(Key Measures are in bold) (G = Green; Y = Yellow; R = Red)

(G -	3 - Green; 1 - Tenow; K - Keuj						T3 / 200 /
	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 20	003	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2004 Plan
<b>Veterans Health Administration</b>							
Medical Care	P&F ID Codes: 36-0162-0-1-703; 36-4014-0-3-705			36-0160-0-1-703; 36-4537-0-4-705		36-0152-0 36-8180-0-7-	•
Resources							
FTE	183,396	183,602	184,209	18	7,049	194,039	193,593
Medical care costs (\$ in millions)	\$20,318	\$22,553	\$24,368	\$2	7,654	\$30,773	\$30,841
Performance Measures	Goal Achieved						
			Goal	Acniev	/ea		
Percent of patients rating VA health care service as very good or excellent:							
Inpatient (through March)	66%	64%	70%	(1)	<b>74</b> %	* 74% G	70%
Outpatient (through March) (1) Correction	64%	65%	<b>71</b> %	(1)	73%	* 72% G	72%
Percent of primary care appointments scheduled within 30 days of desired date (through June)	N/A	87%	89%		93%	* 94% G	93%
Percent of specialist appointments scheduled within 30 days of desired date (1) results as of 9/30, (2) reflects cum. for year, (3) 8 clinical areas now included instead of 5 (through June)	N/A	(1) 84%	(1) 86%	(2)	89%	* (3) 93% G	(3) 90%
Percent of patients who report being seen within 20 minutes of scheduled appointments at VA health care facilities (through March)	N/A	63%	65%		67%	* 69% G	65%
Average waiting time for next available appointment in primary care clinics (in days) (through June)	N/A	37.5	37		25	* 18 G	34
Average waiting time for next available appointment in specialty clinics (in days) (through June)	N/A	N/A	N/A		45	* 27 G	30
Percent of all patients evaluated for the risk factors for hepatitis C (through June)	N/A	51%	85%		95%	* 98% G	90%
Percent of all patients tested for hepatitis C subsequent to a positive hepatitis C risk factor screening (through June)	N/A	48%	62%		84%	* 97% G	85%
Clinical Practice Guidelines Index (through June)	N/A	N/A	Baseline		70%	* 77% G	70%
Prevention Index II (through June)	N/A	80%	82%		83%	* 88% G	82%
Percent of clinical software patches installed							
on time:							
CPRS (through June)	N/A	67%	70%		96%	* 98% G	72%
BCMA (through June)	N/A	82%	85%		94%	* 96% G	87%
Imaging (through June)	N/A	57%	60%		88%	* 89% G	62%
Ratio of collections to billings (expressed as a percentage) (estimated actual)	28%	31%	37%		41%	* 41% G	41%

1,002

895

900

Acute Bed Days of Care (BDOC)/1000

(estimated actual)

1,000

\* 1,000 G

1,000

<sup>\*</sup> These are preliminary or estimated actual data; final data will be published in the FY 2006 Congressional Budget and/or the FY 2005 Performance and Accountability Report.

(Key Measures are in bold) (G = Green; Y = Yellow; R = Red)

	EN / 2000	T3 / 2004	E3/ 2002	T3/ 2002	FY 2004	FY 2004
	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	Actual	Plan
Outpatient visits/1000:						
Med/Surg (estimated actual)	2.7	2.4	2.4	2.4	* 2.4 G	2.4
Mental Health (estimated actual)	8.4	8.1	8.1	8.1	* 8.1 G	8.1
Percent of VA medical centers that provide electronic access to health information provided by DoD on separated service persons (estimated actual)	N/A	N/A	0%	100%	* 100% G	100%
Efficiency - Average number of appointments per year per FTE	N/A	N/A	2,719	2,856	2,868 G	2,700
Quality - The percentage of diabetic patients taking the HbA1c blood test in the past year (through June)	N/A	N/A	93%	94%	* 95% G	93%
Dollar value of sharing agreements with DoD (Joint Measure with VBA) (\$ in millions)	N/A	\$58	\$83	\$105	\$120 G	\$116
Dollar value of 1st party and 3rd party collections:						
3rd Party (\$ in millions) (1) Correction	\$397	\$540	(1) \$690	\$804	\$960 G	\$917
Percent of outpatient encounters that have electronic progress notes signed within 2 days (through June)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	* 84%	Baseline

