140 acres of public land withdrawn for use by the Bureau of Reclamation for the Robert B. Griffith Water Project. The land is no longer needed for the purpose for which it was withdrawn and the revocation is needed to permit disposal of the land by non-competitive sale to the City of Henderson. The land is temporarily closed to surface entry and mining due to the pending non-competitive sale. The land is within an incorporated city and will remain closed to mineral leasing.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 21, 1997.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dennis J. Samuelson, BLM Nevada State Office, P.O. Box 12000, Reno, Nevada 89520, 702–785–6532.

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by Section 204 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1714 (1988), it is ordered as follows:

1. Public Land Order No. 3512, which withdrew public land for the Bureau of Reclamation's Robert B. Griffith Project, is hereby revoked insofar as it affects the following described land:

Mount Diablo Meridian

T. 21 S., R. 63 E.,
sec. 28, S½NW¼SW¼ and SW¼SW¼;
sec. 29, S½SE¼.

The area described contains 140 acres in Clark County.

2. The land described in paragraph 1 is hereby made available for conveyance to the City of Henderson in accordance with Section 203 and 209 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1713, 1719 (1988).

Dated: February 7, 1997.

Bob Armstrong,

*Assistant Secretary of the Interior.*

[FR Doc. 97–4319 Filed 2–20–97; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–HC–P**

---

**[CA–065–06–1430–00, CACA–23033]**

## Notice of Realty Action; Classification of Public Lands for Recreation and Public Purposes, Kern County, California; Correction

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management.

**ACTION:** Correction.

**SUMMARY:** In notice document 97–1899 beginning on page 3911 in the issue of Monday, January 27, 1997, make the following correction: The legal land description was written as follows:

San Bernardino Meridian

T.9S., R.13W.,
Section 14 Lots 6–7 (excluding MS 5254, MS 5210, and MS 5217)
Containing 15.41 acres, more or less.

The legal description should be changed to read as follows:

San Bernardino Meridian

T.9N., R.13W.,
Section 14 Lots 6–7 (excluding MS 5254 and MS 5217)
Containing 15.41 acres, more or less.

Lee Delaney,

*Area Manager.*

[FR Doc. 97–4277 Filed 2–20–97; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–40–P**

---

**[UT–940–1430–01; UTU 74938]**

## Notice of Proposed Withdrawal; Utah

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of correction.

**SUMMARY:** This order will correct the errors in acreage and legal land descriptions in Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Opportunity for Public Meeting; Utah.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 21, 1997.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Barnes, Salt Lake District Office, (801) 977–4372 or Angela Williams, Utah State Office, (801) 539–4107.

The acreage and legal land descriptions in Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Opportunity for Public Meeting; Utah, 49 FR 68774–68776, dated December 30, 1996, are corrected as follows:

On page 68774, third column, in the summary ''38,102.78 acres of public surface/'' is corrected to read ''38,092.78 acres of public surface/''

On page 68774, third column, in the summary ''An additional 17,210.01 acres of non-'' is corrected to read ''17,220.01 acres of non-''

On page 68775, first column, line 19, which reads ''NE¼SW¼NW¼, E½SE¼NW¼;'' is corrected to read ''NE¼SW¼NW¼, E½SW¼SE¼NW¼, E½SE¼NW¼,''

On page 68775, first column, line 26, which reads ''SE¼SW¼, SW¼SW¼, embracing that'' is corrected to read ''SE¼SW¼, SW¼SE¼, embracing that''

On page 68775, first column, line 44, which reads ''SW¼SW¼SW¼, E½SE¼SW¼SW¼,'' is corrected to read ''SW¼SW¼SW¼,''

On page 68775, first column, line 45, which reads ''W½SW¼SE¼SW¼;'' is removed.

