

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary**Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)****Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)**

1. Date of Submission: 1/7/2008
2. Agency: Department of Commerce
3. Bureau: Noaa (Oar)
4. Name of this Capital Asset: NOAA/OAR/ NOAA Research Scientific Computing Support
5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.) 006-48-01-13-01-3504-00
6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY2009, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2009 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.) Operations and Maintenance
7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? FY2003

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:

Periodic technical refreshment of IT computing resources and associated IT maintenance and support services used to conduct short, mid and long term climate and weather research. These IT investments are needed to sustain the research environment in a steady state and include desktop systems, servers, shared networking and security, COTS scientific software licensing, and maintenance and support contracting services. Without these computing resources, 100% Program requirements cannot be met for achieving research and development mission goal outcomes.

The scientific computing support within the NOAA Research laboratories is a critical resource supporting activities focused on meeting NOAA-wide program goals (Climate, Weather and Water, Ecosystem, and Commerce and Transportation). These activities and their associated performance measures are developed through NOAA's Planning, Programming, Budget, and Execution System (PPBES) and documented in the NOAA Strategic Plan by NOAA's Goal Teams (<https://www.ppbs.noaa.gov/performance.html>).

NOAA has created two Program Offices that are responsible for integrating Programmatic Goals: Program, Planning, and Integration (PPI) and Program, Analysis, and Evaluation (PAE). These two oversight organizations have established "Goal Teams" to identify baseline Program budgets for each of NOAA's Strategic Goals. The NOAA CIO has asked each of NOAA's CIOs to lead a Goal Team in an advisory capacity for IT investment planning. The NOAA Research CIO is the assigned CIO Council member who provides representation on the Climate Goal Team throughout the Planning, Programming, Budget, and Execution phases of the budget process. This position establishes the two way communication needed between the Goal Team leads and Program Managers and the NOAA CIOs and IT system owners for identifying IT resources in both current and 100% Program requirements early in the planning process (Program Operational Plans).

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? Yes
 - a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? 10/1/2003
10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit? Yes
12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project? Yes
 - a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)? Yes
 - b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only) No
 1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?
 2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?
 3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy

efficient than relevant code?

13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives? Yes

If "yes," check all that apply:

Competitive Sourcing

a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

Meets OMB's E-gov IT Infrastructure Line of Business Initiative, alignment with Sec. Evans infrastructure task force, led by the GSA, which "will consider consolidating help desks, data centers, data networks, voice networks and seat/desktop management". 2007 Proposal Reflects OMB's Emphasis on Blending Tech into Business Processes, GCN, 02/20/2006

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.) No

a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review?

b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?

c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?

15. Is this investment for information technology? Yes

If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) Level 2

17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)

(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)

No

19. Is this a financial management system?

No

a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFIA compliance area?

1. If "yes," which compliance area:

2. If "no," what does it address?

b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

| | |
|----------|----|
| Hardware | 11 |
| Software | 4 |
| Services | 36 |
| Other | 49 |

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

N/A

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?

No

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas? No

Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent

Exhibit 300: NOAA/OAR/ NOAA Research Scientific Computing Support (Revision 15)

budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

| Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------|
| (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions) | | | | | | | | | |
| | PY-1 and earlier | PY 2007 | CY 2008 | BY 2009 | BY+1 2010 | BY+2 2011 | BY+3 2012 | BY+4 and beyond | Total |
| Planning: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Acquisition: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Subtotal Planning & Acquisition: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Operations & Maintenance: | 39.1679 | 9.06857 | 9.31461 | 10.4262 | | | | | |
| TOTAL: | 39.1679 | 9.06857 | 9.31461 | 10.4262 | | | | | |
| Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above. | | | | | | | | | |
| Government FTE Costs | 26.23286 | 7.83713 | 8.39768 | 8.77777 | | | | | |
| Number of FTE represented by Costs: | 135 | 49 | 50 | 51 | | | | | |

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's? No

a. If "yes," How many and in what year?

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Exhibit 300: NOAA/OAR/ NOAA Research Scientific Computing Support (Revision 15)

| Contracts/Task Orders Table: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | * Costs in millions |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| Contract or Task Order Number | Type of Contract/ Task Order | Has the contract been awarded (Y/N) | If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date? | Start date of Contract/ Task Order | End date of Contract/ Task Order | Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M) | Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N) | Is it performance based? (Y/N) | Competitively awarded? (Y/N) | What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A) | Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N) | Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N) | Name of CO | CO Contact information (phone/email) | Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A) | If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N) |
| 50CMAA900048 | CPFF | Yes | 10/20/2003 | 11/17/2004 | 11/16/2009 | 11.475 | No | Yes | Yes | NA | No | Yes | | pstang@doc.gov | | |
| GS35F5355H | T&M | Yes | 9/8/2006 | 9/15/2006 | 9/14/2008 | 1.45 | No | Yes | Yes | NA | No | Yes | | Mitchell.Ross@noaa.gov | | |
| RA133R-04-CN-0006 | CPFF | Yes | 2/22/2004 | 2/22/2004 | 2/21/2009 | 15.818 | No | Yes | Yes | NA | No | Yes | | jan.clark@noaa.gov | | |

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

IT steady state operational/maintenance services

3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance?