	Goal Not Achieved Minimal Difference						
Average waiting time for new patients seeking primary care clinic appointments (in days) (through June)	N/A	N/A	N/A	42	* 37 Y	30	
Average waiting time for patients seeking a new specialty clinic appointment (in days) (through June)	N/A	N/A	N/A	45	* 41 Y	30	
Dollar value of 1st party and 3rd party collections:							
1st Party (\$ in millions)	\$176	\$231	\$486	\$685	\$742 Y	\$792	
Cost - Obligations per unique patient user	N/A	N/A	\$4,928	\$5,202	\$5,562 Y	\$5,536	

The performance goal for these measures was set at an approximate target level, and the deviation from that level is slight. There was no effect on overall program or activity performance.

<sup>\*</sup> These are preliminary or estimated actual data; final data will be published in the FY 2006 Congressional Budget and/or the FY 2005 Performance and Accountability Report.

(Key Measures are in bold) (G = Green; Y = Yellow; R = Red)

FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 Plan

Special Emphasis Programs			Goa	l Achieved		
Increase non-institutional long-term care as expressed by average daily census (estimated actual)	N/A	N/A	24,126	24,413	* 29,631 G	29,631
Percent of veterans who were discharged from a Domiciliary Care for Homeless Veterans (DCHV) Program, or HCHV Community-based Contract Residential Care Program to an independent or a secured institutional living arrangement (through June)	N/A	N/A	65%	72%	*79% G	67%
Medical residents' and other trainees' scores on a VHA Survey assessing their clinical training experience (through June)	N/A	84	83	83	*83 G	82
Prevention Index II (Special Populations) (through June)	N/A	N/A	N/A	80%	* 86% G	80%

 P&F ID Codes:
 36-0161-0-1-703;
 36-0160-0-1-703;

 Medical Research
 36-4026-0-3-703 36-0152-0-1-703;
 36-0162-0-1-703

Resources							
FTE	3,014	3,019	6,470	6,575	6,814	6,499	
Research cost (\$ in millions)	\$830	\$877	\$964	\$1,022	\$1,067	\$1,068	
Performance Measure							
	Goal Achieved						
Sustain 2002 level of partnering opportunities with: Veterans Service Organizations; other Federal Agencies; non-profit foundations, e.g., American Heart Association, American Cancer Society; and private industry, e.g., pharmaceutical companies (estimated actual)	137	139	139	139	*139 G	139	

	Goal Not Achieved Minimal Difference						
Number of Career Development Awardees	195	193	209	210	229 Y	237	

The performance goal for this measure was set at an approximate target level, and the deviation from that level is slight. There was no effect on overall program or activity performance.

<sup>\*</sup> These are preliminary or estimated actual data; final data will be published in the FY 2006 Congressional Budget and/or the FY 2005 Performance and Accountability Report.

(Key Measures are in bold) (G = Green; Y = Yellow; R = Red)

FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 Plan

### Veterans Health Administration Medical Care

## **Dropped Performance Measures** \*\*\*

	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003 Preliminary	FY 2003 Final	FY 2003 Plan
Chronic Disease Care Index	N/A	77%	80%	80%	81%	78%
Increase the aggregate of VA, state, and community nursing home and institutional LTC as expressed by ADC	N/A	N/A	31,636	33,031	33,408	32,429
Percent of patients with hepatitis C who have annual assessment of liver function	N/A	N/A	95%	96%	97%	92%
Percent of pharmacy orders entered into CPRS by the prescribing clinician	N/A	74%	91%	92%	92%	86%
Cost/patient	\$4,571	\$4,336	\$4,095	\$4,139	\$5,502	\$4,190
Waiting times for new primary care appointments, percent within 30 days	N/A	N/A	Baseline	76%	74%	23%
Waiting times for new specialty care appointments, percent within 30 days	N/A	N/A	Baseline	67%	71%	44%

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Several of these measures had achieved a high level of success which was sustained for several years, indicating ongoing fulfillment of these requirements. Other measures were replaced with measures that more accurately targeted areas VA identified as needing improvement.

