

## Drug Class Review

### Oral Bisphosphonates in the Treatment of Osteoporosis

VHA Pharmacy Benefits Management Strategic Healthcare Group and the Medical Advisory Panel

Prepared by: Mark C. Geraci, Pharm.D., BCOP

The following recommendations are based on current medical evidence and expert opinion from clinicians. The content of the document is dynamic and will be revised as new clinical data becomes available. The purpose of this document is to assist practitioners in clinical decision-making, to standardize and improve the quality of patient care, and to promote cost-effective drug prescribing. The clinician should utilize this guidance and interpret it in the clinical context of the individual patient situation.

#### Objectives

To review the efficacy, safety, and administration of the bisphosphonates alendronate and risedronate in the treatment and prevention of osteoporosis.

**Table 1. Currently available products**

Generic	Brand	Manufacturer
Alendronate	Fosamax	Merck
Risedronate	Actonel	Aventis and Procter & Gamble

#### I. Introduction<sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup>

Osteoporosis is characterized by abnormalities in bone remodeling resulting in a reduction in bone mass per unit volume and changes in the microarchitecture of primarily cortical bone. The imbalance in bone remodeling is due to the net rate of absorption exceeding the net rate of formation. Histologic changes in bone include a decrease in cortical thickness and a decrease in number and size of trabeculae in cancellous bone. Mineralization is not affected, and the osteoporotic bone is brittle but not soft.

Measurement and screening of osteoporosis is most accurately accomplished using Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) to assess bone density. DXA measurements of the lumbar spine and proximal femur provide reproducible values and are used to assess the risk for fractures, detect osteoporosis, and determine response to treatment. The World Health Organization defines osteoporosis based on Bone Mineral Density (BMD). They commonly express the BMD as a T score, which is the number of standard deviations (SD) below the mean BMD value for normal young adults. T scores between  $-1$  and  $-2.5$  SD are defined as osteopenia and values at least  $-2.5$  SD below the young adult mean are termed osteoporosis.

Osteoporosis affects an estimated 10 million Americans of which 80% are women and 20% are men. It can occur as a primary disorder due to aging or estrogen loss in postmenopausal women, a secondary disorder associated with endocrine disorders, systemic inflammatory disease, or other chronic illnesses, or it may be drug induced through the administration of glucocorticoids, LHRH agonists, or antiestrogens. Bone loss associated with estrogen deficiency and aging manifests at predominantly cancellous skeletal sites such as the lumbar spine, proximal femur, hip, and forearm. Fracture is the most clinically significant manifestation of the disease. One in 2 women and 1 in eight men over 50 will sustain a fracture due to osteoporosis in their lifetime. Vertebral fractures are most prevalent, but hip fractures are the most serious because of the high rates of disability and mortality associated with these fractures. Risk factors for developing osteoporosis include female sex, thin and/or small frame, advanced age, family history of osteoporosis, postmenopause, low-calcium diet, inactive lifestyle, smoking, low testosterone levels in men. The risk factors for osteoporosis-related fractures include prior low-trauma fractures in adults and a low BMD with or without fractures. The estimated expenditures for osteoporosis and related fractures were \$17 billion in 2001 according to the National Osteoporosis Foundation.

Osteoporosis in men is not uncommon, however little attention has been given to the disease thought to be primarily a female disease. By using fracture as an outcome, it is estimated that the lifetime risk in males is 13-25%. It is unclear if using the same WHO criteria for men, i.e. 2.5SD below the reference standard for young men, is appropriate due to the differences in peak bone mass for men versus women. Men also tend to develop osteoporosis a decade late in life than women. Thus, hip fractures in men occur at a more

Updated versions may be found @ [www.vapbm.org](http://www.vapbm.org) or <http://vaww.pbm.med.va.gov>

July 2003

Portions of these documents or records, or information contained herein, which resulted from Pharmacy Benefits Management Drug Usage Evaluations and Utilization Review activities may be considered confidential and privileged under the provisions of 38 U.S.C. 5705 and its implementing regulations. In such case, this material shall not be disclosed to anyone without authorization as provided for by that law of its regulations. The statute provides for fines up to \$20,000 for unauthorized disclosure.

advanced age and carry a higher mortality. The major causes of osteoporosis in men include alcohol abuse, excess glucocorticoids, and hypogonadism while 40-50% will not have a clear-cut etiology. There is some evidence that estrogen is involved in establishing peak bone mass in males, and that estrogen resistance or deficiency may predispose to osteoporosis in these rare cases.

The goals of pharmacotherapy of osteoporosis include prevention of bone loss for patients with osteopenia or at risk secondary to iatrogenic causes, or increase in bone mass in patients with osteoporosis to prevent fractures. Bisphosphonates are analogues of pyrophosphate that inhibit osteoclast activity, which inhibits bone resorption. They bind to hydroxyapatite crystals and are released during the process of bone remodeling. This binding to hydroxyapatite crystals may also affect the pharmacokinetics of the drugs and allow for long dosing intervals. Alendronate and risedronate are bisphosphonates currently approved for the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis.

## II. Pharmacology/Pharmacokinetics<sup>6,7,8,9,10</sup>

Bisphosphonates are analogues of pyrophosphate in which oxygen is replaced by carbon yielding P-C-P. This structure is resistant to hydrolysis by acids or enzymes. The R<sub>2</sub> position on the carbon atom is responsible for potency characteristics. Alendronate contains a primary nitrogen atom in an alkyl chain at R<sub>2</sub> and has intermediate potency. Risedronate has a nitrogen atom within a heterocyclic ring and is one of the most potent bisphosphonates.

The exact mechanism of action of the bisphosphonates has not been fully realized. All of the compounds bind onto the calcium of hydroxyapatite, which inhibits calcification. This does not explain the antiresorptive effects. At the tissue level, bisphosphonates reduce bone turnover, which slows down total bone loss. At the cellular level, the target is inhibition of osteoclast recruitment, adhesion, shortening of lifespan of the osteoclasts, and inhibition of osteoclast activity either through a direct action or by action on cells that modulate osteoclast activity. At the molecular level, the primary mechanism involves the indirect inhibition of prenylation (post-translational lipid modification) of small proteins involved in bone resorption. The result is inhibition of osteoclast activity and apoptosis.

**Table 2 Pharmacokinetic Profiles**

	<b>Alendronate</b>	<b>Risedronate</b>
Absorption	<1% bioavailability 2 hours before food	0.65% bioavailability
Volume of distribution	28L (excluding bone) 640,000 L including bone	6.3L/kg
Plasma protein binding	78% primarily to albumin	24%
Metabolism	Little or none	Little or none
Excretion	Renal	Renal
T1/2	10.5 years (terminal half-life)	480 hours

Bisphosphonates have a low bioavailability due to their low lipophilicity and negative charge. In addition, they chelate calcium in the gut making them partially insoluble. Oral administration with food or calcium containing supplements reduces the bioavailability, and the manufacturers recommend administration with water after an overnight fast and at least 30 minutes prior to the first meal of the day. Concomitant therapy with calcium products, antacids, or other oral medications with divalent cations should be avoided because of the interference with absorption. In a study of 127 healthy volunteers, risedronate was administered on various dose schedules before and after meals. The extent of absorption was similar when administered 0.5 and 1 hour before breakfast and 2 hours after dinner.<sup>11</sup> The bisphosphonates are sequestered in bone and then slowly released. This may explain why bone resorption remains below baseline rates for years after discontinuation of alendronate.

### III. FDA Approved Indications

**Table 3. FDA-approved indications**

	<b>Alendronate</b>	<b>Risedronate</b>
Primary Osteoporosis	1. Treatment of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women 2. Treatment of osteoporosis in men	Treatment of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women
Osteoporosis prevention	Prevention of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women at high risk	Prevention of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women at high risk
Secondary Osteoporosis	Treatment of glucocorticoid induced osteoporosis in men and women receiving the daily equivalent of $\geq 7.5$ mg of prednisone who have a low bone mass density	Prevention and treatment of glucocorticoid induced osteoporosis in men and women initiating or continuing systemic therapy with a daily equivalent of $\geq 7.5$ mg of prednisone
Paget's	Treatment of Paget's bone disease in men and women	Treatment of Paget's bone disease in men and women

### IV. Dose

**Table 4. Dose**

<b>Indication</b>	<b>Alendronate</b>	<b>Risedronate</b>
Primary Osteoporosis	Women: 70mg/once a week or 10mg/day Men: 10mg/day Alternatively 70mg/once a week	Postmenopausal women: 5mg/day or 35mg/once a week
Osteoporosis prevention	35mg/once a week or 5mg/day	5mg/day or 35mg/once a week
Secondary Osteoporosis	5mg/day except in postmenopausal women no on estrogen 10mg/day	Prevention or Treatment: 5mg/day
Paget's	40mg/day for 6 months	30mg/day for 2 months

### V. Efficacy<sup>12,13</sup>

There are several options for defining efficacy in clinical trials in osteoporosis. Prevention trials evaluate antiresorptive therapy for the ability to prevent further bone loss. Treatment trials evaluate antiresorptive therapy for prevention of new fractures. In fact, the reduction in fracture risk is often considered the most important endpoint in treatment trials. Although vertebral fractures are the earliest and most common fractures seen in osteoporosis, few trials use the incidence of vertebral fractures as the endpoint, in part due to the need for large numbers of patients and the underreporting of fracture symptoms by patients. Hip fractures cause more morbidity and mortality, but have a low incidence requiring too many patients to detect a difference in therapy from placebo.

Many studies use surrogate markers to measure the efficacy of antiresorptive therapy. BMD has been well established in the diagnosis of osteoporosis. Because of the relationship of low BMD and increased fracture risk, many have extrapolated this data to show reductions in fracture risk secondary to increases in BMD due to antiresorptive therapy even though this relationship is not well defined. Biochemical markers of bone turnover may indicate antiresorptive drug activity but their ability to predict fracture risk has not been verified and they are only used in clinical trials.

It is thus difficult to compare anti fracture efficacy in trials because few have used fracture incidence as the primary outcome. There have not been any head-to-head trials of bisphosphonates. This review is limited to randomized, double blind studies of at least one year's duration which measured fracture incidence or changes in BMD as the primary outcome in postmenopausal women or in men. In addition, clinical trials in steroid-induced osteoporosis are included. A more complete table of clinical trial events is attached in the Appendix.

Updated versions may be found @ [www.vapbm.org](http://www.vapbm.org) or <http://vaww.pbm.med.va.gov>

July 2003

Portions of these documents or records, or information contained herein, which resulted from Pharmacy Benefits Management Drug Usage Evaluations and Utilization Review activities may be considered confidential and privileged under the provisions of 38 U.S.C. 5705 and its implementing regulations. In such case, this material shall not be disclosed to anyone without authorization as provided for by that law of its regulations. The statute provides for fines up to \$20,000 for unauthorized disclosure.

**Table 5. Alendronate in Primary Osteoporosis**

Fracture Prevention	
Liberman et al. 1995 <sup>14</sup> Alendronate Phase III Osteoporosis Group	5, 10, or 20mg x 2 years then continued for 1 year (20mg dose changed to 5mg)
Black et al. 1996 <sup>15</sup> Fracture Intervention Trial (FIT)	5mg/day; at month 24 dose changed to 10mg/day
Ensrud et al. 1997 <sup>16</sup> FIT subgroup analysis	5mg/day for 24 months then changed to 10mg/day
Cummings et al. 1998 <sup>17</sup> FIT (arm with no prevalent vertebral fractures)	5mg/day for 24 months than changed to 10mg/day
Prevention of Bone Loss	
Chestnut et al. 1995 <sup>18</sup>	5 or 10mg/day for 2 years 20mg/day for 1 year, then placebo for 1 year 40mg/day for 1 year then placebo for 1 year 40mg/day for 3 months, then 2.5mg/day for 21 months
Devogelaer et al. 1996 <sup>19</sup>	5, 10, or 20mg/day for 2 years Optional year 3: Remain on blinded treatment (20mg/day blindly changed to 5mg/d) or If patient did not consent to blinded treatment, open label 5mg/d or Discontinue therapy
Tucci et al. 1996 <sup>20</sup> U.S. Alendronate Phase III Osteoporosis Treatment Study Group	5, 10, or 20mg/day for 2 years Year 3: Continue DB therapy for all consenting patients (20mg/day blindly switched to 5mg/day)
Bone et al. 1997 <sup>21</sup>	1, 2.5, or 5mg/day for 2 years
Pols 1999 <sup>22</sup> Fosamax International Trial Study Group (FOSIT)	10mg/day for 12 months
Tonino et al. 2000 <sup>23</sup> Phase III Osteoporosis Treatment Study Group	Years 1-3 as in Liberman et al. above Years 4-5 If randomized to Alendronate continue therapy All placebo patients + 61 others received open-label 10mg/day  Years 6-7 Original placebo patients discontinued therapy Original 20mg/day →5mg/day received placebo All others continued same Alendronate therapy
Greenspan et al. 2002 <sup>24</sup>	10mg/day

**Table 6. Risedronate in Primary Osteoporosis**

Fracture Prevention	
Harris, et al. 1999 <sup>25</sup> Vertebral Efficacy with Risedronate Therapy (VERT) Study Group N.A.	2.5 or 5mg versus placebo
Reginster, et al. 2000 <sup>26</sup> Vertebral Efficacy with Risedronate Therapy (VERT) Study Group Europe	2.5mg (2.5 d/c·d after 2 years), 5mg versus placebo
McClung, et al. 2001 <sup>27</sup> Hip Intervention Program Study Group	2.5mg or 5mg versus placebo
Prevention of Bone Loss	
Clemmesen, et al. 1997 <sup>28</sup>	2.5mg continuous therapy or 2.5mg cyclic therapy versus placebo
Fogelman, et al. 2000 <sup>29</sup>	2.5mg (d/c at 9/13 centers) or 5mg versus placebo

All patients in the alendronate studies also received calcium supplements, vitamin D supplements, or both. Alendronate has been shown to significantly increase bone density versus placebo in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis in six trials (n=188 to 1908). The optimal dose appears to be 10mg/day although many different daily doses have been utilized. The majority of the increase occurs over the first 6-12 months and has been maintained during 7 years of treatment.

Fracture prevention was the primary outcome of the comprehensive Fracture Intervention Trial (FIT). A review of fracture risk indicates that vertebral fracture risk is increased in patients with prevalent vertebral

Updated versions may be found @ [www.vapbm.org](http://www.vapbm.org) or <http://vaww.pbm.med.va.gov>

July 2003

Portions of these documents or records, or information contained herein, which resulted from Pharmacy Benefits Management Drug Usage Evaluations and Utilization Review activities may be considered confidential and privileged under the provisions of 38 U.S.C. 5705 and its implementing regulations. In such case, this material shall not be disclosed to anyone without authorization as provided for by that law of its regulations. The statute provides for fines up to \$20,000 for unauthorized disclosure.

fractures, and the risk of a new vertebral fracture is increased within the first year of an initial incident fracture.<sup>30</sup> This trial assessed the ability of alendronate to reduce the risk of vertebral fractures and other clinical fractures in postmenopausal women with existing vertebral fractures (n=2027) and in those without existing vertebral fractures (n=4272). The study results show that alendronate 5 or 10mg/day significantly reduced the risk of radiographic and clinical vertebral fractures and hip fractures in both populations. An additional analysis of the effects of alendronate on bed-disability days and limited activity days due to back pain in women with existing vertebral fractures found that alendronate therapy significantly reduced the days of bed-disability and limited activity days versus placebo.<sup>31</sup>

Risedronate was evaluated against placebo in 9129 women in the VERT and Hip intervention studies for the prevention of fractures in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. All women received calcium or calcium plus vitamin D. Risedronate 5mg/day was found to significantly reduce the risk of new vertebral and nonvertebral fractures when compared to placebo. It also decreased the risk for hip fractures in women with confirmed osteoporosis. In the 2 studies evaluating the effects on BMD as an endpoint, risedronate 5mg/day significantly increased BMD compared to placebo. A meta-analysis of trials reporting fracture incidence confirms that risedronate reduces the incidence of vertebral and non-vertebral fractures when compared to placebo.<sup>32</sup>

**Table 7. Alendronate in Primary Osteoporosis-Comparative Trials and Combination Therapy**

Adami, et al. 1993 <sup>33</sup>	ALN 10 or 20mg/ day or placebo Open label intranasal calcitonin 100IU/day
Lindsay, et al. 1999 <sup>34</sup>	ALN 10mg/day or placebo in addition to ongoing HRT
Rittmaster, et al. 2000 <sup>35</sup>	ALN 10mg/d in women previously on 1 year of PTH or placebo therapy
Bone, et al. 2000 <sup>36</sup> Alendronate 10mg vs conjugated equine estrogen (CEE)	1. placebo ALN and placebo CEE 2. CEE and placebo ALN 3. ALN and placebo CEE 4. ALN and CEE
Downs, et al. 2000 <sup>37</sup>	ALN 10mg /day or Intranasal calcitonin 100IU/day or Placebo
Johnell, et al. 2002 <sup>38</sup>	1. Raloxifene 60mg/d 2. ALN 10mg/day 3. Raloxifene 60mg + ALN 10mg/day

**Table 8. Risedronate in Primary Osteoporosis-Combination Therapy**

Harris 2001 <sup>39</sup>	5mg + 0.625mg CEE Placebo + 0.625mg CEE
---------------------------	--

A series of trials examining the effects of combination bisphosphonate therapy or comparative trials with other agents used to treat osteoporosis have been conducted. Alendronate increases BMD significantly greater than placebo or inhaled calcitonin. When combined with hormone replacement therapy, alendronate has an additive effect on BMD, increasing bone mass greater than HRT alone or alendronate alone, especially at the lumbar spine. When given after PTH, alendronate reverses cortical bone loss and increases BMD. The combination of raloxifene and alendronate increased BMD greater than the use of raloxifene alone. When compared to alendronate alone, except for BMD at the femoral neck, the combination did not significantly increase BMD at other sites.

Risedronate and hormone replacement therapy produced slight, but significant increases in BMD at the femoral neck and midshaft radius compared to HRT alone. The effects at other sites were similar between the two treatment groups and significantly better than placebo.

**Table 9. Alendronate- Prevention of Osteoporosis in Postmenopausal Women**

Hosking, et al. 1998 <sup>40</sup> Early Postmenopausal Intervention Cohort Study Group	1. 2.5mg, 5mg, or placebo or open label estrogen/progestin 2. 2.5mg, 5mg, or placebo
Ravn, et al. 1999 <sup>41</sup> Early Postmenopausal Intervention Cohort Study Group	Years 3-4: continue same therapy as above or replace with placebo
McClung, et al. 1998 <sup>42</sup> Alendronate Osteoporosis Prevention Study Group	1. 1, 5, or 10mg/day 2. Placebo 3. 20mg/day for 2 years, then placebo for 1 year
Ravn, et al. 2000 <sup>43</sup> Alendronate Osteoporosis Prevention Study Group	Years 4-5 1. Open label alendronate 5mg/day for patients previously receiving placebo or 5mg/day for 3 years 2. Observation for those previously on 20mg/day for 2 years and placebo for 1 year

**Table 10. Risedronate – Prevention of Osteoporosis in Postmenopausal Women**

Mortensen, et al. 1998 <sup>44</sup>	5mg/day or 5mg/day for 14 days then placebo for 14 days vs placebo
--------------------------------------	--

Two studies (n=263 and n=1609) examined the ability of alendronate to prevent osteoporosis in early menopause. Although the studies differed in design, they both showed that 5mg alendronate maintained BMD at the lumbar spine hip, and total body and was most pronounced during the first 1-2 years of therapy, even if placebo was given for 2 years which allowed for decreases in baseline BMD. Withdrawal of alendronate after 2 years caused bone loss at the same rate as placebo. Similarly, 5mg per day of risedronate increased BMD during 24 months of therapy. Cyclical therapy did not totally prevent bone loss, but the resulting BMD was statistically greater than placebo.

**Table 11. Alendronate in Steroid-induced Osteoporosis**

Saag, et al. 1998 <sup>45</sup>	5 or 10mg vs placebo
Adachi et al. 2001 <sup>46</sup>	5 or 10mg vs placebo
Lau, et al. 2001 <sup>47</sup> (inhaled steroids)	10mg vs placebo

**Table 12. Risedronate in Steroid-induced Osteoporosis**

Cohen, et al. 1999 <sup>48</sup>	2.5 or 5mg vs placebo
Wallach, et al. 2000 <sup>49</sup>	2.5 or 5mg vs placebo
Reid, et al 2000 <sup>50</sup>	2.5 or 5mg vs placebo

Prolonged use of corticosteroids is known to induce osteoporosis. The mechanism is uncertain but involves decreased osteoblast activity, increased bone resorption, decreased intestinal absorption of calcium, decreased renal tubular reabsorption of calcium, and changes in the formation of osteoid. The majority of the bone loss occurs within the first 6-12 months of therapy.

