

National Science Foundation



NCURA 50th Anniversary Conference
November 5th, 2008

Ask Early, Ask Often!


Name	Title	Contact
Mary Santonastasso	Division Director; Division of Institution & Award Support (DIAS); Office of Budget, Finance & Award Management (BFA)	msantona@nsf.gov 703.292.8230
Karen Tiplady	Division Director; Division of Grants & Agreements (DGA); Office of Budget, Finance & Award Management (BFA)	ktiplady@nsf.gov (703) 292-8210
Jean Feldman	Head, Policy Office; Division of Institution & Award Support (DIAS); Office of Budget, Finance & Award Management (BFA)	jfeldman@nsf.gov (703) 292-8243




Main Topics

- ★ Organizational & Budget Highlights
- ★ Challenges & Opportunities
- ★ America Competes Act Policy Update
- ★ Recent Changes to the Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide, Part I: Grant Proposal Guide
- ★ NSF Electronics Update

Organizational & Budget Highlights



NSF Organizational Chart



NSF: Recent Personnel Changes


- ★ Thomas W. Peterson selected as Assistant Director for Engineering
- ★ Dr. Edward Seidel named as Director of the Office of Cyberinfrastructure (OCI)
- ★ Dr. Tim Killeen named Assistant Director for Geosciences (GEO)
- ★ Dr. W. Lance Haworth appointed Director of the NSF Office of Integrative Activities
- ★ Dr. Henry Blount named Head, EPSCoR Office (EPSCoR moved to the Office of the Director)

FY 2009 Budget Request

★ The Big Picture

★ Total: \$6.85 billion

★ Increase: 13%




FY 2009 Budget Request by Appropriations Account (millions)


Appropriations Account	FY 2009 Request	Change from FY 2008 Request
Research & Related Activities	\$5,593.99	\$772.52 (16%)
Education & Human Resources	\$790.41	\$64.81 (8.9%)
Major Research Equipment & Facilities Construction	\$147.51	\$-73.23 (-33.2%)
Agency Operations & Award Management	\$305.06	\$23.27 (8.3%)
National Science Board	\$4.03	\$0.06 (1.5%)
Inspector General	\$13.10	\$1.67 (14.6%)
TOTAL, NSF	\$6,854.10	\$822.10 (13.6%)

FY 2009 Budget Highlights

- ★ Cross-Foundation Investments
- ★ Support for Research Grants
- ★ New Faculty & Beginning Investigators
- ★ Graduate Research Fellowships
- ★ Science & Technology Centers
- ★ Cybersecurity
- ★ International Science & Engineering
- ★ Oceans Research



FY 2009 Budget Highlights (continued)




- ★ Polar Research & Logistics
- ★ Major Research Equipment & Facilities Construction (MREFC)
- ★ Enriching the Education of STEM Teachers
- ★ Promoting Learning through Research and Evaluation
- ★ Broadening Participation
- ★ Interagency R&D Priorities
- ★ Stewardship

FY 2009 Outcomes



- ★ CR through March 6, 2009
- ★ Congressional action in February will likely be impacted by outcomes of the November elections
- ★ FY 2010 budget request will be submitted by next President – maybe ~ April 1, 2009

Challenges & Opportunities



Federal Funding Accountability & Transparency Act (FFATA)

- ★ Task Force formed (Nov. 2006 - led by OMB)
- ★ USAspending.gov launched (Feb. 2007)
- ★ Data elements defined
- ★ Impact: Place of performance; subawardee data entry

America Creating Opportunities to Meaningfully Promote Excellence in Technology, Education, and Science Act: America COMPETES Act

- ★ Signed into law on August 9, 2007
- ★ Shares goals of the American Competitiveness Initiative (ACI)
- ★ Focuses on three primary areas of importance:
 - ★ Increasing research investment;
 - ★ Strengthening educational opportunities in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics from elementary through graduate school;
 - ★ Developing an innovation infrastructure.

