

Phoenix Area

Fiscal Year 2002

Active Users and Census Projections Report

Phoenix Area Indian Health Service
Office of Planning, Evaluation and Information Resources -
Statistical Branch
602-364-5280

PHOENIX AREA
FY-2002 ACTIVE USERS
and
CENSUS PROJECTIONS

Prepared by:

OFFICE OF PLANNING, EVALUATION AND INFORMATION RESOURCES
PHOENIX AREA OFFICE
INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE

Two Renaissance Square, 40 North Central Avenue, Suite 605
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4424
(602) 364-5280

Please contact the Area Statistician or Area Program Planning Officer regarding any revisions, additions, or corrections to this document.

PHOENIX AREA
FY-2002 ACTIVE USERS and CENSUS PROJECTIONS

Service Unit	2002	2002	2012
	Active Users	Census	Census
	/2	/5	/5
Colorado River	7,323	7,511	8,310
Duck Valley Shoshone-Paiute*	1,478	1,540	1,627
Elko	2,451	2,593	2,693
Fort Yuma	3,626	4,400	4,968
Gila River Health Care Corporation	19,192	19,190	21,286
Keams Canyon	5,984	7,799	8,189
Phoenix	56,170	53,857	67,823
San Carlos	11,159	10,937	11,957
Schurz	12,298	23,716	30,122
Uintah-Ouray	4,802	7,711	9,312
Whiteriver	14,601	14,050	14,789
Area Totals	139,084	153,304	181,076

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/99, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 01/09/2003).

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).

* The Duck Valley Shoshone-Paiute Service Area was adjusted to include the active user counts of Ada, Canyon, Elmore, and Twin Falls Counties in Idaho.

COLORADO RIVER SERVICE UNIT

TABLE 1

Service Unit Code: 64	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2002 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2012 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
		La Paz				3,305		100%	2,910	2,824
		Bouse	04	15	448		18			
		Ehrenberg	04	15	445		30			
		Parker	04	15	285		3,061			
		Poston	04	15	286		129			
		Quartzsite	04	15	449		34			
		Salome	04	15	450		12			
		Vicksburg	04	15	451		0			
		Wenden	04	15	030		21			
	Parker	Mohave (part)				894		33%	904	1,113
		Bullhead City	04	08	041		95			
		Dolan Springs	04	08	043		4			
		Lake Havasu City	04	08	302		190			
		Mohave Valley	04	08	039		578			
		Oatman	04	08	044		1			
		Riviera	04	08	446		7			
		Topock	04	08	045		10			
		Wickieup	04	08	046		1			
		Yucca	04	08	447		8			
		Riverside (part)				57		100%	137	165
		Blythe	06	33	040		57			
		San Bernardino (part)				537		71%	624	734
		Big River	06	36	328		32			
		Earp	06	36	459		13			
		Needles	06	36	456		484			
		Parker Dam	06	36	480		8			
		Vidal	06	36	049		0			
		Service Area Sub-Total				4,793	4,793		4,575	4,836
	Peach Springs	Mohave (part)				1,818		67%	1,837	2,262
		Chloride	04	08	042		3			
		Hackberry	04	08	047		0			
		Kingman	04	08	151		317			
		Peach Springs	04	08	153		1,418			
		Truxton	04	08	048		26			
		Valentine	04	08	154		54			
		Yavapai				31		100%	57	63
		Nelson	04	13	267		0			
		Seligman	04	13	270		31			
		Coconino (part)				14		3%	24	26
		Williams	04	03	034		10			
		Bellefont	04	03	035		4			
		Service Area Sub-Total				1,863	1,863		1,918	2,351
	Supai	Coconino (part)				448		97%	763	823
		Supai	04	03	033		448			
		Service Area Sub-Total				448	448		763	823
	Chemehuevi	San Bernardino (part)				219		29%	255	300
		Chem Valley	06	36	038		219			
		Service Area Sub-Total				219	219		255	300
COLORADO RIVER SERVICE UNIT TOTAL						7,323	7,323		7,511	8,310

TABLE II
COLORADO SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY

Counties	2002	2002	2012
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Coconino, AZ	462	787	849
La Paz, AZ	3,305	2,910	2,824
Mohave, AZ	2,712	2,741	3,375
San Bernardino, CA	756	879	1,034
Yavapai, AZ	31	57	63
Riverside, CA	57	137	165
Service Unit Total:	7,323	7,511	8,310

TABLE III
COLORADO RIVER SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY

	% of	2002	2002	2012	2012
	County	Active	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated
	in SA	Users	Census	Census Pop	User Pop
	/6		(user based)	(user based)	/7
STATE OF ARIZONA					
COCONINO CO.					
PEACH SPRING SA	1%	14	24	26	15
SUPAI SA	99%	448	763	823	483
LA PAZ CO.					
PARKER SA	100%	3,305	2,910	2,824	3,207
MOHAVE CO.					
PEACH SPRING SA	67%	1,818	1,837	2,262	2,239
PARKER SA	33%	894	904	1,113	1,101
YAVAPAI CO.					
PEACH SPRING SA	100%	31	57	63	34
STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
SAN BERNARDINO CO.					
CHEMEHUEVI SA	29%	219	255	300	258
PARKER SA	71%	537	624	734	632
RIVERSIDE CO.					
PARKER SA	100%	57	137	165	0
TOTAL		7,323	7,511	8,310	7,969
PARKER SA		4,793	4,575	4,836	4,940
PEACH SPRINGS SA		1,863	1,918	2,351	2,288
SUPAI SA		448	763	823	483
CHEMEHUEVI SA		219	255	300	258
TOTAL		7,323	7,511	8,310	7,969

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/99, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 01/09/2003).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County. For example, in Mohave County, 1,818 Users were from the Peach Springs SA and 894 were from the Parker SA, for a total of 2,712 Users. Parker's share is 33% (894/2,712) and Peach Spring's share is 67% (1,818/2,712).

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2012 Census Pop/2002 Census Pop) x (2002 Active Users).

