

VA Pharmacy Benefits Management Strategic Health Group
and Medical Advisory Panel
Drug Class Review
Ophthalmic Beta-Adrenergic Blocking Agents

This review was written by Kristine Ficarella, Pharm.D. and Kathryn Tortorice, Pharm D., BCPS.

OBJECTIVE:

1. To review the safety, efficacy, and administration of the currently available ophthalmic beta-adrenergic blocking agents.

Table 1: Ophthalmic Beta-Blockers Marketed in the U.S.

| Generic Name | Trade Name | Generic Available | Manufacturer | Patent Expiration |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Timolol maleate solution | Timoptic® | Yes | Merck, various | 3/25/1997 |
| Timolol maleate gel-forming solution | Timoptic-XE® | No | Merck | 8/29/2006 |
| Timolol hemihydrate | Betimol® | No | Ciba Vision | 3/31/1998 |
| Metipranolol HCl | OptiPranolol® | No | Bausch & Lomb | 12/29/1994 |
| Carteolol HCl | Ocupress® | No | Otsuka America | 1/05/1999 |
| Levobunolol HCl | Betagan® AKBeta® | Yes Yes | Allergan, various Akorn, various | 6/28/1992 |
| Betaxolol HCl suspension | Betoptic S® | No | Alcon | 6/30/2000 |

2. To define selection criteria for formulary addition of these agents to the National Formulary of the Veterans Health Administration.

I. INDICATIONS¹⁻¹⁰

Ophthalmic beta-adrenergic blocking agents are indicated in the treatment of elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) in patients with ocular hypertension or primary open-angle glaucoma. Timolol maleate is also indicated in the treatment of glaucoma in aphakic eyes. Non-FDA-approved uses include adjunct treatment for angle-closure glaucoma, angle-closure glaucoma during or after iridectomy, secondary open angle glaucoma and treatment of malignant glaucoma.

II. PHARMACOLOGY¹⁻¹⁶

Receptor Selectivity

Beta-adrenergic antagonists inhibit the binding of catecholamines at the beta-adrenoreceptor. In general, beta-blocking agents are categorized as either selective or non-selective. Non-selective beta-blockers inhibit both the β_1 and the β_2 receptors, while selective agents have a higher affinity for the β_1 receptors. Cardiac tissue contains primarily β_1 receptors and β_2 receptors are primarily in the bronchial and peripheral vascular muscle. The effect of selective beta-blockade is dose dependent. At higher doses, selective beta-blockers will inhibit both types of receptors. Timolol, levobunolol, metipranolol, and carteolol are nonselective beta-adrenergic blocking agents. Betaxolol is a selective β_1 -adrenergic blocking agent.

Mechanism of Action

The beta-adrenergic blocking agents reduce intraocular pressure by blockade of β_2 -adrenergic receptors in the ciliary epithelium, controlling the secretion of aqueous humor. Additionally, they may reduce the production of aqueous humor, which is controlled by the β_2 -adrenergic receptors in the ciliary epithelium.

Intrinsic Sympathomimetic Activity

Partial beta-agonist activity or intrinsic sympathomimetic activity (ISA), is a property of some beta-blockers. These drugs have partial agonist activity similar to isoproterenol. This sympathomimetic effect may minimize the reduction in heart rate and the development of bronchospasm produced by β_2 -blockade. The only ocular beta-blocker in the United States with ISA is carteolol.

Local Anesthetic Activity

Beta-blockers may produce a local anesthetic effect due to their lipophilic characteristics, causing the cell membrane to stabilize. In the eye, a topical beta-blocker may stabilize the pain fibers of the cornea and produce corneal anesthesia. Local anesthesia is not a desirable characteristic of ophthalmic medications. Although propranolol may cause an anesthetic response with ophthalmic use, the currently available ophthalmic beta-blockers have not demonstrated a clinically significant anesthetic effect.

III. PHARMACOKINETICS⁹⁻¹¹

Table 2 lists selected pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties for the various ocular beta-blockers. The most common dosage studied for all agents is twice daily. The longer half-life of some agents suggests the possibility of less frequent dosing.

