NOTICE OF OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET ACTION

Date 06/03/2008

Department of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration FOR CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: Suzanne Hilding FOR CLEARANCE OFFICER: Diana Hynek

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, OMB has taken action on your request received <u>06/03/2008</u>

ACTION REQUESTED: <u>Revision of a currently approved collection</u> TYPE OF REVIEW REQUESTED: <u>Regular</u> ICR REFERENCE NUMBER: <u>200806-0648-006</u> AGENCY ICR TRACKING NUMBER: TITLE: <u>Northeast Region Observer Providers Requirements</u> LIST OF INFORMATION COLLECTIONS: See next page

OMB ACTION: <u>Approved without change</u> OMB CONTROL NUMBER: <u>0648-0546</u> The agency is required to display the OMB Control Number and inform respondents of its legal significance in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.5(b).

DISCONTINUE DATE:

		-	
BURDEN:	RESPONSES	HOURS	COSTS
Previous	1,424	611	6,000
New	1,740	619	6,010
Difference			
Change due to New Statute	0	0	0
Change due to Agency Discretion	316	8	10
Change due to Agency Adjustment	0	0	0
Change Due to Potential Violation of the P	RA 0	0	0

TERMS OF CLEARANCE:

EXPIRATION DATE: 06/30/2011

OMB Authorizing Official:

Kevin F. Neyland Deputy Administrator, Office Of Information And Regulatory Affairs

List of ICs					
IC Title	Form No.	Form Name	CFR Citation		
Northeast Region Observer Providers - Original Requirements			50 CFR 648		
Observer contact list updates			50 CFR 648		
Observer availability updates			50 CFR 648		
Service provider material submissions			50 CFR 648		
Service provider contract submissions			50 CFR 648		

PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION

Please read the instructions before completing this form. For additiona Paperwork Clearance Officer. Send two copies of this form, the collect additional documentation to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affa 725 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20503.	Il forms or assistance in completing this form, contact your agency's ion instrument to be reviewed, the supporting statement, and any airs, Office of Management and Budget, Docket Library, Room 10102,		
1. Agency/Subagency originating request	2. OMB control number b. [] None a		
 3. Type of information collection (<i>check one</i>) a. [] New Collection b. [] Revision of a currently approved collection c. [] Extension of a currently approved collection d. [] Reinstatement, without change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired 	 4. Type of review requested (<i>check one</i>) a. [] Regular submission b. [] Emergency - Approval requested by / / c. [] Delegated 5. Small entities Will this information collection have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities? [] Yes [] No 		
 e. [] Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired f. [] Existing collection in use without an OMB control number For b-f, note Item A2 of Supporting Statement instructions 7. Title 	 Requested expiration date Requested expiration date Three years from approval date Three years from approval date Three years from approval date 		
8. Agency form number(s) (<i>if applicable</i>)			
9. Keywords 10. Abstract			
 11. Affected public (<i>Mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "x"</i>) a Individuals or households d Farms b Business or other for-profite Federal Government c Not-for-profit institutions f State, Local or Tribal Government 	 12. Obligation to respond (<i>check one</i>) a. [] Voluntary b. [] Required to obtain or retain benefits c. [] Mandatory 		
13. Annual recordkeeping and reporting burden a. Number of respondents b. Total annual responses 1. Percentage of these responses collected electronically % c. Total annual hours requested d. Current OMB inventory e. Difference f. Explanation of difference 1. Program change 2. Adjustment	14. Annual reporting and recordkeeping cost burden (in thousands of dollars) a. Total annualized capital/startup costs b. Total annual costs (O&M) c. Total annualized cost requested d. Current OMB inventory e. Difference f. Explanation of difference 1. Program change 2. Adjustment		
 15. Purpose of information collection (<i>Mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "X"</i>) aApplication for benefits eProgram planning or management bProgram evaluation fResearch cGeneral purpose statistics gRegulatory or compliance dAudit 	16. Frequency of recordkeeping or reporting <i>(check all that apply)</i> a. []Recordkeeping b. []Third party disclosure c. []Reporting 1. []On occasion 2. []Weekly 3. []Monthly 4. []Quarterly 5. []Semi-annually 6. []Annually 7. []Biennially 8. []Other (describe)		
17. Statistical methods Does this information collection employ statistical methods [] Yes [] No	 18. Agency Contact (person who can best answer questions regarding the content of this submission) Name: Phone: 		

19. Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions

On behalf of this Federal Agency, I certify that the collection of information encompassed by this request complies with 5 CFR 1320.9

NOTE: The text of 5 CFR 1320.9, and the related provisions of 5 CFR 1320.8(b)(3), appear at the end of the instructions. *The certification is to be made with reference to those regulatory provisions as set forth in the instructions.*

The following is a summary of the topics, regarding the proposed collection of information, that the certification covers:

- (a) It is necessary for the proper performance of agency functions;
- (b) It avoids unnecessary duplication;
- (c) It reduces burden on small entities;
- (d) It used plain, coherent, and unambiguous terminology that is understandable to respondents;
- (e) Its implementation will be consistent and compatible with current reporting and recordkeeping practices;
- (f) It indicates the retention period for recordkeeping requirements;
- (g) It informs respondents of the information called for under 5 CFR 1320.8(b)(3):
 - (i) Why the information is being collected;
 - (ii) Use of information;
 - (iii) Burden estimate;
 - (iv) Nature of response (voluntary, required for a benefit, mandatory);
 - (v) Nature and extent of confidentiality; and
 - (vi) Need to display currently valid OMB control number;
- (h) It was developed by an office that has planned and allocated resources for the efficient and effective management and use of the information to be collected (see note in Item 19 of instructions);
- (i) It uses effective and efficient statistical survey methodology; and
- (j) It makes appropriate use of information technology.

If you are unable to certify compliance with any of the provisions, identify the item below and explain the reason in Item 18 of the Supporting Statement.

Signature of Senior Official or designee

Date

Agency Certification (signature of Assistant Administrator, Deputy Assistant Administrator, Line Office Chief Information Officer, head of MB staff for L.O.s, or of the Director of a Program or StaffOffice)				
Signature	Date			
Signature of NOAA Clearance Officer				
Signature	Date			

SUPPORTING STATEMENT NORTHEAST REGION OBSERVER PROVIDERS REQUIREMENTS OMB CONTROL NO.: 0648-0546

INTRODUCTION

Amendment 13 to the Atlantic Sea Scallop Fishery Management Plan (Scallop FMP) implemented an industry funded observer program which included reporting requirements for both observer service providers as well as the scallop fishing industry. Framework 19 proposes to further refine this program.

Observer coverage in the scallop fishery is necessary to monitor the bycatch of finfish including yellowtail flounder, skates, monkfish, cod, and other species. Monitoring of yellowtail flounder bycatch in the Scallop Access Areas within the year round closed areas under the Northeast (NE) Multispecies FMP is of particular concern because the scallop fishery is constrained by a fishery-specific Total Allowable Catch (TAC) of yellowtail flounder, which is part of the stock-wide yellowtail flounder TAC set by the NE Multispecies FMP to achieve specified mortality targets for the species. Observer coverage is also needed to monitor interactions of the scallop fishery with endangered and threatened sea turtles.

A. JUSTIFICATION

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary.

In Regulation Identifier Number (RIN) 0648-AV90, Framework 19 to the Scallop FMP proposes the following measures to further refine and improve the industry funded observer program as implemented through Amendment 13 to the Scallop FMP:

- Service providers would provide and maintain an updated list of contact information for all observers. This would facilitate the ability of the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) Northeast Fisheries Observer Program (NEFOP) to contact observers.
- Service providers would provide and maintain a listing of whether or not the observer is "in service", indicating when the observer has requested leave and/or is not currently working for the Industry-Funded program. This would facilitate the ability of NMFS/NEFOP to confirm observer availability.
- Service providers must submit to NMFS/NEFOP, if requested, copies of any materials developed and distributed to vessels, such as informational pamphlets, payment notification, description of observer duties, etc. This would allow NMFS/NEFOP to ensure information that is distributed to industry is accurate and in keeping with the objectives of the observer program.
- Service providers must submit to NMFS/NEFOP, if requested, a copy of each type of signed and valid contract (including all attachments, appendices, addendums, and exhibits incorporated into the contract) between the observer provider and those entities requiring observer services. This would allow NMFS/NEFOP to ensure contractual

information is accurate and in keeping with the objectives of the observer program and to help resolve disagreements between industry and the service provider.

2. <u>Explain how, by whom, how frequently, and for what purpose the information will be</u> <u>used. If the information collected will be disseminated to the public or used to support</u> <u>information that will be disseminated to the public, then explain how the collection</u> <u>complies with all applicable Information Quality Guidelines</u>.

Framework 19 to the Scallop FMP would implement additional reporting requirements that would be used by NMFS/NEFOP to more effectively administer the scallop observer program.

The updated observer contact list would facilitate the ability of NMFS/NEFOP to contact an observer when necessary. This list would be updated by the service provider as necessary.

The observer status report would facilitate the ability of NMFS/NEFOP to confirm observer availability, or the lack thereof, when a provider notifies NFMS/NEFOP of instances when no observers were available for deployment. This list would be updated by the service provider as necessary.

NMFS/NEFOP may request service providers to provide copies of materials provided to the fishing industry. This would allow NMFS/NEFOP to ensure such materials are accurate and in keeping with the objectives of the program. This information would likely be solicited when changes to such materials are made.