### **Veterans Benefits Administration**

Compensation	P&F ID Codes:		36	-0102-0-1-701	36-0134-0-1-701	
Resources						
FTE	7,123	8,035	6,985	7,346	7,568	7,092
Benefits cost (\$ in millions)	\$22,035	\$20,255	\$22,453	\$24,822	\$26,472	\$27,205
Administrative cost (\$ in millions)	\$586	\$564	\$603	\$728	\$777	\$770

	Goal Achieved						
Average days to process - DIC actions (1) Correction	122	133	172	(1) 153	125 G	126	
Percent of DIC recipients above the poverty level	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	99% G	75%	
Percent of DIC recipients who are satisfied that the VA recognized their sacrifice	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	80% G	50%	
Average number of days to obtain service medical records	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	
Percent of compensation recipients who were kept informed of the full range of available benefits  (a) Results will not be available until 2005	37%	39%	40%	42%	(a)	40%	

(Key Measures are in bold) (G = Green; Y = Yellow; R = Red)

	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2004 Plan
Percent of compensation recipients who perceive that VA compensation redresses the effect of service-connected disability in diminishing the quality of life	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	** TBD	50%
Percent of veterans in receipt of compensation whose total income exceeds that of like circumstanced veterans	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	** TBD	TBD

<sup>\*\*</sup> Pending Program Outcome Study. Study was cancelled in 2004 because of the new Disability Compensation Commission. Study will be conducted in CY 2005. The Commission first met in August 2004 and the results are tentatively expected 15 months thereafter.

	Goal Not Achieved Minimal Difference					
Percent of claimants who are Benefits Delivery at Discharge (BDD) participants	N/A	N/A	N/A	22%	20% Y	25%

The performance goal for this measure was set at an approximate target level, and the deviation from that level is slight. There was no effect on overall program or activity performance.

Pension	Р&	F ID Codes:	36-	01		
Resources						
FTE	N/A	N/A	1,791	1,827	1,535	1,699
Benefits cost (\$ in millions)	N/A	\$3,018	\$3,168	\$3,226	\$3,342	\$3,284
Administrative cost (\$ in millions)	N/A	\$142	\$155	\$152	\$153	\$163

### Performance Measures

Percent of pension recipients who were informed of the full range of available benefits (a) Results will not be available until 2005	39%	40%	38%	39%	(a)	40%
Percent of pension recipients who said their claim was very or somewhat fair (a) Results will not be available until 2005	64%	63%	65%	62%	(a)	53%

# $Combined\ Compensation\ and\ Pension\ measures\ (These\ measures\ will\ be\ reported\ on\ separately\ in\ the\ 2005\ PAR)$

National accuracy rate (authorization work) (Compensation & Pension) (through July)	51%	65%	80%	88%	* 91% G	87%
Telephone activities - blocked call rate (Compensation & Pension)	3%	3%	7%	3%	2% G	3%
Overall satisfaction (Compensation & Pension) (a) Results will not be available until 2005	56%	56%	58%	59%	(a)	70%

<sup>\*</sup> These are preliminary or estimated actual data; final data will be published in the FY 2006 Congressional Budget and/or the FY 2005 Performance and Accountability Report.