Alendronate was studied in 560 patients (28-33% males in each group) receiving the equivalent of  $\geq 7.5$ mg of prednisone per day. The first study duration was 48 weeks, which was extended another year in the second study. Alendronate 5 or 10mg/day significantly increased BMD at the lumbar spine, trochanter, and femoral neck relative to placebo and baseline. Alendronate 10mg was more effective than 5 mg in postmenopausal women not receiving estrogen therapy. Similar results were seen in women on inhaled steroids receiving  $\geq 800$ mcg of beclomethasone, budesonide, or fluticasone per day.

Risedronate was studied in the prevention of osteoporosis in patients starting corticosteroid treatment, and the treatment of osteoporosis in patients on long-term steroid therapy with low BMD. In the prevention study, risedronate 5mg per day (32.5-35.5% males in each group) maintained the BMD at the lumbar spine and femoral neck, and increased the BMD at the trochanter. Risedronate 2.5mg maintained the BMD but differences versus placebo were less and only significant at the lumbar spine and trochanter. In the treatment study, 5mg per day (36-39% males in each group) significantly increased the BMD at the lumbar



spine, trochanter, and femoral neck compared to placebo. Combining the data from both studies yields a 70% reduction in the incidence of vertebral fractures on risedronate 5mg per day.

In the VA, adherence to guidelines for the prevention and management of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis published by the American College of Rheumatology was recently evaluated. The key points from the guideline include: baseline BMD at the lumbar spine and femoral neck, adequate dietary calcium intake, intake of vitamin D 800IU/day, assessment and treatment of hypogonadism, and antiresorptive therapy for patients with T-scores below -1SD. The results in 72 patients who met entry criteria found that 43 patients had BMD measured sometime after starting prednisone and 32 had documented low BMD. Of those 32, 19 had contraindications to testosterone therapy, and 7/13 remaining patients were hypogonadal and were treated with testosterone. Six patients received adequate calcium and multivitamin therapy. Twenty of 32 men with low bone mass (63%) did not receive antiresorptive therapy, and guidelines were more closely adhered to in the rheumatology clinic.<sup>51</sup> The updated guidelines include recommendations for prevention of osteoporosis with calcium, vitamin D, and a bisphosphonate at the start of therapy with  $\geq 5$ mg per day of prednisone equivalent and duration of therapy of  $\geq 3$  months.<sup>52</sup>

**Table 13. Alendronate in Primary Osteoporosis - Men**

Orwall, et al. 2000 <sup>53</sup>	10mg/day vs placebo
Ringe, et al. 2001 <sup>54</sup>	10mg/day vs 1-alfacalcidol 1mcg/day

**Table 14. Risedronate in Steroid-induced Osteoporosis-Men**

Reid, et al. 2001 <sup>55</sup>	2.5mg, 5mg vs placebo
---------------------------------	-----------------------

The majority of the data on osteoporosis research has been directed at women. As previously stated, a clear definition of osteoporosis in men (greater than 2.5 standard deviations below the young adult mean) has never been validated and the use of bone mineral density as a basis for treatment options has not been studied. Although men have a gradual loss of bone density after age 30, primary osteoporosis is relatively rare secondary to increased peak bone mass, shorter life expectancy, and lack of a menopause equivalent. Secondary causes of osteoporosis in men include hypogonadism, glucocorticoid excess, alcoholism, thyroid or parathyroid disease, osteomalacia, or neoplasm.<sup>56</sup>

Long-term testosterone deficiency is an important secondary risk factor, accounting for up to 30% of cases of men with osteoporosis. The role of testosterone in bone resorption is not well known. Androgens may modulate osteoblast proliferation and differentiation, may affect various growth factors important in osteoblast proliferation, or may affect calcitonin. It is also postulated that testosterone deficiency affects bone resorption because of the lack of conversion by aromatase to estradiol. Several reports document the effects of therapy-induced testosterone deficiency on bone resorption in men. Testosterone deficiency, either secondary to orchiectomy or gonadotropin releasing hormone agonists, has produced bone loss in men with prostate cancer. Suppression of testosterone with gonadotropin releasing hormone agonist also sensitizes the skeleton to PTH, promoting bone loss. Finally, androgen suppression for prostate cancer increases the risk of skeletal fracture, with the risk increasing with duration of suppression.<sup>57,58,59,60</sup>

Hip fractures in males carry a higher one-year mortality rate than in females. Outcomes of secondary prevention measures in men with hip fractures are unclear. Recently, the records of 43 veterans who sustained hip fractures not due to high impact trauma were examined to determine outcomes and if any secondary prevention strategies were employed. Two patients died in the hospital from complications, nine patients died within 1 year of fracture (26% mortality). None of the original 41 patients discharged from the hospital had osteoporosis documented in their medical records, and only 3/25 patients who had DXA scans available to them had BMD measurements before or within 6 months after the fracture. In addition, none were prescribed a bisphosphonate before the fracture or at discharge.<sup>61</sup> An earlier study comparing practice patterns of expert physicians in treating men with osteoporotic hip fracture with current practices at

a VA center found that VA patients were seldom evaluated for osteoporosis following a hip fracture and did not receive antiresorptive therapy even on follow-up.<sup>62</sup>

Alendronate 10mg/day effectively increased BMD in all measured skeletal sites compared to placebo. All patients had primary osteoporosis or secondary osteoporosis due to hypogonadism. The 241 patients were between the ages of 31 and 87 with BMD at the femoral neck at least 2 SD below the mean value in normal young men. Men receiving alendronate experienced statistically significantly less height loss and quantitatively less vertebral fractures than those on placebo. There was no difference in the incidence of nonvertebral fractures between the groups. There were more withdrawals due to adverse effects in the placebo group (11%) versus the alendronate group (3%).<sup>49</sup> In a smaller comparative trial, 134 men with osteoporosis received either alendronate or 1-alfacalcidol (a vitamin D analogue) for 2 years. Alendronate increased BMD at the lumbar spine and femoral neck significantly more than 1-alfacalcidol. The number of new vertebral fractures was significantly less in the alendronate group, although it did not significantly reduce the number of patients with new fractures or the incidence of nonvertebral fractures. Loss of height was significantly less in the alendronate group.

Risedronate has not been studied in primary osteoporosis in men. A subgroup analysis was performed on men enrolled in two double blind clinical trials of risedronate in patients on corticosteroid therapy. The analysis found that risedronate significantly increased BMD at the lumbar spine, femoral neck, and femoral trochanter compared to baseline and placebo. The men on risedronate also experienced a significant reduction in vertebral fractures.<sup>51</sup>

**Other:** Both alendronate and risedronate are indicated in the treatment of Paget's disease in men and women.

**Once weekly dosing:** Due to sequestration in the bone, bisphosphonates may be given on a once a week schedule with results similar to daily dosing. Alendronate 70mg once weekly was compared with 35mg twice a week and 10mg daily in the treatment of osteoporosis. Mean increases in BMD in the lumbar spine, total hip, femoral neck, trochanter and total body were similar for all doses. All doses reduced biochemical markers of bone turnover. A similar incidence of GI adverse events was seen in all groups. The once-weekly group had less serious upper GI adverse events and a trend towards less esophageal events.<sup>63</sup> A 10-week study comparing alendronate 70mg once a week to placebo or placebo followed by aspirin found similar results for safety and tolerability.<sup>64</sup>

Postmenopausal women with osteoporosis were randomly assigned to receive risedronate 5mg daily, 35mg once weekly, or 50mg once weekly in a blinded fashion. Assessment of BMD at 12 months of therapy showed significant changes from baseline in BMD within each group at the lumbar spine, total hip, femoral neck, and trochanter. There were no statistically significant differences between the groups with regard to mean percent change from baseline in BMD at any site. All doses reduced biochemical markers of bone turnover. A similar incidence in adverse events, including upper gastrointestinal adverse events, was seen in all groups.<sup>65</sup>

## VI. Safety<sup>1-2</sup>

### Contraindications

All bisphosphonates carry contraindications of hypersensitivity to any component, hypocalcemia, and inability to stand or sit upright for at least 30 minutes, which increases the risk for upper gastrointestinal irritation. Alendronate also carries a contraindication of abnormalities of the esophagus (e.g. stricture, achalasia), which may delay gastric emptying.



**Precautions**

Hypocalcemia should be corrected before initiation of therapy. Because of the effects of the bisphosphonates on bone mineral metabolism, it is important to ensure adequate calcium intake (1500mg/day) and vitamin D (800IU/day) especially in patients taking glucocorticoids and in patients with Paget’s disease.

Gastrointestinal toxicity has been reported with both bisphosphonates. Esophagitis, esophageal ulcers and erosions, dyspepsia, nausea, and vomiting have all been reported. Occasionally, esophageal symptoms have been accompanied by bleeding and have required hospitalization. The possible mechanism of GI tract damage has not been fully investigated. It is likely due to topical irritation directly damaging GI mucosa. Tablets lodged in the esophagus or dissolved in the stomach but contacting the esophagus as part of reflux disease are probable causes of esophageal irritation. Risk factors for upper GI adverse events include upper GI tract disease and concomitant NSAIDs or aspirin. In order to minimize GI adverse events, manufacturers of both drugs recommend swallowing the tablet upon arising for the day with a full glass of water (6-8 ounces) and not to lie down for at least 30 minutes and, for alendronate, until after the first food of the day.

**Table 15. Alendronate GI Toxicity**

Study	Patients	Outcomes																																			
<b>Short-term studies in healthy volunteers</b>																																					
Lanza 1998 <sup>66</sup>	N=79 100% women	% of patients with gastric/duodenal mucosal erosion on Day 8 or 15 (Lanza score ≥2) PL = 18.2 ALN 5mg = 18.2 ALN 10mg = 23.8 ASA 650mg = 100 (p<0.001 vs PL & ALN) Gastric Ulcers ALN 5mg = 1 ALN 10mg = 1 ASA 650mg = 3 Esophageal Erosion in 5 patients (3 in the PL group)																																			
Graham 1999 <sup>67</sup>	N=24 35% men	Visible gastric mucosal damage PL = 12.5% ALN = 37.5% P=0.09 Ulcer or erosion PL = 0 ALN = 6 (p<0.005)																																			
Marshall 2000 <sup>68</sup>	N=87 49% men	% of patients with visible upper gastrointestinal damage: ASA = 92.3% ALN = 68% PL = 48% Gastric ulcers: ASA = 5 ALN = 2 PL = 0 % of patients with esophageal erosion: ASA = 34.6 ALN = 24 PL = 20																																			
Lowe 2000 <sup>69</sup>	N=32 100% women	Number of patients and Lanza score after endoscopy <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2"></th> <th colspan="2">1 month</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="2">Esophageal lesions</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>ALN</td> <td></td> <td colspan="2">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PL</td> <td></td> <td colspan="2">3 (1 each grade 1,2,3)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Gastric lesions</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>ALN</td> <td></td> <td colspan="2">1 (grade 2)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PL</td> <td></td> <td colspan="2">2 (1 each grade 2,3)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Symptom scores increased after treatment in both groups but were not significant.			1 month		Esophageal lesions				ALN		0		PL		3 (1 each grade 1,2,3)		Gastric lesions				ALN		1 (grade 2)		PL		2 (1 each grade 2,3)								
		1 month																																			
Esophageal lesions																																					
ALN		0																																			
PL		3 (1 each grade 1,2,3)																																			
Gastric lesions																																					
ALN		1 (grade 2)																																			
PL		2 (1 each grade 2,3)																																			
Graham 2001 <sup>70</sup>	N=26 31% men ALN vs NAP vs Combination	Number of patients with gastric mucosal damage <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Mucosal hemorrhage</th> <th>1 or 2 erosions</th> <th>≥3 areas of erosion</th> <th>Large areas of erosion or ulcer</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>ALN</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NAP</td> <td>0</td> <td>4</td> <td>10</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ALN +NAP</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>9</td> <td>14</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> Number of patients with duodenal mucosal damage <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tbody> <tr> <td>ALN</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NAP</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ALN + NAP</td> <td>0</td> <td>6</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> No esophageal lesions in any treatment group		Mucosal hemorrhage	1 or 2 erosions	≥3 areas of erosion	Large areas of erosion or ulcer	ALN	1	2	3	2	NAP	0	4	10	3	ALN +NAP	0	1	9	14	ALN	0	0	1	0	NAP	1	1	2	0	ALN + NAP	0	6	1	1
	Mucosal hemorrhage	1 or 2 erosions	≥3 areas of erosion	Large areas of erosion or ulcer																																	
ALN	1	2	3	2																																	
NAP	0	4	10	3																																	
ALN +NAP	0	1	9	14																																	
ALN	0	0	1	0																																	
NAP	1	1	2	0																																	
ALN + NAP	0	6	1	1																																	
Lanza 2002 <sup>71</sup> Once-a-week dose	N=277 32.5% men	Mean Lanza scores Gastric erosion: PL = 0.35 ALN = 0.32 ASA = 3.09 Duodenal erosion: PL = 0.14 ALN = 0.12 ASA = 1.22 Esophageal erosion: PL = 0.12 ALN = 0.16 ASA = 0.15																																			

Updated versions may be found @ [www.vapbm.org](http://www.vapbm.org) or <http://vaww.pbm.med.va.gov>

July 2003

Portions of these documents or records, or information contained herein, which resulted from Pharmacy Benefits Management Drug Usage Evaluations and Utilization Review activities may be considered confidential and privileged under the provisions of 38 U.S.C. 5705 and its implementing regulations. In such case, this material shall not be disclosed to anyone without authorization as provided for by that law of its regulations. The statute provides for fines up to \$20,000 for unauthorized disclosure.

Studies in patients receiving long-term treatment				
Ettinger 1998 <sup>72</sup> (Telephone survey Kaiser)	N=812 100% women	32.7% reported new upper-gastrointestinal symptoms Those with rx's for acid-related disorders more likely to report symptoms A higher % of those who complied with absorption instructions had symptoms 34.9% discontinued therapy- 51.9% due to GI symptoms		
Bauer 2000 <sup>73</sup>	N=6459 FIT trial	Upper GI Events (%)		
		Any UGI AE	ALN	PL
		Any gastric or duodenal AE	47.5	46.2
		Any esophageal AE	4	4
		Any GI or duodenal perforation, ulceration, bleeding	10	9.4
		1.6	1.9	
The proportion of patients reporting any UGI event was similar between the 5 and 10mg groups.				
Miller 2000 <sup>74</sup>	N=172 100% women (Rechallenge after discontinuation of alendronate b/o GI AE)	UGI AE's causing discontinuation of study therapy		
			ALN	PL
		Abd pain	3	5
		Abd regurgitation	4	3
		Nausea	3	2
		GE reflux	0	3
		Dyspepsia	1	0
		Vomiting	1	0
		Dysphagia	1	0
		Esophagalgia	0	1
Overall clinical adverse effects (%) ALN = 52.3      PL = 63.1				

ALN=alendronate RIS=risedronate PL=placebo NAP=Naprosyn Lanza Scores: 0=normal mucosa 1=mucosal hemorrhages only 2=one or two erosions 3=numerous (3-10) erosions 4=>10erosions or an ulcer

Short-term endoscopic studies in small numbers of volunteers give conflicting results and their relevance to clinical practice is questionable. Some show no relationship between endoscopic findings and GI adverse event reporting, while others suggest that daily and weekly dosing do not increase the risk for upper GI damage over placebo. While there was no increased incidence of upper GI tract irritation in the large osteoporosis trials, it is argued that patients in those trials received extra counseling on drug administration, were excluded from the study if they had pre-existing GI tract diseases, and had fewer coexisting conditions, putting them at decreased risk for GI adverse events. Patients who had previously discontinued alendronate due to a GI tract adverse effect were rechallenged with alendronate or placebo and no difference was found in the incidence of discontinuation attributed to GI tract adverse events. Post-marketing surveillance of 470,000 patients receiving alendronate found 199 adverse event reports related to the esophagus, of which 26% were classified as serious or severe. Sixteen percent required hospitalization. Nine patients had a history of upper GI tract disease. Sixteen patients took the tablet with inadequate amounts of water and 18 patients did not remain upright for 30 minutes following the dose. Timing of symptoms was available for 43 patients. Nineteen had symptoms within 7 days of starting alendronate, and 39 within one month.<sup>75</sup> A telephone survey of 812 women receiving alendronate 10mg/day revealed that 13.5% did not comply with the instructions that helped improve GI tolerability (6-8 ounces of water and remain upright for at least 30 minutes) and 51.7% did not comply with instructions which enhance absorption (eat no food 2 hours before and 30 minutes after the drug, use no other liquid except water, take no other medications/supplements with alendronate). Interestingly, the study found a higher percentage of new GI symptoms in patients who were compliant with the instructions.<sup>71</sup>

**Table 16. Risedronate GI toxicity**

Study	Patients	Outcomes
Lanza 2000 <sup>76</sup>	N=80 100% women	Esophageal lesions: RIS = 1 PL = 2 ASA = 1 Esophageal ulcer: RIS = 0 PL = 0 ASA = 1 Gastric lesions: RIS = 16% PL = 4% ASA = 96% Gastric ulcers: RIS = 0% PL = 4% ASA = 32% ASA vs PL (p=0.01) ASA vs RIS (p=0.002) PL vs RIS (p=1.00) Dyspepsia and abdominal pain (no.): RIS = 5 PL = 6 ASA = 14

Updated versions may be found @ [www.vapbm.org](http://www.vapbm.org) or <http://vaww.pbm.med.va.gov>

July 2003

Portions of these documents or records, or information contained herein, which resulted from Pharmacy Benefits Management Drug Usage Evaluations and Utilization Review activities may be considered confidential and privileged under the provisions of 38 U.S.C. 5705 and its implementing regulations. In such case, this material shall not be disclosed to anyone without authorization as provided for by that law of its regulations. The statute provides for fines up to \$20,000 for unauthorized disclosure.

Adachi 2001 <sup>77</sup> (Tolerability in patients intolerant of alendronate)	N=67 100% women	Outcome	PL	RIS	Odds Ratio	95% CI
		Discontinuation %	16.1	11.4	0.66	0.11 to 3.52
		Completion %	67.7	80	1.87	0.54 to 6.8
		Any gastrointestinal AE %	19.4	20.0		
		Mod to severe gastrointestinal AE %	16.1	11.4		
Taggart 2002 <sup>78</sup> (Pooled analysis from Phase III trials)	N=10,068 1.2-1.3% men		PL	RIS	RR	P
		Endoscopy				
		Esoph inflammation	14.2%	17.1%	1.2	0.55
		Esoph erosion	11.1	10.6	0.95	>0.99
		Esoph ulcer	14.2	9.4	0.66	0.23
		Stom inflammation	15.4	20.6	1.33	0.26
		Stom erosion	8	12.6	1.57	0.21
		Stom ulcer	24.1	28	1.16	0.46
		Duod inflammation	6.3	6.3	1.01	>0.99
		Duod erosion	1.9	4	2.13	0.34
		Duod ulcer	12.6	8	0.64	0.21
		GI tract adverse events				
		Any UGI AE	29.6	29.8	1.01	0.77
		Abd pain	9.3	9.6	1.03	0.61
		Gastritis	2	2.3	1.13	0.37
		GI tract bleeding	0.7	0.9	1.22	0.30
		% of pts with an UGI AE was 3X higher in users of H <sub>2</sub> RA and/or PPIs % of pts with and UGI AE slightly higher in ASA/NSAID users				

In large clinical trials, the incidence of GI adverse events is similar between risedronate and placebo. This was confirmed by a meta-analysis of 9 phase III trials. In a small sample of postmenopausal women, endoscopic changes following therapy with risedronate, placebo and aspirin were compared for erosions and ulcer formation. Differences in the percentage of patients with gastric ulcers were significant for the aspirin and risedronate groups and the aspirin and placebo groups but not between the placebo and risedronate groups. Women intolerant of alendronate were able to tolerate both placebo and risedronate for a 3-month period, with similar discontinuation rates due to upper GI adverse events.

