative deviations in the Bermuda high index are related to cooler and dryer springs in the Southeast.

•The Bermuda High index is stationary, I(0).



•Positive deviations in the ACE index are related to more hurricane and storm activity during the fall season in the Southeast.

•Negative deviations in the ACE index are related to less hurricane and storm activity during the fall season in the Southeast.

The ACE index is stationary, I(0).

Economy Index

 Chicago Fed National Activity Index
 Weighted average of 85 indicators of national economic activity

- Production and income
- Employment, unemployment, and hours
- Personal consumption and housing
- Sales, orders, and inventories

SEFSC: Conter



The three term moving average (MA3) version of the CFNAI is stationary, I(0).



Wald tests reject the null hypothesis that effort lags are jointly equal to zero in the landings equation in the head boat model.



Only results for the head boat mode are shown in this graph and what follows. The complete set of results for the charter and private modes are presented in the related working paper.





This data in this slide and the following slide were generated by initializing the model with effort and landings for waves 1986:2 - 6 as the first five lagged values and then simulating until 2003:6 using the actual values of the climate, economy, and regulation variables.





This data in this slide and the following slides were generated by initializing the model with effort and landings for waves 2003:2 - 6 as the first five lagged values and then simulating forward 20 years, setting the values of the climate and economy variables to their normalized mean (0) from 1986 to 2003. The regulations were fixed at the current levels.

	El Niño (SOI) Shock
2	Gulf of Mexico Head Boat Effort and Landings Simulation
d deviations	
-1 -1	httime the second secon
-2	4964 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023
	Social Science Research Group

Two standard deviation decrease in the SOI for two waves (four months): 2009:6 and 2010:1. This corresponds with a cooler and wetter winter season in the Southeast.



Two standard deviation increase in the Bermuda high for two waves (four months): 2010:2 and 2010:3. This corresponds with a warmer and wetter spring season in the Southeast.



Two standard deviation increase in the ACE for two waves (four months): 2010:4 and 2010:5. This corresponds to more storms and hurricane activity during the fall season in the Southeast.



Three standard deviation decrease in the CFNAI_MA3 for four waves (eight months): 2010:1 and 2010:4. This corresponds with a recession in the U.S. economy.

Bag Limit Change
Gulf of Mexico Head Boat Effort and Red Snapper Landings Simulation
2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Social Science Research Group

Decrease in the bag limit from four red snapper to one, beginning in wave one of 2010.



Increase in the red snapper minimum size limit from sixteen inches to nineteen, beginning in wave one of 2010.



All of the shocks in the previous slides combined.



All of the shocks in the previous slides combined with the current closed season, November1 through April20.

Discussion: General results

Series are stationary, except:

 Head boat effort and landings
 Charter boat effort

 Climate signals are influential
 Economy index not influential
 Bag and size limits have mixed effectiveness

SEFSC Conter



Discussion: Future research

Biomass and habitat indices
Commercial landings
Multiple species
Micro data

