

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ned McMahon

**Sent:** Tuesday, July 11, 2006 8:30 AM

**To:** AB95 Comments

**Subject:** Comments on proposed rule making

The Proposed Rule fails to define what "additional disclosure" would be required for IDS submissions before a first Office action or what "additional disclosure" would be required for IDS submissions after a first Office action. As such, the Proposed Rule has failed to provide the public and members of the Bar with sufficient information to properly evaluate and comment on the Proposed Rule. Clarification of the "additional disclosure" requirements being proposed is therefore necessary to meet the procedural due process requirements for a proper evaluation of the proposed rule making process.