DUCK VALLEY SHOSHONE-PAIUTE SERVICE UNIT

TABLE I

Service Unit Code: 73	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2002 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2012 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
		Elko, NV(part)				1,234		44%	1,186	1,211
		Mountain City	32	04	452					
		Owyhee	32	04	560					
		Tuscarora	32	04	453					
		Wild Horse M.	32	04	454					
	Duck Valley	Owyhee, ID				18		100%	354	416
		Bruneau	16	37	144					
		Grand View	16	37	145					
		Marsing	16	37	143					
		Riddle	16	37	450					
		Ada, ID*				122				
		Boise	16	01	129					
		Canyon, ID*				47				
		Caldwell	16	14	130					
		Nampa	16	14	131					
		Elmore, ID*				45				
		Glenns Ferry	16	20	001					
		Mountain Home	16	20	981					
		Twin Falls, ID*				12				
		Twin Falls	16	42	197					
OWYHEE SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:						1,478	1,478		1,540	1,627

TABLE II

DUCK VALLEY SHOSHONE-PAIUTE SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY

Counties	2002	2002	2012
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Ada, ID*	122		
Canyon, ID*	47		
Elmore, ID*	45		
Twin Falls, ID*	12		
Elko, NV(part)	1,234	1,186	1,211
Owyhee, ID	18	354	416
Service Unit Total:	1,478	1,540	1,627

TABLE III

DUCK VALLEY SHOSHONE-PAIUTE SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY

	% of County in SA /6	2002 Active Users	2002 Estimated Census (user based)	2012 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2012 Estimated User Pop /7
STATE OF NEVADA					
ELKO CO.(part)					
Duck Valley SA	44%	1,234	1,186	1,211	1,260
STATE OF IDAHO					
OWYHEE CO.	100%	18	354	416	21
ADA CO.*		122			
CANYON CO.*		47			
ELMORE CO.*		45			
TWIN FALLS CO.*		12			
(all Duck Valley SA)					
TOTAL:		1,478	1,540	1,627	1,281

DUCK VALLEY SHOSHONE-PAIUTE SERVICE UNIT (CONT.)

- /1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.
 - /2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/99, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 01/09/2003).
 - /3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.
 - /4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.
 - * These counties are outside the Service Unit Area, thus do not have census population estimates. However, these counties are currently being considered as CHSDA counties of the Duck Valley Shoshone-Paiute Service Area.
 - /5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).
 - /6 Percent of county in the Service Area is estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.
 - /7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2012 Census Pop/2002 Census Pop) x (2002 Active Users).
- N.B. Owyhee Service Unit has been separated into Duck Valley Shoshone-Paiute Service Unit and Elko Service Unit.

**ELKO SERVICE UNIT
TABLE I**

Service Unit Code: 63	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2002 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2012 Census Pop (user based)	
						By County	By Community				
	Duckwater	Nye, NV (partial)					128	100%	157	167	
		Currant	32	12	636		1				
		Duckwater	32	12	627		127				
	Service Area Sub-Total						128	128		157	167
		Elko, NV(part)					1,565	55%	1,449	1,491	
		Carlin	32	04	556		52				
		Elko	32	04	557		1,053				
		Halleck	32	04	203		1				
		Jackpot	32	04	204		0				
		Jarbidge	32	04	558		0				
		Lamoille	32	04	206		11				
		Montello	32	04	559		1				
		Osino	32	04	209		24				
		Ruby Valley	32	04	561		19				
		Ryndon	32	04	210		11				
		South Fork	32	04	564		123				
		Spring Creek	32	04	001		137				
		Wells	32	04	562		133				
		Eureka, NV					7	33%	18	24	
		Beowawe	32	06	576		3				
		Crescent Valley	32	06	213		4				
		Lander, NV					217	100%	336	348	
		Battle Mountain	32	08	597		217				
	Service Area Sub-Total						1,789	1,789		1,803	1,863
		Eureka, NV					14	67%	36	48	
		Eureka East	32	06	578		9				
		Eureka South	32	06	579		5				
		White Pine					286	100%	375	405	
		Baker	32	17	661		1				
		Ely	32	17	662		258				
		Lund	32	17	663		3				
		McGill	32	17	664		18				
		Ruth	32	17	665		6				
	Service Area Sub-Total						300	300		411	453
		Elko, NV(part)					37	1%	19	19	
		Wendover, NV	32	04	212		37				
		Juab, UT					131	100%	101	100	
		Goshute (Ibapah)	49	12	628		131				
		Tooele, UT					66	100%	109	110	
		Wendover, UT	49	23	697		66				
	Service Area Sub-Total						234	234		229	210
ELKO SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:						2,451	2,451		2,600	2,693	

TABLE II

ELKO SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY

Counties	2002	2002	2012
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Elko, NV(part)	1,602	1,461	1,491
Eureka, NV	21	54	72
Juab, UT	131	101	100
Lander, NV	217	336	348
Nye, NV	128	157	167
Tooele, UT	66	109	110
White Pine, NV	286	375	405
Service Unit Total:	2,451	2,593	2,693

TABLE III

ELKO SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY

	% of	2002	2002	2012	2012
	County	Active	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated
	in SA	Users	Census	Census Pop	User Pop
	/6		(user based)	(user based)	/7
STATE OF NEVADA					
ELKO CO(pt) ELKO SA	55%	1,565	1,449	1,491	1,610
EUREKA CO.					
ELKO SA	33%	7	18	24	9
ELY SA	67%	14	36	48	19
LANDER, CO.					
ELKO SA	100%	217	336	348	225
NYE CO.					
DUCKWATER SA	100%	128	157	167	136
WHITE PINE CO.					
ELY SA	100%	286	375	405	309
ELKO CO(pt)					
GOSHUTE SA	1%	37	19	19	38
STATE OF UTAH					
JUAB CO.					
GOSHUTE SA	100%	131	101	100	130
TOOELE CO.					
ELKO SA	100%	66	109	110	67
TOTAL:		2,451	2,600	2,712	2,542
DUCKWATER SA		128	157	167	136
ELKO SA		1,789	1,803	1,863	1,911
ELY SA		300	411	453	328
GOSHUTE SA		234	229	210	167
TOTAL:		2,451	2,600	2,693	2,542

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.
 /2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/99, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 01/09/2003).
 /3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.
 /4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.
 /5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).
 /6 Percent of county in the Service Area is estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.
 /7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2012 Census Pop/2002 Census Pop) x (2002 Active Users).
 N.B. Owyhee Service Unit has been separated into Duck Valley Shoshone-Paiute Service Unit and Elko Service Unit.