**Table 17. GI Toxicity-Comparison trials**

Study	Patients	Outcomes												
Lanza 2000 <sup>79</sup>	N=515 100% women RIS 5mg/day vs ALN 10mg/day	Gastric Ulcers: (Day 14) Overall % RIS = 4.1 ALN = 13.2 p=<0.001 Odds ratio (ALN vs RIS) = 3.78 Incidence also significantly lower on days 8 and 15 in the risedronate group Mean EGD Scores (Range 0 to 4) on Day 15 <table border="1" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>RIS</th> <th>ALN</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Esophagus</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Stomach</td> <td>0.91*</td> <td>1.56</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Duodenum</td> <td>0.11</td> <td>0.20</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> * p ≤0.001 Esophageal erosions similar between groups; esophageal ulcers in 3 subjects on alendronate, none in risedronate subjects		RIS	ALN	Esophagus	0.15	0.13	Stomach	0.91*	1.56	Duodenum	0.11	0.20
	RIS	ALN												
Esophagus	0.15	0.13												
Stomach	0.91*	1.56												
Duodenum	0.11	0.20												
Lanza 2000 <sup>80</sup>	N=235 (35-37.1% men) ALN 40mg RIS 30mg PL vs ALN 40mg/d PL → ASA	Mean Gastric Erosion Scores Day 29 (Range 0-4) PL = 0.31 RIS = 0.73 ALN = 0.89 ASA = 3.07 NSS between ALN and RIS  Mean Esophageal Erosion Scores Day 29 (Range 0-4) PL = 0.25 RIS = 0.11 ALN = 0.06 ASA = 0.22 NSS between all groups												

The incidence of gastric ulcers was significantly higher in the alendronate group versus the risedronate group following 14 days of therapy. Mean gastric erosion scores were also significantly higher in the alendronate group versus the risedronate group, while esophageal and duodenal erosion scores were similar

Portions of these documents or records, or information contained herein, which resulted from Pharmacy Benefits Management Drug Usage Evaluations and Utilization Review activities may be considered confidential and privileged under the provisions of 38 U.S.C. 5705 and its implementing regulations. In such case, this material shall not be disclosed to anyone without authorization as provided for by that law of its regulations. The statute provides for fines up to \$20,000 for unauthorized disclosure.

between the groups. A smaller study evaluating erosion scores as the primary outcome after 28 days of therapy with either alendronate, risedronate, or aspirin found no difference between alendronate and risedronate in gastric erosion scores and no difference in any group in esophageal erosion scores.

The following tables were adapted from the product package inserts.

**Table 18. Adverse Events in Osteoporosis Trials**

	Alendronate		Risedronate
GI			
Abdominal pain	1.5 – 6.6%		11.6
Nausea	1.1 - 3.6		10.9
Dyspepsia	1.1 – 3.6		
Constipation	3.1		
Diarrhea	0.6 – 3.1		10.6
Flatulence	0.2 – 2.6		4.6
Acid regurgitation	1.1 – 2.0		
Esophageal ulcer	0.1 – 1.5		
Vomiting	0.2 – 1.0		
Dysphagia	0.1 – 1.0		
Gastritis	0.6		2.5
Musculoskeletal			
Pain	0.4 – 4.1		4.6
Muscle cramp	0.2		3.5
Arthralgia			23.7
Joint disorder			6.8
Myalgia			6.6
Bone disorder			4.0
Bursitis			3.0
Tendon disorder			3.0
Nervous System			
Headache	0.2 – 2.6		
Dizziness			6.4
Depression			6.8
Insomnia			4.7
Anxiety			4.3
Neuralgia			3.8
Vertigo			3.3
Hypertonia			2.2
Paresthesia			2.1
Special senses			
Taste perversion	0.1 – 0.5		
Cataract			5.9
Conjunctivitis			3.1
Otitis Media			2.5
Body as a Whole			
Infection			29.9
Back pain			26.1
Pain			13.6
Neck pain			5.3
Asthenia			5.1
Chest pain			5.0
Neoplasm			3.3
Hernia			2.9
Cardiovascular			
Hypertension			10.0
Cardiovascular Disorder			2.5
Angina			2.5
Respiratory			
Pharyngitis			5.8
Rhinitis			5.7
Dyspnea			3.8
Pneumonia			3.1
Skin and appendages			
Rash			7.7
Pruritus			3.0

Updated versions may be found @ [www.vapbm.org](http://www.vapbm.org) or <http://vaww.pbm.med.va.gov>

July 2003

Portions of these documents or records, or information contained herein, which resulted from Pharmacy Benefits Management Drug Usage Evaluations and Utilization Review activities may be considered confidential and privileged under the provisions of 38 U.S.C. 5705 and its implementing regulations. In such case, this material shall not be disclosed to anyone without authorization as provided for by that law of its regulations. The statute provides for fines up to \$20,000 for unauthorized disclosure.

Skin Carcinoma			2.0
Urogenital UTI			10.9
Cystitis			4.1

Similar adverse events have been reported in once a week dosing studies, and in studies for all other indications.

**Drug Interactions**

Calcium supplements/antacids: It is likely that calcium supplements and other divalent cations will interfere with absorption of either drug. Patients should wait at least 30 minutes after taking either bisphosphonate before taking other medications.

Hormone replacement therapy: Estrogen ± progestin has been studied with both alendronate and risedronate. The combination generally decreases bone turnover to a greater extent than either therapy separately. Long-term use of the combinations has not been studied

NSAIDS/ASA: Although many of the clinical trials included patients who were receiving NSAIDS or aspirin and the reported gastrointestinal adverse events were similar to placebo, caution is advised when using NSAIDS with bisphosphonates because of the potential for gastric irritation. The incidence of upper GI adverse events was increased in patients taking alendronate (>10mg/day) and aspirin.

H<sub>2</sub>RA/PPIs: In clinical studies with risedronate, about 21% of patients used H<sub>2</sub>RAs or PPIs without changes in the incidence of upper GI adverse events.

**Geriatric Use:**

In clinical trials with both drugs, a significant number of patients were between 65 and 75 years old, and 17% were greater than 75 years old.

**Renal Insufficiency:**

Alendronate is not recommended in patients with a creatinine clearance < 35ml/minute. Risedronate is not recommended in patients with a creatinine clearance < 30ml/minute.

**Pregnancy:**

Category C

**VII. Utilization and Cost**

	FY2002 (10/01 thru 05/02)	FY2002 (10/01 thru 05/02)	FY2002 (10/01 thru 05/02)
	Patients	Day30rxs	TLQty
Alendronate 10MG TAB	14,131	75,218	2,231,583
Alendronate 35MG TAB	1,175	5,270	22,792
Alendronate 5MG TAB	1,400	6,757	203,908
Alendronate 70MG TAB	25,135	133,602	536,020
Risedronate 30MG TAB	587	2,629	25,032
Risedronate 5MG TAB	639	3,003	89,943

	Cost per tablet	Cost per 4 weeks Therapy
Alendronate 10mg	1.195	33.46
Alendronate 70mg	8.36	33.44
Alendronate 5mg	1.20	33.60
Alendronate 35mg	8.36	33.44
Risedronate 5mg	1.11	31.08
Risedronate 35mg	7.75	31.00

### VIII. Conclusion and Recommendations

Alendronate and risedronate have been shown in large clinical trials to prevent new fractures or increase BMD in postmenopausal women diagnosed with osteoporosis, as well as prevention of further bone loss in postmenopausal women who do not have osteoporosis. Both have been shown to increase BMD and lower the risk of vertebral fractures in men and women on corticosteroid therapy who are diagnosed with steroid-induced osteoporosis. In addition, risedronate has been shown to prevent osteoporosis in men and women in the early part of steroid therapy. Only alendronate has been specifically studied in primary osteoporosis in men, yielding similar results as in postmenopausal women. A subgroup analysis of men in the risedronate corticosteroid studies showed statistically significant results compared to placebo. GI toxicity is a potential problem, however, information from the large clinical trials and information from GI endoscopy trials fails to fully characterize the risk. Most clinical and endoscopy trials show the incidence is similar to placebo, but post-marketing surveillance has shown some alendronate esophagitis which may be related to improper dosing. Head to head endoscopic trials of GI toxicity were short term. One compared alendronate 10mg/day and risedronate 5mg/day and revealed a 9.1% absolute risk reduction in the overall incidence of gastric ulcers at 14 days in those taking risedronate.<sup>78</sup> The relationship between endoscopy findings and clinical symptoms is unclear. Weekly dosing has been shown to yield equivalent results and may have a lower incidence of GI toxicity than daily dosing.

Alendronate and risedronate produce similar results with regard to treatment and prevention of osteoporosis, and treatment of steroid-induced osteoporosis. Although prevention of steroid induced osteoporosis and treatment of men with primary osteoporosis have only been shown with one of the drugs (risedronate and alendronate, respectively), these are class effects and equivalent outcomes would be expected. The VHA should consider these two drugs equivalent clinically, and choose one for use based on best value.



**Appendix**

**Alendronate Trials-Prevention of Bone Loss in Women with Osteoporosis**

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics					Results							
Chestnut 1995 N=188 Primarily Caucasian R, DB, PC, MC         Grant from Merck Research Laboratories	PL daily for 2 yrs ALN 5mg/d for 2 yrs 10mg/d for 2 yrs 20mg/d for 1 yr, PL x1yr 40mg/d x1 yr, PL x1yr 40mg/d x3 mos, then 2.5mg/d x 21 mos	1.Dose-response effect on BMD 2. Effect on biochemical markers, calcium metabolism, safety, tolerability		PL mean (n=31)	ALN mean (n=157)				Mean % change in BMD at 24 months						
			Age	63.6	62.9					PL	5mg	10mg	20/P	40/P	40/2.5
			1° Caucasian						L spine	-1.35	7.27	7.21	6.24	6.16	4.48
			Yrs since Menopause	16.9	15				Hip	-1.2	3.57	5.27	5.83	3.34	2.45
			Ca intake (mg)	1019	819.9				T body		1.58	2.53			
			L spine BMD (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.75	0.75				Femoral Neck		3.02	5.03			
			Hip BMD	0.69	0.73				P <0.001 for comparisons between PL and all ALN groups						
			Forearm BMD	0.54	0.56				Mean % change in Biochemical Markers						
			Alk phos (U/L)	58.2	55.2					PL	5mg	10mg	20/P	40/P	40/2.5
			Osteocalcin (ng/ml)	4.00	3.48				D Pyr	-1.9	-4.8	-47.3	-53.2	-62.5	-62.5
			Deoxyypyridinoline	82.5	80.3				6-9mos	-1.9	-4.8	-47.3	-14	-21	-21
									24 mos	-1.9	-4.8	-47.3	-14	-21	-21
						OC									
						6-9mos	1	-38	-53.4	-54.8	-57.5	-57.4			
						24mos	20	-48	-53.4	-39	-39	-38			
Devogelaer 1996 N=516 R, DB, PC, MC x2 years + optional open label in year 3         Grant from Merck Research Laboratories	PL daily for 2 years ALN 5,10, or 20mg for 2 yrs  Optional year 3: 1. Continued on blinded therapy 2. Patients on 20mg/d blindly switched to 5mg/day 3. Pts not agreeing to continued blind treatment receive open label 5mg/d	1. BMD in L spine, proximal femur, distal forearm, and total body 2. Stature, urinary deoxyypyridinoline, serum alk phosphatase	Mean	PL (n=192)	5mg (n=98)	10mg (n=96)	20/5mg (n=99)	Mean % change in BMD at 36 months							
			Age	62.7	61.2	63.2	63		PL	5mg	10mg	20mg/5mg			
			Yrs since menopause	15.2	13.3	16	16.6	L spine	-0.6	4.9	6.8	7.8			
			Ca intake (mg)	660	642	764	666	Fem neck	-0.7	2.9	4.8	3.5			
			Spine BMD (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.7	0.72	0.7	0.72	T Body	-1.0	1.0	1.6	1.6			
								Distal Forearm	-2.0	-0.6	0.6	0.7			
								P < 0.001 for comparisons between PL and all ALN groups except in 5mg group at the distal forearm							
								Percent change from placebo of biochemical markers							
									5mg	10mg	20mg/5mg				
								D Pyr	36	40-50	40-50				
								Alk Phos	Maximum decrease after 3-6 months which was maintained through 36 months						

Updated versions may be found @ [www.vapbm.org](http://www.vapbm.org) or <http://vaww.pbm.med.va.gov>

September 2003

Portions of these documents or records, or information contained herein, which resulted from Pharmacy Benefits Management Drug Usage Evaluations and Utilization Review activities may be considered confidential and privileged under the provisions of 38 U.S.C. 5705 and its implementing regulations. In such case, this material shall not be disclosed to anyone without authorization as provided for by that law of its regulations. The statute provides for fines up to \$20,000 for unauthorized disclosure.

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics					Results																																																		
<p>Tucci 1996 N=478 R, DB, PC,MC U.S. Alendronate Phase III Osteoporosis Treatment Study Group</p> <p>Grant from Merck Research Laboratories</p>	<p>PL x2 years ALN 5, 10, or 20mg/d for 2 years</p> <p>Year 3- option to: 1. Continue DB treatment 2. 20mg group blindly changed to 5mg/d</p>	<p>1. BMD in L spine, Ca regulating hormones, biochemical indices of bone turnover 2. BMD at proximal femur &amp; other sites, incidence of vertebral fractures, progression of vertebral deformities, height loss</p>		PL (n=192)	5mg (n=98)	10mg (n=94)	20mg/5 (n=94)	<p>Mean % change in BMD at 36 months</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>5mg</th> <th>10mg</th> <th>20mg/5</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>-0.76</td> <td>5.55</td> <td>9.59</td> <td>7.84</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td>-1.6</td> <td>2.88</td> <td>4.66</td> <td>3.22</td> </tr> <tr> <td>T hip</td> <td>-0.86</td> <td>3.65</td> <td>4.97</td> <td>4.86</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D forearm</td> <td>-1.73</td> <td>-0.37</td> <td>0.32</td> <td>0.92</td> </tr> <tr> <td>T Body</td> <td>-0.88</td> <td>0.33</td> <td>1.58</td> <td>1.86</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>P &lt; 0.001 for comparisons between PL and all ALN groups</p> <p>Mean % decrease from baseline biochemical markers</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>5mg</th> <th>10mg</th> <th>20mg/5</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>D Pyr</td> <td>18</td> <td>46</td> <td>53</td> <td>58</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Alk phos</td> <td colspan="4">Decreased over 1<sup>st</sup> six months to plateau at 25% below baseline; at year 3, 10mg dose → 27.5% and 5mg → 22.1% (p≤0.02)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		PL	5mg	10mg	20mg/5	L spine	-0.76	5.55	9.59	7.84	Fem neck	-1.6	2.88	4.66	3.22	T hip	-0.86	3.65	4.97	4.86	D forearm	-1.73	-0.37	0.32	0.92	T Body	-0.88	0.33	1.58	1.86		PL	5mg	10mg	20mg/5	D Pyr	18	46	53	58	Alk phos	Decreased over 1 <sup>st</sup> six months to plateau at 25% below baseline; at year 3, 10mg dose → 27.5% and 5mg → 22.1% (p≤0.02)								
				PL	5mg	10mg	20mg/5																																																			
L spine	-0.76	5.55	9.59	7.84																																																						
Fem neck	-1.6	2.88	4.66	3.22																																																						
T hip	-0.86	3.65	4.97	4.86																																																						
D forearm	-1.73	-0.37	0.32	0.92																																																						
T Body	-0.88	0.33	1.58	1.86																																																						
	PL	5mg	10mg	20mg/5																																																						
D Pyr	18	46	53	58																																																						
Alk phos	Decreased over 1 <sup>st</sup> six months to plateau at 25% below baseline; at year 3, 10mg dose → 27.5% and 5mg → 22.1% (p≤0.02)																																																									
<p>Grant from Merck Research Laboratories</p>			Age 1°Caucasian	64	66.5	63.9	63.8																																																			
			Yrs since menopause	17.8	18.9	17.1	17.1																																																			
			Ca intake (mg)	810	848	764	766																																																			
			L spine BMD (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.72	0.7	0.7	0.73																																																			
			Fem neck BMD	0.61	0.59	0.58	0.62																																																			
			T Hip BMD	0.7	0.67	0.67	0.69																																																			
			D forearm BMD	0.55	0.53	0.54	0.53																																																			
			T Body BMD	0.94	0.93	0.94	0.93																																																			
<p>Bone 1997 N=359 R, DB, PC,MC</p>	<p>PL for 2 years ALN 1, 2.5, or 5mg/d x2 yrs</p>	<p>1. BMD 2. Biochemical measures, BSAP, OC, UNTx, UDPyr</p>		PL (n=91)	1mg (n=86)	2.5mg (n=89)	5mg (n=93)	<p>Mean % change in BMD vs baseline</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>1mg</th> <th>2.5mg</th> <th>5mg</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>0.56</td> <td>1.21</td> <td>4.1</td> <td>6.23</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td>-1.51</td> <td>-0.30</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>1.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>T Body</td> <td>0.20</td> <td>0.26</td> <td>0.70</td> <td>1.35</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Forearm</td> <td>-0.50</td> <td>-0.94</td> <td>0.11</td> <td>0.66</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>P &lt; 0.001 for comparisons between PL and ALN 5mg except at distal forearm</p> <p>Mean % change in biochemical markers from baseline</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>1mg</th> <th>2.5mg</th> <th>5mg</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>D Pyr/Cr</td> <td></td> <td>-17.82</td> <td>-27.97</td> <td>-29.40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NTx/Cr</td> <td>-15</td> <td>-13.66</td> <td>-57.41</td> <td>-66.46</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Alk phos</td> <td>-5.75</td> <td>-11.66</td> <td>-16</td> <td>-28.86</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OC</td> <td>-2.87</td> <td>-10.75</td> <td>-38.74</td> <td>-45.02</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		PL	1mg	2.5mg	5mg	L spine	0.56	1.21	4.1	6.23	Fem neck	-1.51	-0.30	0.01	1.8	T Body	0.20	0.26	0.70	1.35	Forearm	-0.50	-0.94	0.11	0.66		PL	1mg	2.5mg	5mg	D Pyr/Cr		-17.82	-27.97	-29.40	NTx/Cr	-15	-13.66	-57.41	-66.46	Alk phos	-5.75	-11.66	-16	-28.86	OC	-2.87	-10.75	-38.74	-45.02
				PL	1mg	2.5mg	5mg																																																			
L spine	0.56	1.21	4.1	6.23																																																						
Fem neck	-1.51	-0.30	0.01	1.8																																																						
T Body	0.20	0.26	0.70	1.35																																																						
Forearm	-0.50	-0.94	0.11	0.66																																																						
	PL	1mg	2.5mg	5mg																																																						
D Pyr/Cr		-17.82	-27.97	-29.40																																																						
NTx/Cr	-15	-13.66	-57.41	-66.46																																																						
Alk phos	-5.75	-11.66	-16	-28.86																																																						
OC	-2.87	-10.75	-38.74	-45.02																																																						
			Age	71.1	71.1	70.0	70.8																																																			
			Yrs since menopause	22.8	24.2	22.2	24.8																																																			
			Race (%) Caucasian	96.7	98.8	96.6	97.8																																																			
			L spine BMD	0.71	0.70	0.72	0.73																																																			
			Ca intake	900	813	880	831																																																			
			Prevalent vertebral fx (%)	34.1	41.9	36	37																																																			

Updated versions may be found @ [www.vapbm.org](http://www.vapbm.org) or <http://vaww.pbm.med.va.gov>

September 2003

Portions of these documents or records, or information contained herein, which resulted from Pharmacy Benefits Management Drug Usage Evaluations and Utilization Review activities may be considered confidential and privileged under the provisions of 38 U.S.C. 5705 and its implementing regulations. In such case, this material shall not be disclosed to anyone without authorization as provided for by that law of its regulations. The statute provides for fines up to \$20,000 for unauthorized disclosure.