Table 2: Selected Pharmacodynamic and Pharmacokinetic Properties of Ophthalmic Beta-Blockers^a

| Property | Betaxolol | Carteolol | Levobunolol | Metipranolol | Timolol |
|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| β-blockade (Propranolol = 1) | 4 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 6 |
| ISA ^b | 0 | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| β ₁ selectivity | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stinging, burning | +++ | ± | ++ | + | ++ |
| Heart rate decrease | ± | + | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| Bronchoconstriction | ± | + | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| Dyslipidemia | ? ^d | 0 | ? | ? | + |
| Onset (Min) | 30 | nd ^c | < 60 | ≤30 | 30 |
| Maximum effect (hr) | 2 | nd | 2-6 | ≅ 2 | 1-2 |
| Duration (hr) | 12 | 12 | 12-24 | 12-24 | 12-24 |
| Elimination half-life(hr) | 12-20 | 3-7 | 6 | 3-4 | 3-5 |

^a Table adapted and modified from Hebel SK, ed. Drug Facts and Comparisons, St. Louis: Facts and Comparisons Inc., 1995:478h and Frishman WF, et al. J Clin Pharmacol 1994;34:795-803.

^b ISA=Intrinsic Sympathomimetic Activity

^c nd=no data

^d?=unknown

IV. CLINICAL EFFICACY^{12,17-29}

In 1977 the Food and Drug Administration approved timolol maleate to treat elevated IOP in patients with glaucoma. Timolol has been used as the basis for comparing the safety and efficacy of all other ophthalmic beta-blockers. **Table 3** provides a summary of comparative ophthalmic beta-blocker studies.

Levobunolol and timolol have been shown equally efficacious for the short and long term management of IOP.²¹ Debate has surrounded the optimal dosing of these agents. Levobunolol is marketed with a once or twice daily regimen. No significant difference was seen in IOP lowering between once daily administration of timolol 0.25% or levobunolol 0.25% over a three month period.²³ In contrast, once daily administration of levobunolol 0.5% or 1% was significantly better than timolol 0.5% over a three month period.²²

The use of betaxolol in IOP has shown mixed results. While betaxolol was shown to decrease IOP from baseline, the results at 6 months of therapy were not as significant as those with timolol or with levobunol over a three month period.^{17,18} Two trials have shown an equivalent effect on IOP with betaxolol and timolol.^{25,26} While betaxolol may

not demonstrate the same efficacy in lowering IOP as other agents, its circulatory effects are beneficial. Circulatory effects are important for maintaining perfusion of the optic nerve. This results in preservation of visual fields, an important aspect of glaucoma therapy. Selective beta-blockers have been shown to be more efficacious than non-selective agents in this area. Betaxolol may act a calcium channel blocker thereby improving optic nerve perfusion.^{27,28}

The use of carteolol 1% and timolol 0.25% showed no difference in IOP lowering over a one month period.²⁰ Equivalent lowering of IOP was seen with carteolol 1% and 2% with timolol 0.5%.¹⁹ However, levobunolol was shown superior to carteolol in 59 patients over a 3 month period.³⁰

Once daily dosing with timolol gel forming solution 0.5% was proven equally efficacious to timolol maleate 0.5% twice daily, in lowering IOP over a 3 month period.²⁴ Stewart, et al. addressed the issue of once daily dosing with both preparations. Timolol hemihydrate 0.5% and timolol gel-forming solution 0.5% were compared in 43 patients over a 3 month period and were proven equally efficacious in lowering IOP.³¹

In general, all agents studied were effective in lowering IOP. There may be advantages to the use of certain agents in specific patient populations. Differences in efficacy should be considered when selecting an agent for these groups. The impact on visual field preservation should also be considered.