NMFS/NEFOP may request service providers to provide a copy of each type of signed and valid contract between the observer provider and those entities requiring observer services. This would allow NMFS/NEFOP to resolve contract disputes between the provider and industry, and to ensure provider contracts with their employees are in keeping with the objectives of the observer program. This information would likely be solicited when changes to such materials are made.

NMFS would retain control over the information and safeguard it from improper access, modification, and destruction, consistent with National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) standards for confidentiality, privacy, and electronic information. See response #10 of this Supporting Statement for more information on confidentiality and privacy. The information collection is designed to yield data that meet all applicable information quality guidelines. Prior to dissemination, the information would be subjected to quality control measures and predissemination review pursuant to Section 515 of Public law 106-554.

3. <u>Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological techniques or other forms of information technology</u>.

The observer providers submit materials to NMFS/NEFOP via e-mail, fax or postal service.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication.

The application processes and information submissions for the observer provider are unique to this program, and direct duplication with other collections does not exist.

5. <u>If the collection of information involves small businesses or other small entities, describe the methods used to minimize burden</u>.

This collection of information does not impose a significant impact on small entities.

6. <u>Describe the consequences to the Federal program or policy activities if the collection is</u> not conducted or is conducted less frequently.

All information is required for the efficient operation of the Observer Program and must be submitted in the time frames requested. Collecting this information less frequently would jeopardize the goals and objectives of the Observer Program and the effective management of the scallop fishery.

7. <u>Explain any special circumstances that require the collection to be conducted in a</u> manner inconsistent with OMB guidelines.

Service providers would be required to submit observer contact and availability information as necessary, which may be more often than quarterly. This to ensure NMFS/NEFOP observer data is accurate and up to date.

NMFS/NEFOP may request service provider materials as necessary, which may be more frequent than quarterly. This is to ensure materials provided to industry and observers are accurate, up to date, and in keeping with observer program objectives and policies.

8. <u>Provide a copy of the PRA Federal Register notice that solicited public comments on the information collection prior to this submission. Summarize the public comments received in response to that notice and describe the actions taken by the agency in response to those comments. Describe the efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and recordkeeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.</u>

A proposed rule, RIN 0648-AV90, will solicit public comment on these information collection requirements.

The Council held 10 public meetings that received public comment during the development of Framework 19 to the FMP. These meetings took place from November 2006, through October 2007.

9. <u>Explain any decisions to provide payments or gifts to respondents, other than</u> remuneration of contractors or grantees.

No payment or gift to respondents is provided under this program for observer service providers who choose to apply.

10. <u>Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for</u> assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.

The information collected is confidential under section 402(b) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act (16 U.S.C. 1881a, et seq.).

11. <u>Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private</u>.

This collection of information does not request any information that are of a sensitive nature.

12. <u>Provide an estimate in hours of the burden of the collection of information</u>.

Total estimated respondents affected by Framework 19: 2. Total estimated responses resulting from Framework 19: 316 (12 observer contact list updates, 300 observer availability updates, 2 service provider material submissions, 2 service provider contract submissions). Total estimated burden hours resulting from Framework 19: 8 (1 hour for observer contact list updates, 5 hours for observer availability updates, 1 hour for service provider material submissions, 1 hour for service provider contract submissions). Total estimated labor cost resulting from Framework 19: \$120.

Total estimated respondents for entire information collection: 805 (unchanged). Total estimated responses: 1,740. Total estimated burden hours: 619. Total estimated labor cost: \$14,323.

13. <u>Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to the respondents or record-</u> keepers resulting from the collection (excluding the value of the burden hours in #12 <u>above</u>).

Total estimated miscellaneous costs resulting from Framework 19: \$10 in mailing costs (\$5 mailing cost for service provider material submissions, \$5 mailing cost for service provider contract submissions). Total estimated miscellaneous costs: \$6,269.51.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government.

Total estimated government costs resulting from Framework 19: \$180. Estimated total cost: \$22,560.

15. <u>Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments reported in Items 13 or 14 of the OMB 83-I</u>.

Burden and costs would increase by approximately 316 responses, 8 hours and \$10 as a result of Framework 19.

Maintaining an up-to-date observer contact list is estimated to entail 5 minutes per response, 12 responses per year, for a total of 1 burden hour annually. These updates do not have any associated miscellaneous costs, as they are expected to be transmitted via e-mail.

Maintaining an up-to-date observer availability list is estimated to entail 1 minute per response, 300 responses per year, for a total of 5 burden hours annually. These updates do not have any associated miscellaneous costs, as they are expected to be transmitted via e-mail.

It is estimated that NMFS/NEFOP would request copies of service provider outreach materials once a year. It is estimated it would take 30 minutes to submit this information, for a total burden of 1 hour. It is estimated the service providers would incur a total of \$5 in mailing costs to submit these materials.

It is estimated that NMFS/NEFOP would request copies of service provider contracts once a year. It is estimated it would take 30 minutes to submit this information, for a total burden of 1 hour. It is estimated the service providers would incur a total of \$5 in mailing costs to submit these materials.

