

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

DRAFT ICCVAM TEST METHOD RECOMMENDATIONS

LLNA Limit Dose Procedure

January 7, 2008

15 **1.0 Draft Recommendations: Test Method Uses and Limitations**

16 *Background:* ICCVAM is currently evaluating the validation status of the LLNA limit
17 dose procedure proposed as a reduction alternative to the traditional LLNA. Unlike the
18 traditional LLNA, which requires the use of three dose groups, the LLNA limit dose
19 procedure uses a single dose group and therefore does not generate dose response
20 information. This evaluation examined 471 traditional LLNA studies (466 substances,
21 153 non-sensitizers and 313 sensitizers) and builds on a recent assessment of this
22 procedure by the ECVAM Scientific Advisory Committee (ESAC 2007), which reviewed
23 an evaluation of 211 traditional LLNA studies (211 substances) by Kimber et al. (2006).
24 Based on this analysis, the LLNA limit dose procedure correctly identified all 153 non-
25 sensitizers (specificity of 100%) and correctly identified 308 of 313 sensitizers
26 (sensitivity of 98.4%). Of the five incorrectly classified sensitizers, four were considered
27 “weak” sensitizers and one was considered a “moderate” sensitizer based on a proposed
28 potency categorization scheme using EC3 values (Gerberick et al. 2004). No “extreme”
29 or “strong” sensitizers were underpredicted as non-sensitizers. The overall accuracy
30 (concordance) with the traditional LLNA is 98.9% (461/466). Additional information and
31 discussion of these results are provided in the draft Background Review Document
32 (ICCVAM 2007).

33 *Draft Recommendation:* The LLNA limit dose procedure should be used for the hazard
34 identification of skin sensitizing substances, if dose response information is not needed,
35 provided there is adherence to all other LLNA protocol specifications, as described in
36 ICCVAM (1999), Dean et al. (2001), and EPA (2003). This recommendation is based on
37 its performance compared to the traditional LLNA and because the limit dose procedure
38 reduces animal use by 40% compared to the traditional LLNA, In addition, users of this
39 test method should specifically be aware that:

- 40 • The limit dose should be the highest soluble concentration that does not
41 induce overt systemic toxicity and/or excessive local irritation (ICCVAM
42 1999, Dean et al. 2001); any other approach, such as one based on using a
43 pre-established threshold dose level is inappropriate.

- 44 – For example, Kimber et al. (2006) have proposed a 10% threshold
45 concentration at which all negative results would be considered
46 valid. However, 51 substances (16% [51/313]) within the NICEATM
47 database of LLNA studies were non-sensitizers at concentrations of
48 $\leq 10\%$, but sensitizers at concentrations $>10\%$.
- 49 • Vehicle selection should be based on the recommendations provided in the
50 ICCVAM recommended LLNA protocol (ICCVAM 1999, Dean et al.
51 2001).
- 52 • As noted, there is a small possibility of a false negative result (1.6%
53 [5/313]) when compared to the traditional LLNA. This information should
54 be considered when evaluating results from the limit dose procedure, and
55 negative results should always be subjected to a weight-of-evidence
56 evaluation of supplemental information (e.g., possibility of downturn in
57 response at the limit dose, test results with similar substances, peptide
58 binding activity, other testing data). If false negative results are suggested,
59 confirmatory testing in the traditional LLNA or another accepted skin
60 sensitization test method should be considered.
- 61 • All of the testing limitations that apply to the traditional LLNA apply also
62 to the LLNA limit dose procedure. For example, consistent with the
63 traditional LLNA, the LLNA limit dose procedure may not be suitable for
64 use with certain types of test substances, such as metallic compounds,
65 mixtures, high molecular weight compounds that cannot penetrate the
66 stratum corneum, strong dermal irritants, chemicals whose
67 pharmacodynamic activity is to release dermal cytokines that cause local
68 lymph node proliferation (e.g., certain pharmaceuticals such as imiquimod
69 [Gaspari 2007]), and materials that do not adhere to the ear for an
70 acceptable time during the experiment.

71

71 **2.0 Draft Recommendations: Test Method Protocol for the LLNA Limit Dose**
72 **Procedure**

73 The test method protocol used to conduct the LLNA limit dose procedure should be
74 identical to the traditional LLNA test method protocol except for the number of dose
75 groups tested. In the LLNA limit dose procedure, in addition to the concurrent vehicle
76 and positive control groups, each test substance is tested at one dose level only (the high
77 dose), whereas in the traditional LLNA, each test substance is tested at a minimum of
78 three dose levels. In both cases, the high dose is the maximum concentration consistent
79 with solubility and the need to avoid local and other systemic adverse effects. In both
80 procedures, a Stimulation Index (SI) is calculated as the ratio of ³H-thymidine
81 incorporation in the auricular lymph nodes of the treated animals in the group with the
82 highest response to that in the vehicle control animals. In the LLNA limit dose procedure,
83 as in the traditional LLNA, the threshold for classifying a substance as a skin sensitizer is
84 an SI ≥ 3.

85 The ICCVAM recommended LLNA protocol, which is based on an Independent Expert
86 Peer Review Panel Evaluation of the LLNA (ICCVAM 1999), can be found on the
87 ICCVAM-NICEATM website at
88 <http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/immunotox/llnadocs/LLNAProt.pdf> (ICCVAM
89 2001). The LLNA procedure is also described in the EPA Health Effects Test Guidelines
90 (EPA 2003) and a modified version is described in OECD TG 429 (OECD 2002).

91 **3.0 Draft Recommendations: Future Studies**

92 To further improve the predictive performance of the LLNA limit dose procedure
93 compared to the traditional LLNA, additional efforts should be made to understand and
94 reduce the few substances falsely identified as non-sensitizers. Efforts should be made to
95 identify guinea pig or human data and human experience for these and other substances
96 that exhibit abnormal dose responses in the LLNA, including collection and assessment
97 of post-marketing and/or occupational exposure information.

98

98 **4.0 Draft Performance Standards**

99 ICCVAM is currently developing performance standards for the traditional LLNA, which
100 may in turn be applied to the LLNA limit dose procedure

101 (http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/docs/immunotox_docs/llna/LLNAPerfStd12Sep07FD.pdf).

102 These draft test method performance standards are proposed to evaluate the performance
103 of LLNA test methods that incorporate specific modifications to measure lymphocyte
104 proliferation compared to the traditional LLNA. Limit dose procedures based on
105 modified test method protocols that adhere to the LLNA performance standards would
106 therefore likely be considered acceptable for hazard identification purposes.