America COMPETES Act NSF Implementation

★ 5 internal working groups have been formed in the following areas:

★ Budget

★ Major Research Equipment & Facilities Construction

★ Education & Human Resources

★ Computer & Information Science & Engineering/Cyber Infrastructure

★ Policy




NSF's

**America Competes Act
Policy Provisions Update**



ACA Policy-Related Provisions of Interest to the Research Community

- ★ SEC 7008: Postdoctoral Research Fellows
- ★ SEC 7009: Responsible Conduct of Research
- ★ SEC 7010: Reporting of Research Results
- ★ SEC 7013: Cost Sharing



SEC 7008: Postdoctoral Research Fellows

- ★ “Mentoring - The Director shall require that all grant applications that include funding to support postdoctoral researchers include a description of the mentoring activities that will be provided for such individuals, and shall ensure that this part of the application is evaluated under the Foundation's broader impacts merit review criterion. Mentoring activities may include career counseling, training in preparing grant applications, guidance on ways to improve teaching skills, and training in research ethics.
- ★ Reports - The Director shall require that annual reports and the final report for research grants that include funding to support postdoctoral researchers include a description of the mentoring activities provided to such researchers.”

Section 7008 Implementation Strategy

- ★ Section 7008 has been implemented via revisions to the relevant sections of the Grant Proposal Guide (GPG), the FastLane Project Reporting System, and the Representative Activities of Broader Impacts document that is posted on the NSF website.
- ★ Each proposal that contains postdoctoral researchers must include, as a separate section within the Project Description, a description of the mentoring activities to be provided to such individuals.
- ★ No change to the existing 15-page project description limitation!

Section 7008 Implementation (continued)

- ★ The following new paragraph has been added to the Project Description section of the GPG:

“Each proposal that requests funding to support postdoctoral researchers must include, as a separate section within the 15-page project description, a description of the mentoring activities that will be provided for such individuals. Examples of mentoring activities include, but are not limited to: career counseling; training in preparation of grant proposals, publications and presentations; guidance on ways to improve teaching and mentoring skills; guidance on how to effectively collaborate with researchers from diverse backgrounds and disciplinary areas; and training in responsible professional practices. The proposed mentoring activities will be evaluated as part of the merit review process under the Foundation's broader impacts merit review criterion. Proposals that do not include a separate section on mentoring activities within the Project Description will be returned without review.”

Section 7008 Implementation (continued)

- ★ The Review Criteria section of the GPG has been revised to add language stating that the mentoring activities described in the Project Description will be evaluated under the Broader Impacts criterion.
- ★ The Return without Review section and the Proposal Preparation Checklist will emphasize that proposals that do not describe mentoring activities provided to postdoctoral researchers **will be** returned without review.

Section 7008 Implementation (continued)

- ★ The FastLane project reporting format is being modified to inform PIs of the requirement to report on the mentoring activities provided to postdoctoral researchers during the performance period.
 - ★ This includes any postdoctoral researcher not identified in the original proposal submission!



ACA Section 7008 Project Reporting Screenshots

Extracts from the FastLane Project Reporting System

Activities and Findings

This section will serve as your report to your program officer of your project's activities and findings. Please describe what you have done and what you have learned, broken down into four categories:

1. Describe the major research and education activities of the project. **What?** **Why?**
2. Describe the major findings resulting from these activities. **What?** **Why?**
3. Describe the opportunities for training and development provided by your project. **What?** **Why?**
4. Describe outreach activities your project has undertaken. **What?** **Why?**

3. Describe the opportunities for training, development and mentoring provided by your project.

If in doubt about the category in which to report a particular result, please use the **What?** buttons. If still in doubt, report in whichever category seems to you closest.

ACA Section 7008

Project Reporting Screenshots

Training and Development – What?

Please summarize how the project has contributed to the research and teaching skills and experience of those who have worked on the project, such as undergraduate students, graduate students, post-docs, college faculty, and K-12 teachers. If you have nothing (yet) to report, please click the corresponding button.

Outreach Activities – What?

Please summarize any project activities that aimed to reach out to members of communities who are not usually aware of your activities, for the purpose of enhancing participation in science learning and careers in science, public understanding of science and technology, or the like. If you have nothing (yet) to report, please click the corresponding button.

Later screens will invite you to identify any books or concrete products that have resulted from such activities and to say how the project has contributed beyond its own boundaries to education and development of human resources.

Please summarize the contributions to the research and teaching skills and experience of those who have worked on the project, including undergraduate students, graduate students, post-docs, college faculty, and K-12 teachers. If your project supported postdoctoral researchers, then you must include a summary of the mentoring activities conducted.

ACA Section 7008 Project Reporting Screenshots

Contributions to Human Resources Development – What?

Describe how your project has contributed to human resource development in science, engineering, and technology by:

- providing opportunities for research and teaching in science and engineering areas;
- improving the performance, skills, or attitudes of members of underrepresented groups that will improve their access to or retention in research and teaching careers;
- developing and disseminating new educational materials or providing scholarships; or
- providing exposure to science and technology for pre-college teachers, young people, and other non-scientist members of the public.]