Table 3: Comparative Ophthalmic Beta-Blocker Studies Evaluating Effect on Intraocular Pressure^a

| Reference | Drug and Dosage | No. of Patients | Duration of Treatment (Mo) | IOP ⁱ (mm HG) | | Patients Requiring Adjunctive Therapy (withdrawn: Inadequate control of IOP) |
|---|--|-----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | | | | Mean Baseline | Overall Mean Reduction (%) | |
| Allen et al. (1986) ¹⁷ | Betaxolol 0.25% ^b | 20 | 6 | 27.2 | 1.0 (3.68) ^{c,d} | (8) ^d |
| | Betaxolol 0.5% ^b | 20 | | 26.6 | 4.5 (16.9) ^{c,d} | (1) ^d |
| | Timolol 0.25% ^b | 18 | | | | |
| | Timolol 0.5% ^b | 18 | | | | |
| Long et al. (1988) ¹⁸ | Levobunolol 0.25% ^b | 27 | 3 | 24.9 | 6.2(24) ^{d,e} | 1 |
| | Levobunolol 0.5% ^b | 30 | | 25.2 | 6.0 (23.7) ^{d,e} | 1 |
| | Betaxolol 0.5% ^b | 28 | | 24.5 | 3.7 (15.1) ^{d,e} | 1 |
| Stewart et al (1991) ¹⁹ | Carteolol 1% ^b | 33 | 3 | 25.3 | 6.3(24) ^{e,f} | 1 |
| | Carteolol 2% ^b | 29 | | 25.3 | 5.8(23) ^{e,f} | 2 |
| | Timolol 0.5% ^b | 33 | | 24.8 | 6.5(28) ^{e,f} | 1 |
| Scoville et al. (1988) ²⁰ | Timolol 0.25% ^b | 47 | 1 | 23.5 | 3.77(16) ^f | NA ⁱ |
| | Carteolol 1% ^b | 50 | | 22.8 | 2.90(12.7) ^f | NA |
| Cinotti et al. (1985) ²¹ | Levobunolol 0.5% ^b | 52 | 15 | 26.7 | 8.0(30) ^{e,f} | 4 |
| | Levobunolol 1% ^b | 54 | | 27.5 | 8.2(29.8) ^{e,f} | 4 |
| | Timolol 0.5% ^b | 56 | | 27.3 | 8.0(29.3) ^{e,f} | 5 |
| Wandel et al. (1986) ²² | Levobunolol 0.5% ^g | 30 | 3 | 28.2 | 7.0(24.8) ^{d,e} | (4) |
| | Levobunolol 1% ^g | 32 | | 26.9 | 6.5(24.2) ^{d,e} | (4) |
| | Timolol 0.5% ^g | 30 | | 26.4 | 4.5(17) ^{d,e} | (7) |
| Silverstone et al (1991) ²³ | Levobunolol 0.25% ^g | 39 | 3 | 24.7 | 5.3(22) ^{e,f} | (1) |
| | Timolol 0.25% ^g | 41 | | 25.1 | 5.4(22) ^{e,f} | (3) |
| Roselund (1996) ²⁴ | Timolol gel forming solution 0.5% ^g | 112 | 3 | 27.9 | 8.5(30) ^{e,f} | NA |
| | Timolol solution 0.5% ^b | 111 | | 27.3 | 8.4(31) ^{e,f} | NA |
| Stewart et al (1986) ²⁵ | Betaxolol 0.5% ^b | 15 | 6 | 29.0 | 7.6(26) ^{e,f} | 0 |
| | Timolol 0.5% ^b | 14 | | 27.6 | 8.4(29) ^{e,f} | 0 |
| Berry et al. (1984) ²⁶ | Betaxolol 0.5% ^b | 20 | 6.5 | 29.8 | 8.6(28.9) ^{e,f} | 13 |
| | Timolol 0.5% ^b | 26 | | 29.8 | 9.9(33.2) ^{e,f} | 14 |
| Mills and Wright (1986) ²⁹ | Metipranolol 0.3% ^b | 10 | 2 | 21.7 | 3.2(15) ^f | 2 ^h |
| | Timolol 0.25% ^b | 10 | | 22.6 | 2.49(11) ^f | 2 ^h |
| Behrens-Baumann et al. (1994) ³⁰ | Levobunolol 0.5% ^b | 29 | 3 | 26.2 | 7.3(27.4) ^{d,e} | NA |
| | Carteolol 2% ^b | 30 | | 25.2 | 4.1(16.3) ^{d,e} | NA |
| Stewart et al. (1998) ³¹ | Timolol hemihydrate 0.5% | 22 | 3 | 23.6 | 5.3(22.4) ^f | NA |
| | Timolol gel forming solution 0.5% | 21 | | 23.7 | 5.3(22.4) ^f | NA |
| DuBiner et al. (1996) ³² | Timolol hemihydrate 0.25% ^b | 91 | 3 | 24.4 | 4.2(17) ^f | NA |
| | Timolol hemihydrate 0.5% ^b | 91 | | 24.9 | 7.0(28) ^f | NA |
| | Timolol maleate 0.25% ^b | 91 | | 24.4 | 4.9(20) ^f | NA |
| | Timolol maleate 0.5% ^b | 92 | | 25 | 6.8(27) ^f | NA |

