New York

\$24,920,183 in Academic Competitiveness Grants (ACG) awarded to 33,093 students

\$14,161,709 in National Science and Mathematics Access to Retain Talent (SMART) Grants awarded to 5,057 students

For ACG and National SMART Grants, students must 1) apply for financial aid by submitting the Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) and be determined to be eligible for a Federal Pell Grant; 2) be a U.S. citizen; 3) be enrolled in a two- or four-year degree program; and 4) be enrolled full-time.

The following are New York's pre-approved rigorous high school programs of study students must complete to earn an Academic Competitiveness Grant:

- The New York Regents Diploma with Honors or Advanced Designation;
- A set of courses similar to the State Scholars Initiative;
- Advanced Placement (AP) or International Baccalaureate (IB) courses and test scores.

For more information about these programs visit: <u>http://www.ed.gov/programs/smart/performance.html</u>

STUDENTS SHOULD SELF-IDENTIFY ON THE FAFSA THAT THEY TOOK ONE OF THESE PROGRAMS

Academic Competitiveness and National SMART Grants were created in 2006 as part of the President's American Competitiveness initiative. Congress appropriated \$4.5 billion for the programs between 2006 and 2011.

Last year, the Department of Education set a goal to double the number of students receiving ACG and National SMART Grants by 2010-11. States, colleges, and high schools should promote ACG and National SMART Grants because completing a rigorous high school program is the best way to increase college readiness, reduce remediation, and increase college completion rates for low-income students. Increased postsecondary attainment will help the United States compete in the 21st century.

In addition to the Pell Grant amount, up to \$750 will be awarded to eligible first-year ACG students, and up to \$1,300 to second-year ACG students. Up to \$4,000 will be awarded to eligible National SMART Grant students for each year, in addition to the Pell Grant funds. *Colleges and universities should package these grants with the other aid, including state and institutional awards, to provide low-income students an early commitment that if they complete high school and take challenging courses, college expenses can be fully paid.*



New York 2007-2008

Top five schools in New York with the highest number of ACG recipients:				
1. St. John's University	1,304			
2. New York University	1,216			
3. CUNY-Hunter College	1,067			
4. CUNY-Bernard M. Baruch	901			
5. SUNY-Buffalo	900			

Top five schools in New York with the highest nu	mber of National SMART Grant recipients:
1. Rochester Institute of Technology	380
2. SUNY-Stony Brook	278
3. Cornell University	265
4. CUNY	253
5. CUNY-Brooklyn College	179

New York's Top National SMART Grant majors:	Top Foreign Languages:
1. Biological and Biomedical Sciences	1. Chinese Language and Literature
2. Engineering	2. Hebrew Language and Literature
3. Computer Science	3. Arabic and Russian Language and Literature

The effort in the second year of implementation can be improved upon going forward. To do this, high school and postsecondary personnel, state policymakers, and access advocates should:

- 1. Know your state's approved programs of rigorous study.
- 2. Accept the challenge to double the number of students in New York receiving ACG and National SMART Grants by 2010-11.
- 3. Advocate for low-income students' access to rigorous, college preparatory classes, and pursuit of high-demand, high-wage majors in the National SMART Grant program.

Under the ACG program regulations, institutions only have to review the eligibility of a student that has self-identified on the FAFSA as having taken an approved rigorous course of study in high school. Therefore, it is important that your high school students know which approved course of study they've completed and indicate it on the FAFSA. Institutions should consider reviewing the eligibility of all Pell Grant recipients for Academic Competitiveness Grants.