(Key Measures are in bold) (G = Green; Y = Yellow; R = Red)

FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 Plan

		Goal No	ot Achieve	<mark>d Minima</mark> l	Difference	
National accuracy rate (core rating work) (Compensation & Pension) (through July)	N/A	89%	81%	86%	* 87% Y	90%
National accuracy rate (fiduciary work) (Compensation & Pension) (through July) (1) Correction	(1) 59%	(1) 68%	(1) 84%	77%	* 81% Y	88%
Telephone activities - abandoned call rate (Compensation & Pension) (through August)	6%	6%	9%	9%	*7% Y	3%
Fiduciary Activities - Initial Appt. & Fiduciary - Beneficiary Exams (completed) (%) (Compensation & Pension)	(1) 6%	(1) 13%	(1) 9%	11%	12% Y	8%
Fiduciary Activities - Initial Appt. & Fiduciary - Beneficiary Exams (pending) (%) (Compensation & Pension)	N/A	N/A	16%	20%	14% Y	12%

The performance goal for these measures was set at an approximate target level, and the deviation from that level is slight. There was no effect on overall program or activity performance.

		Goal No	t Achieved	Significar	nt Difference				
Rating-related actions - average days to process (Compensation & Pension)	173	181	223	182	166 R	145			
PVA v. Principi has had a dramatic impact on our ability to achieve this goal. However, since the final court decision, VBA has improved on its processing performance. From the monthly perspective, we have reduced the number of days by approximately 15% from the peak of 189 days in January 2004.									
Rating-related actions - average days pending (Compensation & Pension)	138	182	174	111	118 R	80			
PVA v. Principi impacted our ability to achieve this goal. Since the final court decision, VBA improved on its processing performance. From the monthly perspective, we reduced the number of days by approximately 10% from the peak of 134 days in December 2003.									
Non-rating actions - average days to process (Compensation & Pension)	50	55	60	59	58 R	40			
PVA v. Principi impacted our ability to achieve processing performance. From the monthly pe the peak of 66 days in October 2003.	U								
Non-rating actions - average days pending (Compensation & Pension)	84	117	96	108	102 R	62			
PVA v. Principi impacted our ability to achieve this goal. Since the final court decision, VBA improved on its processing performance. From the monthly perspective, we reduced the number of days by approximately 12% from the peak of 112 days in December 2003.									

<sup>\*</sup> These are preliminary or estimated actual data; final data will be published in the FY 2006 Congressional Budget and/or the FY 2005 Performance and Accountability Report.

(Key Measures are in bold) (G = Green; Y = Yellow; R = Red)

FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 Plan

The indicators below are the component end-products for the measure on average days to complete rating-related actions. We do not establish separate performance goals for these indicators. For a detailed discussion of rating-related actions timeliness see the narrative on pages 62-63.

	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	Claims Completed in FY 2004
Average days to process rating - related actions	173	181	223	182	166	703,254
Initial disability compensation	212	219	256	207	186	169,804
Initial death compensation/DIC	122	133	172	153	125	27,191
Reopened compensation	189	197	242	193	178	401,489
Initial disability pension	115	130	123	93	94	32,851
Reopened pension	111	126	128	101	101	51,446
Reviews, future exams	108	119	127	95	87	13,533
Reviews, hospital	78	91	74	54	54	6,940

P&F ID Codes: 36-0137-0-1-702; 36-8133-0-7-702; Education 36-0133-0-1-702 Resources 781 852 864 841 926 FTE 866 \$1,238 \$1,425 \$2,417 \$2,391 Benefits cost (\$ in millions) \$1,756 \$2,120 Administrative costs (\$ in millions) \$66 \$64 \$75 \$78 \$91 \$69

		Goal Achieved					
Montgomery GI Bill usage rate: Veterans who have passed their 10-year eligibility period	N/A	N/A	N/A	66%	66% G	66%	
Compliance survey completion rate (1) Correction	94%	92%	93%	(1) 93%	94% G	90%	
Payment accuracy rate	96%	92%	93%	94%	94% G	94%	
Customer satisfaction-high ratings (a) Results will not be available until 2005	82%	86%	87%	89%	(a)	87%	

(Key Measures are in bold) (G = Green; Y = Yellow; R = Red)

FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 Plan

		Goal N	ot Achieve	<mark>d Minima</mark> l	Difference	
Telephone Activities - Blocked call rate	39%	45%	26%	13%	20% Y	18%
Telephone Activities - Abandoned call rate	17%	13%	11%	7%	10% Y	8%
Average days to complete original education claims	36	50	34	23	26 Y	24
Average days to complete supplemental education claims	22	24	16	12	13 Y	12
Montgomery GI Bill usage rate: All program participants	57%	58%	56%	58%	59% Y	60%

The performance goal for these measures was set at an approximate target level, and the deviation from that level is slight. There was no effect on overall program or activity performance.