^aAdapted and modified from Sorenson SJ, et al. Ann Pharmacother 1996 30 (1):43-54.

^b 1 drop q12h

^c Median reduction at week 26

^d Statistically significant difference between the two drugs studied

^e Statistically significant difference from baseline

^f No statistically significant difference between the two drugs studied

^g 1 drop qd

^h Poor or no response to therapy

ⁱ IOP = Intraocular pressure; NA = Not available

V. ADVERSE EFFECTS¹⁷⁻³³

In general, the ophthalmic beta-blockers are safe and effective agents for treating elevated IOP. Topical beta-blockers may be absorbed systemically via the nasolacrimal duct. Because there is no first-pass metabolism of topical beta-blockers, there is high bioavailability of these drugs when absorbed via this route. Obstructing nasolacrimal drainage can greatly reduce the amount of drug absorbed systemically.

Both ocular and systemic adverse effects may occur with the use of these agents. Ocular stinging is typically associated with betaxolol and metipranolol. Transient blurred vision is reported with timolol gel-forming solution. Metipranolol has been associated with granulomatous anterior uveitis and was withdrawn from clinical use in the United Kingdom in 1991. Systemic effects of the ophthalmic beta-blockers include cardiovascular, respiratory and central nervous system reactions. Cardiovascular adverse effects include arrhythmia, syncope, and palpitation. Additionally, changes in lipid profiles have been shown with timolol.³³ The clinical significance of these changes remains to be determined. Respiratory complications reported include bronchospasm, dyspnea, wheezing, decreased pulmonary function and respiratory failure. Central nervous system effects include hallucinations, sleep disturbances, depression, sexual dysfunction and emotional lability.

The use of β_1 selective agents may be beneficial to patients with pulmonary conditions. Betaxolol has been proven safe and effective in glaucoma patients with pulmonary disease. However, anecdotal reports of adverse pulmonary and cardiac events with betaxolol use exist. Alterations in serum lipid levels with carteolol have been shown to be minimal to none. This lipid neutral effect makes carteolol an alternate choice in patients most at risk from adverse lipid changes.

VI. DRUG INTERACTIONS^{9, 34}

The use of beta-blockers during immunotherapy, skin end-point titration or actual immunotherapy, makes it extremely difficult to treat an anaphylactic reaction related to the therapy. Current recommendations state that ophthalmic and systemic beta-blockers be stopped during immunotherapy.³⁴

Additional drug interactions are found in **Table 5**.