Contributions to Human Resources Development – Why?

A major aim of NSF programs is to contribute to the human resource base for science and technology, including the base of understanding among those who are not themselves scientist or engineers. A core SF strategy is to encourage integration of research and education. NSF needs to know and be able to describe how the work we support actually furthers that aim and that strategy. Moreover, contributions of this sort are important in the evaluation of results from your project when we and reviewers are considering a new proposal.

- Providing opportunities for research, teaching and mentoring in science and engineering areas;

SEC 7009: Responsible Conduct of Research

- ★ “The Director shall require that each institution that applies for financial assistance from the Foundation for science and engineering research or education describe in its grant proposal a plan to provide appropriate training and oversight in the responsible and ethical conduct of research to undergraduate students, graduate students, and postdoctoral researchers participating in the proposed research project.”
- ★ The Ethics Education in Science and Engineering (EESE) program sponsored a workshop on August 25/26th to address RCR and responsible professional practices. Focus of the workshop was on pedagogy and what are the best ways to teach ethics and responsible conduct of research, the best way to deliver knowledge about these subjects and some advice on implementation issues.

SEC 7009: Responsible Conduct of Research (continued)

- ★ Observations from the workshop:
 - ★ On-line only training is less effective;
 - ★ Ethics training should be integrated into scientific and engineering research;
 - ★ Multiple approaches are needed;
 - ★ Time available for training must be considered;
 - ★ Content can vary by disciplinary areas and career age (undergrad versus postdoc);
 - ★ PIs should be positively involved;
 - ★ Mentoring can have negative effects on integrity, if the context of science and engineering is not considered – this directly leads to bad behavior; and
 - ★ Consideration should be given to funding (and maintenance of) a web-based clearinghouse that is easily accessible, user-friendly, and houses the many resources that currently exist on ethics education.

SEC 7009: Responsible Conduct of Research (continued)

- ★ Draft workshop will be submitted to NSF by the end of the calendar year.
- ★ Proposed implementation will consider the results from the workshop, as well as the following:
 - ★ A new certification requirement at the time of proposal submission that would stipulate that the institution has a plan to provide appropriate training and oversight in responsible and ethical conduct to undergrads/grads/and postdocs participating in the NSF-funded project;
 - ★ The role of the PI in describing the training proposed in the proposal; and
 - ★ The post award requirements for complying with the training requirement -- or is this another broader impact such as mentoring.

SEC 7009: Responsible Conduct of Research (continued)

- ★ NSF continues to plan to solicit public comment on the draft implementation plan from the grantee community via the Federal Register.
- ★ Anticipated release date of Federal Register notice is early winter 2009.



SEC 7010: Reporting of Research Results

- ★ “The Director shall ensure that all final project reports and citations of published research documents resulting from research funded, in whole or in part, by the Foundation, are made available to the public in a timely manner and in electronic form through the Foundation's Website.”
- ★ Implementation Status: The Foundation already provides citations of published research on our website. We do not, however, currently require a final “cumulative” report of funded activities.

The Foundation continues to discuss the appropriate mechanism for disseminating the outcomes of NSF-funded projects, including ways to minimize the associated burden on PIs and NSF staff.

SEC 7013: Cost Sharing

- ★ Section 7013 of the America COMPETES Act directed the National Science Board (Board) to “evaluate the impact of its [2004] policy to eliminate cost sharing for research grants and cooperative agreements for existing programs that were developed around industry partnerships and historically required industry cost sharing, such as the Engineering Research Centers and Industry/University Cooperative Research Centers.” The Act directed that the Board “also consider the impact that the cost sharing policy has on initiating new programs for which industry interest and participation are sought.”
- ★ The First NSB Cost Sharing Report was delivered to Congress on February 8, 2008.

Cost Sharing Update (continued)

- ★ The Board is continuing its study, focusing now on voluntary cost sharing, and the impact of both mandatory and voluntary cost sharing on broadening the participation of traditionally underrepresented groups and organizations.
- ★ A second, more comprehensive Board report is expected to be issued by the end of calendar year 2008, informed in part by two additional public roundtables to be held in Arlington, VA on July 9 and 10, 2008.



Cost Sharing Update (continued)

- ★ NSB Request for public comment was published in the Federal Register on August 6, 2008
- ★ Dr. Bement issued a Dear Colleague Letter encouraging the community to provide input.
- ★ Comments in response to the Federal Register Notice were due on October 1, 2008.
 - ★ The NSB received 80 comments in response to this notice.
 - ★ Thanks to COGR/AAU/NASULGC for the thoughtful response!