Vocational Rehabilitation and Employment

P&F ID Codes:

36-0135-0-1-702;

36-0132-0-1-702

Resources						
FTE	940	1,061	1,057	1,091	1,105	1,118
Benefits cost (\$ in millions)	\$439	\$427	\$487	\$515	\$552	\$550
Administrative costs (\$ in millions)	\$81	\$109	\$119	\$116	\$123	\$137

### **Performance Measures**

	Goal Achieved					
Speed of entitlement decisions in average days	75	62	65	63	57 G	60
Accuracy of program outcome	N/A	N/A	81%	81%	94% G	92%
Customer satisfaction (Survey) (a) Results will not be available until 2005	74%	76%	77%	N/A	(a)	82%

	Goal Not Achieved Minimal Difference					
Accuracy of decisions (Services) (1) Correction	85%	79%	81%	(1) 82%	86% Y	90%
Rehabilitation rate	65%	65%	62%	59%	62% Y	67%

The performance goal for these measures was set at an approximate target level, and the deviation from that level is slight. There was no effect on overall program or activity performance.

Measures Under Development

Common Measures						
Percent of participants employed first quarter after program exit	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD
Percent of participants still employed three quarters after program exit	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD
Percent change in earnings from pre- application to post-program employment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD
Average cost of placing participant in employment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD

<sup>\*</sup> These are preliminary or estimated actual data; final data will be published in the FY 2006 Congressional Budget and/or the FY 2005 Performance and Accountability Report.

FY 2004

\$158

FY 2004

## FY 2004 Performance Measures by Program

(Key Measures are in bold) (G = Green; Y = Yellow; R = Red)

	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	Actual	Plan	
Housing	P&l	P&F ID Codes:		1119-0-1-704;	36-4025-0-3-704		
Resources							
FTE	2,057	1,759	1,718	1,404	1,256	1,390	
Benefits cost (\$ in millions)	\$1,844	\$520	\$849	\$1,351	\$235	\$341	

\$162

\$168

\$169

\$157

#### **Performance Measures**

Administrative costs (\$ in millions)

	Goal Achieved					
Statistical quality index (through August) (1) Correction	94%	96%	97%	(1) 98%	* 98% G	97%
Veterans satisfaction (1) Correction (a) Results will not be available until 2005	(1) 94%	(1) 94%	(1) 94%	(1) 95%	(a)	96%
Home Purchase - Percent of active duty personnel and veterans that could not have purchased a home without VA assistance	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

	Goal Not Achieved Minimal Difference					
Foreclosure avoidance through servicing (FATS) ratio (1) Correction	30%	40%	43%	(1) 45%	44% Y	47%

The performance goal for this measure was set at an approximate target level, and the deviation from that level is slight. There was no effect on overall program or activity performance.

Insurance	P&F ID Codes: 36-4010-0-3-701; 36-8150-0-7-701;		36-	0120-0-1-701; 4009-0-3-701; 8455-0-8-701;	36-4012-0-3-701; 36-8132-0-7-701; 36-0141-0-1-701	
Resources						
FTE	525	507	479	493	490	513
Benefits cost (\$ in millions)	\$2,458	\$2,534	\$2,709	\$2,655	\$2,539	\$2,552
Administrative costs (\$ in millions)	\$40	\$41	\$40	\$40	\$42	\$46

	Goal Achieved					
High customer ratings	96%	96%	95%	95%	96% G	95%
Low customer ratings	2%	2%	3%	3%	2% G	2%
Percentage of blocked calls	4%	3%	1%	0%	1% G	2%
Average hold time in seconds	20	17	18	17	17 G	20
Average days to process insurance disbursements	3.2	2.8	2.6	2.4	1.8 G	2.7

<sup>\*</sup> These are preliminary or estimated actual data; final data will be published in the FY 2006 Congressional Budget and/or the FY 2005 Performance and Accountability Report.