Table 5: Ophthalmic Beta-Blocker Drug Interactions^a

| Ophthalmic Beta- | Interacting Drug | Description |
|------------------|------------------|-------------|
|------------------|------------------|-------------|

| Blocker | | |
|---------|------------------------|--|
| All | Oral beta-blockers | Potential additive effects on systemic beta-blockade. |
| All | Ophthalmic epinephrine | One case of systemic hypertension reported with the combination, possibly from unopposed α -adrenergic stimulation. The combination has been used successfully in lowering IOP. |
| All | Quinidine | One case of sinus bradycardia reported with the coadministration of timolol. |
| All | Verapamil | Bradycardia and asystole reported with the coadministration of oral verapamil. |

^aTable adapted and modified from Hebel SK, ed. Drug Facts and Comparisons, St. Louis: Facts and Comparisons Inc., 1995:478j.

VII. DOSING AND ADMINISTRATION¹⁻¹⁰

Table 6: Ophthalmic Beta-Blocker Dosing

| Beta-blocker | Dose |
|--|--|
| Timolol maleate 0.25%, 0.5% | 1 drop in the affected eye(s) twice daily. |
| Timolol gel-forming solution 0.25%, 0.5% | 1 drop in the affected eye(s) daily. |
| Timolol hemihydrate 0.25%, 0.5% | 1 drop in the affected eye(s) twice daily. |
| Metipranolol HCl 0.3% | 1 drop in the affected eye(s) twice daily. |
| Carteolol HCl 1% | 1 drop in affected eye(s) twice daily. |
| Levobunolol HCl 0.25%, 0.5% | 1 drop in the affected eye(s) once or twice a day. |
| Betaxolol HCl Susp. 0.25% | 1-2 drops in affected eye(s) twice daily. |

VIII. COST³⁵⁻⁴²

When considering the cost of the ophthalmic beta-blockers, a drop-by-drop comparison is necessary because of potential drop size, flow restrictor valves and total volume differences among the various agents. **Table 7** is a summary of the ophthalmic beta-blocker drop studies.

Medication wastage could also be a factor when determining cost. This is difficult to assess in drop studies, which have been done in controlled laboratory settings without patients. More wastage may have been documented with patient administration due to technique. In practice, patients may have more drops miss the eye, larger drop volume and inability to use the entire vial contents.