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics	Results																																																																								
Grant from Merck Research Laboratories				<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="5">New skeletal fractures(N)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>PL</td> <td>1mg</td> <td>2.5mg</td> <td>5mg</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New vertebral</td> <td>6</td> <td>4</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>New nonvertebral</td> <td>16</td> <td>15</td> <td>9</td> <td>9</td> </tr> </table>	New skeletal fractures(N)						PL	1mg	2.5mg	5mg	New vertebral	6	4	3	4	New nonvertebral	16	15	9	9																																																				
New skeletal fractures(N)																																																																												
	PL	1mg	2.5mg	5mg																																																																								
New vertebral	6	4	3	4																																																																								
New nonvertebral	16	15	9	9																																																																								
<p>Pols 1999 N=1908 R,DB,PC,MC Fosamax International Trial Study Group FOSIT</p> <p>Support by Merck &amp; Co., Inc.</p>	<p>PL for 12 months ALN 10mg/d x12mos</p>	<p>1. BMD 2. BSAP, urinary telopeptide crosslinks</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>PL (n=958)</td> <td>ALN (n=950)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Age</td> <td>62.8</td> <td>62.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Yrs since menopause</td> <td>15.9</td> <td>15.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BMD</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>0.72</td> <td>0.72</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td>0.62</td> <td>0.63</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter</td> <td>0.55</td> <td>0.55</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BSAP (mg/ml)</td> <td>13.1</td> <td>13.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NTx/Cr (pmol)</td> <td>63.1</td> <td>60.7</td> </tr> </table>		PL (n=958)	ALN (n=950)	Age	62.8	62.8	Yrs since menopause	15.9	15.8	BMD			L spine	0.72	0.72	Fem neck	0.62	0.63	Trochanter	0.55	0.55	BSAP (mg/ml)	13.1	13.0	NTx/Cr (pmol)	63.1	60.7	<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="3">Mean % change in BMD from baseline</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>PL</td> <td>ALN</td> </tr> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td>-0.2</td> <td>2.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>4.1</td> </tr> </table> <p>P &lt; 0.001 for comparisons between PL and ALN</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="3">Mean % change in biochemical markers from baseline</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>PL</td> <td>ALN</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BSAP</td> <td>11</td> <td>52</td> </tr> <tr> <td>U NTx</td> <td>21</td> <td>74</td> </tr> </table>	Mean % change in BMD from baseline				PL	ALN	L spine	0.1	5	Fem neck	-0.2	2.3	Trochanter	0.4	4.1	Mean % change in biochemical markers from baseline				PL	ALN	BSAP	11	52	U NTx	21	74																		
	PL (n=958)	ALN (n=950)																																																																										
Age	62.8	62.8																																																																										
Yrs since menopause	15.9	15.8																																																																										
BMD																																																																												
L spine	0.72	0.72																																																																										
Fem neck	0.62	0.63																																																																										
Trochanter	0.55	0.55																																																																										
BSAP (mg/ml)	13.1	13.0																																																																										
NTx/Cr (pmol)	63.1	60.7																																																																										
Mean % change in BMD from baseline																																																																												
	PL	ALN																																																																										
L spine	0.1	5																																																																										
Fem neck	-0.2	2.3																																																																										
Trochanter	0.4	4.1																																																																										
Mean % change in biochemical markers from baseline																																																																												
	PL	ALN																																																																										
BSAP	11	52																																																																										
U NTx	21	74																																																																										
<p>Tonino 2000 N=350 R, DB, PC,MC + open label Phase III Osteoporosis Treatment Study Group (second 2 year extension)</p> <p>Support by Merck Research Laboratories</p>	<p>ALN 5mg or 10mg in years 6-7 PL years 6-7 (20mg yrs 1-2, 5mg yrs 3-5)</p> <p><b>Years 1-3</b> PL ALN 5, 10 or 20mg (20mg changed to 5mg in year 3)</p> <p><b>Years 4-5</b> All on ALN remained on same dose Those on PL + 61 who chose open label drug received ALN 10mg</p>	<p>Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of and additional 2 years of therapy monitored by BMD, U NTx, and BSAP</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">Mean characteristics</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Age</td> <td>63</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Yrs since menopause</td> <td>16</td> </tr> <tr> <td>L spine BMD</td> <td>0.71</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Prevalent vertebral fxs (%)</td> <td>21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NTx/Cr mmol</td> <td>87.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BSAP ng/ml</td> <td>18.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ca intake</td> <td>734</td> </tr> </table>	Mean characteristics		Age	63	Yrs since menopause	16	L spine BMD	0.71	Prevalent vertebral fxs (%)	21	NTx/Cr mmol	87.5	BSAP ng/ml	18.1	Ca intake	734	<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="4">Mean % change in BMD from 60 months and baseline</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>5mg (n=113)</td> <td>10mg (n=122)</td> <td>20mg/5/PL (n=115)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Month 60</td> <td>1.45</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>0.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Baseline</td> <td>8.2</td> <td>11.44</td> <td>8.94</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Month 60</td> <td>0.32</td> <td>0.49</td> <td>-0.46</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Baseline</td> <td>2.64</td> <td>4.87</td> <td>3.15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>T Body</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Month 60</td> <td>-0.29</td> <td>0.35</td> <td>-0.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Baseline</td> <td>1.65</td> <td>3.13</td> <td>2.46</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Forearm</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Month 60</td> <td>0.06</td> <td>0.31</td> <td>-0.84</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Baseline</td> <td>-0.24</td> <td>1.04</td> <td>0.38</td> </tr> </table>	Mean % change in BMD from 60 months and baseline					5mg (n=113)	10mg (n=122)	20mg/5/PL (n=115)	L spine				Month 60	1.45	1.6	0.2	Baseline	8.2	11.44	8.94	Fem neck				Month 60	0.32	0.49	-0.46	Baseline	2.64	4.87	3.15	T Body				Month 60	-0.29	0.35	-0.5	Baseline	1.65	3.13	2.46	Forearm				Month 60	0.06	0.31	-0.84	Baseline	-0.24	1.04	0.38
Mean characteristics																																																																												
Age	63																																																																											
Yrs since menopause	16																																																																											
L spine BMD	0.71																																																																											
Prevalent vertebral fxs (%)	21																																																																											
NTx/Cr mmol	87.5																																																																											
BSAP ng/ml	18.1																																																																											
Ca intake	734																																																																											
Mean % change in BMD from 60 months and baseline																																																																												
	5mg (n=113)	10mg (n=122)	20mg/5/PL (n=115)																																																																									
L spine																																																																												
Month 60	1.45	1.6	0.2																																																																									
Baseline	8.2	11.44	8.94																																																																									
Fem neck																																																																												
Month 60	0.32	0.49	-0.46																																																																									
Baseline	2.64	4.87	3.15																																																																									
T Body																																																																												
Month 60	-0.29	0.35	-0.5																																																																									
Baseline	1.65	3.13	2.46																																																																									
Forearm																																																																												
Month 60	0.06	0.31	-0.84																																																																									
Baseline	-0.24	1.04	0.38																																																																									

Updated versions may be found @ [www.vapbm.org](http://www.vapbm.org) or <http://vaww.pbm.med.va.gov>

September 2003

Portions of these documents or records, or information contained herein, which resulted from Pharmacy Benefits Management Drug Usage Evaluations and Utilization Review activities may be considered confidential and privileged under the provisions of 38 U.S.C. 5705 and its implementing regulations. In such case, this material shall not be disclosed to anyone without authorization as provided for by that law of its regulations. The statute provides for fines up to \$20,000 for unauthorized disclosure.

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics	Results																													
				<p>Mean % change from month 6 &amp; baseline of biochemical markers</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1354 321 1906 495"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>5mg</th> <th>10mg</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>NTx/Cr</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Month 6</td> <td>-65</td> <td>-75</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Baseline</td> <td>-71.3</td> <td>-71.9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BSAP</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Month 6</td> <td>-40</td> <td>-55</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Baseline</td> <td>-44.6</td> <td>-52.3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		5mg	10mg	NTx/Cr			Month 6	-65	-75	Baseline	-71.3	-71.9	BSAP			Month 6	-40	-55	Baseline	-44.6	-52.3								
	5mg	10mg																															
NTx/Cr																																	
Month 6	-65	-75																															
Baseline	-71.3	-71.9																															
BSAP																																	
Month 6	-40	-55																															
Baseline	-44.6	-52.3																															
<p>Greenspan 2002 N=327 R, DB, PC, MC Ambulatory females in LTC</p> <p>Grant support from Merck &amp; Co., Inc.</p>	<p>PL ALN 10mg/d x 24 months</p>	<p>BMD, biochemical markers, incidence of fractures</p>	<table border="1" data-bbox="823 548 1312 673"> <tbody> <tr> <td>Mean Age</td> <td>78.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Caucasian</td> <td>97%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mean T scores (hip &amp; spine)</td> <td>-3.5 to -2.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Prevalent fractures</td> <td>55%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Mean Age	78.5	Caucasian	97%	Mean T scores (hip & spine)	-3.5 to -2.4	Prevalent fractures	55%	<p>Mean % change in BMD from baseline</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1354 571 1906 698"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL (n=)</th> <th>ALN</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td></td> <td>7.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td></td> <td>3.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter</td> <td></td> <td>4.7</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>P &lt; 0.001 for comparison between PL and ALN</p> <p>Mean % change in biochemical markers from baseline</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1354 771 1906 852"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>ALN</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>BSAP</td> <td>-7</td> <td>-78</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NTx/Cr</td> <td>0</td> <td>-57</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>New fractures: Placebo 11% Alendronate 8% NSS</p>		PL (n=)	ALN	L spine		7.4	Fem neck		3.4	Trochanter		4.7		PL	ALN	BSAP	-7	-78	NTx/Cr	0	-57
Mean Age	78.5																																
Caucasian	97%																																
Mean T scores (hip & spine)	-3.5 to -2.4																																
Prevalent fractures	55%																																
	PL (n=)	ALN																															
L spine		7.4																															
Fem neck		3.4																															
Trochanter		4.7																															
	PL	ALN																															
BSAP	-7	-78																															
NTx/Cr	0	-57																															

**Alendronate Trials- Prevention of Fractures**

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics			Results																								
Liberman 1995 N=909 R, DB, PC, MC Alendronate Phase II Osteoporosis Treatment Study Group  Grant support from Merck Research Laboratories	PL X 3 years ALN 5,10, or 20mg/d for 2 years, then continued x1 year but 20mg blindly changed to 5mg	1. BMD of L spine, femoral neck, trochanter, forearm, total body 2. Vertebral fractures	Means Age Yrs since menopause Vertebral fx (%) L spine BMD Fem neck BMD Trochanter BMD T Body BMD	PL (n=355)  64  17  52.6  0.71  0.6  0.53  0.93	ALN (n=526)  64  16  57  0.71  0.6  0.52  0.92	Mean % Vertebral Fractures <table border="1" data-bbox="1360 321 1906 557"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>ALN</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>All</td> <td>6.2</td> <td>3.2*</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Age &lt;65</td> <td>4.7</td> <td>3.7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Age ≥65</td> <td>7.9</td> <td>2.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>With previous fx</td> <td>19.1</td> <td>13.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Without previous fx</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>1.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>USA</td> <td>4.5</td> <td>1.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>International</td> <td>7.9</td> <td>4.9</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> * P=0.03  Mean nonvertebral fractures PL 9.6% ALN 7.5%  BMD increased significantly in all patients on alendronate and decreased in all patients on placebo. The 10mg dose was more effective than the 5mg dose and as effective as the 20mg/5mg.		PL	ALN	All	6.2	3.2*	Age <65	4.7	3.7	Age ≥65	7.9	2.6	With previous fx	19.1	13.4	Without previous fx	2.0	1.0	USA	4.5	1.6	International	7.9	4.9
	PL	ALN																												
All	6.2	3.2*																												
Age <65	4.7	3.7																												
Age ≥65	7.9	2.6																												
With previous fx	19.1	13.4																												
Without previous fx	2.0	1.0																												
USA	4.5	1.6																												
International	7.9	4.9																												
Black 1996 Ensrud 1997 N=2027 (with prevalent vertebral fractures) R, DB, PC, MC Fracture Intervention Trial Subgroup Analysis (FIT)  Support by Merck Research Laboratories	PL for 3 years ALN 5mg/d x 2 years, then 10mg/d x 1 year	1. New vertebral fractures 2. Clinical fractures, non- spine fractures, symptomatic vertebral fractures	Age <65 65-74 75-80 Mean Caucasian % Fem Neck BMD g/cm <sup>2</sup> Vertebral fractures at baseline 1 ≥2	PL (n=1005)  159 571 275 71 98.8  0.564  681 324	ALN (n=1022)  171 587 264 70.7 99.7  0.567  719 303	New Vertebral Fractures by Risk Subgroup (%) <table border="1" data-bbox="1360 764 1906 1068"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>ALN</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>All</td> <td>15</td> <td>8*</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Age &lt;75</td> <td>13.4</td> <td>6.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>≥75</td> <td>19.4</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Baseline fem neck BMD &lt;0.59 ≥0.59</td> <td>18.5 9.7</td> <td>9.9 5.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Baseline Vertebral fxs 1 ≥2</td> <td>8.9 28.3</td> <td>5.2 14.6</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> *p < 0.001  New Clinical Fractures % PL 18.2 ALN 13.6		PL	ALN	All	15	8*	Age <75	13.4	6.6	≥75	19.4	12	Baseline fem neck BMD <0.59 ≥0.59	18.5 9.7	9.9 5.2	Baseline Vertebral fxs 1 ≥2	8.9 28.3	5.2 14.6						
	PL	ALN																												
All	15	8*																												
Age <75	13.4	6.6																												
≥75	19.4	12																												
Baseline fem neck BMD <0.59 ≥0.59	18.5 9.7	9.9 5.2																												
Baseline Vertebral fxs 1 ≥2	8.9 28.3	5.2 14.6																												

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics			Results																																																																									
Cummings 1998 N=4272 without vertebral fractures R, DB, PC, MC Fracture Intervention Trial (FIT)  Support by Merck Research Laboratories	PL for 4 years ALN 5mg/d for 2 years, then 10mg/d for 2 years	1. Clinical fractures , excluding pathologic fractures 2. Vertebral fractures 3. BMD 4. Stature	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL (n=2218)</th> <th>ALN (n=2214)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Age %</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>&lt;65</td> <td>33.3</td> <td>34.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>65-74</td> <td>53.7</td> <td>52.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>75-80</td> <td>13</td> <td>12.9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mean years</td> <td>67.7</td> <td>67.6</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				PL (n=2218)	ALN (n=2214)	Age %			<65	33.3	34.5	65-74	53.7	52.6	75-80	13	12.9	Mean years	67.7	67.6	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="5">Mean % with ≥ 1 Fracture</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>ALN</th> <th>RH</th> <th>p</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Any clinical fracture</td> <td>14.1</td> <td>12.3</td> <td>0.86</td> <td>0.07</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Any non-vertebral fracture</td> <td>13.3</td> <td>11.8</td> <td>0.88</td> <td>0.13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hip fracture</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>0.79</td> <td>0.44</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Wrist fracture</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>3.7</td> <td>1.19</td> <td>0.28</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other clinical</td> <td>10.2</td> <td>8.2</td> <td>0.79</td> <td>0.02</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Vertebral fracture</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>≥ 1</td> <td>3.8</td> <td>2.1</td> <td>0.56</td> <td>0.002</td> </tr> <tr> <td>≥ 2</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>0.02</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>0.11</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Mean % with ≥ 1 Fracture						PL	ALN	RH	p	Any clinical fracture	14.1	12.3	0.86	0.07	Any non-vertebral fracture	13.3	11.8	0.88	0.13	Hip fracture	1.1	0.9	0.79	0.44	Wrist fracture	3.2	3.7	1.19	0.28	Other clinical	10.2	8.2	0.79	0.02	Vertebral fracture					≥ 1	3.8	2.1	0.56	0.002	≥ 2	0.5	0.02	0.4	0.11	
				PL (n=2218)	ALN (n=2214)																																																																										
Age %																																																																															
<65	33.3	34.5																																																																													
65-74	53.7	52.6																																																																													
75-80	13	12.9																																																																													
Mean years	67.7	67.6																																																																													
Mean % with ≥ 1 Fracture																																																																															
	PL	ALN	RH	p																																																																											
Any clinical fracture	14.1	12.3	0.86	0.07																																																																											
Any non-vertebral fracture	13.3	11.8	0.88	0.13																																																																											
Hip fracture	1.1	0.9	0.79	0.44																																																																											
Wrist fracture	3.2	3.7	1.19	0.28																																																																											
Other clinical	10.2	8.2	0.79	0.02																																																																											
Vertebral fracture																																																																															
≥ 1	3.8	2.1	0.56	0.002																																																																											
≥ 2	0.5	0.02	0.4	0.11																																																																											
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>ALN</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Fem neck % BMD</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>SDs below peak</td> <td>36.6</td> <td>37</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt;2.5</td> <td>32</td> <td>32.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2-2.5</td> <td>31.1</td> <td>30.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.5-2</td> <td>0.593</td> <td>0.592</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mean g/cm<sup>2</sup></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mean L spine BMD</td> <td>0.842</td> <td>0.841</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				PL	ALN	Fem neck % BMD			SDs below peak	36.6	37	>2.5	32	32.8	2-2.5	31.1	30.2	1.5-2	0.593	0.592	Mean g/cm <sup>2</sup>			Mean L spine BMD	0.842	0.841	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">Fracture % as a function of femoral neck T scores</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>ALN</th> <th>RH</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Clinical Fractures</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>T score</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>&lt; -2.5</td> <td>19.6</td> <td>13.1</td> <td>0.64</td> </tr> <tr> <td>-2.5 to -2.0</td> <td>12.3</td> <td>12.7</td> <td>1.03</td> </tr> <tr> <td>-2.0 to -1.6</td> <td>9.5</td> <td>10.9</td> <td>1.14</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Vertebral Fractures</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>T score</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>&lt; -2.5</td> <td>5.8</td> <td>2.9</td> <td>0.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>-2.5 to -2.0</td> <td>3.6</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>0.54</td> </tr> <tr> <td>-2.0 to -1.6</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>0.82</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Fracture % as a function of femoral neck T scores					PL	ALN	RH	Clinical Fractures				T score				< -2.5	19.6	13.1	0.64	-2.5 to -2.0	12.3	12.7	1.03	-2.0 to -1.6	9.5	10.9	1.14	Vertebral Fractures				T score				< -2.5	5.8	2.9	0.5	-2.5 to -2.0	3.6	1.9	0.54	-2.0 to -1.6	1.5	1.3	0.82
	PL	ALN																																																																													
Fem neck % BMD																																																																															
SDs below peak	36.6	37																																																																													
>2.5	32	32.8																																																																													
2-2.5	31.1	30.2																																																																													
1.5-2	0.593	0.592																																																																													
Mean g/cm <sup>2</sup>																																																																															
Mean L spine BMD	0.842	0.841																																																																													
Fracture % as a function of femoral neck T scores																																																																															
	PL	ALN	RH																																																																												
Clinical Fractures																																																																															
T score																																																																															
< -2.5	19.6	13.1	0.64																																																																												
-2.5 to -2.0	12.3	12.7	1.03																																																																												
-2.0 to -1.6	9.5	10.9	1.14																																																																												
Vertebral Fractures																																																																															
T score																																																																															
< -2.5	5.8	2.9	0.5																																																																												
-2.5 to -2.0	3.6	1.9	0.54																																																																												
-2.0 to -1.6	1.5	1.3	0.82																																																																												