16. <u>For collections whose results will be published, outline the plans for tabulation and publication</u>.

NMFS has no plans to tabulate or publish the results of this information collection

17. <u>If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons why display would be inappropriate</u>.

No forms are used in this collection. The information is collected through a written narrative, reports without forms, and by phone. NMFS will display the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) number and expiration date on information outlining the requirements provided to prospective observer service providers.

18. <u>Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in Item 19 of the OMB</u> <u>83-I</u>.

No exceptions to the certification statement are requested.

B. COLLECTIONS OF INFORMATION EMPLOYING STATISTICAL METHODS

This collection does not employ statistical methods.

SECTION 515 PRE-DISSEMINATION REVIEW & DOCUMENTATION GUIDELINES

Background

Section 515 of the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106-554, aka the Data Quality Act or Information Quality Act) directed the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to issue government-wide guidelines that "provide policy and procedural guidance to federal agencies for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information (including statistical information) disseminated by federal agencies." OMB complied by issuing guidelines which direct each federal agency to 1) issue its own guidelines; 2) establish administrative mechanisms allowing affected persons to seek and obtain correction of information that does not comply with the OMB 515 Guidelines or the agency guidelines; and 3) report periodically to OMB on the number and nature of complaints received by the agency and how the complaints were handled. The OMB Guidelines can be found at: http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/fedreg/reproducible2.pdf

The Department of Commerce Guidelines can be found at: <u>http://www.osec.doc.gov/cio/oipr/iqg.htm</u>

The NOAA Section 515 Information Quality Guidelines, created with input and reviews from each of the components of NOAA Fisheries, went into effect on October 1, 2002. The NOAA Information Quality Guidelines are posted on the NOAA home page under "Information Quality." <u>http://www.noaanews.noaa.gov/stories/iq.htm</u>

The guidelines apply to a wide variety of government information products and all types of media, including printed, electronic, broadcast or other. The guidelines define "Information" as, "any communication or representation of knowledge such as facts or data, in any medium or form, including textual, numerical, graphic, cartographic, narrative, or audiovisual forms." For example, this definition includes information that an agency disseminates from a web page. The guidelines define "Dissemination" as, "agency initiated or sponsored distribution of information to the public." Explicitly **not** included within this term is distribution limited to "government employees or agency contractors or grantees; intra- or inter-agency use or sharing of government information; and responses to requests for agency records under the Freedom of Information Act, the Privacy Act, the Federal Advisory Committee Act or other similar law." It also does not include distribution limited to correspondence with individuals or persons, press releases, archival records, public filings, subpoenas or adjudicative processes. (See the NOAA IQ Guidelines, pgs 5-6).

To assist in Data Quality Act compliance, NOAA Fisheries has established a series of actions that should be completed for each new information product subject to the Data Quality Act. (See "Information Generation and Compliance Documentation" and "Pre-Dissemination Review" below.) In addition to the information contained in this document, familiarity with the NOAA Section 515 Information Quality Guidelines (http://www.noaanews.noaa.gov/stories/ig.htm) is crucial for NOAA Fisheries employees who engage in the generation and dissemination of information.

Information Generation and Compliance Documentation

• The fundamental step in the process is to create a Sec. 515 Information Quality file for each new information product. To aid in this process, a Section 515 Pre-Dissemination Review and Documentation form has been created. These guidelines are intended to serve as a supplement to the Pre-Dissemination Review and Documentation Form. The basic steps to the documentation process are outlined below.

• Complete general information (e.g., author/responsible office, title/description) section of the form.

• Determine the information category (i.e., original data; synthesized products; interpreted products; hydrometeorological, hazardous chemical spill, and space weather warnings, forecasts, and advisories; experimental products; natural resource plans; corporate and general information). For most information products, you will only need to check one box. More complex documents may be an "aggregate" of different categories of information products.

• Generate the information in a way that meets each of the applicable standards for the appropriate information category. See the NOAA Information Quality Guidelines.

• Document how the standards for **utility, integrity** and **objectivity** are met for each information product, describing what measures were taken to meet each of the applicable standards. Use the 2 page Pre-Dissemination Review & Documentation Form to document compliance with the Utility and Integrity standards contained in NOAA's Information Quality Guidelines. The Utility and Integrity standards pertain to all categories of information disseminated by NOAA. Use these guidelines (pgs 4-11) to document compliance with the applicable objectivity standards for your information product and attach that documentation to the Pre-Dissemination Review & Documentation Form.

• Maintain the Sec. 515 Information Quality file in a readily accessible place. Pre-Dissemination Review

• Before information is disseminated, it must be reviewed for compliance with the NOAA Sec. 515 Information Quality Guidelines. This is accomplished by reviewing the information and the Sec. 515 Information Quality file.