(Key Measures are in bold) (G = Green; Y = Yellow; R = Red)

FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 Plan

# **National Cemetery Administration**

	P&F ID Codes:		36-	0129-0-1-705;	36-0139-0-1-701	
Resources						
FTE	1,399	1,385	1,633	1,655	1,492	1,762
Benefits cost (\$ in millions)	\$109	\$111	\$135	\$143	\$153	\$166
Administrative cost (\$ in millions):						
Operating costs	\$103	\$116	\$137	\$143	\$156	\$157
State cemetery grants	\$19	\$24	\$41	\$26	\$34	\$33
Capital construction	\$30	\$33	\$61	\$36	\$63	\$117

			Goa	l Achieved		
Percent of veterans served by a burial option within a reasonable distance (75 miles) of their residence	<b>72.6</b> %	72.6%	73.9%	75.2%	75.3% G	75.3%
Percent of veterans served by a burial option in a national cemetery within a reasonable distance (75 miles) of their residence	67.5%	66.0%	66.6%	66.6%	66.6% G	66.6%
Percent of veterans served by a burial option only in a state veterans cemetery within a reasonable distance (75 miles) of their residence	5.1%	6.6%	7.3%	8.6%	8.7% G	8.7%
National Accuracy Rate for burial claims processed (Data tracked by VBA) (through July)	62%	72%	85%	92%	* 94% G	90%
Cumulative number of kiosks installed at national and state veterans cemeteries	24	33	42	50	60 G	60
Percent of graves in national cemeteries marked within 60 days of interment	N/A	N/A	49%	72%	87% G	78%
Percent of headstones and markers ordered online by other federal and state veterans cemeteries using BOSS	87%	89%	89%	90%	91% G	90% G
Percent of individual headstone and marker orders transmitted electronically to contractors	89%	92%	92%	95%	100% G	97%
Percent of Presidential Memorial Certificates that are accurately inscribed	98%	98%	98%	99%	99% G	99%
Percent of respondents who rate national cemetery appearance as excellent	82%	96%	97%	97%	98% G	98%
Percent of headstones and markers ordered by national cemeteries for which inscription data are accurate and complete	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	98%	Baseline

<sup>\*</sup> These are preliminary or estimated actual data; final data will be published in the FY 2006 Congressional Budget and/or the FY 2005 Performance and Accountability Report.

(Key Measures are in bold) (G = Green; Y = Yellow; R = Red)

	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2004 Plan
Percent of headstones and/or markers in national cemeteries that are at the proper height and alignment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	64%	Baseline
Percent of headstones, markers, and niche covers that are clean and free of debris or objectionable accumulations	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	76%	Baseline

	Goal Not Achieved Minimal Difference						
Percent of respondents who rate the quality of service provided by the national cemeteries as excellent	88%	92%	91%	94%	94% Y	95%	
Percent of funeral directors who respond that national cemeteries confirm the scheduling of the committal service within 2 hours	N/A	75%	73%	73%	73% Y	75%	
Percent of headstones and markers that are undamaged and correctly inscribed	97%	97%	96%	97%	97% Y	98%	
Percent of respondents who would recommend the national cemetery to veteran families during their time of need	N/A	97%	98%	97%	97% Y	98%	
Average number of days to process a claim for reimbursement of burial expenses (Data tracked by VBA)	35	40	48	42	48 Y	40	

The performance goal for these measures was set at an approximate target level, and the deviation from that level is slight. There was no effect on overall program or activity performance.