FT YUMA SERVICE UNIT

TABLE I

Service Unit Code: 72	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2002 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2012 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
		Imperial Co., CA (part)				1,863		100%	2,211	2,476
		Bard 06 13 361					11			
		Brawley 06 13 634					11			
		El Centro 06 13 635					14			
		Imperial 06 13 362					6			
		Winterhaven 06 13 363					1,821			
		Riverside Co., CA (part)				7		100%		
		Riverside School 06 33 443					7			
		Yuma Co., AZ (part)				1,756		100%	2,189	2,492
		Dateland 04 14 281					7			
		Dome 04 14 282					0			
		Gadsden 04 14 293					1			
		Ligurta 04 14 283					0			
		Mohawk 04 14 284					0			
		Roll 04 14 287					9			
		San Luis 04 14 288					10			
		Somerton 04 14 289					588			
		Tacna 04 14 290					2			
		Wellton 04 14 291					18			
		Yuma 04 14 292					1,121			
		Service Area Sub-Total				3,626	3,626		4,400	4,968
FT. YUMA SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:						3,626	3,626		4,400	4,968

TABLE II

FT. YUMA SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY

Counties	2002 Active Users	2002 Census /5	2012 Census /5
Imperial Co., CA	1,863	2,211	2,476
Riverside Co., CA	7		
Yuma Co., AZ	1,756	2,189	2,492
Service Unit Total:	3,626	4,400	4,968

TABLE III

FT. YUMA SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY

	% of County in SA /6	2002 Active Users	2002 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2012 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2012 Estimated User Pop /7
STATE OF ARIZONA					
YUMA CO.					
FT. YUMA SA	100%	1,756	2,189	2,492	1,999
STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
IMPERIAL CO.					
FT. YUMA SA	100%	1,863	2,211	2,476	2,086
RIVERSIDE CO.					
FT. YUMA SA	100%	7			
TOTAL:		3,626	4,400	4,968	4,085

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.
 /2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/99, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 01/09/2003).
 /3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.
 /4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.
 /5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).
 /6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.
 /7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2012 Census Pop/2002 Census Pop) x (2002 Active Users).

GILA RIVER HEALTH CARE CORPORATION

TABLE I

Service Unit Code: 67	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2002 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2012 Census Pop (user based)	
						By County	By Community				
	West End*	Maricopa Co.				3,182		56%	3,299	4,181	
		Co-Op Colony	04	07	116		158				
		Gila Crossing	04	07	119		320				
		Komatke	04	07	124		1,095				
		Komatke Hts.	04	07	799		457				
		Laveen	04	07	125		479				
		Lone Butte	04	07	127		97				
		Maricopa Colony	04	07	128		576				
	Service Area Sub-Total						3,182	3,182		3,299	4,181
	Hu-Hu-Kam Blackwater C. Blanca San Tan	Maricopa Co.				2,536		44%	2,629	3,332	
		Broad Acres	04	07	112		0				
		Chandler	04	07	114		1,849				
		Chandler Heights	04	07	204		58				
		Gilbert	04	07	120		434				
		Higley	04	07	123		38				
		Ocotillo	04	07	243		4				
		Queen Creek	04	07	205		140				
		Sun Lakes	04	07	100		13				
			Pinal Co.				12,849		95%	12,647	13,134
		11-Mile Corn	04	11	207		15				
		Arizona City	04	11	206		114				
		Bapchule	04	11	212		498				
		Blackwater	04	11	213		905				
		Cactus Forest	04	11	001		0				
		Casa Blanca	04	11	214		1,218				
		Casa Grande	04	11	217		1,606				
		Casablanca S.	04	11	215		76				
		Casablanca W.	04	11	216		269				
		Chandler HSD	04	11	004		16				
		Coolidge	04	11	218		695				
		Cottonwood	04	11	219		3				
		Eloy	04	11	220		414				
		Florence	04	11	221		150				
		Florence Village	04	11	241		48				
		Gold Canyon	04	11	247		3				
		Hayden	04	11	222		0				
		Hidden Valley	04	11	208		6				
		Kearney	04	11	002		14				
		Kelvin	04	11	244		0				
		La Palma	04	11	245		2				
		Mammoth	04	11	223		2				
		Maricopa	04	11	209		323				
		Mobile	04	11	101		0				
		Olberg	04	11	224		7				
	Oracle	04	11	225		11					
	Picacho	04	11	226		12					
	Progressive	04	11	227		2					
	Randolph	04	11	210		9					
	Sacate	04	11	228		170					
	Sacaton	04	11	229		3,525					
	Sacaton Flat	04	11	230		347					
	San Manuel	04	11	003		10					
	San Tan, Lower	04	11	232		423					
	San Tan, Upper	04	11	233		416					
	Santa Cruz	04	11	231		78					
	Snake Town	04	11	234		3					
	So. Goodyear	04	11	235		352					
	Stanfield	04	11	236		152					
	Stotonic	04	11	237		719					
	Superior	04	11	238		37					
	Sweetwater	04	11	240		117					
	Toltec	04	11	248		8					
	Valley Farms	04	11	242		10					
	Wet Camp	04	11	239		50					
	Winkleman	04	11	246		14					

GILA RIVER HEALTH CARE CORPORATION
TABLE I (continued)

Service Unit Code: 67	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2002 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2012 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
	Service Area Sub-Total					15,385	15,385		15,276	16,466
		Pinal Co.				625		5%	615	639
	Ak-Chin	Ak Chin	04	11	211		625			
	Service Area Sub-Total					625	625		615	639
GILA RIVER HEALTH CARE CORPORATION TOTAL:						19,192	19,192		19,190	21,286

TABLE II

GILA RIVER HEALTH CARE CORPORATION COUNTY SUMMARY

Counties	2002	2002	2012
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Maricopa Co. (part)	5,718	5,928	7,513
Pinal Co. (part)	13,474	13,262	13,773
Service Unit Total:	19,192	19,190	21,286

TABLE III

GILA RIVER HEALTH CARE CORPORATION COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY

	% of County in SA /6	2002 Active Users	2002 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2012 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2012 Estimated User Pop /7
STATE OF ARIZONA					
MARICOPA CO. (part)					
HU-HU-KAM SA	44%	2,536	2,629	3,332	3,214
WEST END SA*	56%	3,182	3,299	4,181	4,033
PINAL CO. (part)					
HU-HU-KAM SA	95%	12,849	12,647	13,134	13,344
AK-CHIN SA	5%	625	615	639	649
TOTAL:		19,192	19,190	21,286	21,240
HU-HU-KAM SA		15,385	15,276	16,466	16,558
WEST END SA		3,182	3,299	4,181	4,033
AK CHIN SA		625	615	639	649
TOTAL:		19,192	19,190	21,286	21,240

*West End Communities moved to Gila River Health Care Corporation, effective October 1, 1996.

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/99, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 01/09/2003).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the

Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County. For example, in Pinal County, 12,849 Users were from the Hu-Hu-Kam SA and 625 were from the Ak-Chin SA, for a total of 13,474 Users. Ak-Chin's share is 5% (625/13,474) and Hu-Hu-Kam's share is 95% (12,849/13,474).

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2012 Census Pop/2002 Census Pop) x (2002 Active Users).

