UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE GULF OF MEXICO REGION ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION REPORT 8. CAUSE: 🕱 EQUIPMENT FAILURE 1. OCCURRED X HUMAN ERROR DATE: 23-APR-2006 TIME: 0830 HOURS EXTERNAL DAMAGE 2. OPERATOR: Apache Corporation SLIP/TRIP/FALL WEATHER RELATED REPRESENTATIVE: Wilton Andre Pont LEAK TELEPHONE: (337) 735-8251 UPSET H20 TREATING 3. LEASE: **G02193** OVERBOARD DRILLING FLUID AREA: MP LATITUDE: OTHER BLOCK: **140** LONGITUDE: 9. WATER DEPTH: 150 FT. 10. DISTANCE FROM SHORE: 25 MI. 4. PLATFORM: **B** RIG NAME: 11. WIND DIRECTION: **S** SPEED: 6 M.P.H. EXPLORATION (POE) 5. ACTIVITY: 12. CURRENT DIRECTION: S DEVELOPMENT/PRODUCTION x SPEED: **3** M.P.H. (DOCD/POD) 13. SEA STATE: 0 FT. 6. TYPE: FIRE EXPLOSION BLOWOUT 16. OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE/ COLLISION SUPERVISOR ON SITE AT TIME OF INCIDENT: INJURY NO. 0 FATALITY NO. 0 POLLUTION x OTHER Crane CONTRACTOR: Wood Group Production Services 7. OPERATION: X PRODUCTION DRILLING WORKOVER CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE / SUPERVISOR ON SITE AT TIME OF INCIDENT: COMPLETION Kenny Domingo MOTOR VESSEL PIPELINE SEGMENT NO. OTHER

MMS - FORM 2010

EV2010R

On April 23, 2006 a crane boom failure occurred on Apache's Main Pass 140 B platform. The crane boom failure occurred at approximately 0830 hours while the contract operator was in the process of moving an empty tote tank, weighing approximately 300 pounds closer to the pedestal, using the fast line on the platform's permanently mounted, mechanical crane. The crane operator stated during the lift he heard a loud snap and saw the boom fall to the deck. As he exited the crane he looked over his shoulder and saw the end of the boom over the "A" frame.

## Investigation Findings:

The Roto 30 Model crane was manufactured in 1975. The crane was equipped with an 80 foot boom. An annual crane inspection was preformed on 9-15-200. The crane passed inspection. All quarterly and pre-use crane inspections from 9-15-2005 passed inspection.

Apache personnel along with a crane inspector conducted a thorough investigation of the boom collapse and reported the following findings. The incident occurred due to the crane boom being raised to a high angle (towards the vertical) which caused the boom to collapse or fold. The boom fell across the "A" frame or gantry of the crane causing considerable damage. Also revealed during the investigation, was that the crane boom contacted the fixed boom stops and that metal scarring and paint removal confirmed that the boom was pulled past the boom stops.

The investigation therefore was focused on determining whether or not the "Boom Stop" safety feature was operating properly or if the safety feature could have been deliberately overridden by the operator to allow for the tote tank to be positioned closer to the crane pedestal.

The boom stop feature was confirmed to have been operational prior to the incident as stated in the pre-use inspection checklist completed by the operator. The crane was damaged to the extent that testing of the boom stop safety feature could not be accomplished after the incident occurred. There were no injuries sustained to any personnel during this incident nor environmental pollution.

## 18. LIST THE PROBABLE CAUSE(S) OF ACCIDENT:

The crane operator continued to raise the boom pass the "boom stop" which is a safety devise used to limit the angle of the boom at the highest recommended position.

19. LIST THE CONTRIBUTING CAUSE(S) OF ACCIDENT:

MMS - FORM 2010

PAGE: 2 OF 3 01-AUG-2006 Crane Boom

## NATURE OF DAMAGE:

## Bent and distorted.

ESTIMATED AMOUNT (TOTAL): \$85,000

22. RECOMMENDATIONS TO PREVENT RECURRANCE NARRATIVE:

No Recommendations to MMS

MMS New Orleans District concurs with the Operator's recommendation to prevent recurrence.

Apache has an ongoing awareness/training campaign to remind all crane operators as to the purpose of the boom stop safety feature and out prohibition against operating the crane in an unsafe manner.

- 23. POSSIBLE OCS VIOLATIONS RELATED TO ACCIDENT: YES
- 24. SPECIFY VIOLATIONS DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY CONTRIBUTING. NARRATIVE:

G-110 - The Lessee did not perform all operations in a safe and workmanlike manner and provide for the perseveration and conservation of property and the environment.

25. DATE OF ONSITE INVESTIGATION:

25-APR-2006

26. ONSITE TEAM MEMBERS: 29. ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION Justin Josey / Perry Jennings / PANEL FORMED: NO

OCS REPORT:

30. DISTRICT SUPERVISOR:

FPausina for TTrosclair

APPROVED

DATE: 20-JUN-2006