New York 2007-2008

STATE	AC	ACG Program		SMART Grant Program	
	RECIPIENTS	TOTAL DISBURSED	RECIPIENTS	TOTAL DISBURSED	
Alabama	5,736	\$3,887,614	899	\$2,562,263	
Alaska	189	\$143,934	101	\$269,537	
Arizona	3,072	\$2,180,226	2,486	\$7,046,186	
Arkansas	4,645	\$3,477,082	449	\$1,323,674	
California	40,307	\$29,694,652	7,907	\$22,823,924	
Colorado	3,983	\$2,981,254	1,575	\$4,620,619	
Connecticut	3,271	\$2,489,464	342	\$1,078,891	
Delaware	610	\$402,369	71	\$233,050	
District of Columbia	1,352	\$1,230,405	152	\$519,633	
Florida	21,653	\$15,026,439	3,197	\$8,606,876	
Georgia	12,450	\$9,076,329	1,768	\$4,939,979	
Hawaii	568	\$434,125	216	\$675,633	
Idaho	2,723	\$1,845,284	1,176	\$3,540,007	
Illinois	13,771	\$10,399,898	3,058	\$9,340,091	
Indiana	12,355	\$8,816,812	1,340	\$3,919,718	
lowa	6,247	\$4,813,979	885	\$2,727,962	
Kansas	3,783	\$2,971,759	770	\$2,310,710	
Kentucky	7,755	\$5,414,629	820	\$2,389,337	
Louisiana	7,672	\$5,597,922	826	\$2,314,207	
Maine	2,347	\$1,794,373	305	\$961,446	
Maryland	4,821	\$3,565,998	658	\$1,997,307	
Massachusetts	1				
Michigan	10,738	\$8,967,374	1,490	\$4,991,885	
Minnesota	9,375	\$7,265,297	2,302	\$6,868,110	
	7,815	\$6,092,198	1,172	\$3,543,533	
Mississippi	5,898	\$4,278,167	562	\$1,725,038	
Missouri	7,681	\$5,705,347	1,264	\$3,856,810	
Montana	1,235	\$940,998	408	\$1,123,802	
Nebraska	3,865	\$2,966,312	375	\$1,168,524	
Nevada	519	\$372,649	218	\$674,706	
New Hampshire	2,358	\$1,542,001	173	\$585,320	
New Jersey	6,322	\$4,930,855	943	\$2,932,274	
New Mexico	1,931	\$1,275,077	412	\$1,086,477	
New York	33,093	\$24,920,183	5,057	\$14,161,709	
North Carolina	14,772	\$10,748,150	1,380	\$4,288,717	
North Dakota	1,654	\$1,255,626	222	\$652,882	
Ohio	19,667	\$14,298,474	1,928	\$5,442,007	
Oklahoma	5,864	\$4,244,069	1,026	\$2,947,474	
Oregon	3,166	\$2,361,091	1,162	\$3,279,059	
Pennsylvania	23,063	\$18,419,038	3,112	\$9,925,204	
Rhode Island	2,401	\$1,790,932	173	\$550,002	
South Carolina	8,078	\$6,172,837	758	\$2,356,832	
South Dakota	1,803	\$1,458,431	400	\$1,246,577	
Tennessee	9,267	\$6,675,111	1,107	\$3,290,043	
Texas	35,513	\$24,685,786	3,551	\$10,635,484	
Utah	1,345	\$1,048,705	3,248	\$9,987,403	
Vermont	1,279	\$1,018,743	226	\$706,289	
Virginia	7,092	\$5,374,254	1,091	\$3,309,622	
Washington	4,767	\$3,663,900	1,615	\$4,554,368	
West Virginia	2,501	\$1,887,660	463	\$1,416,543	
Wisconsin	8,444	\$6,640,547	1,208	\$3,750,400	
Wyoming	556	\$360,552	73	\$216,566	
Total:	401,372	\$297,604,911	66,120	\$195,474,710	



New York 2007-2008

STATE	ACG Program		SMART Grant Program	
	RECIPIENTS	TOTAL DISBURSED	RECIPIENTS	TOTAL DISBURSED
Alabama	55%	37%	-11%	-17%
Alaska	215%	232%	60%	32%
Arizona	112%	99%	1%	-7%
Arkansas	15%	13%	-9%	-11%
California	35%	27%	10%	1%
Colorado	34%	29%	15%	5%
Connecticut	78%	63%	17%	12%
Delaware	161%	128%	34%	28%
District of Columbia	25%	28%	14%	7%
Florida	33%	26%	21%	6%
Georgia	27%	22%	14%	0%
Hawaii	65%	88%	6%	4%
Idaho	42%	25%	8%	-1%
Illinois	47%	40%	13%	4%
Indiana	45%	32%	10%	5%
lowa	44%	34%	6%	1%
Kansas	26%	21%	12%	9%
Kentucky	43%	32%	-1%	-12%
Louisiana	19%	16%	-13%	-24%
Maine	39%	31%	34%	22%
Maryland	39%	30%	13%	1%
Massachusetts	27%	25%	12%	5%
Michigan	45%	37%	11%	4%
Minnesota	40%	32%	11%	2%
Mississippi	51%	44%	3%	0%
Missouri	44%	34%	9%	4%
Montana	23%	20%	6%	-10%
Nebraska	25%	20%	2%	3%
Nevada			7%	
New Hampshire	-1% 97%	-4% 53%	-11%	12%
New Jersey	8%	18%	13%	-13% 3%
New Mexico		1		
New York	78% 33%	60% 25%	-17% 20%	-25% 8%
North Carolina		1	5%	-1%
North Dakota	28%	21%		
Ohio	22%	19%	-30%	-34%
Oklahoma	36%	29%	3%	-7%
	23%	19%	6%	0%
Oregon	37%	29%	5%	-4%
Pennsylvania Rhodo Ioland	22%	23%	9%	4%
Rhode Island	25%	10%	-1%	-14%
South Carolina	48%	43%	8%	3%
South Dakota	27%	27%	18%	14%
Tennessee	38%	31%	7%	-2%
Texas	28%	22%	17%	7%
Utah	81%	71%	-6%	-12%
Vermont	43%	35%	59%	38%
Virginia	18%	15%	12%	2%
Washington	42%	35%	3%	-6%
West Virginia	21%	10%	-2%	-9%
Wisconsin	40%	35%	7%	2%
Wyoming	58%	40%	-13%	-16%
United States	28%	34%	0%	8%

06/07 to 07/08 Percentage Change in AC and SMART Grant Recipients and Funds Disbursed