KEAMS CANYON SERVICE UNIT

TABLE I

Service Unit Code: 62	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2002 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2012 Census Pop (user based)	
						By County	By Community				
	Kaibab	Mohave Co. (part)				92		100%	137	168	
		Kaibab	04	08	155		91				
		Moccasin	04	08	152		1				
		Kane Co., UT (part)*				0		100%	56	45	
		Kanab	49	13	686		0				
	Coconino Co.					48		100%	157	170	
		Fredonia	04	03	142		48				
	Service Area Sub-Total						140	140		350	383
	Keams Canyon Hospital	Navajo Co. (part)				5,844		100%	7,449	7,806	
		Bacabi	04	09	961		223				
		Blue Bird Cn	04	09	962		0				
		Hotevilla	04	09	970		597				
		Keams Canyon	04	09	971		574				
		Kykotsmovi	04	09	976		667				
		Leupp	04	09	973		0				
		Mishongnovi	04	09	974		474				
		Oraibi, Old	04	09	977		142				
		Polacca	04	09	980		1,607				
		Second Mesa	04	09	960		125				
		Shipaulovi	04	09	981		279				
		Shungopovi	04	09	983		888				
		Sichomovi	04	09	984		79				
		Skunk Springs	04	09	985		29				
		Snowbird	04	09	986		23				
		Spider Mound	04	09	034		67				
	Telehogan	04	09	035		0					
	Tewa	04	09	968		57					
	Toreva	04	09	988		0					
	Walpi	04	09	989		13					
	Service Area Sub-Total						5,844	5,844		7,449	7,806
KEAMS SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:						5,984	5,984		7,799	8,189	

TABLE II

KEAMS CANYON SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY

Counties	2002	2002	2012
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Mohave Co. (part)	92	137	168
Navajo Co. (part)	5,844	7,449	7,806
Coconino Co. (part)	48	157	170
Kane Co., UT (part)	0	56	45
Service Unit Total:	5,984	7,799	8,189

TABLE III
KEAMS CANYON SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY

	% of County in SA /6	2002 Active Users	2002 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2012 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2012 Estimated User Pop /7
STATE OF ARIZONA					
MOHAVE CO. (part)					
KAIBAB SA	100%	92	137	168	113
COCONINO CO. (part)					
KAIBAB SA	100%	48	157	170	52
NAVAJO CO. KEAMS CANYON SA	100%	5,844	7,372	7,804	6,000
STATE OF UTAH					
KANE CO. (part)					
KAIBAB SA	100%	0	56	45	0
TOTAL:		5,984	7,722	8,187	6,165

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/99, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 01/09/2003).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2012 Census Pop/2002 Census Pop) x (2002 Active Users).

**PHOENIX SERVICE UNIT
TABLE I**

Service Unit Code: 66	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2002 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2012 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
Ft. McDowell		Maricopa Co.				972		2%	910	1,153
		Fountain Hills	04	07	139		72			
		Ft. McDowell	04	07	117		900			
Salt River		Maricopa Co.				4,485		8%	4,198	5,321
		Lehi	04	07	126		398			
		Salt River	04	07	132		4,087			
San Lucy		Maricopa Co.				577		1%	540	685
		Gila Bend	04	07	118		284			
		San Lucy Village	04	07	137		293			
Prescott		Yavapai Co.				352		35%	757	835
		Bagdad	04	13	261		2			
		Chino Valley	04	13	115		28			
		Cordes Junction	04	13	303		5			
		Prescott	04	13	268		310			
		Yarnell	04	13	028		7			
Middle Verde		Yavapai Co.				635		64%	1,366	1,506
		Camp Verde	04	13	262		306			
		Clarkdale	04	13	263		180			
		Cornville	04	13	302		3			
		Cottonwood	04	13	264		43			
		Jerome	04	13	265		2			
		Middle Verde	04	13	266		83			
		Rim Rock	04	13	269		18			
Payson		Gila Co.				108		100%	246	269
		Payson	04	04	054		107			
		Star Valley	04	04	071		1			
PIMC		Maricopa Co.				48,747		89%	45,625	57,831
		Aguila	04	07	300		2			
		Ahwatukee	04	07	010		23			
		Arlington	04	07	138		26			
		Avondale	04	07	111		481			
		Buckeye	04	07	113		263			
		Carefree	04	07	012		1			
		Cashion	04	07	013		43			
		Cave Creek	04	07	014		35			
		Deer Valley	04	07	015		3			
		El Mirage	04	07	016		150			
		Glendale	04	07	121		3,172			
		Goodyear	04	07	122		165			
		Guadalupe	04	07	040		2,017			
		Litchfield P	04	07	017		31			
		Mesa	04	07	129		6,906			
		Moon Valley	04	07	018		0			
		New River	04	13	019		10			
		Paradise Valley	04	07	020		21			
		Peoria	04	07	130		607			
		Phoenix	04	07	131		29,697			
		Scottsdale	04	07	133		1,652			
		Sun City	04	07	022		35			
		Sun City WT	04	07	023		8			
		Sunny Slope	04	07	024		11			
		Surprise	04	07	025		136			
		Tempe	04	07	134		3,047			
		Tolleson	04	07	135		89			
		Tonopah	04	07	301		50			
Wickenburg	04	07	136		53					
Youngtown	04	07	026		13					
		Yavapai Co.				7		1%	15	17
		Black Canyon Cit	04	13	027		7			
		Pinal Co. (part)				287		100%	201	208
		Apache Junction	04	11	011		287			
Service Area Total						49,041	49,041		45,841	58,055
PHOENIX SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:						56,170	56,170		53,857	67,823

TABLE II**PHOENIX SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY**

Counties	2002	2002	2012
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Maricopa Co. (part)	54,781	51,272	64,989
Pinal Co. (part)	287	201	208
Gila Co. (part)	108	246	269
Yavapai Co. (part)	994	2,138	2,357
Service Unit Total:	56,170	53,857	67,823

TABLE III**PHOENIX SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY**

	% of	2002	2002	2012	2012
	County	Active	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated
	in SA	Users	Census	Census Pop	User Pop
	/6		(user based)	(user based)	/7
STATE OF ARIZONA					
GILA CO. (part)					
PAYSON SA	100%	108	246	269	118
MARICOPA CO. (part)					
FT. McDOWELL SA	2%	972	910	1,153	1,232
SALT RIVER SA	8%	4,485	4,198	5,321	5,685
SAN LUCY SA	1%	577	540	685	731
PIMC SA	89%	48,747	45,625	57,831	61,788
PINAL CO. (part)					
PIMC SA	100%	287	201	208	297
YAVAPAI CO. (part)					
PIMC SA	1%	7	15	17	8
PRESCOTT SA	35%	352	757	835	388
MIDDLE VERDE SA	64%	635	1,366	1,506	700
TOTAL:		56,170	53,857	67,823	70,948

TABLE IV**PHOENIX SERVICE UNIT SERVICE AREA SUMMARY**

	2002	2002	2012	2012
	Active	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated
	Users	Census	Census Pop	User Pop
		(user based)	(user based)	
FT. McDOWELL SA	972	910	1,153	1,232
MIDDLE VERDE SA	635	1,366	1,506	700
PAYSON SA	108	246	269	118
PIMC SA	49,041	45,841	58,055	62,093
PRESCOTT SA	352	757	835	388
SALT RIVER SA	4,485	4,198	5,321	5,685
SAN LUCY SA	577	540	685	731
TOTAL:	56,170	53,857	67,823	70,948

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/99, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 01/09/2003).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the

Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County. For example, in Yavapai County, 7 Users were from the PIMC SA, 352 from the Prescott SA, and 635 from the Middle Verde SA for a total of 994 Users.