**Alendronate- Prevention of Osteoporosis**

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics					Results																																													
McClung 1998 N=447 R, DB, PC, MC Alendronate Osteoporosis Prevention Study Group  Grant Support by Merck Research Laboratories	PL for 3 years ALN 1, 5, or 10mg/d x3 years ALN 20mg/d x2 yrs then PL x1 year	1. BMD of L spine 2. BMD of proximal femur, total body, forearm, biochemical markers of resorption		PL (n=90)	1 (n=92)	5 (n=88)	10 (n=88)	20/P (n=89)	Mean % change in BMD at 36 months <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>1</th> <th>5</th> <th>10</th> <th>20/PL</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>-3.5</td> <td>-1.16</td> <td>2.89</td> <td>3.95</td> <td>4.97</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td>-3.95</td> <td>-1.65</td> <td>1.10</td> <td>2.27</td> <td>1.87</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter</td> <td>-2.58</td> <td>0.03</td> <td>2.71</td> <td>4.39</td> <td>3.51</td> </tr> <tr> <td>T Body</td> <td>-2.26</td> <td>-1.0</td> <td>0.32</td> <td>1.03</td> <td>.052</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Forearm</td> <td>-3.85</td> <td>-3.43</td> <td>-2.27</td> <td>-0.92</td> <td>-1.25</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> P < 0.001 in comparison between PL and ALN except at forearm in 1mg group (p > 0.05) and T Body in 1mg group (p < 0.05)  Percent Decrease in biochemical markers (all on alendronate) <table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>Dpyr</td> <td>35-45</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NTx/Cr</td> <td>65-70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BSAP</td> <td>40-60</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Osteocalcin</td> <td>40-60</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		PL	1	5	10	20/PL	L spine	-3.5	-1.16	2.89	3.95	4.97	Fem neck	-3.95	-1.65	1.10	2.27	1.87	Trochanter	-2.58	0.03	2.71	4.39	3.51	T Body	-2.26	-1.0	0.32	1.03	.052	Forearm	-3.85	-3.43	-2.27	-0.92	-1.25	Dpyr	35-45	NTx/Cr	65-70	BSAP	40-60	Osteocalcin	40-60
				PL	1	5	10	20/PL																																													
L spine	-3.5	-1.16	2.89	3.95	4.97																																																
Fem neck	-3.95	-1.65	1.10	2.27	1.87																																																
Trochanter	-2.58	0.03	2.71	4.39	3.51																																																
T Body	-2.26	-1.0	0.32	1.03	.052																																																
Forearm	-3.85	-3.43	-2.27	-0.92	-1.25																																																
Dpyr	35-45																																																				
NTx/Cr	65-70																																																				
BSAP	40-60																																																				
Osteocalcin	40-60																																																				
Age	51.3	51.7	52	52.1	52.1																																																
Months since menopause	28.4	22.1	22.5	19.2	20.2																																																
BMD spine	0.93	0.92	0.92	0.93	0.94																																																
Ca intake mg	482	471	590	458	479																																																
Hosking 1998 N=1609 R, DB, PC, MC Early Postmenopausal Intervention Cohort Study Group  Grant Support from Merck Research Laboratories	1. PL for 2 years ALN 2.5 or 5mg Or estrogen/progestin (open label) CEE/ medroxyprogesterone in USA, cyclic estradiol/ norethindrone in Europe  2. PL for 2 years ALN 2.5 or 5 mg (estrogen/progestin was contraindicated)	BMD		PL (n=502)	2.5 (n=499)	5 (n=498)	E/P (n=110)	Mean % change in BMD at 24 months <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>2.5</th> <th>5</th> <th>E/P</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>-1.8</td> <td>2.3</td> <td>3.5</td> <td>4.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hip</td> <td>-1.4</td> <td></td> <td>1.9</td> <td>1.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td>-1.6</td> <td></td> <td>1.3</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter</td> <td>-0.9</td> <td></td> <td>3</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Forearm</td> <td>-2.5</td> <td></td> <td>-1.4</td> <td>-0.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>T Body</td> <td>-1.8</td> <td></td> <td>0.7</td> <td>1.2-2.6</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> P < 0.001 for comparisons between PL and ALN and E/P		PL	2.5	5	E/P	L spine	-1.8	2.3	3.5	4.0	Hip	-1.4		1.9	1.8	Fem neck	-1.6		1.3		Trochanter	-0.9		3		Forearm	-2.5		-1.4	-0.3	T Body	-1.8		0.7	1.2-2.6										
				PL	2.5	5	E/P																																														
L spine	-1.8	2.3	3.5	4.0																																																	
Hip	-1.4		1.9	1.8																																																	
Fem neck	-1.6		1.3																																																		
Trochanter	-0.9		3																																																		
Forearm	-2.5		-1.4	-0.3																																																	
T Body	-1.8		0.7	1.2-2.6																																																	
Age yrs	53	53	54	53																																																	
Caucasian %	85	87	81	89																																																	
BMD																																																					
L spine	0.94	0.93	0.95	0.93																																																	
Hip	0.85	0.84	0.85	0.84																																																	
Forearm	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52																																																	
T Body	1.04	1.03	1.04	1.03																																																	

Updated versions may be found @ [www.vapbm.org](http://www.vapbm.org) or <http://vaww.pbm.med.va.gov>

September 2003

Portions of these documents or records, or information contained herein, which resulted from Pharmacy Benefits Management Drug Usage Evaluations and Utilization Review activities may be considered confidential and privileged under the provisions of 38 U.S.C. 5705 and its implementing regulations. In such case, this material shall not be disclosed to anyone without authorization as provided for by that law of its regulations. The statute provides for fines up to \$20,000 for unauthorized disclosure.

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics	Results																																								
Ravn 1999 N=1609 R, DB, PC, MC Early Postmenopausal Intervention Cohort Study Group 4 year results	See above For years 3-4, Alendronate was either continued or replaced by a placebo	1. BMD 2. NTx, osteocalcin, BSAP	See above	<p>Mean % Change in BMD at 4 years</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>2.5</th> <th>5</th> <th>CEE/M</th> <th>Es/Nor</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>-2.9</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>4</td> <td>7.5</td> <td>4.7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hip</td> <td>-1.6</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>3.0</td> <td>4.7</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>T Body</td> <td>-2.9</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>4.4</td> <td>1.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Forearm</td> <td>-3.9</td> <td>-2.1</td> <td>-1.7</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>0.3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>NTx/Cr, C-telopeptides, osteocalcin: all decreased to premenopausal range except in placebo group<sup>58</sup></p>		PL	2.5	5	CEE/M	Es/Nor	L spine	-2.9	2.2	4	7.5	4.7	Hip	-1.6	1.7	3.0	4.7	3	T Body	-2.9	0.3	1.0	4.4	1.0	Forearm	-3.9	-2.1	-1.7	1.3	0.3										
	PL	2.5	5	CEE/M	Es/Nor																																							
L spine	-2.9	2.2	4	7.5	4.7																																							
Hip	-1.6	1.7	3.0	4.7	3																																							
T Body	-2.9	0.3	1.0	4.4	1.0																																							
Forearm	-3.9	-2.1	-1.7	1.3	0.3																																							
Ravn 2000 N=160 R, DB, PC, MC Alendronate Osteoporosis Prevention Study Group 5 year Follow up  Grant support by Merck Research Laboratories	<p>Years 1-3 PL or ALN 1,5,10mg Or ALN 20mg for 2 years then PL for 1 year</p> <p>Years 4-5 ALN 5mg open label ALN 20mg x2yrs, then PL x 1 year received no treatment</p>	BMD, NTx, C-telopeptide	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>5mg (n=52)</th> <th>PL/5mg (n=56)</th> <th>20/PL/NT (n=)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Age</td> <td>51.9</td> <td>51.7</td> <td>52.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		5mg (n=52)	PL/5mg (n=56)	20/PL/NT (n=)	Age	51.9	51.7	52.2									<p>Mean % Change in BMD at 60 months</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>5mg</th> <th>PL/5mg</th> <th>20mg/PL/NT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>-0.1</td> <td>2.5-2.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>Stable</td> <td>2.5-2.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem Neck</td> <td>Stable</td> <td>-2.5</td> <td>Stable</td> </tr> <tr> <td>T Body</td> <td>Stable</td> <td>-0.15</td> <td>Stable</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Forearm</td> <td>-3.4</td> <td>-4.8</td> <td>-5.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>P &lt; 0.001 compared to baseline for ALN only at spine, trochanter, &amp; forearm P &lt; 0.001 for PL/5mg at femoral neck</p> <p>NTx &amp; C-telopeptide: Decreased to 70-80% below baseline by 12 months on either 5mg or 20mg; withdrawal caused markers to increase to 40-60% below baseline</p>		5mg	PL/5mg	20mg/PL/NT	L spine	2.5	-0.1	2.5-2.8	Trochanter	3.2	Stable	2.5-2.8	Fem Neck	Stable	-2.5	Stable	T Body	Stable	-0.15	Stable	Forearm	-3.4	-4.8	-5.0
	5mg (n=52)	PL/5mg (n=56)	20/PL/NT (n=)																																									
Age	51.9	51.7	52.2																																									
	5mg	PL/5mg	20mg/PL/NT																																									
L spine	2.5	-0.1	2.5-2.8																																									
Trochanter	3.2	Stable	2.5-2.8																																									
Fem Neck	Stable	-2.5	Stable																																									
T Body	Stable	-0.15	Stable																																									
Forearm	-3.4	-4.8	-5.0																																									

**Alendronate- Combination Therapy in Osteoporosis**

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics	Results																																																		
Adami 1993 N=286 R, DB, PC, MC 1 year interim analysis	PL x 1 year ALN 10 or 20mg Intranasal calcitonin 100 IU/d	1. BMD of L spine 2. BMD of femoral neck and trochanter	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL (n=71)</th> <th>ALN10 (n=68)</th> <th>ALN20 (n=72)</th> <th>Calc (n=75)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>AGE</td> <td>59</td> <td>59</td> <td>59</td> <td>60</td> </tr> <tr> <td>L spine BMD</td> <td>0.73</td> <td>0.74</td> <td>0.74</td> <td>0.73</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck BMD</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0.62</td> <td>0.63</td> <td>0.64</td> <td>0.62</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter BMD</td> <td>0.53</td> <td>0.53</td> <td>0.51</td> <td>0.51</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		PL (n=71)	ALN10 (n=68)	ALN20 (n=72)	Calc (n=75)	AGE	59	59	59	60	L spine BMD	0.73	0.74	0.74	0.73	Fem neck BMD						0.62	0.63	0.64	0.62	Trochanter BMD	0.53	0.53	0.51	0.51	<p>Support by Merck Research Laboratories</p> <p>Mean % Change in BMD from Baseline at 12 months</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>ALN 10</th> <th>ALN 20</th> <th>Calc</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>-0.3</td> <td>4.4</td> <td>5.8</td> <td>0.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td>-0.2</td> <td>2.9</td> <td>2.9</td> <td>0.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>3.5</td> <td>4.0</td> <td>0.7</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		PL	ALN 10	ALN 20	Calc	L spine	-0.3	4.4	5.8	0.3	Fem neck	-0.2	2.9	2.9	0.3	Trochanter	0.2	3.5	4.0	0.7
	PL (n=71)	ALN10 (n=68)	ALN20 (n=72)	Calc (n=75)																																																		
AGE	59	59	59	60																																																		
L spine BMD	0.73	0.74	0.74	0.73																																																		
Fem neck BMD																																																						
	0.62	0.63	0.64	0.62																																																		
Trochanter BMD	0.53	0.53	0.51	0.51																																																		
	PL	ALN 10	ALN 20	Calc																																																		
L spine	-0.3	4.4	5.8	0.3																																																		
Fem neck	-0.2	2.9	2.9	0.3																																																		
Trochanter	0.2	3.5	4.0	0.7																																																		

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics				Results						
Lindsey 1999 N=428 R, SB, PC, MC  Grant support by Merck & Co, Inc.	PL + HRT x12 months ALN 10mg + HRT	1. BMD of L spine 2. BSAP, NTx	HRT + ALN (n=214)		HRT + PL (n=214)		Mean % increase in BMD						
			Age	61.9	61.5	L spine		HRT + ALN	HRT + PL				
			Caucasian %	98.1	95.3			3.7	1.1				
			Duration of HRT yrs	9.7	9.5	Mean values							
			BMD L spine	0.799	0.795	NTx		HRT + ALN	HRT + PL				
			BMD Fem neck	0.614	0.613	BSAP		6.1	8.5				
Rittmaster 2000 N=75 R, DB, PC + open label  Support by Allelix Biopharmaceuticals, Astra USA, Inc, and Merck & Co, Inc	Year 1 PL or PTH 50.75, or 100mcg SC daily  Year 2 ALN 10mg/dau	1. BMD 2. T Body BMD in a subset osteocalcin, BSAP, NTx	Age yrs		64		Mean % change in BMD						
			T score L spine		-3.2		L spine		PL	50mcg	75mcg	100mcg	
			T score fem neck		-2.4		T Body		8	12	14	15	
			Mean values			12 months		24 months					
			Osteocalcin		28		6						
			PTH→ALN		13		4						
Bone 2000 N=425 R, DB, PC, MC Alendronate/Estrogen Study Group  Grant support from Merck Research Laboratories	1. PL ALN + PL CEE 2. PL ALN + CEE 3. ALN + PL CEE 4. ALN + CEE	BMD, BSAP, NTx	PL (n=50)	ALN (n=92)	CEE (n=143)	ALN/CEE (n=140)	Mean % Change in BMD at 2 years						
			Age	62	61	61	62	L spine		PL	ALN	CEE	ALN/CEE
			Yrs since menopause	23	22	21	22	T Hip		0.3	4.0	3.4	4.7
			Caucasian %	88	92	87	92	Fem neck		-0.6	2.9	2.6	4.2
			L spine BMD t score	-2.5	-2.5	-2.6	-2.5	Trochanter		0.5	5.9	4.3	6.5
			BSAP ng/ml	14	14	15	14	T Body		0.1	1.3	1.7	2.0
Mean % Change Biomarkers			ALN		CEE		ALN/CEE						
NTx		-61		-52		-70							
BXAP		-50		-49		-60							

Updated versions may be found @ [www.vapbm.org](http://www.vapbm.org) or <http://vaww.pbm.med.va.gov>

September 2003

Portions of these documents or records, or information contained herein, which resulted from Pharmacy Benefits Management Drug Usage Evaluations and Utilization Review activities may be considered confidential and privileged under the provisions of 38 U.S.C. 5705 and its implementing regulations. In such case, this material shall not be disclosed to anyone without authorization as provided for by that law of its regulations. The statute provides for fines up to \$20,000 for unauthorized disclosure.

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics				Results																																									
Downs 2000 N=299 R, DB, PC, MC + open label  Funded and supported by Merck & Co., Inc.	1. PL or 2. ALN 10mg or 3. or intranasal calcitonin	BMD, BXAP, NTx		ALN	Calc	PL	<b>Mean % Change BMD</b> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>ALN</th> <th>Calc</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>0</td> <td>5</td> <td>1.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter</td> <td>-0.2</td> <td>2.9</td> <td>0.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem Neck</td> <td>-1.2</td> <td>2.9</td> <td>0.5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <b>Mean % Change Biomarkers</b> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>ALN</th> <th>Calc</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>BSAP</td> <td>0</td> <td>-40</td> <td>-7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NTx</td> <td>5</td> <td>-60</td> <td>-10</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		PL	ALN	Calc	L spine	0	5	1.3	Trochanter	-0.2	2.9	0.5	Fem Neck	-1.2	2.9	0.5		PL	ALN	Calc	BSAP	0	-40	-7	NTx	5	-60	-10													
				PL	ALN	Calc																																										
			L spine	0	5	1.3																																										
			Trochanter	-0.2	2.9	0.5																																										
			Fem Neck	-1.2	2.9	0.5																																										
				PL	ALN	Calc																																										
			BSAP	0	-40	-7																																										
NTx	5	-60	-10																																													
Age	64.6	64.1	64.6																																													
Yrs since menopause	16.5	16.1	16.5																																													
Caucasian %	97.5	95.9	98.3																																													
L spine T score	-2.54	-2.54	-2.36																																													
Fem neck T	-2.63	-2.71	-2.59																																													
Johnell 2002 N=331 R, DB, PC, MC  Support by Eli Lilly & Co.	1. Raloxifene 60mg/d 2. ALN 10mg/d 3. Raloxifene 60mg + ALN 10mg 4. PL	1. BMD 2. Biochemical markers		PL (n=82)	RLX (n=82)	ALN (n=84)	RLX/ALN (n=84)	<b>Mean % Change in BMD at 1 year</b> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>RLX</th> <th>ALN</th> <th>RLX/ALN</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>-0.04</td> <td>2.1</td> <td>4.3</td> <td>5.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td>-0.2</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>2.7</td> <td>3.7</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <b>Mean % Change in Biomarkers</b> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>RLX</th> <th>ALN</th> <th>RLX/ALN</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>OC</td> <td>-1.2</td> <td>-25.7</td> <td>-42.3</td> <td>-54.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BSAP</td> <td>-11.8</td> <td>-32.2</td> <td>-52.1</td> <td>-54.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CTx/Cr</td> <td>-16</td> <td>-46.5</td> <td>-74.2</td> <td>-81.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NTx/Cr</td> <td>7.1</td> <td>-23.8</td> <td>-58.4</td> <td>-63.3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		PL	RLX	ALN	RLX/ALN	L spine	-0.04	2.1	4.3	5.3	Fem neck	-0.2	1.7	2.7	3.7		PL	RLX	ALN	RLX/ALN	OC	-1.2	-25.7	-42.3	-54.3	BSAP	-11.8	-32.2	-52.1	-54.1	CTx/Cr	-16	-46.5	-74.2	-81.0	NTx/Cr	7.1	-23.8	-58.4	-63.3
				PL	RLX	ALN	RLX/ALN																																									
			L spine	-0.04	2.1	4.3	5.3																																									
			Fem neck	-0.2	1.7	2.7	3.7																																									
				PL	RLX	ALN	RLX/ALN																																									
			OC	-1.2	-25.7	-42.3	-54.3																																									
			BSAP	-11.8	-32.2	-52.1	-54.1																																									
			CTx/Cr	-16	-46.5	-74.2	-81.0																																									
			NTx/Cr	7.1	-23.8	-58.4	-63.3																																									
			Age	63.8	63.4	63.7	63.8																																									
Yrs since menopause	17.6	15.6	16.5	17.1																																												
L spine BMD	0.76	0.77	0.78	0.76																																												
Fem neck BMD	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.61																																												
OC mcg/L	23.6	25.9	25.9	24.7																																												
BSAP mcg/L	14.6	14.6	14.5	14.5																																												
CTx/Cr	277.6	299.8	288.9	258.6																																												
NTx/Cr	50.6	53.2	54.3	52.8																																												

**Alendronate-Steroid-Induced Osteoporosis**

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics				Results																																																							
Saag 1998 N=477 R, DB, PC, MC Glucocorticoid-Induced Osteoporosis Intervention Study Group All patients taking at least 7.5mg of prednisone or equivalent daily  Grant support by Merck & Company and the General Clinical Research Centers Programs, National Center for Research Resources, NIH	ALN 5 or 10mg PL for 48 weeks	1. BMD L spine 2. BMD Hip, T Body Biochemical markers of bone turnover 3. Vertebral fractures		PL (n=159)	ALN5 (n=161)	ALN10 (n=157)	Mean % Change in BMD at week 48																																																							
			Age	54	56	55	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>ALN 5</th> <th>ALN 10</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>All</td> <td>-0.4</td> <td>2.1</td> <td>2.9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Men</td> <td>-0.7</td> <td>3.4</td> <td>2.9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Premenopausal</td> <td>-0.3</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>2.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Postmenopause &amp; estrogens</td> <td>-0.6</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>1.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Postmenopause no estrogens</td> <td>-0.1</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>4.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Duration of steroid therapy</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>&lt;4mo</td> <td>-1.0</td> <td>1.4</td> <td>0.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4-12mo</td> <td>-0.6</td> <td>2.4</td> <td>2.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt;12mo</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>2.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck BMD</td> <td>-1.2</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>1.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>T Body BMD</td> <td>-0.03</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>0.7</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					PL	ALN 5	ALN 10	L spine				All	-0.4	2.1	2.9	Men	-0.7	3.4	2.9	Premenopausal	-0.3	2.0	2.0	Postmenopause & estrogens	-0.6	1.6	1.6	Postmenopause no estrogens	-0.1	1.5	4.0	Duration of steroid therapy				<4mo	-1.0	1.4	0.2	4-12mo	-0.6	2.4	2.5	>12mo	0.2	2.5	2.8	Fem neck BMD	-1.2	1.2	1.0	T Body BMD	-0.03	0.4	0.7
				PL	ALN 5	ALN 10																																																								
			L spine																																																											
			All	-0.4	2.1	2.9																																																								
			Men	-0.7	3.4	2.9																																																								
			Premenopausal	-0.3	2.0	2.0																																																								
			Postmenopause & estrogens	-0.6	1.6	1.6																																																								
			Postmenopause no estrogens	-0.1	1.5	4.0																																																								
			Duration of steroid therapy																																																											
			<4mo	-1.0	1.4	0.2																																																								
			4-12mo	-0.6	2.4	2.5																																																								
			>12mo	0.2	2.5	2.8																																																								
Fem neck BMD	-1.2	1.2	1.0																																																											
T Body BMD	-0.03	0.4	0.7																																																											
Men	52	45	44	P < 0.001 for comparison between PL and ALN at L spine (5 & 10), femoral neck (5 & 10), and trochanter (10mg ALN)																																																										
Premenopausal	40	34	30	P < 0.01 for comparison between PL and ALN at trochanter (5mg) and total body (10mg)																																																										
Postmenopausal	67	82	83	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>ALN</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>All</td> <td>3.7</td> <td>2.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Men</td> <td>2.1</td> <td>1.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Premenopausal</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Postmenopausal</td> <td>7.6</td> <td>3.7</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					PL	ALN	All	3.7	2.3	Men	2.1	1.4	Premenopausal	0	0	Postmenopausal	7.6	3.7																																								
	PL	ALN																																																												
All	3.7	2.3																																																												
Men	2.1	1.4																																																												
Premenopausal	0	0																																																												
Postmenopausal	7.6	3.7																																																												
Caucasian %	89	89	88	Non-vertebral fractures 4.4% in both placebo and alendronate																																																										
Duration of steroid therapy %				Biochemical Markers: Excretion of NTx decreased 60% in alendronate groups BSAP decreased by 27% in alendronate groups																																																										
<4mo	33	34	34																																																											
4-12mo	21	21	20																																																											
>12mo	46	45.6	46																																																											
Median daily dose in prednisone equivalents	11	10	10																																																											
Mean NTX/Cr	41	42	41																																																											
Mean BSAP mcg/ml	10	10	10																																																											
L spine BMD	0.95	0.92	0.93																																																											





Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics			Results		
Lau 2001 n-78 R, DB, PC          Support from Merck Sharp and Dohme Pharmaceuticals	PL for 12 mo ALN 10mg (patients were on inhaled beclomethasone, budesonide, or fluticasone)	BMD of L spine, T hip, trochanter, femoral neck		PL (n=40)	ALN (n=38)	Mean % Change BMD from Baseline		
			Age	47.2	50.2	PL	ALN	
			Menopause status			Pre	ALN	
			Pre	21	20	Trochanter	ALN	
			Post	19	18	T Hip	ALN	
			Steroid use			Postmenopausal	ALN	
			PO w/i 12mo	5	7	L spine	ALN	
			Wks of po	17	20	Fem neck	ALN	
			Wks of inhaled	262	283	Trochanter	ALN	
			Diagnosis			T Hip	ALN	
Asthma	38	32	P < 0.05 for femoral neck					
COPD	2	6	P < 0.01 for trochanter					
BMD			P < 0.001 for L spine and hip					
L spine	0.83	0.87						
Fem neck	0.68	0.71						
Trochanter	0.91	0.93						
T hip	0.79	0.79						
% w/ T score								
-2 to -2.49	15	7.9						
-2.5 to -3	10	7.9						

**Alendronate-Osteoporosis in Men**

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics			Results																																																																																										
				PL (n=95)	ALN (n=146)																																																																																											
Orwall 2000 N=241 R, DB, PC, MC	PL for 2 years ALN 10mg	1. BMD of L spine, hip, T body 2. Serum testosterone, estradiol, vertebral fractures	Age	63	63	<p>Mean % Change in BMD at 24 months</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>ALN</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>1.8</td> <td>7.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td>-0.1</td> <td>2.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>4.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hip</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>3.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>T body</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>2.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Effect on L spine similar with normal and low testosterone. Effect of ALN independent of estradiol concentration P &lt; 0.001 for all sites</p> <p>Mean % decrease of biomarkers at 24 months</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>ALN</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>NTx/Cr</td> <td>-9</td> <td>-59</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BSAP</td> <td>-5</td> <td>-38</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Height</td> <td>-2.4mm</td> <td>-0.6mm</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>% Occurrence of Fractures</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>ALN</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Vertebral</td> <td>7.1</td> <td>0.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other</td> <td>5.3</td> <td>4.1</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Withdrawal from study (%)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>ALN</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>B/O AE's</td> <td>11</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Personal reasons</td> <td>5.3</td> <td>6.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lost to f/u (#)</td> <td>1</td> <td>4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Drug related Adverse Events (%)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>ALN</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Serious</td> <td>14</td> <td>17</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UGI tract</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>  Any</td> <td>22</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>  Abd pain</td> <td>4</td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>  Acid reflux</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>  Esophagitis</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>  Dyspepsia</td> <td>1</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Musculoskeletal</td> <td>53</td> <td>47</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nervous system</td> <td>20</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Respiratory</td> <td>49</td> <td>45</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Skin</td> <td>22</td> <td>23</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Urogenital</td> <td>17</td> <td>17</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		PL	ALN	L spine	1.8	7.1	Fem neck	-0.1	2.5	Trochanter	1.3	4.3	Hip	0.6	3.1	T body	0.4	2.0		PL	ALN	NTx/Cr	-9	-59	BSAP	-5	-38	Height	-2.4mm	-0.6mm		PL	ALN	Vertebral	7.1	0.8	Other	5.3	4.1		PL	ALN	B/O AE's	11	3	Personal reasons	5.3	6.1	Lost to f/u (#)	1	4		PL	ALN	Serious	14	17	UGI tract			Any	22	25	Abd pain	4	8	Acid reflux	5	5	Esophagitis	1	1	Dyspepsia	1	6	Musculoskeletal	53	47	Nervous system	20	25	Respiratory	49	45	Skin	22	23	Urogenital	17	17
				PL	ALN																																																																																											
L spine	1.8	7.1																																																																																														
Fem neck	-0.1	2.5																																																																																														
Trochanter	1.3	4.3																																																																																														
Hip	0.6	3.1																																																																																														
T body	0.4	2.0																																																																																														
	PL	ALN																																																																																														
NTx/Cr	-9	-59																																																																																														
BSAP	-5	-38																																																																																														
Height	-2.4mm	-0.6mm																																																																																														
	PL	ALN																																																																																														
Vertebral	7.1	0.8																																																																																														
Other	5.3	4.1																																																																																														
	PL	ALN																																																																																														
B/O AE's	11	3																																																																																														
Personal reasons	5.3	6.1																																																																																														
Lost to f/u (#)	1	4																																																																																														
	PL	ALN																																																																																														
Serious	14	17																																																																																														
UGI tract																																																																																																
Any	22	25																																																																																														
Abd pain	4	8																																																																																														
Acid reflux	5	5																																																																																														
Esophagitis	1	1																																																																																														
Dyspepsia	1	6																																																																																														
Musculoskeletal	53	47																																																																																														
Nervous system	20	25																																																																																														
Respiratory	49	45																																																																																														
Skin	22	23																																																																																														
Urogenital	17	17																																																																																														
Grant from Merck																																																																																																

Updated versions may be found @ [www.vapbm.org](http://www.vapbm.org) or <http://vaww.pbm.med.va.gov>

September 2003

Portions of these documents or records, or information contained herein, which resulted from Pharmacy Benefits Management Drug Usage Evaluations and Utilization Review activities may be considered confidential and privileged under the provisions of 38 U.S.C. 5705 and its implementing regulations. In such case, this material shall not be disclosed to anyone without authorization as provided for by that law of its regulations. The statute provides for fines up to \$20,000 for unauthorized disclosure.

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics			Results																					
Ringe 2001 N=134 R, open label       Support form Merck & Co.	ALN 10mg 1-alfacalcidol for 24 months	BMD		AC	ALN	Mean % Change from baseline at 24 months <table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>AC</td> <td>ALN</td> </tr> <tr> <td>L spine BMD</td> <td>2.8</td> <td>10.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>5.2</td> </tr> </table> <p>P &lt; 0.001 for comparison at lumbar spine</p> <p>% fractures</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>AC</td> <td>ALN</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Vertebral</td> <td>18.2</td> <td>7.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nonvertebral</td> <td>12.1</td> <td>8.7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Stature</td> <td>-8.3mm</td> <td>-1.4mm</td> </tr> </table> <p>Safety: No treatment related withdrawals Adverse Events: AC 31% ALN 26%</p>		AC	ALN	L spine BMD	2.8	10.1	Fem neck	2.2	5.2		AC	ALN	Vertebral	18.2	7.3	Nonvertebral	12.1	8.7	Stature	-8.3mm	-1.4mm
				AC	ALN																						
L spine BMD	2.8	10.1																									
Fem neck	2.2	5.2																									
	AC	ALN																									
Vertebral	18.2	7.3																									
Nonvertebral	12.1	8.7																									
Stature	-8.3mm	-1.4mm																									
Age	66	68																									
L spine T score	-3.35	-3.42																									
Fem neck T score	-2.56	-2.53																									
Prevalent vertebral fracture %	53	54																									

**Risedronate- Fracture Prevention in Osteoporosis**

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics				Results												
Clemmesen 1997 N=132 R, DB, PC, MC	1. 2.5mg/day continuous 2. 2.5mg/day for 14 days then placebo for 10 weeks 3. Placebo	1. BMD 2. Fractures		PL	Continuos	Cyclic	Incidence of Fractures <table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>PL</td> <td>Continuos</td> <td>Cyclic</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N. nonvertebral fxs</td> <td>4</td> <td>4</td> <td>9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N. new vertebral fxs</td> <td>20</td> <td>13</td> <td>15</td> </tr> </table> <p>BMD spine No statistically significant changes from baseline OC decreased to a level 25-30% below baseline AP decreased to a level 15% below baseline</p>		PL	Continuos	Cyclic	N. nonvertebral fxs	4	4	9	N. new vertebral fxs	20	13	15
				PL	Continuos	Cyclic													
N. nonvertebral fxs	4	4	9																
N. new vertebral fxs	20	13	15																
Age	70	67	68																
Time since menopause	23	18	20																
BMD spine	0.747	0.801	0.786																
OC	11.5	10.3	11.2																
Serum alk phos	138	132	131																

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics			Results																																																											
Harris 1999 N=2458 R, DB, PC, MC Vertebral Efficacy with Risedronate Therapy (VERT) Study Group North America          Supported by Procter & Gamble Pharmaceuticals and Hoechst Marion Roussel	PL for 3 years RIS 2.5 or 5mg (2.5mg group was discontinued after 1 year)	1. Incidence of new vertebral fractures, BMD 2. Nonvertebral fractures, biochemical markers	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL (n=820)</th> <th>2.5mg (n=817)</th> <th>5mg (n=821)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Age</td> <td>68</td> <td>69</td> <td>68</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Time since menopause</td> <td>24</td> <td>24</td> <td>24</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mean # vertebral fxs</td> <td>2.3</td> <td>2.7</td> <td>2.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>L spine T score</td> <td>-2.4</td> <td>-2.4</td> <td>-2.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck BMD</td> <td>0.602</td> <td>0.597</td> <td>0.58</td> </tr> <tr> <td>L spine BMD</td> <td>0.829</td> <td>0.839</td> <td>0.832</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				PL (n=820)	2.5mg (n=817)	5mg (n=821)	Age	68	69	68	Time since menopause	24	24	24	Mean # vertebral fxs	2.3	2.7	2.5	L spine T score	-2.4	-2.4	-2.4	Fem neck BMD	0.602	0.597	0.58	L spine BMD	0.829	0.839	0.832	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">Incidence of Fractures</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>2.5mg</th> <th>5mg</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Vertebral fxs</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Year 0-1</td> <td>6.4%</td> <td>3.8</td> <td>2.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Year 0-3</td> <td>16.3</td> <td></td> <td>11.3*</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nonvertebral fxs</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Year 0-3</td> <td>8.4</td> <td></td> <td>5.2**</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>*p = 0.003 ** p = 0.02</p>				Incidence of Fractures					PL	2.5mg	5mg	Vertebral fxs				Year 0-1	6.4%	3.8	2.4	Year 0-3	16.3		11.3*	Nonvertebral fxs				Year 0-3	8.4		5.2**
				PL (n=820)	2.5mg (n=817)	5mg (n=821)																																																											
			Age	68	69	68																																																											
			Time since menopause	24	24	24																																																											
			Mean # vertebral fxs	2.3	2.7	2.5																																																											
			L spine T score	-2.4	-2.4	-2.4																																																											
			Fem neck BMD	0.602	0.597	0.58																																																											
			L spine BMD	0.829	0.839	0.832																																																											
			Incidence of Fractures																																																														
				PL	2.5mg	5mg																																																											
Vertebral fxs																																																																	
Year 0-1	6.4%	3.8	2.4																																																														
Year 0-3	16.3		11.3*																																																														
Nonvertebral fxs																																																																	
Year 0-3	8.4		5.2**																																																														
			<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">Mean % Change in BMD</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>5mg</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>5.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td>-1.2</td> <td>1.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter</td> <td>-0.7</td> <td>3.3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>P &lt; 0.05 for comparison between PL and AL</p>				Mean % Change in BMD				PL	5mg	L spine	1.1	5.4	Fem neck	-1.2	1.6	Trochanter	-0.7	3.3																																												
Mean % Change in BMD																																																																	
	PL	5mg																																																															
L spine	1.1	5.4																																																															
Fem neck	-1.2	1.6																																																															
Trochanter	-0.7	3.3																																																															
			<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">% Change in biochemical markers</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>RIS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>BSAP</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>6mo</td> <td>-12</td> <td>-35</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3years</td> <td>-7</td> <td>-33</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dpyr</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>6mo</td> <td>-8</td> <td>-38</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3years</td> <td>-1</td> <td>-26</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				% Change in biochemical markers				PL	RIS	BSAP			6mo	-12	-35	3years	-7	-33	Dpyr			6mo	-8	-38	3years	-1	-26																																			
% Change in biochemical markers																																																																	
	PL	RIS																																																															
BSAP																																																																	
6mo	-12	-35																																																															
3years	-7	-33																																																															
Dpyr																																																																	
6mo	-8	-38																																																															
3years	-1	-26																																																															
			<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">Adverse Events (%)</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>RIS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Any event</td> <td>95</td> <td>97</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Drug-related</td> <td>29</td> <td>34</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Serious event</td> <td>27</td> <td>29</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Withdrawal due to AE</td> <td>17</td> <td>17</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Any UGI AE</td> <td>27</td> <td>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mod-Severe</td> <td>13</td> <td>13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dyspepsia</td> <td>11</td> <td>13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Abd pain</td> <td>12</td> <td>13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Gastritis</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Esophagitis</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Doudenitis</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>1</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Adverse Events (%)				PL	RIS	Any event	95	97	Drug-related	29	34	Serious event	27	29	Withdrawal due to AE	17	17	Any UGI AE	27	30	Mod-Severe	13	13	Dyspepsia	11	13	Abd pain	12	13	Gastritis	3	4	Esophagitis	2	1	Doudenitis	0.2	1																				
Adverse Events (%)																																																																	
	PL	RIS																																																															
Any event	95	97																																																															
Drug-related	29	34																																																															
Serious event	27	29																																																															
Withdrawal due to AE	17	17																																																															
Any UGI AE	27	30																																																															
Mod-Severe	13	13																																																															
Dyspepsia	11	13																																																															
Abd pain	12	13																																																															
Gastritis	3	4																																																															
Esophagitis	2	1																																																															
Doudenitis	0.2	1																																																															

Updated versions may be found @ [www.vapbm.org](http://www.vapbm.org) or <http://vaww.pbm.med.va.gov>

September 2003

Portions of these documents or records, or information contained herein, which resulted from Pharmacy Benefits Management Drug Usage Evaluations and Utilization Review activities may be considered confidential and privileged under the provisions of 38 U.S.C. 5705 and its implementing regulations. In such case, this material shall not be disclosed to anyone without authorization as provided for by that law of its regulations. The statute provides for fines up to \$20,000 for unauthorized disclosure.

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics			Results																																																
Reginster 2000 N=1226 R, DB, PC, MC Vertebral Efficacy with Risedronate Therapy (VERT) Study Group Europe and Australia	PL for 3 years RIS 2.5 or 5mg (2.5mg discontinued after 2 years b/o superiority of 5mg dose)	1. Incidence of new vertebral fractures 2. BMD, nonvertebral fractures, biochemical markers		PL (n=407)	2.5 (n=408)	5 (n=407)	<table border="1" data-bbox="1367 277 1908 444"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">Incidence of Fractures</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>5mg</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Vertebral</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Year 0-1</td> <td>13</td> <td>5.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Year 0-3</td> <td>29</td> <td>18.1*</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nonvertebral</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Year 0-3</td> <td>16</td> <td>10.9</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="1367 449 1465 472">*p &lt; 0.001</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1367 477 1908 630"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">Mean % Change in BMD</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>RIS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>↑</td> <td>5.9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td>↔</td> <td>3.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter</td> <td>↓</td> <td>6.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Midshaft radius</td> <td>↓</td> <td>2.1</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="1367 634 1770 657">P &lt; 0.001 for comparison between PL and RIS</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1367 688 1908 781"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Mean Decrease in biochemical markers</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>5mg</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Dpyr</td> <td>-33%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BSAP</td> <td>-37%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Incidence of Fractures				PL	5mg	Vertebral			Year 0-1	13	5.6	Year 0-3	29	18.1*	Nonvertebral			Year 0-3	16	10.9	Mean % Change in BMD				PL	RIS	L spine	↑	5.9	Fem neck	↔	3.1	Trochanter	↓	6.4	Midshaft radius	↓	2.1	Mean Decrease in biochemical markers			5mg	Dpyr	-33%	BSAP	-37%
			Incidence of Fractures																																																			
				PL	5mg																																																	
			Vertebral																																																			
			Year 0-1	13	5.6																																																	
			Year 0-3	29	18.1*																																																	
			Nonvertebral																																																			
			Year 0-3	16	10.9																																																	
			Mean % Change in BMD																																																			
				PL	RIS																																																	
L spine	↑	5.9																																																				
Fem neck	↔	3.1																																																				
Trochanter	↓	6.4																																																				
Midshaft radius	↓	2.1																																																				
Mean Decrease in biochemical markers																																																						
	5mg																																																					
Dpyr	-33%																																																					
BSAP	-37%																																																					
Age	71	71	71																																																			
Time since menopause	25	24	25																																																			
Med # of vertebral fxs	3	3	4																																																			
L spine BMD	0.787	0.792	0.776																																																			
Fem neck BMD	0.5	-0.583	0.573																																																			
L spine T score	-2.77	-2.69	-2.84																																																			