Table 7: Ophthalmic Beta-Blocker Drop Studies

| Reference | Drug | Manufacturer | 5 ml | | | | 10 ml | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| | | | Avg. No. of Drops | Avg. Total Volume (mL) | Drop Size (µL) | Days of Therapy ^a | Avg. No. of Drops | Avg. Total Volume (mL) | Drop Size (µL) | Days of Therapy ^a |
| Ball and Schneider (1992) ³⁵ | Levobunolol | Allergan | 104.5 | 5.43 | 52.5 | 28.5 | | | | 57 |
| | Betaxolol susp. | Alcon | 159 | 5.41 | 34 | 39.8 | | | | |
| | Metipranolol | Bausch & Lomb | 156 | 5.64 | 37 | 38.5 | | | | 77 |
| | Timolol maleate | Merck | 169 | 5.58 | 33 | 42.5 | | | | 85 |
| | Betaxolol soln. | Alcon | 164 | 5.15 | 31 | 41.0 | | | | 82 |
| Belanger and Winstead (1992) ³⁶ | Timolol maleate | Merck | 206 | 5.77 | 28 | 51 | 379 | 10.61 | 28 | 94 |
| | Levobunolol | Allergan | 117 | 5.38 | 46 | 29 | 222 | 10.21 | 46 | 55 |
| | Betaxolol soln | Alcon | 177 | 5.31 | 30 | 44 | 332 | 9.96 | 30 | 83 |
| Schwartz et al (1989) ³⁷ | Timolol maleate | Merck | | | | | 391 | 11.1 | 28 | 98 |
| | Levobunolol | Allergan | | | | | 220 | 10.1 | 46 | 55 |
| Sorenson and Abel (1994) ³⁸ | Carteolol | Otsuka | 147.6 | 5.13 | 34.8 | 36.92 | | | | |
| Koe (1995) ³⁹ | Timolol maleate Timolol hemihydrate | Merck Ciba Vision | | | | | 568 323 | 11.1 10.5 | 19.5 32.5 | 142 81 |
| Weiss and Hendrickson (1994) ⁴⁰ | Timolol maleate gel | Merck | 135 | 5.0 ^b | 37.0 | 67.5 | | | | |
| | Metipranolol | Bausch & Lomb | 175 | 5.0 ^b | 28.6 | 43.75 | | | | |
| Stewart (1997) ⁴¹ | Levobunolol | Allergan | | | | | 240 | 10.10 | 42 | 32.3 |
| | Levobunolol | Alcorn | | | | | 217 | 9.79 | 45 | 33.6 |
| | Carteolol | Otsuka | | | | | 318 | 9.88 | 31 | 58.0 |
| | Timolol maleate gel | Merck | 113 | 5.57 | 49 | 35.3 | | | | |
| | Timolol maleate | Merck | | | | | 334 | 10.71 | 32 | 55.6 |
| | Levobunolol | Alcon | | | | | 239 | 9.83 | 41 | 39.7 |
| | Betaxolol | Schein | | | | | 245 | 9.81 | 40 | 28.0 |
| | Levobunolol | Alcon | | | | | 223 | 9.85 | 44 | 30.6 |
| | Metipranolol | Bausch & Lomb | | | | | 249 | 9.99 | 40 | 40.3 |
| | Timolol hemihydrate | Ciba Vision | | | | | 298 | 10.44 | 35 | 45.3 |
| Fiscella (1998) ⁴² | Betaxolol | Alcon | | | | | 323 | 10.5 | 32 | 80.8 |
| | Betaxolol | Alcon | 124.5 | 4.9 | 39 | 31 | | | | |
| | Timolol maleate | Merck | 204.7 | 5.4 | 26 | 51 | | | | |
| | Timolol gel | Merck | 133.3 | 5.9 | 44 | 67 | | | | |
| | Levobunolol | Allergan | 106 | 5.2 | 49 | 27 | | | | |
| | Levobunolol | Schein | 109 | 5.4 | 50 | 27 | | | | |
| | Metipranolol | Bausch & Lomb | 146 | 5.2 | 36 | 37 | | | | |
| | Carteolol | Otsuka | 140.9 | 5.2 | 37 | 35 | | | | |

^aCalculated on the basis of 1 drop in each eye twice daily except timolol gel which was given as 1 drop in each eye once daily.

^bActual total volume measured was not reported in the study.

IX. CONCLUSIONS

- Comparative, multicenter, randomized, double-blind trials have demonstrated that all of the currently available ophthalmic beta-blockers are effective in lowering IOP. Timolol maleate has been in clinical use since 1977 and has continued to be a safe and effective agent in treating glaucoma.
- Preservation of visual fields and optic nerve perfusion is also key in glaucoma therapy. Selective beta-blockers appear more efficacious than non-selective agents.
- There are some differences among the agents in terms of safety. Betaxolol has the advantage of causing minimal systemic effects due to its β_1 selectivity. However, adverse pulmonary and cardiac effects have been reported with its use. Timolol maleate gel-forming solution may have fewer systemic adverse effects than timolol maleate solution, but has the disadvantage of transient blurred vision after application. Carteolol may be a lipid neutral agent with advantages for patients with cardiac comorbidity. Both timolol hemihydrate and levobunolol have similar safety profiles when compared to timolol maleate solution.
- Timolol maleate gel-forming solution is dosed once daily. The once daily regimen may increase patient compliance.
- Drug interactions are similar among the agents.
- Drop studies are useful in determining actual drug cost because drop sizes and container volumes vary from product to product. Timolol maleate solution and gel-forming solution consistently yielded the greatest number of days of therapy per bottle.

X. CRITERIA FOR FORMULARY SELECTION

- Clinical efficacy must be demonstrated in comparative, randomized, multicenter, double-blind trials.
- Acceptable safety and drug interaction profiles must be demonstrated.
- The agent should have demonstrated cost effectiveness as determined by drop studies.
- A once-daily regimen may be desirable to enhance compliance.