On page 68775, second column, line 73, which reads ''Sec. 17, Lot SW¼SE¼, NW¼SW¼;'' is corrected to read ''Sec. 17, Lot 4, SW¼NW¼, NW¼SW¼;''

On page 68775, third column, line 4, which reads ''W½E½SW¼, W½SE¼;'' is corrected to read ''W½E½SE¼, W½SE¼;'

On page 68775, third column, line 8, which reads ''Sec. 29, NW¼NW½;'' is corrected to read ''Sec. 29, NW¼NW¼;''

On page 68775, third column, line 18, which reads ''T. S., R. 15 W.,'' is corrected to read ''T. 41 S., R. 15 W.,''

On page 68775, third column, line 20, which reads ''Sec. 20, N½S½SW¼,'' is corrected to read ''Sec. 20, N½S½SW¼, SE¼SW¼SW¼;'

On page 68775, third column, line 21, which reads ''W½SE¼SW¼SW¼,'' is corrected to read ''S½SE¼SW¼;'

On page 68775, third column, line 22, which reads ''E½SW¼SE¼SW¼, SE¼SE¼SW¼;'' is removed.

On page 68775, third column, line 67, which reads ''N½SE¼, NW¼;'' is corrected to read ''N½SE¼NW¼;''

On page 68776, first column, line 13, which reads ''public surface/mineral estate of 38,102.78'' is corrected to read ''public surface/mineral estate of 38,092.78''

On page 68776, first column, line 15, which reads ''6,675.20 acres. The remaining 17,210.01'' is corrected to read ''6,675.20 acres. The remaining 17,220.01''

G. William Lamb,

*State Director.*

[FR Doc. 97–3924 Filed 2–20–97; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–DQ–P**

---

## Minerals Management Service

## Announcement of Minerals Management Service Public Meetings on New Royalty-In-Kind Projects

**AGENCY:** Minerals Management Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meetings.

**SUMMARY:** The Minerals Management Service (MMS) will hold a series of one-day public meetings to discuss new ways to further utilize Federal royalty-in-kind (RIK) oil and gas programs onshore and on the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS). The meetings will be open to the public without advance registration. Public attendance may be limited to the space available. For building security measures, each person may be required to present a picture identification to gain entry to the meetings in Houston and New Orleans.

**DATES:** The meetings will be held as follows: Houston, TX, March 18, 1997 (OCS Oil RIK); Houston, TX, March 19, 1997 (OCS Gas RIK); Casper, WY, March 25, 1997 (Onshore Oil RIK); New

Orleans, LA, April 1, 1997 (OCS Oil RIK); and New Orleans, LA, April 2, 1997 (OCS Gas RIK). The meetings will commence at 9:30 a.m. on these respective dates and should end by 4:30 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meetings will be held at the following locations:

Minerals Management Service, Houston Area Audit Office, 4141 N. Sam Houston Parkway, Houston, Texas 77032–3843, (281) 987–6805;

Hilton Inn Casper, 800 N. Poplar Rd., Casper, Wyoming 82601, (307) 266–6000;

Minerals Management Service, Gulf of Mexico Regional Office, Elmwood Towers Building, Conference Rooms 111–115, 1201 Elmwood Park Boulevard, Jefferson, Louisiana 70123, (504) 736–2949.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Greg Smith, Minerals Management Service, P.O. Box 25165, Mail Stop 9130, Denver, CO, 80401, telephone number (303) 275–7102, fax (303) 275–7124; e-mail Greg__Smith@SMTP.MMS.GOV or contact Mr. Jim McNamee at the same address and fax, telephone number (303) 275–7126, e-mail James__McNamee@ SMTP.MMS.GOV.

**COMMENTS:** Written comments on the meetings or the issues discussed below should be addressed to Mr. Greg Smith at the address given in the **FURTHER INFORMATION** section.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** MMS conducted a Royalty Gas Marketing Pilot in 1995 in the Gulf of Mexico. The MMS sold its royalty gas to competitively selected gas marketers. The MMS had two objectives in conducting the pilot: (1) streamline royalty collections, and (2) test a process which could result in increased efficiency and greater certainty in valuation.

MMS' assessment of the gas RIK pilot indicated that it was an operational success, proving that the concept of MMS taking and selling royalty gas in-kind is feasible. However, MMS' analysis of the gas RIK revenues, as compared to in-value royalties paid and administrative savings realized, was not favorable to MMS.