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics				Results																																																																																			
Fogelman 2000 N=541 R, DB, PC, MC Bmd-mn Study Group	PL for 24 months RIS 2.5 or 5mg (2.5mg dose was dropped at 9/13 study centers)	1. BMD 2. Biochemical markers, fractures	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL (n=180)</th> <th>2.5mg (n=184)</th> <th>5mg (n=177)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Age</td> <td>64</td> <td>65</td> <td>65</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Time since menopause</td> <td>17</td> <td>18</td> <td>18</td> </tr> <tr> <td>% vertebral fxs</td> <td>30</td> <td>28</td> <td>32</td> </tr> <tr> <td>L spine T score</td> <td>-2.91</td> <td>-2.96</td> <td>-2.84</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mean L spine BMD</td> <td>0.783</td> <td>0.733</td> <td>0.75</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck BMD</td> <td>0.636</td> <td>0.625</td> <td>0.637</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter BMD</td> <td>0.547</td> <td>0.545</td> <td>0.557</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					PL (n=180)	2.5mg (n=184)	5mg (n=177)	Age	64	65	65	Time since menopause	17	18	18	% vertebral fxs	30	28	32	L spine T score	-2.91	-2.96	-2.84	Mean L spine BMD	0.783	0.733	0.75	Fem neck BMD	0.636	0.625	0.637	Trochanter BMD	0.547	0.545	0.557	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">Mean % Change in BMD</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>2.5mg</th> <th>5mg</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>1.4</td> <td>4.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td>-1.0</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>1.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter</td> <td>-0.6</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>2.7</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>P &lt; 0.001 for comparison between PL and RIS</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">% Change in biochemical markers</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>5mg</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>BSAP</td> <td>8</td> <td>-22</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NTx/Cr</td> <td>-11</td> <td>-44</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">Incidence of Fractures</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>2.5mg</th> <th>5mg</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Vertebral</td> <td>14</td> <td>13</td> <td>7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nonvertebral</td> <td>9</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Mean % Change in BMD					PL	2.5mg	5mg	L spine	0.0	1.4	4.1	Fem neck	-1.0	0.9	1.3	Trochanter	-0.6	1.7	2.7	% Change in biochemical markers				PL	5mg	BSAP	8	-22	NTx/Cr	-11	-44	Incidence of Fractures					PL	2.5mg	5mg	Vertebral	14	13	7	Nonvertebral	9	5	5
	PL (n=180)	2.5mg (n=184)	5mg (n=177)																																																																																							
Age	64	65	65																																																																																							
Time since menopause	17	18	18																																																																																							
% vertebral fxs	30	28	32																																																																																							
L spine T score	-2.91	-2.96	-2.84																																																																																							
Mean L spine BMD	0.783	0.733	0.75																																																																																							
Fem neck BMD	0.636	0.625	0.637																																																																																							
Trochanter BMD	0.547	0.545	0.557																																																																																							
Mean % Change in BMD																																																																																										
	PL	2.5mg	5mg																																																																																							
L spine	0.0	1.4	4.1																																																																																							
Fem neck	-1.0	0.9	1.3																																																																																							
Trochanter	-0.6	1.7	2.7																																																																																							
% Change in biochemical markers																																																																																										
	PL	5mg																																																																																								
BSAP	8	-22																																																																																								
NTx/Cr	-11	-44																																																																																								
Incidence of Fractures																																																																																										
	PL	2.5mg	5mg																																																																																							
Vertebral	14	13	7																																																																																							
Nonvertebral	9	5	5																																																																																							
McClung 2001 N=9331 R, DB, PC, MC Hip Intervention Program Study Group   Grants from Procter & Gamble and Aventis Pharma	PL for 3 years RIS 2.5 or 5mg	1. Incidence of hip fractures 2. Incidence of nonvertebral osteoporotic fractures, BMD	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th colspan="2">70-79 y/o with osteoporosis</th> <th colspan="2">&gt;80y/o with ≥1 risk factor for hip fracture</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>RIS</th> <th>PL</th> <th>RIS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Age</td> <td>74</td> <td>74</td> <td>83</td> <td>83</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Time since menopause</td> <td>28</td> <td>28</td> <td>37</td> <td>37</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck T score</td> <td>-3.7</td> <td>-3.7</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>% vertebral fractures</td> <td>39</td> <td>38</td> <td>45</td> <td>44</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					70-79 y/o with osteoporosis		>80y/o with ≥1 risk factor for hip fracture			PL	RIS	PL	RIS	Age	74	74	83	83	Time since menopause	28	28	37	37	Fem neck T score	-3.7	-3.7			% vertebral fractures	39	38	45	44	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">Incidence of Hip Fractures %</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>RIS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Overall</td> <td>3.9</td> <td>2.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>70-79 w/osteo</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>1.9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W/baseline vertebral fxs</td> <td>5.7</td> <td>2.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W/O baseline vertebral fxs</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>1.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>≥80 with ≥1 risk factor for hip fx</td> <td>5.1</td> <td>4.2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">Nonvertebral Fracture Incidence</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>RIS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Overall</td> <td>11.2</td> <td>9.4*</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W/osteo</td> <td>10.7</td> <td>8.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W/baseline vertebral fxs</td> <td>16.1</td> <td>10.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>W/ risk factors</td> <td>11.9</td> <td>10.8</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>P = 0.02</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Mean % change in BMD</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>RIS</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Fem Neck</td> <td>2.1 (2.5mg) 3.4(5mg)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter</td> <td>3.8 (2.5mg) 4.8 (5mg)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Incidence of Hip Fractures %				PL	RIS	Overall	3.9	2.8	70-79 w/osteo	3.2	1.9	W/baseline vertebral fxs	5.7	2.3	W/O baseline vertebral fxs	1.6	1.0	≥80 with ≥1 risk factor for hip fx	5.1	4.2	Nonvertebral Fracture Incidence				PL	RIS	Overall	11.2	9.4*	W/osteo	10.7	8.4	W/baseline vertebral fxs	16.1	10.3	W/ risk factors	11.9	10.8	Mean % change in BMD			RIS	Fem Neck	2.1 (2.5mg) 3.4(5mg)	Trochanter	3.8 (2.5mg) 4.8 (5mg)			
	70-79 y/o with osteoporosis		>80y/o with ≥1 risk factor for hip fracture																																																																																							
	PL	RIS	PL	RIS																																																																																						
Age	74	74	83	83																																																																																						
Time since menopause	28	28	37	37																																																																																						
Fem neck T score	-3.7	-3.7																																																																																								
% vertebral fractures	39	38	45	44																																																																																						
Incidence of Hip Fractures %																																																																																										
	PL	RIS																																																																																								
Overall	3.9	2.8																																																																																								
70-79 w/osteo	3.2	1.9																																																																																								
W/baseline vertebral fxs	5.7	2.3																																																																																								
W/O baseline vertebral fxs	1.6	1.0																																																																																								
≥80 with ≥1 risk factor for hip fx	5.1	4.2																																																																																								
Nonvertebral Fracture Incidence																																																																																										
	PL	RIS																																																																																								
Overall	11.2	9.4*																																																																																								
W/osteo	10.7	8.4																																																																																								
W/baseline vertebral fxs	16.1	10.3																																																																																								
W/ risk factors	11.9	10.8																																																																																								
Mean % change in BMD																																																																																										
	RIS																																																																																									
Fem Neck	2.1 (2.5mg) 3.4(5mg)																																																																																									
Trochanter	3.8 (2.5mg) 4.8 (5mg)																																																																																									

**Risedronate in Primary Osteoporosis-Combination Therapy**

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics			Results																																				
Harris 2001 N=524 R, DB, PC, MC	0.625mg CEE + RIS 5mg or 0.625mg CEE + PL for 12 months	1. Change in L spine BMD at 12 mo 2. BMD at fem neck, trochanter, distal radius, midshaft radius, biochemical markers of bone formation, fracture assessment		HRT only (n=261)	RIS + HRT (n=263)	Mean % Change in BMD <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>HRT only</th> <th>RIS + HRT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>4.6</td> <td>5.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>2.7*</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>3.7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Distal radius</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>1.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Midshaft radius</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>0.7*</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> *p <0.01 comparison with HRT only  <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>HRT only</th> <th>RIS + HRT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>New vertebral fxs</td> <td>2.6%</td> <td>1.8%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nonvertebral fxs</td> <td>2.7%</td> <td>0.8%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BSAP</td> <td>-36.8%</td> <td>-44.4%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dpyr</td> <td>-22.2%</td> <td>-34.5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NTx</td> <td>-48.9%</td> <td>-61.7%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		HRT only	RIS + HRT	L spine	4.6	5.2	Fem neck	1.7	2.7*	Trochanter	3.2	3.7	Distal radius	1.7	1.6	Midshaft radius	0.4	0.7*		HRT only	RIS + HRT	New vertebral fxs	2.6%	1.8%	Nonvertebral fxs	2.7%	0.8%	BSAP	-36.8%	-44.4%	Dpyr	-22.2%	-34.5%	NTx	-48.9%	-61.7%
				HRT only	RIS + HRT																																					
			L spine	4.6	5.2																																					
			Fem neck	1.7	2.7*																																					
			Trochanter	3.2	3.7																																					
			Distal radius	1.7	1.6																																					
			Midshaft radius	0.4	0.7*																																					
				HRT only	RIS + HRT																																					
New vertebral fxs	2.6%	1.8%																																								
Nonvertebral fxs	2.7%	0.8%																																								
BSAP	-36.8%	-44.4%																																								
Dpyr	-22.2%	-34.5%																																								
NTx	-48.9%	-61.7%																																								
Age	59.8	58.0																																								
Time since menopause	14.6	13.7																																								
L spine BMD	0.964	0.979																																								
% with prevalent vertebral fxs	28	29																																								
% with T score < -1	63	60																																								
BSAP	13.2	13.4																																								
Dpyr/Cr	17.4	17.4																																								
NTx/Cr	53.1	56.3																																								

**Risedronate-Prevention of Bone Loss in Early Menopause**

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics				Results																																				
Mortensen 1998 N=111 R, DB, PC, MC Normal L spine BMD 6-60 mo post menopause  68 started in year 2	Year 1 PL RIS 5mg/d RIS 5mg/d x 14d then off for 14  Year 2 options: 1. Discontinue from study 2. Complete a second year without therapy 3. Continue on blinded therapy for 1 year, then complete 1 year without therapy	1. Change in L spine BMD at 24 mo 2. BMD in fem neck, trochanter, Ward's triangle, Dpyr, total alk phos		PL (n=36)	Cyclic (n=38)	Daily (n=37)	Mean % Change in BMD <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>Cyclic</th> <th>Daily</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>-4.3</td> <td>-1.6</td> <td>1.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td>-2.4</td> <td></td> <td>1.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter</td> <td>-2.8</td> <td></td> <td>2.6</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> P<0.05 for comparison between PL and RIS daily  <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Dpyr</th> <th>↔</th> <th>-15</th> <th>-31</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>Cyclic</th> <th>Daily</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Vertebral</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nonvertebral</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		PL	Cyclic	Daily	L spine	-4.3	-1.6	1.4	Fem neck	-2.4		1.3	Trochanter	-2.8		2.6	Dpyr	↔	-15	-31						PL	Cyclic	Daily	Vertebral		1	1	Nonvertebral	3	3	
				PL	Cyclic	Daily																																					
			L spine	-4.3	-1.6	1.4																																					
			Fem neck	-2.4		1.3																																					
			Trochanter	-2.8		2.6																																					
			Dpyr	↔	-15	-31																																					
				PL	Cyclic	Daily																																					
			Vertebral		1	1																																					
			Nonvertebral	3	3																																						
Age	51.2	51.3	52.1																																								
Time since menopause	3	2	3																																								
L spine BMD	0.957	0.927	0.933																																								
Fem neck BMD	0.743	0.713	0.735																																								
Dpyr/Cr	18.8	21	18.2																																								
Caucasian %	100	100	100																																								

**Risedronate- Corticosteroid-Induced Osteoporosis**

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics				Results																																												
<p>Cohen 1999 N=228 R, DB, PC, MC ≥7.5mg prednisone or equivalent within prior 3 months Prevention Study</p> <p>Grant support from Procter &amp; Gamble Pharmaceuticals and Hoechst Marion Roussel</p>	<p>PL RIS 2.5 or 5mg for 12 mo</p>	<p>1. BMD L spine 2. BMD fem neck, trochanter, distal radius, fractures, biochemical markers</p>		PL	2.5	5	<p>Mean % Change in BMD at 12 mo</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>2.5</th> <th>5</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>-2.8</td> <td>-0.1</td> <td>0.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td>-3.1</td> <td>-0.4</td> <td>0.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter</td> <td>-3.1</td> <td>-0.2</td> <td>1.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Distal radius</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>3.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Midshaft radius</td> <td>-0.3</td> <td>-1.0</td> <td>0.1</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>5mg- p &lt; 0.001 for comparison between PL and RIS at L spine, femoral neck, and trochanter 2.5mg – p &lt; 0.005 for comparison between PL and RIS at L spine and trochanter</p> <p>Fractures</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>2.5</th> <th>5</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Nonvertebral</td> <td>5.2%</td> <td>4</td> <td>3.9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Vertebral fxs #</td> <td>35</td> <td>6</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td># pts with new fxs</td> <td>9/52</td> <td>3/27</td> <td>3/53</td> </tr> <tr> <td># of pts with ≥2 new vertebral fractures</td> <td>7</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		PL	2.5	5	L spine	-2.8	-0.1	0.6	Fem neck	-3.1	-0.4	0.8	Trochanter	-3.1	-0.2	1.4	Distal radius	0.5	1.5	3.2	Midshaft radius	-0.3	-1.0	0.1		PL	2.5	5	Nonvertebral	5.2%	4	3.9	Vertebral fxs #	35	6	5	# pts with new fxs	9/52	3/27	3/53	# of pts with ≥2 new vertebral fractures	7	1	1
				PL	2.5	5																																													
L spine	-2.8	-0.1	0.6																																																
Fem neck	-3.1	-0.4	0.8																																																
Trochanter	-3.1	-0.2	1.4																																																
Distal radius	0.5	1.5	3.2																																																
Midshaft radius	-0.3	-1.0	0.1																																																
	PL	2.5	5																																																
Nonvertebral	5.2%	4	3.9																																																
Vertebral fxs #	35	6	5																																																
# pts with new fxs	9/52	3/27	3/53																																																
# of pts with ≥2 new vertebral fractures	7	1	1																																																
			Age	57.2	59.5	61.9																																													
			Men %	32.5	33.3	35.5																																													
			Premenopausal	19.5	22.9	18.4																																													
			Postmenopausal	48.1	44	46.1																																													
			L spine BMD	1.066	1.032	1.082																																													
			L spine T score	-0.7	-0.96	-0.4																																													
			% Vertebral fx	28.9	25.7	36																																													
<p>Reid 2000 N=290 R, DB, PC, MC (Mean daily dose of ≥7.5mg prednisone or equivalent for at least 6 months) Treatment Study</p> <p>Support by Procter &amp; Gamble Pharmaceuticals and Hoechst Marion Roussel</p>	<p>PL for 12 mo RIS 2.5 or 5mg</p>	<p>1. BMD 2. Fracture incidence</p>		PL	2.5	5	<p>Mean % Change in BMD</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>2.5</th> <th>5</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>2.9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td>-0.3</td> <td>-0.2</td> <td>1.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>2.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Distal radius</td> <td>-2.0</td> <td>-0.5</td> <td>-0.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Midshaft radius</td> <td>-0.3</td> <td>-0.1</td> <td>-0.5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>P &lt; 0.001 at L spine, p = 0.004 at femoral neck, p = 0.01 at trochanter</p> <p>Fractures</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>2.5</th> <th>5</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>#(%) new vertebral fractures</td> <td>9(15)</td> <td>3(5)</td> <td>3(5)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		PL	2.5	5	L spine	0.4	1.9	2.9	Fem neck	-0.3	-0.2	1.8	Trochanter	1.0	0.1	2.4	Distal radius	-2.0	-0.5	-0.6	Midshaft radius	-0.3	-0.1	-0.5		PL	2.5	5	#(%) new vertebral fractures	9(15)	3(5)	3(5)												
				PL	2.5	5																																													
L spine	0.4	1.9	2.9																																																
Fem neck	-0.3	-0.2	1.8																																																
Trochanter	1.0	0.1	2.4																																																
Distal radius	-2.0	-0.5	-0.6																																																
Midshaft radius	-0.3	-0.1	-0.5																																																
	PL	2.5	5																																																
#(%) new vertebral fractures	9(15)	3(5)	3(5)																																																
			Age	59	59	58																																													
			Sex (%)																																																
			Male	38	39	36																																													
			Premenopausal	7	10	9																																													
			Postmenopausal	55	51	55																																													
			Duration of steroids (mo)	62	56	57																																													
			L spine BMD	0.93	0.96	0.94																																													
			Mean T score	-1.7	-1.4	-1.7																																													
			% with vertebral fxs	37	32	35																																													

Updated versions may be found @ [www.vapbm.org](http://www.vapbm.org) or <http://vaww.pbm.med.va.gov>

September 2003

Portions of these documents or records, or information contained herein, which resulted from Pharmacy Benefits Management Drug Usage Evaluations and Utilization Review activities may be considered confidential and privileged under the provisions of 38 U.S.C. 5705 and its implementing regulations. In such case, this material shall not be disclosed to anyone without authorization as provided for by that law of its regulations. The statute provides for fines up to \$20,000 for unauthorized disclosure.



Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics			Results																																																											
			PL	2.5	5																																																												
Wallach 2000 N=518 R, DB, PC, MC (mean daily dose of ≥ prednisone or equivalent) Results of Cohen and Reid were combined for analysis of fracture risk	PL for 12 mo RIS 2.5 or 5mg	1. BMD L spine 2. BMD in fem neck, trochanter, distal radius, midshaft radius, biochemical markers	Age	58	59.4	59.3																																																											
			Sex (%)																																																														
			Male	35	37	36																																																											
			Premenopausal	13	15	13																																																											
			Postmenopausal	52	48	51																																																											
			Duration of steroids (%)																																																														
			≤3 mo	42	42	39																																																											
			3-6mo	2	4	5																																																											
			>6mo	56	54	56																																																											
			L spine BMD	0.989	0.991	1.003																																																											
			L spine T score	-1.3	-1.2	-1.2																																																											
			Prevalent vertebral fx %	34	28	35																																																											
			NTx/Cr	51.5	43	50.5																																																											
BSAP	9.4	9.5	9.7																																																														
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">Mean % Change from baseline in BMD</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>2.5</th> <th>5</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>-1.0</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>1.9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td>-1.5</td> <td>-0.3</td> <td>1.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter</td> <td>-0.8</td> <td>-0.01</td> <td>2.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Distal radius</td> <td>-1.2</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>0.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Midshaft radius</td> <td>-0.3</td> <td>-0.3</td> <td>-0.3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>P &lt; 0.001 for comparison between PL and RIS at L spine, femoral neck, and trochanter</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">Vertebral Fractures</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>2.5</th> <th>5</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>% with new vertebral fxs</td> <td>16</td> <td>7</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Male</td> <td>24</td> <td>0</td> <td>9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Premenopausal</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Postmenopausal</td> <td>16</td> <td>12</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td># of vertebral fractures</td> <td>55</td> <td>14</td> <td>8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>% of patients with ≥2 new vertebral fxs</td> <td>9</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>P = 0.01 for 5mg p = 0.08 for 2.5mg</p>						Mean % Change from baseline in BMD					PL	2.5	5	L spine	-1.0	1.3	1.9	Fem neck	-1.5	-0.3	1.3	Trochanter	-0.8	-0.01	2.0	Distal radius	-1.2	0.01	0.4	Midshaft radius	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	Vertebral Fractures					PL	2.5	5	% with new vertebral fxs	16	7	5	Male	24	0	9	Premenopausal	0	0	0	Postmenopausal	16	12	5	# of vertebral fractures	55	14	8	% of patients with ≥2 new vertebral fxs	9	2	1
Mean % Change from baseline in BMD																																																																	
	PL	2.5	5																																																														
L spine	-1.0	1.3	1.9																																																														
Fem neck	-1.5	-0.3	1.3																																																														
Trochanter	-0.8	-0.01	2.0																																																														
Distal radius	-1.2	0.01	0.4																																																														
Midshaft radius	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3																																																														
Vertebral Fractures																																																																	
	PL	2.5	5																																																														
% with new vertebral fxs	16	7	5																																																														
Male	24	0	9																																																														
Premenopausal	0	0	0																																																														
Postmenopausal	16	12	5																																																														
# of vertebral fractures	55	14	8																																																														
% of patients with ≥2 new vertebral fxs	9	2	1																																																														

**Risedronate- Osteoporosis in Men**

Trial	Dose	Measured Outcome	Baseline Characteristics			Results																																																																					
Reid 2001 N=184 R, DB, PC, MC Analysis of males from the prevention and treatment trials in corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis	PL for 12 mo RID 2.5 or 5mg	BMD, Vertebral fractures, biochemical markers		PL	2.5	5	<b>Mean % Change in BMD</b> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>2.5</th> <th>5</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Treatment:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td></td> <td>Similar to</td> <td>4.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td></td> <td>5mg</td> <td>2.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Prevention:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>L spine</td> <td>-3.4</td> <td>Similar to</td> <td>maintained</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fem neck</td> <td>-3.3</td> <td>5mg</td> <td>maintained</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trochanter</td> <td>-3.4</td> <td></td> <td>maintained</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Treatment: p &lt;0.001 for comparison between PL and RIS 5mg at L spine                      Prevention: p &lt; 0.01 for comparison between PL and RIS at all sites</p> <b>Vertebral Fractures</b> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>2.5</th> <th>5</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>V. fractures (# of patients)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Prevention</td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Treatment</td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td># of fractures</td> <td>18</td> <td></td> <td>3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Combining both risedronate groups for analysis produced a 82.4% reduction in vertebral fracture risk compared with placebo.</p> <b>Median % Change in Biochemical Markers</b> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>PL</th> <th>2.5</th> <th>5</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>NTx/Cr</td> <td>-61</td> <td>-46.5</td> <td>-17.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BSAP</td> <td>-11</td> <td>-4</td> <td>-20.2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		PL	2.5	5	Treatment:				L spine		Similar to	4.8	Fem neck		5mg	2.1	Trochanter			2.6	Prevention:				L spine	-3.4	Similar to	maintained	Fem neck	-3.3	5mg	maintained	Trochanter	-3.4		maintained		PL	2.5	5	V. fractures (# of patients)				Prevention	4		1	Treatment	5		2	# of fractures	18		3		PL	2.5	5	NTx/Cr	-61	-46.5	-17.1	BSAP	-11	-4	-20.2
				PL	2.5	5																																																																					
			Treatment:																																																																								
			L spine		Similar to	4.8																																																																					
			Fem neck		5mg	2.1																																																																					
			Trochanter			2.6																																																																					
			Prevention:																																																																								
			L spine	-3.4	Similar to	maintained																																																																					
			Fem neck	-3.3	5mg	maintained																																																																					
			Trochanter	-3.4		maintained																																																																					
				PL	2.5	5																																																																					
			V. fractures (# of patients)																																																																								
Prevention	4		1																																																																								
Treatment	5		2																																																																								
# of fractures	18		3																																																																								
	PL	2.5	5																																																																								
NTx/Cr	-61	-46.5	-17.1																																																																								
BSAP	-11	-4	-20.2																																																																								
Total	60	61	63																																																																								
Prevention	25	25	27																																																																								
Treatment	35	36	36																																																																								
Age yrs	54.9	59.2	58.8																																																																								
Duration of steroids %																																																																											
≤3mo																																																																											
3-6mo	40	41	38.1																																																																								
>6mo	1.7	3.3	64																																																																								
	58.3	55.7	55.5																																																																								
L spine BMD																																																																											
Prevention	1.123	1.097	1.194																																																																								
Treatment	0.984	1.002	0.959																																																																								
L spine T score																																																																											
Prevention	-0.47	-0.89	0.15																																																																								
Treatment	-1.67	-1.42	-1.89																																																																								
Prevalent vertebral fractures %																																																																											
	36.7	38.3	46.8																																																																								
Biochemical markers																																																																											
NTx/Cr	59.2	65	53.3																																																																								
BSAP	10.8	10.3	10.7																																																																								