## **Board of Veterans' Appeals**

	P	&F ID Code:	36	-0151-0-1-705		
Resources						
FTE	468	455	448	451	440	448
Administrative cost (\$ in millions)	\$41	\$44	\$47	\$47	\$50	\$50

	Goal Achieved					
Deficiency-free decision rate	86%	87%	88%	89%	93% G	91%
BVA Cycle Time (Days)	172	182	86	135	98 G	155
Appeals decided per Veterans Law Judge	594	561	321	604	691 G	619
Cost per case	\$1,219	\$1,401	\$2,702	\$1,493	\$1,302 G	\$1,444

		Goal N	ot Achieve	<mark>d Minima</mark> l	Difference	
Appeals resolution time (Days) (Joint measure with C&P)	682	595	731	633	529 Y	520

The performance goal for this measure was set at an approximate target level, and the deviation from that level is slight. There was no effect on overall program or activity performance.

(Key Measures are in bold) (G = Green; Y = Yellow; R = Red)

FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2005 Actual Plan

\$617

\$717

\$747

\$515

# **Departmental Management**

	Р&	F ID Codes:	36-	0151-0-1-705;	36-4539-0-4-705	
Resources						
FTE	2,564	2,674	2,825	2,597	2,697	2,841

\$449

\$416

### Performance Measures

Administrative costs (\$ in millions)

	Goal Achieved					
Percent of employees who are aware that ADR is an option for addressing workplace disputes (BCA)	70%	75%	80%	85%	90% G	80%
Percent increase of EDI usage over base year of 1997 (OM)	86%	178%	235%	320%	884% G	245%
Number of audit qualifications identified in the auditor's opinion on VA's Consolidated Financial Statements (OM)	0	0	0	0	0 G	0
Number of material weaknesses identified during the Annual Financial Statement Audit or Identified by Management (OM)	11	12	6	5	4 G	4
Percent of Group 1 emergency preparedness officials who receive training or, as applicable, who participate in exercises relevant to VA's COOP plan on the National level (OPP&P)	30%	60%	60%	75%	100% G	85%
Attainment of statutory minimum goals for small business concerns as a percent of total procurement (OSDBU) (1) Correction	33%	(1) 32.6%	(1) 31.2%	31.8%	N/A	23%
Decrease underutilized space from FY 03 baseline of 19,930,244 sq ft (OAEM)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Baseline	28,994,639	TBD
Decrease vacant space from FY 03 baseline of 8,874,544 sq ft (OAEM)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Baseline	8,536,758	TBD
Reduce facility energy consumption relative to a 1985 baseline (OAEM)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	TBD

		Goal Not Achieved Minimal Difference					
Participation rate in the monthly Minority Veterans Program Coordinators (MVPC) conference call (Center for Minority Veterans) (1) Correction	27%	20%	30%	(1) 60%	70% Y	75%	
Increase the percent of funded grants providing services to homeless veterans that are faith-based (OPIA)	N/A	N/A	N/A	30%	30% Y	33%	

(Key Measures are in bold) (G = Green; Y = Yellow; R = Red)

	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004 Actual	FY 2004 Plan
Percent increase in the annual IT budget above the previous year's budget (excluding pay raise and inflation increases) (IT)	N/A	N/A	N/A	0%	3.1% Y	0%
Percent decrease of annual IT budget spent on sustainment, shifting corresponding savings to modernization (zero sum gain) (IT)	N/A	N/A	N/A	5%	1.5% Y	5%
Percent of cases using alternate dispute resolution (ADR) techniques (BCA)	13%	29%	54%	58%	60% Y	70%

The performance goal for these measures was set at an approximate target level, and the deviation from that level is slight. There was no effect on overall program or activity performance.

		Goal Not	Achieved	Significa	nt Difference	
Cumulative % of commercially eligible FTE on which competitive sourcing studies are completed (OPP&P)	N/A	N/A	5%	12%	0% R	53%
VA's entire OMB-approved Competitive Source 8110 (a) (5) of Title 38 U.S.C. VA senior managorelief from the prohibition is anticipated.	01			,		
Percent of Group 2 emergency preparedness officials who receive training or, as applicable, who participate in exercises relevant to VA's COOP plan on the National level (OPP&P)	N/A	N/A	60%	65%	42% R	75%
A 42% training rate was achieved for Group 2 officials. An unusual turnover rate among senior officials responsible for emergency preparedness kept the Department from achieving its goal. Permanent replacements for these officials should be in place later in calendar year 2004. Certification and exercises are planned throughout calendar year 2004.						
Number of business lines transformed to achieve a secure veteran-centric delivery process that would enable veterans and their families to register and update information, submit claims or inquiries, and obtain status	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0 R	2