Congress has directed MMS to consider additional projects for taking oil and/or gas in-kind. MMS is currently considering a variety of RIK scenarios that would build on lessons learned from the 1995 Royalty Gas Marketing Pilot. Any further RIK projects undertaken by MMS would be intended to address specific operational and revenue issues necessary before any longer-term implementation. The objectives of the proposed RIK options are to:

- Simplify the royalty collection process;
- Decrease administrative costs for both MMS and industry;
- Realize fair and equitable market value for the products;
- Provide certainty in royalty valuation;
- Decrease audit burden and appeal actions; and
- Provide MMS with alternative sources of data for use for in-value product valuation.

MMS is developing several options for taking Federal oil and gas in-kind. However, any new RIK programs will be separate from the current program of providing royalty oil in-kind to small refiners and will not involve production from Indian lands. The following are the general options being considered:

- Take OCS and onshore oil production in-kind; and
- Take OCS gas in-kind.

At the public meetings, MMS will present one or several specific options for taking royalties in-kind on a project/test basis. MMS will solicit public input at the meetings on the workability of these option(s). The issues that MMS would like to discuss at the meetings are presented below. The listing of issues is not necessarily complete but will be used as a starting point for the meetings.

1. Mandatory or voluntary participation;
2. Areas/leases to be selected for royalty in-kind projects;
3. Delivery points for RIK production: at the lease or various points away from the lease (e.g., first mainline interconnect, gas plant/refinery inlet, gas plant tailgate);
4. Transportation responsibility away from the lease (e.g., MMS, marketer, or lessee);
5. Aggregation of royalty volumes;
6. Pricing indicators to be used to assure a fair and equitable price for RIK production as well as certainty of price to industry;
7. Requirements to be placed on lessees (e.g., marketable condition, data submitted to MMS, coordination with purchasers); and
8. Requirements to be placed on purchasers (e.g., transportation of product away from the lease, data required by MMS, coordination with lessees, balancing, contract provisions concerning breach, payment terms).

MMS will more fully develop the RIK option(s) before the public meetings. Interested parties may request this information from the contacts listed in the **FURTHER INFORMATION** section.

Dated: February 14, 1997.

Robert E. Brown,

*Acting Associate Director, Policy and Management Improvement.*

[FR Doc. 97–4350 Filed 2–20–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MR–P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

**[Investigation No. 332–379]**

## Likely Impact of Providing Quota-Free and Duty-Free Entry To Textiles and Apparel From Sub-Saharan Africa

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Institution of investigation and scheduling of hearing.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 10, 1997.

**SUMMARY:** Following receipt on January 14, 1997 of a request from the Committee on Ways and Means of the U.S. House of Representatives for an investigation under section 332(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1332 (g)), the Commission instituted Investigation No. 332–379, Likely Impact of Providing Quota-Free and Duty-Free Entry to Textiles and Apparel from Sub-Saharan Africa. As requested by the Committee, the Commission will provide the following in its report—

(1) A review of any relevant literature on this issue prepared by governmental and non-governmental organizations;

(2) An assessment of the competitiveness of the textile and apparel industries in Sub-Saharan African countries, to the extent possible;

(3) A qualitative and quantitative assessment of the economic impact on U.S. producers, workers, and consumers of quota-free entry for imports of textiles and apparel from Sub-Saharan Africa. This assessment will address the potential shifting of global textile and apparel production facilities to Sub-Saharan Africa that might occur as a result of the changes contained in proposed legislation [H.R. 4198, African Growth and Opportunity: The End of Dependency Act of 1996, introduced in the 104th Congress by Mssrs. Crane, Rangel and McDermott]; and

(4) A qualitative and quantitative assessment of the economic impact on U.S. producers, workers, and consumers of an elimination of the exclusion of textile and apparel products from Sub-Saharan African countries, from coverage under the Generalized System of Preferences in addition to quota-free entry for imports from these same countries.

The Committee also requested that the Commission attempt to identify the