NSB solicited feedback on the following topics:

- (1) the relationship between cost sharing and NSF program goals;
- (2) the relationship between cost sharing and institutional competitiveness in NSF grant funding;
- (3) the role of cost sharing in the NSF merit review process;
- (4) the importance of types, sources, and timing of voluntary cost sharing;
- (5) effort associated with tracking and reporting cost-shared resources;
- (6) the relationship between cost sharing and institutional strategic investment;
- (7) options for ensuring equity in NSF grant funding when cost sharing is either required or volunteered;
- (8) research resources from state providers; and
- (9) research resources from industry providers.

STATUS of Programs

★ **ERC Program:**

- ★ Last solicitation issued: 07-521
- ★ Status of revision process for new solicitation: Language is currently being developed for inclusion in the next issuance of the ERC solicitation.

★ **I/UCRC Program:**

- ★ Last solicitation issued: 07-537 (issued as multi-year solicitation)
- ★ Next due date for full proposals is September 26, 2008. These proposals do not include a cost sharing requirement.
- ★ Status of revision process for new solicitation: New solicitation has been issued (08-591) which identifies the following cost sharing requirement:
 - ★ University recovery of indirect costs (F&A) shall be limited to 10% on the total expenditures of industry center membership fees.
 - ★ Letters of Intent are due on January 9, 2009.


Status of Programs (Cont'd)



★ EPSCoR Program:

- ★ New solicitation issued: 08-587
- ★ Cost sharing at a level of 50 percent of the amount requested from NSF is required for all proposals submitted in response to this solicitation. The proposed cost sharing must be shown on line M on the proposal budget. Documentation of the availability of cost sharing must be included in the proposal.

Other Significant Changes to the Proposal & Awards Policies & Procedures Guide: Part I - Grant Proposal Guide



Other Significant Changes

- ★ Faculty salary CLARIFICATION
- ★ Definition of PI
- ★ Replacement of the Small Grants for Exploratory Research Program with:
 - ★ RAPID
 - ★ EAGER



Existing Faculty Summer Salary Policy

“As a general policy, NSF recognizes that salaries of faculty members and other personnel associated directly with the project constitute appropriate direct costs and may be requested in proportion to the effort devoted to the project.

NSF regards research as one of the normal functions of faculty members at institutions of higher education. Compensation for time normally spent on research within the term of appointment is deemed to be included within the faculty member’s regular organizational salary.

Summer salary for faculty members at colleges and universities on academic-year appointments is limited to no more than two-ninths of their regular academic-year salary. This limit includes summer salary received from all NSF-funded grants.”

**The existing policy was originally issued in 1978;
Reference NSF 78-41: *Grants for Scientific Research***

AAU/COGR Concerns on Summer Salary


- ★ Contends that current guidance on faculty summer salary is “internally inconsistent”
- ★ Leaves institutions vulnerable to shifting compliance standards – and the attendant risk of punitive action from the NSF OIG
- ★ The Foundation’s historical practice of paying no more than 2/9s of regular academic-year is a striking exception to the other research funding agency
 - ★ Most agencies allow appropriate salary charges on awards at any time during the year in accordance with when and how the research effort is actually expended.

Revised Faculty Salary Policy



- ★ Limits salary compensation for senior project personnel to no more than two months of their regular salary in any one year:
 - ★ The limit includes salary compensation received from all NSF-funded awards.
 - ★ Broadens the previous policy away from the concept of “two summer months” and allows senior project personnel to schedule work when appropriate throughout the year.
 - ★ Any compensation in excess of two months must be specifically justified in the proposal, and if approved by NSF, will be included in the award budget.

PI/co-PI Definition



- ★ In January 2005, OSTP issued a new policy regarding the treatment – and recognition - of multiple Principal Investigators under Federal research awards.
- ★ Agencies were tasked with development of their final implementation plans for posting to the RBM website.
- ★ The Foundation has long permitted proposers to identify multiple PIs (through use of the terms PI and co-PI(s)) on proposals submitted to NSF.
 - ★ The first set of proposal preparation guidelines that provided the ability to identify multiple PIs was issued in 1963.
 - ★ NSF has an excellent track record in implementing this concept in our proposal preparation guidelines, electronic systems, recognition of separately submitted collaborative proposals from multiple institutions, as well as access to proposal and award information by PIs and co-PIs.