• The Pre-Dissemination Review should be conducted during the normal course of clearing the information product for release. The person conducting the Pre-Dissemination Review will sign and date the Pre-Dissemination Review & Documentation Form. The reviewing official must be at least one level above the person generating the information product.

• The Pre-Dissemination Review form and the supporting information quality documentation must accompany the information product through the clearance process and be maintained on file.

Completing the Section 515 Pre-Dissemination Review & Documentation Form

Using the Section 515 Pre-Dissemination Review & Documentation Form and these guidelines, document how the information product meets the

Data Quality Act

following standards for **Utility, Integrity** and **Objectivity. Please note:** Use the Pre-Dissemination Review & Documentation Form to document how the information product complies with the Utility and Integrity standards that pertain to all categories of information products. The Utility and Integrity standards are presented here for your convenience. Use these guidelines to explain how the information product meets the applicable Objectivity standards for the information product and attach that documentation to the Pre-Dissemination Review & Documentation Form.

I. Utility of Information Product

Utility means that disseminated information is useful to its intended users. "Useful" means that the content of the information is helpful, beneficial, or serviceable to its intended users, or that the information supports the usefulness of other disseminated information by making it more accessible or easier to read, see, understand, obtain or use.

A. Is the information helpful, beneficial or serviceable to the intended user? Explain.

B. Who are the intended users of the data or information product? (e.g., the American public; other federal agencies; state and local governments; recreational concerns; national and international organizations). Is this data or information product an improvement over previously available information? Is it more detailed or current? Is it more useful or accessible to the public? Has it been improved based on comments or interactions with users?

C. What media are used in the dissemination of the information? Printed publications? CD-ROM? Internet?

Is the product made available in a standard data format?

Does it use consistent attribute naming and unit conventions to ensure that the information is accessible to a broad range of users with a variety of operating systems and data needs?

II. Integrity of Information Product

Integrity refers to security - the protection of information from unauthorized access or revision, to ensure that the information is not compromised through corruption or falsification. Prior to dissemination, NOAA information, independent of the specific intended distribution mechanism, is safeguarded from improper access, modification, or destruction, to a degree commensurate with the risk and magnitude of harm that could result from the loss, misuse, or unauthorized access to or modification of such information. Please note: all electronic information disseminated by NOAA adheres to the standards set forth in paragraph A below. If the information product is disseminated electronically, simply circle paragraph II(A) on the form. You may also contact your IT Manager for further information.

Explain (circle) how the information product meets the following standards for integrity:

A. All electronic information disseminated by NOAA adheres to the standards set out in Appendix III, "Security of Automated Information Resources," OMB Circular A-130; the Computer Security Act; and the Government Information Security Reform Act.

B. If information is confidential, it is safeguarded pursuant to the Privacy Act and Titles 13, 15, and 22 of the U. S. Code (confidentiality of census, business and financial information).

C. Other/Discussion

(e.g., 50 CFR 600, Subpart E, Confidentiality of Statistics of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act; NOAA Administrative Order 216-100, Protection of Confidential Fisheries Statistics; 50 CFR 229.11, Confidentiality of information collected under the Marine Mammal Protection Act.)

III. Objectivity of Information Product

(1) Indicate which one of the following categories of information products apply for this product (check one):

- Original Data go to Section A
- Synthesized Products go to Section B
- Interpreted Products go to Section C
- Hydrometeorological, Hazardous Chemical Spill, and Space Weather Warnings, Forecasts, and Advisories go to Section D
- Experimental Products go to Section E
- Natural Resource Plans go to Section F
- Corporate and General Information go to Section G

(2) Describe how this information product meets the applicable objectivity standards.

<u>General Standard:</u> Information is presented in an accurate, clear, complete, and unbiased manner, and in proper context. The substance of the information is accurate, reliable, and unbiased; in the scientific, financial or statistical context, original and supporting data are generated and the analytical results are developed using sound, commonly accepted scientific and research methods. "Accurate" means that information is within an acceptable degree of imprecision or error appropriate to the particular kind of information at issue and otherwise meets commonly accepted scientific, financial and statistical standards.

If the information is "influential," that is, it is expected to have a genuinely clear and substantial impact on major public policy and private sector decisions, it is noted as such and it is presented with the highest degree of transparency. If influential information constitutes an assessment of risks to human health, safety or the environment, indicate whether the risk assessment was qualitative or quantitative, and describe which SDWA-adapted quality standards at page 9 of NOAA's Section 515 Information Quality Guidelines were applied to the information product.

Use of third party information in the product (information not collected or generated by NOAA) is only done when the information is of known quality and consistent with NOAA's Section 515 Guidelines; any limitations, assumptions, collection methods, or uncertainties concerning the information are taken into account and disclosed.

<u>Specific Standards:</u> Specific objectivity standards for categories of information products disseminated by NOAA are listed below. Document how the general and specific objectivity standards for the particular information product were met.

