



United States  
Department of  
Agriculture

Forest  
Service

Eastern  
Region

## Timberline SUP - Draft Environmental Assessment

Monongahela National Forest  
Cheat Potomac Ranger District

Tucker County  
West Virginia

**DRAFT** of May 7, 2008

---

**Responsible Agency:** USDA Forest Service

**Responsible Official:** Jeffery J. Hammes, Cheat Potomac District Ranger

**For Further Information, Contact:** Kristine Vollmer, NEPA Coordinator  
304-257-4488, extension 24  
[comments-eastern-monongahela-potomac@fs.fed.us](mailto:comments-eastern-monongahela-potomac@fs.fed.us)

or write to:

Cheat Potomac Ranger District  
Monongahela National Forest  
HC 59, Box 240  
Petersburg, WV 26847



---

### USDA Nondiscrimination Statement

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, and marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotope, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice or TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

## Preface

This project is being proposed to address the special use permit (SUP) application submitted by Timberline Four Seasons Resort Management Company, Inc. (Timberline) to continue using National Forest System (NFS) lands for a portion of their resort operations for another 10 years. An interdisciplinary team of resource professionals has examined Forest and State records and conducted field reviews of existing resource conditions in the Timberline area. The resource information collected was compared with direction, standards, and guidelines in the 2006 Monongahela National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (Forest Plan).

The Monongahela National Forest (MNF) is preparing this Environmental Assessment (EA) in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and other relevant Federal and State laws and regulations. This EA discloses direct, indirect, and cumulative environmental impacts that would result from issuing the requested 10-year SUP, as well as impacts that would result if no permit were issued. This document is organized into four chapters.

**Chapter 1 - Purpose of and Need for Action:** This Chapter: 1) summarizes the proposed action; 2) describes the project area; 3) discusses Forest Plan direction related to this project; 4) describes the existing vegetative condition of the area; 5) explains why action is needed (referred to as the “purpose and need for action”); 6) defines the scope of the analysis; 7) identifies the decisions to be made with this project; and 8) lists the applicable laws, regulations, and executive orders related to this project.

**Chapter 2 - Alternatives Considered:** This chapter details how the Forest Service informed the public of the proposal and how the public responded. It also provides a more detailed description of the agency’s proposed actions as well as alternative methods for achieving the stated purpose. These alternatives were developed based on issues raised by the public and other agencies. A summary table of the proposed activities associated with each alternative is provided.

**Chapter 3 - Environmental Effects:** This chapter describes the existing conditions and the environmental effects of implementing the proposed actions and other alternatives. This chapter includes discussions on physical, biological, and social resources.

**Chapter 4 - Project Preparation and References:** This chapter provides a list of preparers who worked on the development of the EA. This chapter also includes lists of references used by specialists in the preparation of their Specialist Reports and the EA. Additional documentation, including detailed analyses of the project area resources, is located in the project planning file at the Cheat Potomac Ranger District office in Petersburg, West Virginia.

# Table of Contents

**Title Page** ..... *i*

**Preface** ..... *ii*

**Table of Contents** ..... *iii*

**Lists of Tables & Figures, Including Maps**..... *iv*

  

**Chapter 1 Purpose and Need for Action** ..... **1-1**

1.0 Introduction..... 1-1

1.1 Summary of Proposed Action ..... 1-1

1.2 Project Area Description ..... 1-1

1.3 Forest Plan Direction ..... 1-2

1.4 Vegetative Conditions..... 1-3

1.5 Purpose and Need for Action..... 1-3

1.6 Scope of the Environmental Analysis..... 1-4

1.7 Responsible Official and Decisions to be Made ..... 1-4

1.8 Applicable Laws and Executive Orders..... 1-4

  

**Chapter 2 Alternatives** ..... **2-1**

2.1 Public Involvement ..... 2-1

2.2 Issues..... 2-2

2.3 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Detailed Study ..... 2-2

2.4 Alternatives Given Detailed Study ..... 2-2

2.4.1 Alternative 1. No Action ..... 2-2

2.4.2 Alternative 2. Proposed Action..... 2-3

2.5 Comparison of Activities and Effects by Alternative ..... 2-7

  

**Chapter 3 Environmental Consequences** ..... **3-1**

3.1 Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions ..... 3-1

3.2 Air..... 3-2

3.3 Soils/Geology ..... 3-3

3.4 Aquatics ..... 3-7

3.5 Wildlife - Threatened and Endangered Species..... 3-12

3.6 Wildlife – Regional Forester Sensitive Species for Region 9..... 3-27

3.7 Botany and NNIS (Non-Native Invasive Species) ..... 3-32

3.8 Heritage Resources..... 3-35

3.9 Recreation..... 3-35  
 3.10 Environmental Justice ..... 3-41  
 3.11 Consistency with Laws ..... 3-42

**Chapter 4 References ..... 4-1**

4.1 Literature Cited & References Used (by Chapter and Resource)..... 4-1

**Lists of Tables & Figures, Including Maps**

**Tables**

Table 2.1. Monitoring applicable to Alternative 2 ..... 2-5  
 Table 2.2. Design features and implementation strategies applicable to Alternative 2..... 2-5  
 Table 2.3. Mitigation measures applicable to Alternative 2 ..... 2-6  
 Table 2.4. Summary comparison of activities proposed, by alternative..... 2-7  
 Table 2.5. Summary comparison of environmental effects, by alternative ..... 2-8

Table 3.1 Past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions considered in the  
 Timberline project analysis..... 3-1  
 Table 3.2 Water chemistry results from samples taken from water source for  
 snowmaking at Timberline Ski Resort..... 3-5  
 Table 3.3 Stream pH and Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) in upper and lower  
 Stonecoal Run as a result of water chemistry monitoring during 2001,  
 2002, 2005, 2006, and 2007 ..... 3-8  
 Table 3.4 Effects for threatened and endangered wildlife species ..... 3-12  
 Table 3.5 Effects for threatened and endangered botany species ..... 3-33  
 Table 3.6 County population and income statistics..... 3-42

**Map Figure**

Figure 1 Project Area Map ..... between Chapters 1 and 2