PI/co-PI Definition (Continued)


- ★ From an NSF perspective, the most significant issue regarding development of the Foundation's implementation plan related to assessment of our PI definition to ensure compliance with the OSTP definition.
- ★ Upon consideration of this issue, NSF modified its PI definition to read as follows:

(co) Principal Investigator(s) -- the individual(s) designated by the proposer, and approved by NSF, who will be responsible for the scientific or technical direction of the project. NSF does not infer any distinction in scientific stature among multiple PIs, whether referred to as PI or co-PI. If more than one, the first one listed will serve as the contact PI, with whom all communications between NSF program officials and the project relating to the scientific, technical, and budgetary aspects of the project should take place. The PI and any identified co-PIs, however, will be jointly responsible for submission of the requisite project reports.

Revisions to the SGER Mechanism

★ Why two new mechanisms?

- ★ Increase visibility
- ★ Reduce confusion
- ★ Increase flexibility
- ★ Clarify guidance about proposal submission



Grants for Rapid Response Research (RAPID)

Rapid release of funds and expedited merit review

The RAPID funding mechanism would be used for projects having a:

“severe urgency with regard to availability of, or access to data, facilities or specialized equipment, including quick-response research on natural or anthropogenic disasters and similar unanticipated events”

RAPID (continued)

- ★ Requests may be for up to \$200K and of one year duration. Award size, however, should be consistent with:
 - ★ Project scope
 - ★ Existing grants in similar areas
- ★ Only internal review required (external review in rare cases permissible with notification to PI)
- ★ No cost extensions and requests for supplemental funding in accordance with existing NSF policies
- ★ Follow-on full proposals – “RAPID renewals” – externally reviewed

EArlly-concept Grants for Exploratory Research (EAGER)

- ★ Exploratory work on *potentially transformative* untested/novel research ideas or approaches in their early stages
- ★ High risk-high payoff projects that
 - ★ Involve radically different approaches;
 - ★ Apply new expertise; or,
 - ★ Engage novel disciplinary or interdisciplinary perspectives

PTR is already supported by NSF in many ways – EAGER would be just one more way!!

EAGER (continued)

- ★ Requests may be for up to \$300K and up to two years duration. Award size, however, should be consistent with:

- ★ Project scope

- ★ Existing grants in similar areas


- ★ Review process, no cost extensions, requests for supplemental funding, and follow-on full proposals would follow same rules as RAPID proposals

New Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide Implementation

- ★ Posted on the NSF website on October 1, 2008
- ★ Effective date is January 5th, 2009
- ★ All new funding opportunities with target/deadline dates after January 5th, 2009 will be subject to the new requirements



Electronic Initiatives





★ NSF Grants.gov Implementation

★ Research.gov



NSF Grants.gov Implementation in FY 2009

- ★ Unless otherwise specified, optional submission for the vast majority of NSF programs
 - ★ Note that after October 1, 2008, any funding opportunity that is posted will require use of FastLane until Adobe forms are implemented!!
- ★ Will not be used until a Grants.gov solution has been developed for:
 - ★ Separately submitted collaborative proposals
 - ★ Fellowship programs that require submission of reference letters

What is Research.gov?


Research.gov is a...

- ★ An initiative that enables applicants and awardees to:
 - ★ access a menu of services;
 - ★ for multiple federal agencies; and,
 - ★ in one place
- ★ Modernization of FastLane that provides a menu of services:
 - ★ tailored to meeting the unique needs of the research community
 - ★ aimed at easing the grants administrative burden
- ★ Research-oriented solution for delivering services under the Grants Management Line of Business initiative.

NSF Grants Management Environment



End-to-End Proposal, Award
and Financial Management
Functions



“Find” Funding
Opportunities
and “Apply” for
Grants

★ Users can log into **FastLane & Research.gov** using the **same user name, NSF ID and password**

What does Research.gov offer today?

Research.gov POWERING KNOWLEDGE AND INNOVATION

Home | Contact Us | Site Map | Help Welcome Anonymous | October 06, 2008

About Research.gov

Who We Are

Led by the National Science Foundation (NSF), Research.gov is a partnership of federal research-oriented grant making agencies with a shared vision of increasing customer service for the research community, while streamlining and standardizing business processes amongst partner agencies. [MORE](#)

Service Offerings

- Policy Library
- Research Spending and Results
- Partnership Model
- Partner Agency List
- Latest News
- Frequently Asked Questions

Apply For Grants

- [Grants.gov](#)
- [HSF FastLane](#)
- [NASA INSPIRES](#)

Feedback

- [Tell Us What You Think](#)

Why should I Use Research.gov?
Get the answers >>

Services
Online tools to help you manage your grants
Welcome to Research.gov. Below is a list of services that we offer. Select a service from below to find out more.