XI. RECOMMENDATIONS

- Despite the introduction of newer ophthalmic beta blocking agents and different formulations, timolol maleate solution has maintained its niche in the treatment of elevated IOP in patients with ocular hypertension or open-angle glaucoma. It has a proven safety record and has been available since 1977. It is available generically and has been the most cost-effective agent per drop in drop studies. Although the gel-forming solution is dosed once daily, it appears more costly than the solution. Levobunolol is as effective in lowering IOP as timolol maleate solution. **Therefore,**

timolol maleate solution or levobunolol should be considered as the ophthalmic beta-blocking agent on the national formulary.

- Betaxolol and carteolol have some advantages over the other ophthalmic beta blocking agents in terms of their systemic side effect profile. Betaxolol may be useful in patients with pulmonary disease, however caution must be exercised when using any of the beta-blockers in these patients. There may be ocular burning and stinging with this agent, which may be less with the suspension formulation. Carteolol may be an alternative agent for patients who experience adverse lipid changes with timolol or levobunolol. **Betaxolol should be added to the national formulary as the ophthalmic beta-blocker for pulmonary patients. Carteolol should be available, with non-formulary use criteria, for patients with special considerations.**
- There are limited comparative data with timolol hemihydrate and timolol maleate so consideration for formulary addition is difficult.

REFERENCES

1. Package insert. Timoptic (timolol maleate ophthalmic solution). West Point, PA:Merck & Co., 1997.
2. Package insert. Timoptic-XE (timolol maleate ophthalmic gel forming solution). West Point, PA:Merck & Co., 1997.
3. Package insert. Betimol (timolol hemihydrate ophthalmic solution). Atlanta, GA:Ciba Vision; 1997.
4. Package insert. OptiPranolol (metipranolol HCl ophthalmic solution). Tampa, FL: Bausch & Lomb; 1996.
5. Package insert. Ocupress (carteolol HCl ophthalmic solution). Greenville, NC: Otsuka;1997.
6. Package insert. Betagan (levobunolol HCl ophthalmic solution). Hormigueros, PR: Allergan America; 1996.
7. Package insert. Betoptic (betaxolol HCl ophthalmic solution). Fort Worth, TX: Alcon Laboratories; 1991.
8. Package insert. Betoptic S (betaxolol HCl ophthalmic suspension). Fort Worth, TX: Alcon Laboratories; 1995.
9. Beta-adrenergic blocking agents (ophthalmic). In: Hebel SK, ed. Drug Facts and Comparisons. St. Louis: Facts and Comparisons Inc., 1995:478h-478k.
10. Beta-adrenergic blocking agents (ophthalmic). USP-DI, Drug Information for the Health Care Professional, United States Pharmacopeia, 17th ed., 1997:560-8.
11. Frishman WH, Fuksbrumer MS, Tannenbaum M. Topical ophthalmic β -adrenergic blockade for the treatment of glaucoma and ocular hypertension. J Clin Pharmacol 1994;34:795-803.
12. Sorensen SJ, Abel SR. Comparison of the ocular beta-blockers. Ann Pharmacother 1996;30:43-54.
13. Frishman WH. Clinical Pharmacology of the new beta-adrenergic blocking drugs: Pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties. Am Heart J 1979;97:663.
14. Neufeld AH, Bartels SP, Liu JH. Laboratory and clinical studies on the mechanism of action of timolol. Ophthalmol 1983;28:286-90.