Middle Verde's share is 64% (635/994), Prescott's 35% (352/994), and PIMC's 1% (7/994).

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2012 Census Pop/2002 Census Pop) x (2002 Active Users).

SAN CARLOS SERVICE UNIT

TABLE 1

Service Unit Code: 68	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2002 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2012 Census Pop (user based)	
						By County	By Community				
		Cochise				9		100%	1,134	1,539	
	Bylas	Tombstone	04	02	068		1				
		Wilcox	04	02	021		8				
		Graham				2,119		48%	2,113	2,166	
		Bylas	04	05	073		2,119				
		Bylas Gap	04	05	072		0				
	Service Area Sub-Total						2,128	2,128		3,247	3,705
		Gila				5,831		100%	5,157	5,642	
	San Carlos	Black River	04	04	042		0				
		Chrysotile	04	04	045		0				
		Claypool	04	04	046		17				
		Cutter	04	04	047		406				
		Gilson Wash	04	04	048		154				
		Gilson Well	04	04	049		0				
		Globe	04	04	050		303				
		Hill Top	04	04	051		0				
		Hollywood	04	04	052		0				
		Lower Peridot	04	04	062		382				
		Miami	04	04	053		49				
		North Gilson	04	04	063		747				
		Peridot	04	04	055		345				
		Phillips Mine	04	04	056		0				
		Regal Mine	04	04	057		0				
		Salt Creek	04	04	058		0				
		San Carlos	04	04	059		220				
		Seneca	04	04	060		0				
		South Gilson	04	04	066		741				
		Upper Peridot	04	04	067		290				
	Young	04	04	061		5					
	7-Mile Wash	04	04	065		2,172					
		Graham				3,166		52%	2,290	2,347	
		Arsenic Tub	04	05	071		0				
		Ash Creek	04	05	041		0				
		Calva	04	05	074		2				
		Central	04	05	075		0				
		Coolidge Dam	04	05	076		5				
		Cork	04	05	077		0				
		Eden	04	05	078		3				
		Fort Thomas	04	05	079		7				
		Geronimo	04	05	080		1				
		Glenbar	04	05	081		0				
		Peridot Heights	04	05	064		2,969				
		Pima	04	05	082		55				
		Pt. of Pines	04	05	083		0				
		Safford	04	05	084		75				
		Solomon	04	05	085		1				
		Thatcher	04	05	086		48				
		Greenlee				034		100%	243	263	
		Clifton	04	06	101		4				
		Duncan	04	06	104		15				
		Eagle Creek	04	06	102		0				
		Morenci	04	06	103		15				
	Service Area Sub-Total						9,031	9,031		7,690	8,252
SAN CARLOS SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:							11,159	11,159		10,937	11,957

**TABLE II
SAN CARLOS SERVICE UNIT**

Counties	COUNTY SUMMARY		
	2002 Active Users	2002 Census /5	2012 Census /5
Cochise, AZ	9	1,134	1,539
Gila, AZ	5,831	5,157	5,642
Graham, AZ	5,285	4,403	4,513
Greenlee, AZ	34	243	263
Service Unit Total:	11,159	10,937	11,957

**TABLE III
SAN CARLOS SERVICE UNIT
COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY**

	% of County in SA /6	2002 Active Users	2002 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2012 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2012 Estimated User Pop /7
STATE OF ARIZONA					
COCHISE CO.					
BYLAS SA	100%	9	1,134	1,539	12
GRAHAM CO.					
BYLAS SA	48%	2,119	2,113	2,166	2,172
SAN CARLOS SA	52%	3,166	2,290	2,347	3,245
GILA CO.					
SAN CARLOS SA	100%	5,831	5,157	5,642	6,379
GREENLEE CO.					
SAN CARLOS SA	100%	34	243	263	37
TOTAL:		11,159	10,937	11,957	11,845
BYLAS SA		2,128	3,247	3,705	2,184
SAN CARLOS SA		9,031	7,690	8,252	9,661
TOTAL:		11,159	10,937	11,957	11,845

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/99, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 01/09/2003).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2012 Census Pop/2002 Census Pop) x (2002 Active Users).

**SCHURZ SERVICE UNIT
TABLE I**

Service Unit Code: 69	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2002 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2012 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
	McDermitt	Humboldt				438		70%	629	701
		Denio	32	07	586		3			
		McDermitt	32	07	587		432			
		Orovada	32	07	588		3			
		Paradise Isl.	32	07	589		0			
		Malheur, Oregon				9		100%	472	494
		Ontario	41	23	358		8			
	Jordan Valle	41	23	410		1				
	Nyssa	41	23	411		0				
	Service Area Sub-Total					447	447		1,101	1,195
	Fallon	Lander				22		100%	30	31
		Austin	32	08	596		22			
		Lyon				53		6%	49	62
		Silver Springs	32	10	613		53			
		Nye				86		61%	257	272
		Ione	32	12	634		0			
		Yomba	32	12	632		63			
		Gabbs	32	12	633		23			
		Pershing				209		100%	278	342
		Lovelock	32	14	642		201			
		Imlay	32	14	406		8			
		Churchill				1,304		100%	1,133	1472
	Fallon	32	01	526		1,302				
	Stillwater	32	01	528		2				
	Service Area Sub-Total					1,674	1,674		1,747	2,179
	Winnemucca	Humboldt				192		30%	276	308
		Golconda	32	07	360		0			
		Winnemucca	32	07	590		192			
	Service Area Sub-Total					192	192		276	308
	Las Vegas Moapa	Lincoln				2		100%	80	125
		Caliente	32	09	577		2			
		Hiko	32	09	601		0			
		Panaca	32	09	602		0			
		Pioche	32	09	603		0			
		Nye				28		20%	84	89
		Beatty	32	12	626		0			
		Pahrump	32	12	630		28			
		Clark				1,276		100%	9,347	13,113
		Boulder	32	02	531		1			
		Boulder City	32	02	532		7			
		Henderson	32	02	533		46			
		Indian Springs	32	02	534		3			
		Las Vegas	32	02	535		982			
		Laughlin	32	02	530		11			
		Logandale	32	02	536		0			
		Mesquite	32	02	537		6			
		Moapa	32	02	538		207			
	Nellis AFB	32	02	539		0				
	N. Las Vegas	32	02	540		10				
	Overton	32	02	541		2				
	Searchlight	32	02	542		1				
	Service Area Sub-Total					1,306	1,306		9,511	13,327