- Research Spending and Results**
NSF and NASA award information available to be searched by the public in compliance with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006.
- Policy Library**
An electronic library that consolidates Federal and agency-specific policies, guidelines and procedures for use by Federal agencies and the awardee community. Agency-specific documents are included only for Research.gov partner agencies.
- Grants Application Status**
COMING SOON. Principal Investigators and Sponsored Research Offices check the status of grant applications, from submission to decision, submitted to NSF and USDA/CSREES. Account Required.
- Institution and User Management**
COMING SOON. Online tool for Administrators to grants user rights and privileges. Account Required.
- Federal Financial Report**
COMING SOON. Online tool to complete and submit grant financial reports using the new government-wide standard form. Account Required.

Events

- October 9 - 9, 2008**
[NSF Day at the University of Alabama in Huntsville](#)
Outreach
- October 19 - 20, 2008**
[Center for Advanced Knowledge Abatement IAB Meeting](#)
Partnership meeting
- December 3 - 4, 2008**
[CHREC Annual Workshop \(CAW08\)](#)
Partnership meeting

[View All Events](#)

My Weather

64°F
Cloudy

Arlington, VA
Mon, 06 Oct 2008 10:52 am EDT
Enter Your Zip: [go!](#)

Public Services

- ★ Research Spending & Results
- ★ Policy Library
- ★ Research News & Events

Beta Services

- ★ Grants Application Status
- ★ Federal Financial Report
- ★ Institution & User Management

Research.gov Partnerships

- ★ **Research.gov** simplifies the research community's access to information and grant services for **multiple federal agencies**
 - ★ National Science Foundation (Lead)
 - ★ National Aeronautics and Space Administration (Partner)
 - ★ Department of Defense Research (Partner)
 - ★ USDA's Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service (Partner)



Public Facing Services: Research Spending and Results

- ★ Search for award information, including publication citations and award abstracts.
- ★ You can now search both NSF & NASA award in Research.gov!!

Research.gov POWERING KNOWLEDGE AND INNOVATION

Home | Contact Us | Site Map | Help | Welcome Anonym

About Research.gov

Who We Are

Led by the National Science Foundation (NSF), Research.gov is a partnership of federal research-oriented grant making agencies with a shared vision of increasing customer service for the research community, while streamlining and standardizing business processes amongst partner agencies. [MORE](#)

Service Offerings

Policy Library

Research Spending and Results

Partnership Model

Partner Agency List

Latest News

Frequently Asked Questions

Apply For Grants

[Grants.gov](#)

[NSF FastLane](#)

Feedback

[Tell Us What You Think](#)

Research Spending and Results

Research Spending and Results Search

Quick Search by any of the following:

Hint: The 'The Awardee or Award Information' text field searches for Awardee, Title, Abstract, and Federal Awardee or Award Information: (full text search, put quotes around phrases)

Funds Obligated to Date Between: \$ And \$ (i.e. 50000 And 100000)

Project Director/Principal Investigator: (also searches for co-PDs/co-PIs)

Award Date Between: And

Research.gov displays awards active in FY2007 and beyond with total obligations of \$25K or greater.

Research Spending and Results

Research Spending and Results

2000 awards found: "space"
A maximum of 2000 awards are displayed. If you did not find the information you are looking for, please refine your search.

[REFINE SEARCH](#)

Adjust Font Size: [A](#) [A](#) [A](#)

[VIEW ALL](#) [Printable Version](#)

PAGE: 1 of 80 | [1](#) [2](#) [3](#) [4](#) [5](#) [6](#) [7](#) [8](#) [NEXT PAGE >>](#)

Agency	Awardee	Awardee Location	Federal Award ID Number	Funds Obligated to Date	PI/PI Name	Award Title	Award Date
NASA	BAYLOR COLLEGE MEDICINE	HOUSTON, TX	NCC958	\$256,555,931	sutton, jeff	NATIONAL SPACE BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE	03/26/1997
NASA	KANSAS STATE UNIV	MANHATTAN, KS	NAG21274	\$995,660	CHAPES, STEPHEN K	DIFFERENTIATION OF BONE MARROW MACROPHAGES IN SPACE-PHASE A	12/28/1998
NSF	UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON	SEATTLE, WA	9911036	\$2,461,523	Eriksen, Charles C	Collaborative Research: Incorporation of Sensors into Autonomous Gliders for 4-D Measurement of Bio-Optical and Chemical Parameters	08/27/1999

Public-Facing Services: Policy Library

- ★ Find Federal and agency-specific policies, guidelines and procedures.