VA re-baselined the Registration and Eligibility program. The rebaselined initiative seeks to develop a single authoritative source for veteran identification data which would then be used by all business lines. Once completely implemented, the need for a veteran to register in more than one place or for more than one business line will be eliminated. It will also ensure that identical values of the same data are in use across all VA business lines, eliminating considerable costs incurred in reconciling data differences. A one-year requirements determination, data analysis, and design specification phase began in September 2004 and is scheduled to conclude September 2005. The nature of the resulting business transformation is considerably different than the transformation contemplated in the original objective; the current transformation leaves the eligibility determination decision within the business lines. The need to include a requirements determination phase also causes this new transformation to occur in FY 2006 instead of FY 2004.

(IT)

(Key Measures are in bold) (G = Green; Y = Yellow; R = Red)

FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 FY 2004 Plan

## Office of Inspector General

P&F ID Code:

36-0170-0-1-705

Resources						
FTE	354	370	393	399	434	442
Administrative cost (\$ in millions)	\$45	\$49	\$56	\$58	\$66	\$69

#### **Performance Measures**

	Goal Achieved					
(1) Number of indictments, arrests, convictions, administrative sanctions, and pretrial diversions:	1,361	1,655	1,621	1,894	2,016 G	1,950
Number of Arrests	338	401	452	624	741	493
Number of Indictments	280	376	357	349	397	460
Number of Convictions	247	337	331	417	332	422
Number of Administrative Sanctions	496	541	481	484	522	575
Number of Pretrial Diversions	N/A	N/A	N/A	20	24	Baseline
Number of Reports issued:	124	136	169	(2) 182	(3) 223 G	208
Combined Assessment Reviews (CAPs) Total	18	26	33	42	52	60
VHA CAPs	18	22	21	34	40	48
VBA CAPs	0	4	12	8	12	12
Audit Reports	35	26	26	24	24	29
Pre-and Post-Award Contract Reviews	40	48	60	65	105	62
Healthcare Inspection Reports	15	22	37	24	26	42
Administrative Investigations	16	14	12	21	11	15
Value of monetary benefits (\$ in millions) from:					(4) \$3,121 G	\$884
IG Investigations	\$28	\$52	\$85	\$64	\$301	\$45
IG audits	\$264	\$4,095	\$730	\$8	\$2,104	\$775
IG contract reviews	\$35	\$42	\$62	\$82	\$661	\$64

	Goal Not Achieved Minimal Difference					
Customer Satisfaction:					4.6 Y	4.8
Combined Assessment Program Reviews	N/A	N/A	4.4	4.1	4.5	4.7
Investigations	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.9	5.0
Audit	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.6	4.5
Contract Reviews	4.9	4.7	4.9	4.5	4.6	4.9
Healthcare Inspections	4.4	4.2	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.9

The performance goal for this group of measures was set at an approximate target level, and the deviation from that level is slight. There was no effect on overall program or activity performance.

<sup>(1)</sup> In FY 2000, the cumulative figure for this category included the 85 administrative sanctions obtained by the OIG Hotline Division, while the individual figure for administrative sanctions showed only those obtained by the Office of Investigations. (Since FY 2001, the Hotline Division administrative sanctions have been included in both figures.)

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes 5 CAP summary reports that are not counted in the CAP total and 1 joint review with DoD.

<sup>(3)</sup> Includes 3 CAP summary reports that are not counted in the CAP total and 2 joint reviews completed by OIG Offices of Investigation, Audit, and Healthcare Inspections.

<sup>(4)</sup> This figure includes monetary benefits produced by the OIG Office of Healthcare Inspections and OIG Hotline Division. The nature of the activity of these offices does not generally result in monetary benefits significant for separate performance reporting.