A. <u>Original Data</u>

Original Data are data in their most basic useful form. These are data from individual times and locations that have not been summarized or processed to higher levels of analysis. While these data are often derived from other direct measurements (e.g., spectral signatures from a chemical analyzer, electronic signals from current meters), they represent properties of the environment. These data can be disseminated in both real time and retrospectively. Examples of original data include buoy data, survey data (e.g., living marine resource and hydrographic surveys), biological and chemical properties, weather observations, and satellite data.

Objectivity of original data is achieved using sound quality control techniques.

Detail how the data collection methods, systems, instruments, training, and/or tools are appropriate to meet the requirements of the intended users.

Were the methods, systems, instruments, etc., validated before use?

Were standard operating procedures (SOPs) followed for time series data collections? If not, document the valid scientific reasons for the deviation.

Document the quality control techniques used, for example:

- Gross error checks for data that fall outside of physically realistic ranges (e.g., a minimum, maximum or maximum change)
- Comparisons made with other independent sources of the same measurement
- Examination of individual time series and statistical summaries
- Application of sensor drift coefficients determined by a comparison of pre- and post-deployment calibrations
- Visual inspection of data

Describe any evolution and/or improvements in survey techniques, instrument performance and/or data processing.

Have metadata record descriptions and explanations of the methods and quality controls to which original data are subjected been included in the disseminated product? If not, they must be made available upon request.

B. <u>Synthesized Products</u>

Synthesized Products are those that have been developed through analysis of original data. This includes analysis through statistical methods; model interpolations, extrapolations, and simulations; and combinations of multiple sets of original data. While some scientific evaluation and judgment is needed, the methods of analysis are well documented and relatively routine. Examples of synthesized products include summaries of fisheries landings statistics, weather statistics, model outputs, data display through Geographical Information System techniques, and satellite-derived maps.

The objectivity of synthesized products is achieved by using data of known quality, applying sound analytical techniques, and reviewing the products or processes used to create them before dissemination. For synthesized products, please document the following:

Identify data sources (preferred option) or be prepared to make them available upon request.

Are the data used of known quality or from sources acceptable to the relevant scientific and technical communities?

Are the methods used to create the synthesized product published in standard methods manuals or generally accepted by the relevant scientific and technical communities? Are the methods documented in readily accessible formats by the disseminating office?

Describe the review process used to ensure the validity of the synthesized product or the procedures used to create them, e.g., statistical procedures, models, or other analysis tools.

If the synthesized product is unique or not regularly produced, was this product reviewed by internal and/or external experts?

If this is a routinely produced synthesized product, was the process for developing the product reviewed by internal and/or external experts?

Does the synthesized product include information about the methods used to create the product? If not, the methods must be made available upon request.

C. <u>Interpreted Products</u>

Interpreted Products are those that have been developed through interpretation of original data and synthesized products. In many cases, this information incorporates additional contextual and/or normative data, standards, or information that puts original data and synthesized products into larger spatial, temporal, or issue contexts. This information is subject to scientific interpretation, evaluation, and judgment. Examples of interpreted products include journal articles, scientific papers, technical reports, and production of and contributions to integrated assessments.

Objectivity of interpreted products is achieved by using data of known quality or from sources acceptable to the relevant scientific and technical communities and reliable supporting products, applying sound analytical techniques, presenting the information in the proper context, and reviewing the products before dissemination.

Are all data and information sources identified or properly referenced?

Are the methods used to create the interpreted product generally accepted by the relevant scientific and technical communities? Is information concerning the quality and limitations of the interpreted product provided to help the user assess the suitability of the product for the user's application?

Describe the review process used to ensure that the product is valid, complete, unbiased, objective and relevant. For example, peer reviews, ranging from internal peer review by staff who were not involved in the development of the product to formal, independent, external peer review. The review should be conducted at a level commensurate with the importance of the interpreted product.

Does the interpreted product include a description of the methods used to create the product? If not, they must be made available upon

request.

D. Hydrometeorological, Hazardous Chemical Spill, and Space Weather

Warnings, Forecasts, and Advisories

Hydrometeorological, Hazardous Chemical Spill, and Space Weather Warnings, Forecasts, and Advisories are time-critical interpretations of original data and synthesized products, prepared under tight time constraints and covering relatively short, discrete time periods. As such, these warnings, forecasts, and advisories represent the best possible information in given circumstances. They are subject to scientific interpretation, evaluation, and judgment. Some products in this category, such as weather forecasts, are routinely prepared. Other products, such as tornado warnings, hazardous chemical spill trajectories, and solar flare alerts, are of an urgent nature and are prepared for unique circumstances.

Objectivity of information in this category is achieved by using reliable data collection methods and sound analytical techniques and systems to ensure the highest possible level of accuracy given the time critical nature of the products.