Research.gov POWERING KNOWLEDGE AND INNOVATION

Home | Contact Us | Site Map | Help Welcome Anonymous | May 14, 2008

About Research.gov

Who We Are
Led by the National Science Foundation (NSF), Research.gov is a partnership of federal research-oriented grant making agencies with a shared vision of increasing customer service for the research community, while streamlining and standardizing business processes amongst partner agencies. [MORE](#)

Service Offerings

- [Policy Library](#)
- [Research Spending and Results](#)
- [Partnership Model](#)
- [Partner Agency List](#)
- [Latest News](#)
- [Frequently Asked Questions](#)

Apply For Grants

- [Grants.gov](#)
- [NSF FastLane](#)

Feedback

- [Tell Us What You Think](#)

Policy Library

[Policy Home](#) | [Relevant Statutes](#) | [Regulations](#) | [OMB Circulars & Agency-Specific Policies](#) | [Draft Policies](#) | [Terms & Conditions](#) | [FDP](#) | [Specialized Topics](#) | [OSTP](#) | [RBM](#) | [Science & Engineering Statistics](#) | [Other Resources](#)

Policy Library

An electronic library that consolidates Federal and agency-specific policies, guidelines and procedures for use by Federal agencies and the awardee community. Agency-specific documents are included only for Research.gov partner agencies.

Relevant Statutes

Relevant statutes applicable to grant programs and grants management initiatives. [MORE](#)

Regulations

Government-wide and agency-specific regulations relating to grants and cooperative agreements. [MORE](#)

OMB Circulars & Agency-Specific Policies

OMB Circulars and agency-specific policy documents for Research.gov partner agencies. [MORE](#)

IGNet Federal Inspectors General

Inspectors General across the US government. Information on IGs, how to handle concerns about or allegations of fraud, waste, abuse or research misconduct as well as the conduct of investigations and audits. Links to other related web sites. [MORE](#)

Draft Policies

Links to Government-wide or agency-specific draft grant policies. [MORE](#)

Terms & Conditions

Agency-specific and Federal Demonstration Partnership (FDP) terms and conditions that govern grants and cooperative agreements. [MORE](#)

Federal Demonstration Partnership

The [Federal Demonstration Partnership](#) (FDP) is a cooperative initiative among federal agencies and institutional recipients of federal funds. It was established to increase research productivity by streamlining the administrative process and minimizing the administrative burden on principal investigators while maintaining effective stewardship of federal funds. A brief history of the FDP, operating procedures, prior approval requirements, and terms and conditions for awards from FDP member federal agencies. [MORE](#)

- [FDP Phase V Solicitation](#)

Public-Facing Services: Research News and Events

- ★ View highlighted research activities for NSF, NASA, and USDA/CSREES.

Headlines

October 29, 2008 [View All Headlines](#)



[NSF Enables Pakistan to Connect to Global Research Community through New High Speed Link](#)
October 28, 2008 - The National Science Foundation (NSF) and the Pakistan Higher Education Commission (HEC) applaud the creation of a functional U.S.-Pakistan network connection. [More](#)



[Hottest Ever Exoplanet Discovered: WASP-12b](#)
October 15, 2008 - Planets approximately the size of Jupiter orbiting close to their star in other systems are often referred to as "Hot Jupiters." It would appear that a new classification is required: *Very Hot and Very Fast Jupiters*. [More](#)



[Decoding the Cattle Genome](#)
October 01, 2008 - As a treasure map may lead to buried treasure, scientists are following genetic markers to predict the genetic makeup of agriculturally important animals. The next generation "map" of genotyping and genome sequencing technologies may identify the traits that underlie the expression of growth, development... [More](#)

Beta Services: Grants Application Status

- ★ As a researcher or sponsored program office, you can view the status of proposals submitted to NSF and USDA/CSREES

