Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary Part I: Summary Information And Justification

Overview

- Date of submission: Aug 27, 2007
- Agency: 100
- Bureau: 55
- Name of this Capital Asset: Transparency Act Implementation
- Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: 100-55-01-99-01-4300-24
- What kind of investment will this be in FY2009? Mixed Life Cycle
- What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? FY2009
- Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description . of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap: This Exhibit 300 is focused on the implementation of the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act ("The Transparency Act") of 2006. The Transparency Act directs the White House Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to lead the development, by January 2008, of a single searchable website, accessible by the public free of charge including, for each Federal award, the following information: the name of the entity receiving the award; the amount of the award; information on the award including transaction type, funding agency, etc; the location of the entity receiving the award; and a unique identifier of the entity receiving the award. OMB is chairing a Task Force to implement the requirements of the Act. The current schedule for implementation (as provided at www.federalspending.gov) is as follows: October 2006 Create inter-agency task force to implement the Act; in memo calling for members, alert agencies of the requirement that all FY 07 non-individual recipients of Federal funds will have to be searchable on site January 1, 2008 Launch of website required under the Act January 1, 2009 Subgrants / Contracts to be included in website search January, 2010 GAO report on Act implementation The Transparency Act implementation will consist of a web interface (www.USASpending.gov) interfacing to a central database to support gueries as specified by the legislation. The central database will be updated every 30 days (at minimum) and will be populated with data from several sources, potentially including: * Federal Procurement Data System Next Generation (FPDS-NG) - For contract award data. The system is managed by GSA. * Federal Assistance Award Data Store (FAADS) - For grants and other types of financial assistance. The system is managed by DoC (Bureau of the Census) * Tracking Accountability in Government Grants Systems (TAGGS) - For grants data. The system is managed by HHS. The central data services will reference additional data services as necessary to support lookup of other required data elements (e.g., program source, DUNS). Additionally, the Transparency Act Taskforce is evaluating the use of Federated Search technology to assist agencies in collecting and providing data as required by the Transparency Act.
- Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? no
- Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit? yes
- Contact information of Project Manager?

Name Robert Shea Phone Number (202) 395-4568 E-mail rjshea@omb.eop.gov

- What is the current FAC-P/PM certification level of the project/program manager? TBD
- Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project. no

 Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)? no
- Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives? yes

Budget Performance Integration Financial Performance Expanded E-Government

- Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? The Transparency Act application increases transparency and accountability across the federal government by providing the public easy and ready access, via a single, searchable website, to information regarding federal awards. The Transparency Act solution provides a single point of access to government-wide data, increasing the efficiency of the government while improving service to the citizen.
- Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit <u>www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part</u>.) no
- Is this investment for information technology? yes

For information technology investments only:

- What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) Level 3
- What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) (1) The project manager assigned for this investment has been validated as qualified in accordance with OMB PM Guidance.
- Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4-FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)? yes
- Is this a financial management system? no
- What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following?

Hardware 0 Software 0 Services 100 Other 0

- If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities? n/a
- Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Name	Karen Evans
Phone Number	(202) 395-1095
Title	Administrator, Office of E-Government & Information Technology Office of Management and Budget
E-mail	karen_evans@omb.eop.gov

 Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval? no Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas? no

Summary of Spending

Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)								
PY-1 and earlier PY 2007 CY 2008 BY 2009								
Planning:	0	0.08	0.08	0				
Acquisition:	0	0	1.36	0.18				
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	0	0.08	1.44	0.18				
Operations & Maintenance:	0	0	0.4	0.4				
TOTAL:	0	0.08	1.84	0.58				
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.								
Government FTE Costs	0	0.2	0.2	0.2				
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	0	1	1	1				

Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's? no

Acquisition/Contract Strategy

- Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance? yes
 - Explain why: Based on the public-facing characteristics of the application, Section 508 compliance is warranted
- Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements? yes
 - If "yes," what is the date? Aug 15, 2007

Performance Information

Performance Information Table									
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results		
2008	Oversight and Evaluation of Agency Performance	Mission and Business Results	Availability	Availability of agency data sources (% of known data sources provided through the Transparency Act Solution versus total number of known data sources)	0% - The current baseline is 0 because the system has not yet been deployed	95%	TBD		
2008	Oversight and Evaluation of Agency	Customer Results	Timeliness	System query response time averages 10 seconds or less per	0% - The baseline is 0 because the system	90% (at least 90% of all queries will	TBD		

	Performance			query	has not yet been released	have response times of 10 seconds or less	
2008	Oversight and Evaluation of Agency Performance	Technology	Availability	System Availability (measured as system uptime)	0% - The baseline is 0 because the system has not yet been released	99.9%	TBD
2008	Oversight and Evaluation of Agency Performance	Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Customer Satisfaction as measured through standardized online survey process (e.g., ACSI)	0% - The baseline is 0% because the system has not yet been released	75 (or consistent with Private Sector National Average as recorded by ACSI)	TBD
2008	Oversight and Evaluation of Agency Performance	Processes and Activities	Data Reliability and Quality	Quality of Federal Award information/data available to public (% of data errors reported versus overall search)	TBD	TBD	TBD

Security and Privacy

- Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified and integrated into the overall costs of the investment: yes _
 - If "yes," provide the "Percentage IT Security" for the budget year: 4
- Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part of the overall risk management effort for each system supporting or part of this investment. yes

Systems in Planning and Undergoing Enhancement(s), Development, and/or Modernization - Security Table(s):							
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	Planned Operational Date	Date of Planned C&A update (for existing mixed life cycle systems) or Planned Completion Date (for new systems)				
Transparency Act Web Application (USASpending.gov)	Government Only	Dec 1, 2007	Nov 30, 2007				

- Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG? no
- Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses? no

Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:									
Name of System	Is this a new system?	Assessment		Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system?	Internet Link or Explanation				
Transparency Act Web Application (USASpending.gov)	yes	yes	http://usaspending.gov/FFATA_PIA.pdf	no					

Enterprise Architecture (EA)

- Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture? no
 - If "no," please explain why? Investment is a web-site providing easy access to government-wide data regarding financial awards. The application will be hosted by GSA and does not impact existing OMB systems. There is no direct relation between this application and OMB's Enterprise Architecture -- OMB was directed by the Transparency Act legislation to lead the implementation effort.
- Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy? no
- If "no," please explain why? See Question #1
- Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture? no
- Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)? yes
 - If "yes," please describe. The Transparency Act application will make use of the USA Services E-Government Initiative to provide helpdesk/misdirect call support.

Part II: Planning, Acquisition And Performance Information

Risk Management

- Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan? yes
 - If "yes," what is the date of the plan? **December 2007**
 - Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB? **no**
- Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule: Risks are factored into cost and schedule performance information (as provided below)

Cost and Schedule Performance

- Does the earned value management system meet the criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard 748? yes
- Is the CV% or SV% greater than ± 10%? (CV%= CV/EV x 100; SV%= SV/PV x 100) no
- Has the investment re-baselined during the past fiscal year? no

4. Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline:										
Description of	Initial Baseline		Current Baseline		Current Baseline Variance					
Milestone	Planned Completion Date	Total Cost (\$M) Estimated	Completion Date Planned: Actual	Total Cost (\$M) Planned: Actual	Scne Co		Percent Complete			
Initial Planning (Conducted FY 2007)	Sep 30, 2007	0.08	Sep 30, 2007	0.08	0	0	100			