What is the source of the data or information used in the product? Are the data used of known quality or from sources acceptable to the relevant scientific and technical communities? Are the sources included in the information product? If not, they must be made available upon request. Are the methods used to create the product generally accepted by the relevant scientific and technical communities?

Please note if individual best judgment was used due to the time-critical nature of the product.

What mechanisms were used to evaluate the accuracy of the information product? Statistical analysis may be carried out for a subset of products for verification purposes.

E. <u>Experimental Products</u>

Experimental products are products that are experimental (in the sense that their quality has not yet been fully determined) in nature, or are products that are based in part on experimental capabilities or algorithms. Experimental products fall into two classes. They are either (1) disseminated for experimental use, evaluation or feedback, or (2) used in cases where, in the view of qualified scientists who are operating in an urgent situation in which the timely flow of vital information is crucial to human health, safety, or the environment, the danger to human health, safety, or the environment will be lessened if every tool available is used. Examples of experimental products include imagery or data from non-NOAA sources, algorithms currently being tested and evaluated, experimental climate forecasts, and satellite imagery processed with developmental algorithms for urgent needs (e.g., wildfire detection).

Objectivity of experimental products is achieved by using the best science and supporting studies available, in accordance with sound and objective scientific practices, evaluated in the relevant scientific and technical communities, and peer-reviewed where feasible.

Describe the science and/or supporting studies used, the evaluation techniques used, and note any peer-review of the experimental product. Were the results of initial tests or evaluations made available where possible? Describe the review, by the appropriate NOAA unit, of the experimental products and capabilities documentation, along with any tests or evaluations.

Are explicit limitations provided concerning the quality of the experimental product? Is the degree of uncertainty indicated? Describe the testing process used, e.g., the experimental product or capabilities are used only after careful testing, evaluation, and review by NOAA experts, and then are approved for provisional use only by selected field offices or other NOAA components. This process is repeated as needed to ensure an acceptable and reliable level of quality.

F. <u>Natural Resource Plans</u>

Natural Resource Plans are information products that are prescribed by law and have content, structure, and public review processes (where applicable) that will be based upon published standards, e.g., statutory or regulatory guidelines. Examples of such published standards include the National Standard Guidelines (50 CFR Part 600, Subpart D), Essential Fish Habitat Guidelines, and Operational Guidelines - Fishery Management Plan Process, all under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act; and the National Marine Sanctuary Management Plan Handbook (16 U.S.C. section 1434) under the National Marine Sanctuary Act. These Natural Resource Plans are a composite of several types of information (e.g., scientific, management, stakeholder input, and agency policy) from a variety of internal and external sources. Examples of Natural Resources Plans include fishery, protected resource, and sanctuary management plans and regulations, and natural resource restoration plans.

Objectivity of Natural Resource Plans will be achieved by adhering to published standards, using information of known quality or from sources acceptable to the relevant scientific and technical communities, presenting the information in the proper context, and reviewing the products before dissemination.

What published standard(s) governs the creation of the Natural Resource Plan? Does the Plan adhere to the published standards? (See the NOAA Sec. 515 Information Quality Guidelines, Section II(F) for links to the published standards for the Plans disseminated by NOAA.)

Was the Plan developed using the best information available? Please explain.

Have clear distinctions been drawn between policy choices and the supporting science upon which they are based? Have all supporting materials, information, data and analyses used within the Plan been properly referenced to ensure transparency? Describe the review process of the Plan by technically qualified individuals to ensure that the Plan is valid, complete, unbiased, objective and relevant. For example, internal review by staff who were not involved in the development of the Plan to formal, independent, external peer review. The level of review should be commensurate with the importance of the Plan and the constraints imposed by legally enforceable deadlines.

G. <u>Corporate and General Information</u>

Corporate or general information includes all non-scientific, non-financial, non-statistical information. Examples include program and organizational descriptions, brochures, pamphlets, education and outreach materials, newsletters, and other general descriptions of NOAA operations and capabilities.

Corporate and general information disseminated by NOAA must be presented in a clear, complete, and unbiased manner, and in a context that enhances usability to the intended audience. To the extent possible, identify the sources of the disseminated information, consistent with confidentiality, privacy and security considerations and protections, and taking into account timely presentation, the medium of dissemination, and the importance of the information, balanced against the resources required and the time available.

Information disseminated by NOAA is reliable and accurate to an acceptable degree of error as determined by factors such as the importance of the information, the intended use, time sensitivity, expected degree of permanence, relation to the primary mission(s) of the disseminating office, and the context of the dissemination, balanced against the resources required and the time available.

For non-scientific, non-statistical information, has the information product been reasonably determined to be factually correct in the view of the disseminating office as of the time of dissemination?