**Coming
soon!
Check
Application
Status for
Army
Research
Office**

Grants Application Dashboard							
Grants Application Dashboard BETA							
Show my recent grant applications for <input type="button" value="All"/> View all Recent Grant Applications							
Please Note: Grant Application Statuses are updated nightly for NSF and every Friday for CSREES.							
<u>Agency</u>	<u>Agency Tracking Number</u>	<u>PD/PI Name</u>	<u>Legal Name</u>	<u>Grant Application Title</u>	<u>Status</u>	<u>Status Date</u>	<u>Requested Amount</u>
NSF	0810311	Wilkerson, John	U of Washington	DUSEL R&D tow...	Pending...	08/13/2008	\$1,099,991
NSF	0651604	McCallum, Ian	U of Washington	Sit amet...	Pending...	08/13/2008	\$831,338
CSREES	2007-01300	Tester, John	UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON	Prasent...	Awarded	05/08/2008	\$119,521
CSREES	2007-01776	Testcase, Donna	UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON	Fusce et...	Decline...	05/07/2008	\$995,981

Beta Services: Federal Financial Report

- ★ Complete and submit grant financial reports using the new government-wide standard form.

Federal Financial Report (FFR) Dashboard

Financial Report Dashboard BETA

[Federal Financial Report \(FFR\)](#) : 3 total FFRs
Requires Your Action (0) | Pending Agency Action (1) | Approved (2)

Federal Financial Report

Federal Financial Report History BETA

Adjust Font Size: [A](#) [A](#) [A](#)

3 FFRs found
PAGE: 1 of 1

Quarter Ending	Status	Required	FFR Type
06/30/2008	Submitted	Required	FFR
12/31/2007	Approved	Required	FFR
09/30/2007	Approved	Required	FFR

Export options:  [Excel](#)

This is Just the Beginning...

- ★ More Research.gov services are coming soon:
 - ★ **InCommon Pilot** – Tool to allow grantees to login to Research.gov using credentials issued by their research institution.
 - ★ **Researcher Profile Update** - Integrated online tool to allow Principal Investigators and Reviewers to update their profile in one easy place.
 - ★ **Research Performance Progress Reports** - Online tool to complete and submit research performance progress reports using the new government-wide research and related dataset.

Discover Research.gov today!!

Research.gov POWERING KNOWLEDGE AND INNOVATION

Home | Contact Us | Site Map | Help Welcome Anonymous | October 06, 2008

About Research.gov

Who We Are
Led by the National Science Foundation (NSF), Research.gov is a partnership of federal research-oriented grant making agencies with a shared vision of increasing customer service for the research community, while streamlining and standardizing business processes amongst partner agencies. [MORE](#)

Service Offerings

Policy Library

Research Spending and Results

Partnership Model

Partner Agency List

Latest News

Frequently Asked Questions

Apply For Grants

[Grants.gov](#)

[NSF FastLane](#)

[NASA INSPIRES](#)

Feedback

[Tell Us What You Think](#)

Why should I Use Research.gov?
Get the answers >>

Services
Online tools to help you manage your grants
Welcome to Research.gov. Below is a list of services that we offer. Select a service from below to find out more.

- Research Spending and Results**
NSF and NASA award information available to be searched by the public in compliance with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006.
- Policy Library**
An electronic library that consolidates Federal and agency-specific policies, guidelines and procedures for use by Federal agencies and the awardee community. Agency-specific documents are included only for Research.gov partner agencies.
- Grants Application Status**
COMING SOON. Principal Investigators and Sponsored Research Offices check the status of grant applications, from submission to decision, submitted to NSF and USDA/CSREES. Account Required.
- Institution and User Management**
COMING SOON. Online tool for Administrators to grants user rights and privileges. Account Required.
- Federal Financial Report**
COMING SOON. Online tool to complete and submit grant financial reports using the new government-wide standard form. Account Required.

Events

October 9 - 9, 2008
[NSF Day at the University of Alabama in Huntsville](#)
Outreach

October 19 - 20, 2008
[Center for Advanced Knowledge Abatement IAB Meeting](#)
Partnership meeting

December 3 - 4, 2008
[CHREC Annual Workshop \(CAW08\)](#)
Partnership meeting

[View All Events](#)

My Weather

64°F
Cloudy

Arlington, VA
Mon, 06 Oct 2008 10:52 am EDT

Enter Your Zip:
 [go!](#)

Visit Research.gov
at:

www.research.gov

Questions or
comments?

Email us at:

feedback@research.gov

[OV.](#)

Accessing Documents on the NSF Website

★ www.nsf.gov

★ Proposal & Award Policies & Procedures Guide

★ Proposal Preparation & Submission

★ Grant Proposal Guide

★ Frequently Asked Questions

★ Award Administration

★ Award & Administration Guide

★ Grant & Agreement Conditions

★ Frequently Asked Questions