15. James IM: Pharmacologic effects of beta-blocking agents used in the management of glaucoma (summary). *Surv Ophthalmol* 1989;33:453-4.
16. Brooks AMV, Gillies WE. Ocular beta-blockers in glaucoma management. *Drugs Aging* 1992;2:58-77.
17. Allen RC, Hertzmark E, Walker AM, et al. A double-masked comparison of betaxolol vs. timolol in the treatment of open-angle glaucoma. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1986;101:535-41.
18. Long DA, John GE, Mullen RS, et al. Levobunolol and betaxolol: a double-masked controlled comparison of efficacy and safety in patients with elevated intraocular pressure. *Ophthalmol* 1988;95:735-41.
19. Stewart WC, Shields MB, Allen RC, et al. A 3-month comparison of 1% and 2% carteolol and 0.5% timolol in open-angle glaucoma. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 1991;29:258-61.
20. Scoville B, Mueller B, White BG, et al. A double-masked comparison of carteolol and timolol in ocular hypertension. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1988;105:150-4.
21. Cinotti A, Cinotti D, Grant W, et al. Levobunolol vs timolol for open-angle glaucoma and ocular hypertension. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1985;99:11-7.
22. Wandel T, Charap AD, Lewis RA, et al. Glaucoma treatment with once-daily levobunolol. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1986;101:298-304.
23. Silverstone D, Zimmerman T, Choplin N, et al. Evaluation of once-daily levobunolol 0.25% and timolol 0.25% therapy for increased pressure. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1991;112:56-60.
24. Roselund, E. The intraocular pressure lowering effect of timolol in gel-forming solution. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand* 1996;74:160-162.
25. Stewart RH, Kimbrough RL, Ward RL. Betaxolol vs timolol: a six-month double-blind comparison. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1986;104:46-8.
26. Berry DP, Van Buskirk M, Shields B. Betaxolol and timolol: a comparison of efficacy and side effects. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1984;102:42-5.
27. Kaiser JH, Flammer J, Messmer C. Thirty month visual field follow-up of glaucoma patients treated with beta-blockers. *J Glaucoma* 1992;1:153-5.
28. Messmer C, Flammer J, Stumfig D. Influence of betaxolol and timolol on the visual fields of patients with glaucoma. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1991;112:678-81.

29. Mills KB, Wright G. A blind randomized cross-over trial comparing metipranolol 0.3% with timolol 0.25% in open angle glaucoma: a pilot study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1986;70:39-42.
30. Behrens-Baumann W, Kimmich F, Wlat JG, et al. A comparison of ocular hypotensive efficacy and system safety of 0.5% levobunolol and 2% carteolol. *Ophthalmologica* 1994;208:32-6.
31. Stewart WC, Leland TM, Cate EA, Stewart JA. Efficacy and safety of timolol solution once daily versus timolol gel in treating elevated intraocular pressure. *J Glaucoma* 1998;7(6):402-7.
32. DuBiner HB, Hill R, Kaufman H, et al. Timolol hemihydrate vs. timolol maleate to treat ocular hypertension and open-angle glaucoma. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1996;121:522-28.
33. Stewart WC, Osterman J. Serum lipid physiology and the influence of glaucoma medications. *Surv Ophthalmology* 1998;43(3):233-44.
34. Cook PR, Farias C. The safety of allergen immunotherapy: a literature review. *Ear Nose Throat J* 1998 May; 77(5):378-9, 383-8.
35. Ball SF, Schneider E. Cost of beta-adrenergic receptor blocking agents for ocular hypertension. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1992;110:654-7.
36. Belanger J, Winstead R. Eyedrop comparison. *Am Pharm* 1992;NS32:463.
37. Schwartz J, Christensen RE, Lee DA. Comparison of timolol maleate and levobunolol: doses and volume per bottle. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1989;107:17. (letter)
38. Sorenson SJ, Abel SR. Drop size of ocular carteolol hydrochloride. *Am J Hosp Pharm* 1994;51:1470-1. (letter)
39. Koe MA. Drop size of ocular timolol solutions. *Am J Health-Sys Pharm* 1995;52:2469. (letter)
40. Weiss MA, Hendrickson JR. Information on drop size needs to be eyed closely. *Am J Hosp Pharm* 1994;51:2469-70. (letter)
41. Stewart WC, Sine C, Cate E, et al. Daily cost of beta-adrenergic blocker therapy. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1997;115:853-6.
42. Fiscella RG. Cost of glaucoma medications. *Am J Health-Syst Pharm* 1998;55:272-5.