# Pervasive Technologies

*Technologies* **Required for Low** Sonic-Boom Aircraft



**Todd Magee Boeing Phantom Works** 

# FAA Supersonic Aircraft Workshop

CENTRA Technology Arlington, VA November, 13 2003





## Low Sonic-Boom Design Capabilities Have **Progressed Since The Concorde Era**



#### Concorde (1979 – 2003)

- Max. Overpressure ~2.0 psf
- Max. Takeoff weight ~400 klbs
- Designed through trade studies
- Not designed for low sonic-boom
- Land restricted supersonic flight
- Secondary booms discovered



### HSCT/HSR program (1990's)

- Max. Overpressure ~ 2.8 psf
- Max. Takeoff Weight ~ 700 klbs
- MDO Demonstration
- Applied CFD shape optimization
- Boom prediction improved
- SR-71 Propagation experiment



**Courtesy of Northrop Grumman** 

### **QSP program (2001-2003)**

- Max. initial overpressure ~ 0.3 psf
- Max. Takeoff Weight ~100 klbs
- Boom reduction technologies
- CFD shape optimization for boom
- SSBD program demonstrates shaped signature



## Weight Reduction & Sonic-Boom Signature Shaping Provide Greatest Boom Reduction

- Overpressure is related to aircraft weight
- Overpressure cannot be eliminated, but the goal is to soften the perceived loudness to acceptable levels







### **Aircraft Weight**

- Aircraft shaping provides for reduced overpressure and reduced perceived loudness
- Aircraft shaping as a means to reduce boom was first introduced by Seebass and George in 1960s
- Best technology for aircraft shaping is Multi-Disciplinary Optimization (MDO)
- Acceptability requirements needed to design aircraft



# High-Fidelity MDO is the Best Design Approach to the Multi-Dimensional Sonic-Boom Design Paradigm

## Notional Design Objectives

- Low Sonic Boom ~0.3psf
- Long Range ~5,000nmi
- Low noise Stage 4 compliant
- Weight ~100,000 lbs
- Good Low Speed Aero ~7,000 TOFL
- High Cruise Performance
- Low Fuel Burn Rate
- Lower weight supersonic business jets have the best chance to meet potential stringent over-land sonic boom requirements
- Multiple, often conflicting, design requirements make it difficult to design viable supersonic aircraft the "Old Fashioned," parametric, "Trialand-Error" way
- High-fidelity MDO technology can provide a path to success





## Pervasive Technologies That May Enable A Viable Supersonic Over-Land Aircraft



## A Low-Boom Technology Demonstrator (X-Plane) Is Required

- Validate design tools, processes, boom characteristics on a representative configuration at sufficient scale
- A piloted test platform
- Fighter & bizjet sub-components + rapid prototyping
- Extended low-boom super-cruise capability
- Closely reproduce full-scale aircraft signature
- Gather human/environmental response data
- Demonstrate selected systems and operations
- Demonstrate robust tailored boom signature in real atmosphere over various environments









# Many Challenges and Issues Remain

# Challenges

- A robust low-boom aircraft
- An economically viable low-boom aircraft
- Full configuration high-fidelity MDO coupled with propulsion
- Balancing multi-variable design objectives
- Defining over-land boom requirements

# Issues

- Focused boom due to acceleration and maneuver
- Uncertainty in atmospheric turbulence effects
- Uncertainty in wind and temperature effects
- Low sonic-boom acceptance criteria