**SCHURZ SERVICE UNIT
TABLE I (cont)**

Service Unit Code: 69	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2002 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2012 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
		Lyon			/1	126		14%	116	147
		Dayton	32	10	308		50			
		Stewart	32	10	609		76	100%	1,460	1,767
		Carson City				1,048				
		Carson City	32	13	606		1,048			
		Storey				9		100%	56	51
		Virginia City	32	15	307		9			
		Alpine, California				318		100%	344	412
		Markleeville	06	02	303		69			
		Topaz	06	02	400		4			
		Woodfords	06	02	304		245			
		Douglas				688		100%	713	823
		Dresslerville	32	03	551		292			
		Gardnerville	32	03	552		348			
		Genoa	32	03	401		3			
		Minden	32	03	553		40			
		State Line	32	03	402		4			
		Zephyr Cove	32	03	403		1			
		Service Area Sub-Total				2,189	2,189		2,689	3,200
		Washoe				3,306		68%	4,527	5,364
		Reno								
		Crystal Bay	32	16	647		1			
		Empire	32	16	655		8			
		Lemmon Valley	32	16	306		7			
		Incline Village	32	16	408		9			
		Sparks								
		Reno	32	16	650		2,067			
		Sparks	32	16	651		1,000			
		Stead AFB	32	16	652		2			
		Sun Valley	32	16	305		212			
		Service Area Sub-Total				3,306	3,306		4,527	5,364
		Lyon				597		65%	550	696
		Yerington								
		Mark Twain	32	10	615		1			
		Smith	32	10	608		12			
		Smith Valley	32	10	617		1			
		Stagecoach	32	10	618		5			
		Wellington	32	10	611		17			
		Yerington	32	10	612		561			
		Service Area Sub-Total				597	597		550	696
		Nye				27		19%	81	85
		Walker River								
		Round Mountain	32	12	635		16			
		Tonopah	32	12	631		11			
		Esmeralda				2		100%	92	112
		Dyer	32	05	571		0			
		Goldfield	32	05	572		0			
		Silver Peak	32	05	573		2			
		Mineral				879		100%	909	999
		Babbit	32	11	621		0			
		Hawthorne	32	11	622		86			
		Mina	32	11	624		0			
		Schurz	32	11	623		793			
		Service Area Sub-Total				908	908		1,082	1,196
		Washoe				1,532		32%	2,098	2,486
		Pyramid Lake								
		Gerlach	32	16	656		3			
		Nixon	32	16	649		514			
		Sutcliffe	32	16	654		184			
		Wadsworth	32	16	653		831			
		Lyon				147		16%	135	171
		Fernley	32	10	607		147			
		Service Area Sub-Total				1,679	1,679		2,233	2,657
		Unknown				0				
		Unknown	32	10	606		0			
		Unknown				0				
		SCHURZ SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:				12,298	12,298		23,716	30,122

TABLE II

SCHURZ SERVICE UNIT - COUNTY SUMMARY

Counties	2002	2002	2012
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Alpine, CA	318	344	412
Carson City, NV	1,048	1,460	1,767
Churchill, NV	1,304	1,133	1,472
Clark, NV	1,276	9,347	13,113
Douglas, NV	688	713	823
Esmeralda, NV	2	92	112
Humboldt, NV	630	905	1,009
Lander, NV (partial)	22	30	31
Lincoln, NV	2	80	125
Lyon, NV	923	850	1,076
Malheur, OR	9	472	494
Mineral, NV	879	909	999
Nye, NV	141	422	446
Pershing, NV	209	278	342
Storey, NV	9	56	51
Washoe, NV	4,838	6,625	7,850
Service Unit Total:	12,298	23,716	30,122

TABLE III

SCHURZ SERVICE UNIT COUNTY & SERVICE AREA SUMMARY

	% of	2002	2002	2012	2012
	County	Active	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated
	in SA	Users	Census Pop	Census Pop	User Pop
	/6		(user based)	(user based)	/7
STATE OF NEVADA					
CARSON CITY					
WASHOE SA	100%	1,048	1,460	1,767	1,268
CHURCHILL CO.					
FALLON SA	100%	1,304	1,133	1,472	1,694
CLARK CO.					
LAS VEGAS SA	100%	1,276	9,347	13,113	1,790
DOUGLAS SA					
WASHOE SA	100%	688	713	823	794
ESMERALDA CO.					
WALKER RIVER SA	100%	2	92	112	2
HUMBOLDT CO.					
WINNEMUCCA SA	30%	192	276	308	214
McDERMITT SA	70%	438	629	701	488
LANDER CO.					
FALLON SA	100%	22	30	31	23
LINCOLN CO.					
LAS VEGAS SA	100%	2	80	125	3
LYON CO.					
FALLON SA	6%	53	49	62	67
PYRAMID L. SA	16%	147	135	171	186
WASHOE SA	14%	126	116	147	160
YERINGTON SA	65%	597	550	696	756
	100%				
MINERAL CO.					
WALKER R. SA	100%	879	909	999	966
NYE CO.					
FALLON SA	61%	86	257	272	91
LAS VEGAS SA	20%	28	84	89	30
WALKER R. SA	19%	27	81	85	29
PERSHING CO.					
FALLON SA	100%	209	278	342	257
STOREY CO.					
WASHOE SA	100%	9	56	51	8
WASHOE CO.					
RENO/SPARKS SA	68%	3,306	4,527	5,364	3,917
PYRAMID L. SA	32%	1,532	2,098	2,486	1,815
STATE OF OREGON					
MALHEUR CO.					
McDERMITT SA	100%	9	472	494	9
STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
ALPINE CO. CALIFORNIA					
WASHOE SA	100%	318	344	412	381
TOTAL:		12,298	23,716	30,122	14,949

TABLE IV
SCHURZ SERVICE UNIT SERVICE AREA SUMMARY

	2002 Active Users	2002 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2012 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2012 Estimated User Pop <i>/7</i>
FALLON SA	1,674	1,747	2,179	2,132
LAS VEGAS SA	1,306	9,511	13,327	1,823
McDERMITT SA	447	1,101	1,195	498
PYRAMID LAKE SA	1,679	2,233	2,657	2,001
RENO/SPARKS SA	3,306	4,527	5,364	3,917
WALKER R. SA	908	1,082	1,196	997
WASHOE SA	2,189	2,689	3,200	2,611
WINNEMUCCA SA	192	276	308	214
YERINGTON SA	597	550	696	756
TOTAL:	12,298	23,716	30,122	14,949

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/99, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 01/09/2003).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the

Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2012 Census Pop/2002 Census Pop) x (2002 Active Users).