Describe the review process for the information product. Review can be accomplished in a number of ways, including but not limited to combinations of the following:

- Active personal review of information by supervisory and management layers, either by reviewing each individual dissemination, or selected samples, or by any other reasonable method.
- Use of quality check lists, charts, statistics, or other means of tracking quality, completeness, and usefulness.
- Process design and monitoring to ensure that the process itself imposes checks on information quality .
- Review during information preparation.
- Use of management controls.
- Any other method, which serves to enhance the accuracy, reliability and objectivity of the information.

16 U.S.C. 1881a MSA § 402

104-297

SEC. 402. INFORMATION COLLECTION

16 U.S.C. 1881a

109-479

(a) COLLECTION PROGRAMS.—

(1) COUNCIL REQUESTS.—If a Council determines that additional information would be beneficial for developing, implementing, or revising a fishery management plan or for determining whether a fishery is in need of management, the Council may request that the Secretary implement an information collection program for the fishery which would provide the types of information specified by the Council. The Secretary shall undertake such an information collection program if he determines that the need is justified, and shall promulgate regulations to implement the program within 60 days after such determination is made. If the Secretary determines that the need for an information collection program is not justified, the Secretary shall inform the Council of the reasons for such determination in writing. The determinations of the Secretary under this paragraph regarding a Council request shall be made within a reasonable period of time after receipt of that request.

(2) SECRETARIAL INITIATION.—If the Secretary determines that additional information is necessary for developing, implementing, revising, or monitoring a fishery management plan, or for determining whether a fishery is in need of management, the Secretary may, by regulation, implement an information collection or observer program requiring submission of such additional information for the fishery.

109-479

(b) CONFIDENTIALITY OF INFORMATION.-

(1) Any information submitted to the Secretary, a State fishery management agency, or a marine fisheries commission by any person in compliance with the requirements of this Act shall be confidential and shall not be disclosed except—

(A) to Federal employees and Council employees who are responsible for fishery management plan development, monitoring, or enforcement;

(B) to State or Marine Fisheries Commission employees as necessary to further the Department's mission, subject to a confidentiality agreement that prohibits public disclosure of the identity of business of any person;

(C) to State employees who are responsible for fishery management plan enforcement, if the States employing those employees have entered into a fishery enforcement agreement with the Secretary and the agreement is in effect;

(D) when required by court order;

(E) when such information is used by State, Council, or Marine Fisheries Commission employees to verify catch under a limited access program, but only to the extent that such use is consistent with subparagraph (B);

(F) when the Secretary has obtained written authorization from the person submitting such information to release such information to persons for reasons not otherwise provided for in this subsection, and such release does not violate other requirements of this Act;

(G) when such information is required to be submitted to the Secretary for any determination under a limited access program; or

(H) in support of homeland and national security activities, including the Coast Guard's homeland security missions as defined in section 888(a)(2) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 468(a)(2)).

(2) Any observer information shall be confidential and shall not be disclosed, except in accordance with the requirements of subparagraphs (A) through (H) of paragraph (1), or—

(A) as authorized by a fishery management plan or regulations under the authority of the North Pacific Council to allow disclosure to the public of weekly summary bycatch information identified by vessel or for haul-specific bycatch information without vessel identification;

(B) when such information is necessary in proceedings to adjudicate observer certifications; or

(C) as authorized by any regulations issued under paragraph (3) allowing the collection of observer information, pursuant to a confidentiality agreement between the observers, observer employers, and the Secretary prohibiting disclosure of the information by the observers or observer employers, in order—

(i) to allow the sharing of observer information among observers and between observers and observer employers as necessary to train and prepare observers for deployments on specific vessels; or

(ii) to validate the accuracy of the observer information collected.

(3) The Secretary shall, by regulation, prescribe such procedures as may be necessary to preserve the confidentiality of information submitted in compliance with any requirement or regulation under this Act, except that the Secretary may release or make public any such information in any aggregate or summary form which does not directly or indirectly disclose the identity or business of any person who submits such information. Nothing in this subsection shall be interpreted or construed to prevent the use for conservation and management purposes by the Secretary, or with the approval of the Secretary, the Council, of any information submitted in compliance with any requirement or regulation under this Act or the use, release, or publication of bycatch information pursuant to paragraph (2)(A).

(c) RESTRICTION ON USE OF CERTAIN INFORMATION.-

(1) The Secretary shall promulgate regulations to restrict the use, in civil enforcement or criminal proceedings under this Act, the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361 et seq.), and the Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), of information collected by voluntary fishery data collectors, including sea samplers, while aboard any vessel for conservation and management purposes if the presence of such a fishery data collector aboard is not required by any of such Acts or regulations thereunder.

(2) The Secretary may not require the submission of a Federal or State income tax return or statement as a prerequisite for issuance of a permit until such time as the Secretary has promulgated regulations to ensure the confidentiality of information contained in such return or statement, to limit the information submitted to that necessary to achieve a demonstrated conservation and management purpose, and to provide appropriate penalties for violation of such regulations.