---

## References

- <sup>1</sup> Fosamax® Product Package Insert. Whitehouse Station, NJ: Merck & Co., Inc., 2001.
- <sup>2</sup> Actonel® Product Package Insert. Kansas City, MO: Aventis Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 2002.
- <sup>3</sup> Osteoporosis Task Force. American association of clinical endocrinologists 2001 medical guidelines for clinical practice for the prevention and management of postmenopausal osteoporosis. *Endocrine Practice* 2001; 7(4 July/August):293-312.
- <sup>4</sup> National Osteoporosis Foundation. Disease statistics. Washington DC 2002.  
<http://www.nof.org/osteoporosis/stats.htm>
- <sup>5</sup> Simon L. Section 15 XI Diseases of bone metabolism. Web M.D. *Scientific American Medicine* 2001.
- <sup>6</sup> Crandall C. Risedronate: a clinical review. *Arch Intern Med* 2001; 161(3):353-360.
- <sup>7</sup> Fleisch H. Bisphosphonates: mechanisms of action. *Endocr Rev* 1998;19(1):80-100.
- <sup>8</sup> Dunn CJ, Goa KL. Risedronate a review of its pharmacological properties and clinical use in resorptive bone disease. *Drugs* 2001;61(5):685-712.
- <sup>9</sup> Goa KL, Balfour JA. Risedronate. *Drugs & Aging* 1998;13(1):83-91.
- <sup>10</sup> Sharpe M, Noble S, Spencer CM. Alendronate: an update on its use in osteoporosis. *Drugs* 2001;61(7):999-1039.
- <sup>11</sup> Mitchell DY, Heise MA, Pallone KA, Clay ME, Nesbitt JD, Russell DA, Melson CW. The effect of dosing regimen on the pharmacokinetics of risedronate. *J Clin Pharmacol* 1999;48:536-542.
- <sup>12</sup> Marcus R, Wong M, Heath H, Stock JL. Antiresorptive treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis: comparison of study designs and outcomes in large clinical trials with fracture as an endpoint. *Endocrine Reviews* 2002; 23:16-37.
- <sup>13</sup> Bolognese M. Effective Pharmacotherapeutic interventions for the prevention of hip fractures. *The Endocrinologist* 2002;12:29-37.
- <sup>14</sup> Liberman UA, Weiss SR, Broll J, Minne HW, Quan H, Bell NH, et al. Effect of oral alendronate on bone mineral density and the incidence of fractures in postmenopausal osteoporosis. *JAMA* 1995;333(22):1437-1443.
- <sup>15</sup> Black DM, Cummings SR, Karpf DB, Cauley JA, Thompson DE, Nevitt MC, et al. for the Fracture Intervention Trial Research Group. *Lancet* 1996;348:1535-41.
- <sup>16</sup> Ensrud KE, Black DM, Palermo L, Bauer DC, Barrett-Connor E, Quandt Sa, et al. for the Fracture Intervention Trial Research Group. Treatment with alendronate prevents fractures in women at highest risk: results from the fracture intervention trial. *Arch Intern Med* 1997;157:2617-2624.
- <sup>17</sup> Cummings SR, Black D, Thompson DE, Applegate WB, Barrett-Connor E, Musliner TA, et al for the Fracture Intervention Trial Research Group. Effect of alendronate on risk of fracture in women with low bone density but without vertebral fractures: results from the fracture intervention trial. *JAMA* 1998;280:2077-2082.
- <sup>18</sup> Chestnut, CH, McClung MR, Ensrud KE, Bell NH, Genant HK, Harris ST, et al. Alendronate treatment of the postmenopausal osteoporotic woman: effect of multiple dosages on bone mass and bone remodeling. *Am J Med* 1995;99:144-152.
- <sup>19</sup> Devogelaer JP, Broll J, Correa-Rotter R, Cumming DC, Nagant De Deuxchaisnes C, Geusens P, et. al. Oral alendronate induces progressive increases in bone mass of the spine, hip, and total body over 3 years in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. *Bone* 1996;18:141-150.
- <sup>20</sup> Tucci JR, Tonino RP, Emkey RD, Peverly CA, Kher U, Santora AC. Effect of three years of oral alendronate treatment in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. *Am J Med* 1996;101:488-501.
- <sup>21</sup> Bone HG, Downs RW, Tucci JR, Harris ST, Weinstein RS, Licata AA, et al. for the Alendronate Elderly Osteoporosis Study Centers. Dose-response relationships for alendronate treatment in osteoporotic elderly women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1997;82:265-274.
- <sup>22</sup> Pols JA, Felsenberg D, Hanley DA, Stepan J, Munoz-Torres M, Wilkin TJ, et al. for the Fosamax International Trial Study Group. Multinational, placebo-controlled, randomized trial of the effects of

alendronate on bone density and fracture risk in postmenopausal women with low bone mass: results of the FOSIT study. *Osteoporos Int* 1999;9:461-468.

<sup>23</sup> Tonino RP, Meunier PJ, Emkey R, Rodrigueq-Portales JA, Menkes CJ, Wasnich RD, et al of the Phase III Osteoporosis Treatment Study Group. Skeletal benefits of alendronate: 7-year treatment of postmenopausal osteoporotic women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2000;85:3109-3115.

<sup>24</sup> Greenspan SL, Schneider DL, McClung MR, Miller PD, Schnitzer TJ, Bonin R, et. al. Alendronate improves bone mineral density in elderly women with osteoporosis residing in long-term care facilities. *Ann Inter Med* 2002;136:742-746.

<sup>25</sup> Harris ST, Watts NB, Genant HK, McKeever CD, Hangaratner T, Keller M, et al. Effects of risedronate treatment on vertebral and nonvertebral fractures in women with postmenopausal osteoporosis. *JAMA* 1999;282:1344-1352.

<sup>26</sup> Reginster J-Y, Minne HW, Sorensen OH, Hooper M, Roux C, Brandi ML, et al. Randomized trial of the effects of risedronate on vertebral fractures in women with established postmenopausal osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int* 2000;11:83-91.

<sup>27</sup> McClung MR, Geusens P, Miller PD, Zippel H, Bensen WG, Roux C, et al. for the Hip Intervention Program Study Group. Effect of risedronate on the risk of hip fracture in elderly women. *N Engl J Med* 2001;344:333-40.

<sup>28</sup> Clemmesen B, Ravn P, Zegels B, Taquet AN, Christiansen C, Reginster JY. A 2-year phase II study with 1-year of follow-up of risedronate (NE-58095) in postmenopausal osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int* 1997;7:488-495.

<sup>29</sup> Fogelman I, Ribot C, Smith R, Ethgen D, Sod E, Reginster JY for the bmd-mm study group. Risedronate reverses bone loss in postmenopausal women with low bone mass: results from a multinational, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2000;85:1895-1900.

<sup>30</sup> Lindsay R, Silverman Si, Cooper C, Hanley DA, Barton I, Bkroy SB, et al. Risk of new vertebral fracture in the year following a fracture. *JAMA* 2001;285:320-323.

<sup>31</sup> Nevitt MC, Thompson DE, Black DM, Rubin SR, Ensrud K, Yates, AJ, et al. Effect of alendronate on limited-activity days and bed-disability days caused by back pain in postmenopausal women with existing vertebral fractures. *Arch Intern Med* 2000;160:77-85.

<sup>32</sup> Cranney A, tugwell P, Adachi J, Weaver B, Zytaruk, Papaioannou A, et al. for the osteoporosis methodology group and the osteoporosis research advisory group. III. Meta-analysis of risedronate for the treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. *Endocrin Rev* 2002;23:517-523.

<sup>33</sup> Adami S, Baroni MC, Broggin M, Carratelli L, Caruso I, Gnassi L, Laurenzi M, et al. Treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis with continuous daily oral alendronate in comparison with either placebo or intranasal salmon calcitonin. *Osteoporosis Int* 1993;Suppl.3:S21-27.

<sup>34</sup> Lindsay R, Cosman F, Lobo RA, Walsh RW, Harris ST, Reagan JE, et al. Addition of alendronate to ongoing hormone replacement therapy in the treatment of osteoporosis: a randomized, controlled clinical trial. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1999;84:3076-3081.

<sup>35</sup> Rittmaster RS, Bolognese M, Ettinger MP, Hanley DA, Hodsman AB, Kendler DL, Rosen CJ. Enhancement of bone mass in osteoporotic women with parathyroid hormone followed by alendronate. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2000;85:2129-2134.

<sup>36</sup> Bone HG, Greenspan SL, McKeever C, Bell N, Davidson M, Downs RW, et al. Alendronate and estrogen effects in postmenopausal women with low bone mineral density. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2000;85:720-726.

<sup>37</sup> Downs RW, Bell NH, Ettinger MP, Walsh BW, Favus MJ, Mako B, et al. Comparison of alendronate and intranasal calcitonin for treatment of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2000;85:1783-1788.

<sup>38</sup> Johnell O, Scheele WH, Lu Y, Reginster JY, Need AG, Seeman E. Additive effects of raloxifene and alendronate on bone density and biochemical markers of bone remodeling in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2002;87:985-992.

- <sup>39</sup> Harris ST, Eriksen EF, Davidson M, Ettinger MP, Moffett AH, Baylink DJ, et al. Effect of combined risedronate and hormone replacement therapies on bone mineral density in postmenopausal women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2001;86:1890-1897.
- <sup>40</sup> Hosking D, Chilvers CED, Christiansen C, Ravn P, Wasnich R, Ross P, et al. Prevention of bone loss with alendronate in postmenopausal women under 60 years of age. *N Engl J Med* 1998;338:485-492.
- <sup>41</sup> Ravn P, Bidstrup M, Washich RD, Davis JW, McClung MR, Balske A, et al. Alendronate and estrogen-progestin in the long-term prevention of bone loss: four-year results from the early postmenopausal intervention cohort study: a randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med* 1999;131:935-942.
- <sup>42</sup> McClung M, Clemmesen B, Daifotis A, Gilchrist NL, Eisman J, Weinstein RS, et al. Alendronate prevents postmenopausal bone loss in women without osteoporosis: a double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med* 1998;128:253-261.
- <sup>43</sup> Ravn P, Weiss SR, Rodriguez-Portales JA, McClung MR, Wasnich RD, Gilchrist NL, et al. Alendronate in early postmenopausal women: effects on bone mass during long-term treatment and after withdrawal. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2000;85:1492-1497.
- <sup>44</sup> Mortensen L, Charles P, Bekker PJ, Degennaro J, Jonston CC. Risedronate increases bone mass in an early postmenopausal population: two years of treatment plus one year of follow-up. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1998;83:396-402.
- <sup>45</sup> Saag KG, Emkey R, Schnitzer TJ, Brown JP, Hawkins F, Goemaere S, et al. Alendronate for the prevention and treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. *N Engl J Med* 1998;339:292-299.
- <sup>46</sup> Adachi JD, Saag KG, Delmas PD, Liberman UA, Emkey RD, Seeman E, et al. Two-year effects of alendronate on bone mineral density and vertebral fractures in patients receiving glucocorticoids: a randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled extension trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001;44:202-211.
- <sup>47</sup> Lau EMC, Woo J, Chan YH, Li M. Alendronate for the prevention of bone loss in patients on inhaled steroid therapy. *Bone* 2001;29:506-510.
- <sup>48</sup> Cohen S, Levy RM, Keller M, Boling E, Emkey DR, Greenwald M, et al. Risedronate therapy prevents corticosteroid-induced bone loss: a twelve-month, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999;42:2309-2318.
- <sup>49</sup> Wallach S, Cohen S, Reid DM, Hughes RA, Hosking DJ, Laan RF, et al. Effects of risedronate treatment on bone density and vertebral fracture in patients on corticosteroid therapy. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2000;67:277-285.
- <sup>50</sup> Reid DM, Hughes RA, Laan RFJM, Sacco-Gibson NA, Wenderoth DH, Adami S, et al. Efficacy and safety of daily risedronate in the treatment of corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis in men and women: a randomized trial. *J Bone Miner Res* 2000;15:1006-1013.
- <sup>51</sup> Elliott ME, Farrah RM, Binkey NC, Carnes ML, Gudmundsson A. Management of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis in male veterans. *Ann Pharmacother* 2000;23:1380-84.
- <sup>52</sup> American College of Rheumatology Ad Hoc Committee on glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. Recommendations for the prevention and treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001;44:1496-1503.
- <sup>53</sup> Orwoll E, Ettinger M, Wiess S, Miller P, Kendler D, Graham J, et al. Alendronate for the treatment of osteoporosis in men. *N Engl J Med* 2000;343:604-10.
- <sup>54</sup> Ringe JD, Faber J, Dorst A. Alendronate treatment of established primary osteoporosis in men: results of a 2-year prospective study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2001;86:5252-5255.
- <sup>55</sup> Reid DM, Adami S, Devogelaer JP, Chines AA. Risedronate increases bone density and reduces vertebral fracture risk within one year in men on corticosteroid therapy. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2001;69:242-247.
- <sup>56</sup> Ross RW, Small EJ. Osteoporosis in men treated with androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer. *J Urol* 2002;167:1952-1956.
- <sup>57</sup> Daneill HW. Osteoporosis after orchiectomy for prostate cancer. *J Urol* 1997;157:439-444.
- <sup>58</sup> Aubrey Stoch S, Parker RA, Chen L, Bublely G, Ko YJ, Vincelette A, Greenspan SL. Bone loss in men with prostate cancer treated with gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2001;86:2787-2791.

- <sup>59</sup> Leder BZ, Smith MR Fallon MA, Lee MLT, Finkelstein JS. Effects of gonadal steroid suppression on skeletal sensitivity to parathyroid hormone in men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2001;86:511-516.
- <sup>60</sup> Oefelein MG, Ricchuiti V, Conrad W, Seftel A, Bodner D, Goldman H, Resnick M. Skeletal fracture associated with androgen suppression induced osteoporosis: the clinical incidence and risk factors for patients with prostate cancer. *J Urol* 2001;166:1724-1728.
- <sup>61</sup> Riley RL, Carnes ML, Gudmundsson A, Elliott ME. Outcomes and secondary prevention strategies for male hip fractures. *Ann Pharmacother* 2002;36:17-23.
- <sup>62</sup> Colon-Emeric C, Yballe L, Sloane R, Pieper CF, Lyles KW. Expert physician recommendations and current practice patterns for evaluating and treating men with osteoporotic hip fracture. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2000;48:1261-1263.
- <sup>63</sup> Schnitzer T, Bone HG, Cepaldi G, Adami S, McClung M, Kiel D, et al. Therapeutic equivalence of alendronate 70mg once-weekly and alendronate 10mg daily in the treatment of osteoporosis. *Aging Clin Exp Res* 2000;12:1-12.
- <sup>64</sup> Lanza F, Sahba B, Schwartz H, Winograd S, Torosis J, Quan H, et al. The upper GI safety and tolerability of oral alendronate at a dose of 70 milligrams once weekly: a placebo-controlled endoscopy study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2002;97:58-64.
- <sup>65</sup> Brown JP, Kendler DL, McClung MR, Emkey RD, Adachi JD, Bolognese MA, et al. The efficacy and tolerability of risedronate once a week for the treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. *Calcif Tissue Int* (available online 27 June 2002). URL: <http://link.springer-ny.com/link/service/journals/00223/contents/02/2011/index.html>
- <sup>66</sup> Anza f, Rack M, Simon TJ, Lombardi A, Reyes R, Suryawanshi S. Effects of alendronate on gastric and duodenal mucosa. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1998;93:753-757.
- <sup>67</sup> Graham DY, Malaty HM. Alendronate gastric ulcers. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1999;13:515-519.
- <sup>68</sup> Marshall JK, Rainsford KD, James C, Hunt RH. A randomized controlled trial to assess Alendronate-associated injury of the upper gastrointestinal tract. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2000;14:1451-1457.
- <sup>69</sup> Lowe CE, Depew W, Vanner SJ, Paterson WG, Meddings JB. Upper gastrointestinal toxicity of alendronate. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2000;95:634-640.
- <sup>70</sup> Graham DY, Malaty HM. Alendronate and naproxen are synergistic for development of gastric ulcers. *Arch Intern Med* 2001;161:107-110.
- <sup>71</sup> Lanza F, Sahba B, Schwartz H, Winograd S, Torosis, Quan H, et al. The upper GI safety and tolerability of oral alendronate at a dose of 70 milligrams once weekly: a placebo-controlled endoscopy study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2002;97:58-64.
- <sup>72</sup> Ettinger B, Pressman A, Schein J, Chan J, Silver P, Connolly N. Alendronate use among 812 women: prevalence of gastrointestinal complaints, noncompliance with patient instructions, and discontinuation. *J Managed Care Pharm* 1998;4:488-492.
- <sup>73</sup> Bauer DC, Black D, Ensrud K, Thompson D, Hichberg M, Nevitt, et al. Upper gastrointestinal tract safety profile of Alendronate: the fracture intervention trial. *Arch Intern Med* 2000;160:517-525.
- <sup>74</sup> Miller PD, Woodson G, Licata AA, Ettinger MP, Mako B, Smith ME, et al. Rechallenge of patients who had discontinued Alendronate therapy because of upper gastrointestinal symptoms. *Clin Ther* 2000;22:1433-1442.
- <sup>75</sup> De Groen PC, Lubbe DF, Laurence CB, Hirsch LJ, Daifotis A, Stephenson W, Freedholm D, et al. Esophagitis associated with the use of alendronate. *N Eng J Med* 1996;335:1016-1021.
- <sup>76</sup> Lanza FL, Rack MG, Li Z, Krajewski SA, Blank MA. Placebo-controlled, randomized, evaluator-blinded endoscopy study of risedronate vs. aspirin in healthy postmenopausal women. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2000;14: 1663-1670.
- <sup>77</sup> Adachi JD, Adami S, Miller PD, Olszynski WP, Kendler DL, Silverman SL, et al. Tolerability of risedronate in postmenopausal women intolerant of alendronate. *Aging Clin Exp Res* 201;13:347-354.
- <sup>78</sup> Taggart H, Bolognese MA, Lindsay R, Ettinger MP, Mulder H, Josse RG, et al. Upper gastrointestinal tract safety of risedronate: a pooled analysis of 9 clinical trials. *May Clin Proc* 2002; 77:262-270.

---

<sup>79</sup> Lanza FL, Hunt RH, Thomson ABR, Provenza JM, Blank MA for the Risedronate endoscopy study group. Endoscopic comparison of esophageal and gastroduodenal effects of risedronate and alendronate in postmenopausal women. *Gastroenterology* 2000;119:631-638.

<sup>80</sup> Lanza F, Schwartz H, Sahba B, Malaty HM, Musliner T, Reyes R, et al. An endoscopic comparison of the effects of alendronate and risedronate on upper gastrointestinal mucosae. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2000;95:3112-3117.