U&O SERVICE UNIT

TABLE I

Service Unit Code: 61	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2002 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2012 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
		Carbon Co.				27		100%	287	441
		Helper	49	04	033		7			
		Price	49	04	025		17			
		Wellington	49	04	032		3			
		Daggett Co.				2		100%	9	9
		Dutch John	49	05	023		0			
		Manila	49	05	024		2			
		Davis Co.*				0		0%		
		Bountiful	49	06	004		0			
		Clearfield	49	06	005		0			
		Layton	49	06	007		0			
		Duchesne Co.				1,085		100%	945	1197
		Altamont	49	07	671		17			
		Altona	49	07	026		4			
		Arcadia	49	07	672		23			
		Blue Bell	49	07	027		10			
		Boneta	49	07	681		0			
		Bridgeland	49	07	678		11			
		Cedar View	49	07	682		1			
		Duchesne	49	07	673		12			
		Emmons	49	07	683		0			
		Fruitland	49	07	684		0			
		Hanna	49	07	685		1			
		Ika	49	07	686		0			
		Monarch	49	07	687		0			
		Mountain Home	49	07	680		9			
		Myton	49	07	675		171			
		Neola	49	07	676		173			
		Roosevelt	49	07	677		651			
		Stockmore	49	07	688		0			
		Tabiona	49	07	689		2			
		Talmage	49	07	690		0			
		Upalco	49	07	028		0			
	Ft. Duchesne	Emery Co.				7		100%	61	49
		Castle Dale	49	08	650		2			
		Clawson	49	08	651		0			
		Cleveland	49	08	652		0			
		Elmo	49	08	653		0			
		Emery	49	08	654		0			
		Ferron	49	08	655		1			
		Green River	49	08	656		0			
		Huntington	49	08	657		0			
		Lawrence	49	08	658		0			
		Mohriand	49	08	659		0			
		Molen	49	08	660		0			
		Moore	49	08	661		0			
		Orangeville	49	08	662		4			
		Grand Co.				1		100%	276	374
		Castle Valley	49	10	714		0			
		Cisco	49	10	715		0			
		Crescent Junction	49	10	716		0			
		Moab	49	10	717		1			
		Thompson Springs	49	10	718		0			
		Salt Lake Co.*				219		100%		
		Salt Lake City	49	18	691		171			
		West Jordan	49	18	570		21			
		West Valley	49	18	008		27			

**U&O SERVICE UNIT
TABLE I (continued)**

Service Unit Code: 61	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2002 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2012 Census Pop (user based)
						By County	By Community			
		Summit Co.				0		100%	78	91
		Castle Rock	49	22	719		0			
		Coalville	49	22	720		0			
		Echo	49	22	721		0			
		Emory	49	22	722		0			
		Francis	49	22	723		0			
		Henefer	49	22	724		0			
		Hoytsville	49	22	725		0			
		Kamas	49	22	726		0			
		Marion	49	22	727		0			
		Oakley	49	22	728		0			
		Park City	49	22	729		0			
		Peoa	49	22	730		0			
		Pineview	49	22	731		0			
		Synderville	49	22	732		0			
		Upton	49	22	733		0			
		Wanship	49	22	734		0			
		Woodland	49	22	735		0			
		Uintah Co.				2,810		100%	2,896	3,149
		Ballard	49	24	740		24			
		Ft. Duchesne	49	24	674		1,296			
		Gusher	49	24	701		28			
		Indian Bench	49	24	708		156			
		Jensen	49	24	741		4			
		Lapoint	49	24	702		109			
		Naples	49	24	742		0			
		Ouray	49	24	703		27			
		Randlett	49	24	704		327			
		Tridell	49	24	705		17			
		Vernal	49	24	706		269			
		White Rocks	49	24	707		553			
		Utah Co.*				119		100%		
		Orem	49	25	009		51			
		Provo	49	25	006		65			
		Springville	49	25	010		3			
		Wasatch Co.				4		100%	95	120
		Heber City	49	26	530		4			
		Midway	49	26	743		0			
		Wallsburg	49	26	744		0			
		Rio Blanco, Co. Colorado				4		100%	44	44
		Rangely	08	52	748		4			
		Service Area Sub-Total				3,940	3,940		4,691	5,474
	Skull Valley	Tooele Co.				43		100%	368	374
		Grantsville	49	23	030		13			
		Skull Valley	49	23	031		15			
		Stockton	49	23	029		3			
		Tooele	49	23	696		12			
		Service Area Sub-Total				43	43		368	374
	PITU	Iron Co.				410		100%	905	1,187
		Cedar City	49	11	710		377			
		Enoch	49	11	001		25			
		New Castle	49	11	037		6			
		Paragonah	49	11	745		0			
		Parowan	49	11	746		2			
		Millard Co.				49		100%	227	210
		Fillmore	49	14	711		5			
		Kanosh	49	14	002		44			

**U&O SERVICE UNIT
TABLE I (continued)**

Service Unit Code: 61	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2002 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2012 Census Pop (user based)	
						By County	By Community				
		Sevier Co.			/1	76		100%	426	451	
		Joseph	49	21	747		30				
		Richfield	49	21	900		46				
	PITU	Washington Co.				284		100%	1,094	1,616	
		Santa Clara	49	27	003		41				
		Shivwits	49	27	036		58				
		St. George	49	27	713		185				
	Service Area Sub-Total						819	819		2,652	3,464
		Unknown**				123					
		Utah Unknown	49	99	949		123				
	Service Area Sub-Total						123	123		0	0
U&O SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:						4,802	4,802		7,711	9,312	

TABLE II

U&O SERVICE UNIT COUNTY SUMMARY

Counties	2002	2002	2012
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Carbon Co.	27	287	441
Daggett Co.	2	9	9
Davis Co.*	0		
Duchesne Co.	1,085	945	1,197
Emery Co.	7	61	49
Grand Co.	1	276	374
Iron Co.	410	905	1,187
Millard Co.	49	227	210
Rio Blanco Co.	4	44	44
Salt Lake Co.*	219		
Sevier Co.	76	426	451
Summit Co.	0	78	91
Tooele Co.	43	368	374
Uintah Co.	2,810	2,896	3,149
Utah Co.*	119		
Wasatch Co.	4	95	120
Washington Co.	284	1,094	1,616
Service Unit Total	4,802	7,711	9,312

TABLE III

U&O SERVICE UNIT COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARIES

	% of County in SA /6	2002 Active Users	2002 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2012 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2012 Estimated User Pop /7
STATE OF UTAH					
CARBON CO.					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	27	287	441	41
DAGGETT CO.					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	2	9	9	2
DAVIS CO.*					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	0%	0			
DUCHESNE CO.					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	1,085	945	1,197	1,374
EMERY CO.					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	7	61	49	6
GRAND CO.					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	1	276	374	1
IRON CO.					
PITU SA	100%	410	905	1,187	538
MILLARD CO.					
PITU SA	100%	49	227	210	45
RIO BLANCO CO.					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	4	44	44	4
SALT LAKE CO.*					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	219			
SEVIER CO.					
PITU SA	100%	76	426	451	80
SUMMIT CO.					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	0	78	91	0
TOOELE CO.					
SKULL VALLEY SA	100%	43	368	374	44
UINTAH CO.					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	2,810	2,896	3,149	3,055
UTAH CO.*					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	119			
WASATCH CO.					
FT. DUCHESNE SA	100%	4	95	120	5
WASHINGTON CO.					
PITU SA	100%	284	1,094	1,616	420
TOTAL:		4,802	7,711	9,312	5,616

TABLE IV

U&O SERVICE AREA SUMMARY

	2002 Active Users	2002 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2012 Estimated Census Pop (user based)	2012 Estimated User Pop /7
PITU SA	819	2,652	3,464	1,083
FT. DUCHESNE SA	3,940	4,691	5,474	4,489
SKULL VALLEY SA	43	368	374	44
TOTAL:	4,802	7,711	9,312	5,616

* These counties are outside the Service Unit, thus do not have census population estimates. These counties do have "active users", however, these active users are not included in totals.

**Utah unknown does not identify community of residence and therefore are not counted in totals.

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/99, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 01/09/2003).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2012 Census Pop/2002 Census Pop) x (2002 Active Users).

3/6/2003

**WHITERIVER SERVICE UNIT
TABLE I**

Service Unit Code: 71	Service Area	Counties Communities	State Code	County Code	Comm. Code			% of County in Service Area /3	Estimated 2002 Census Pop (user based) /4	Estimated 2012 Census Pop (user based)	
						By County	By Community				
		Navajo			/1	1,660		13%	1,557	1,631	
	Cibecue	Cibecue	04	09	964		1,660				
	Service Area Sub-Total					1,660	1,660		1,557	1,631	
	Whiteriver	Apache				700		100%	734	758	
		Concho	04	01	998		24				
		Eager	04	01	901		65				
		Hawley Lake	04	01	903		2				
		Nutriosio	04	01	906		0				
		McNary	04	01	905		482				
		Springerville	04	01	908		85				
		St. Johns	04	01	907		40				
		Sunrise	04	01	093		2				
		Gila					1,481		100%	1,670	1,827
		Canyon Day	04	04	043			1,086			
		Carrizo	04	04	963			142			
		Cedar Creek	04	04	044			252			
		Salt River Canyon	04	04	094			1			
		Navajo					10,760		87%	10,089	10,573
		Diamond Creek	04	09	996			518			
		East Fork	04	09	965			880			
		Forestdale	04	09	966			6			
		Fort Apache	04	09	967			285			
		Heber	04	09	969			25			
		Hon-Dah/Indian F	04	09	095			247			
		Lakeside	04	09	972			171			
		North Fork	04	09	975			794			
		Overgaard	04	09	978			23			
		Pinetop	04	09	979			95			
		Rainbow City	04	09	995			1,216			
		Seven Mile	04	09	096			744			
		Show Low	04	09	982			425			
		Snowflake	04	09	182			359			
		Taylor	04	09	987			154			
	Turkey Creek	04	09	097			208				
	Vernon	04	09	925			4				
	White Mountain L	04	09	926			1				
	Whiteriver	04	09	990			258				
	Whiteriver, NE	04	09	993			408				
	Whiteriver, NW	04	09	994			934				
	Whiteriver, SE	04	09	991			462				
	Whiteriver,SW	04	09	992			2,543				
	Service Area Sub-Total					12,941	12,941		12,493	13,158	
WHITERIVER SERVICE UNIT TOTAL:						14,601	14,601		14,050	14,789	

**TABLE II
WHITERIVER SERVICE UNIT**

COUNTY SUMMARY			
Counties	2002	2002	2012
	Active Users	Census /5	Census /5
Apache, AZ.	700	734	758
Gila, AZ. (part)	1,481	1,670	1,827
Navajo, AZ. (part)	12,420	11,646	12,204
Service Unit Total:	14,601	14,050	14,789

**TABLE III
WHITERIVER SERVICE UNIT
COUNTY AND SERVICE AREA SUMMARY**

	% of County in SA /6	2002	2002	2012	2012
		Active Users	Estimated Census Pop (user based)	Estimated Census Pop (user based)	Estimated User Pop /7
STATE OF ARIZONA					
APACHE CO					
WHITERIVER SA	100%	700	734	758	723
GILA CO.					
WHITERIVER SA	100%	1,481	1,670	1,827	1,620
NAVAJO CO.					
WHITERIVER SA	87%	10,760	10,089	10,573	11,276
CIBECUE	13%	1,660	1,557	1,631	1,740
TOTAL:		14,601	14,050	14,789	15,358
CIBECUE SA					
		1,660	1,557	1,631	1,740
WHITERIVER SA					
		12,941	12,493	13,158	13,619
TOTAL:		14,601	14,050	14,789	15,358

/1 Communities having "NO CODE" are in the process of acquiring a code. As of this date, there is no code assigned to these communities.

/2 Active Indian Users with at least one visit since 09/30/99, User Population Report (B) (Report Dated: 01/09/2003).

/3 Service Area County Percent was calculated by dividing the Total Active Indian Users in the Service Area by the Total Active Users in the County.

/4 User-based census population was calculated by multiplying the projected IHS Service Population by the percent of the county in the Service Area.

/5 Projected IHS Service Populations are based on the 1990 Census Data, IHS Demographic Statistics Branch, (November 2000).

/6 Percent of county in the Service Area was estimated using "active user" proportions. This was calculated by dividing the

Total Active Indian Users of the Service Area in the County by the Total Active Users in the County.

/7 Percent user population growth same as census growth (2012 Census Pop/2002 Census Pop) x (2002 Active Users).