Yes

a. Explain why:

The DOC and NOAA Contracting Offices require the inclusion of Section 508 compliance language in the statement of work for all IT development service contracts. In order to procure all COTS equipment and software, requestors are required to include with their purchase order or file the Government purchase card invoices as well as the vendor's statement of compliance (Voluntary Product Accessibility Template (VPAT)).

4. Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?

Yes

a. If "yes," what is the date?

3/16/2006

b. If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?

1. If "no," briefly explain why:

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond FY 2009.

Performance Information Table

| Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal(s) Supported | Measurement Area | Measurement Category | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Target | Actual Results |
|-------------|---|------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|-------------|------------|----------------|
| 2007 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Customer Results | Customer Benefit | Customer Satisfaction | Climate Observation and Analysis: Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS) Implemented | 57% | 59% | 59% |
| 2007 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Mission and Business Results | Environmental Management | Environmental Monitoring and Forecasting | Increased number of ecological forecasts and living marine resource assessments used by managers that incorporate indices of climate variability and change | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| 2007 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Mission and Business Results | Environmental Management | Environmental Monitoring and Forecasting | Reduce uncertainty in model simulations of the influence of aerosols on climate | 10% | 10% | 10% |
| 2007 | 2.1 Develop tools and | Mission and Business Results | Environmental Management | Environmental Monitoring and | Reduce the uncertainty in | +/- 0.4 gtC | +/-0.4 gtC | +/- 0.4 gtC |

| Performance Information Table | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|----------|--|----------------|
| Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal(s) Supported | Measurement Area | Measurement Category | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Target | Actual Results |
| | capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research. | | | Forecasting | the magnitude of the North American carbon uptake | | | |
| 2007 | 3.2 Enhance the conservation and management of coastal and marine resources to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Mission and Business Results | Environmental Management | Environmental Monitoring and Forecasting | Annual number of coastal, marine, and Great Lakes ecological characterizations that meet mangement needs | 0 | 27 | 27 |
| 2007 | 3.2 Enhance the conservation and management of coastal and marine resources to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Mission and Business Results | Environmental Management | Environmental Monitoring and Forecasting | Cumulative number of coastal, marine and Great Lakes issue-based forecasting capabilities developed and used for management | 0 | 35 | 35 |
| 2007 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Mission and Business Results | Environmental Management | Environmental Monitoring and Forecasting | Climate Observation and Analysis; CDRs undergoing operational testing and validation. (Cumulative Total #) | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2007 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Processes and Activities | Management and Innovation | Knowledge Management | Increase contribution to national and international climate-relevant products and assessments | .03 | .25 | .25 |
| 2007 | 2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research. | Processes and Activities | Management and Innovation | Knowledge Management | U.S. temperature forecasts (cumulative skill score over the regions where predictions are made) | 25 | 19 | 28.7 |
| 2007 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Processes and Activities | Management and Innovation | Knowledge Management | Improve society's ability to plan and respond to climate variability and change using NOAA climate products and information | 32 | 32 regionally focused climate impacts and adaptation studies communicated to decision makers | 32 |
| 2007 | 2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research. | Processes and Activities | Management and Innovation | Knowledge Management | Percentage of tools, technologies, and information services that are used by NOAA partners/customers to improve ecosystem-based management | 0% | 85% | 85% |
| 2007 | 2.1 Develop tools and | Processes and Activities | Quality | Errors | Reduce the error in global | .51C | .5C | .53C |

| Performance Information Table | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal(s) Supported | Measurement Area | Measurement Category | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Target | Actual Results |
| | capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research. | | | | measurement of sea surface temperature | | | |
| 2007 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Technology | Information and Data | Data Reliability and Quality | Improved estimates of the magnitude, associated error, and sources of variability on atmospheric forcing agents | 34 | 39 | 39 |
| 2007 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Technology | Information and Data | Data Reliability and Quality | Determine the National explained variance (%) for temperature and precipitation for the contiguous United States using USCRN stations | temperature (97.1%); precipitation (91.9%) | temperature (97.2%); precipitation (92.6%) | temperature (97.7%); precipitation (93.8%) |
| 2008 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Customer Results | Customer Benefit | Customer Satisfaction | Climate Observation and Analysis: Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS) Implemented | 59% | 59% | |
| 2008 | 2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research. | Mission and Business Results | Environmental Management | Environmental Monitoring and Forecasting | Reduce the uncertainty in the magnitude of the North American carbon uptake | +/- 0.4 gtC | +/- 0.35 gtC | |
| 2008 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Mission and Business Results | Environmental Management | Environmental Monitoring and Forecasting | Climate Observation and Analysis: CDRs undergoing operational testing and validation. (Cumulative Total #) | 1 | 2 | |
| 2008 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Mission and Business Results | Environmental Management | Environmental Monitoring and Forecasting | Increased number of ecological forecasts and living marine resource assessments used by managers that incorporate indices of climate variability and change | 5 | 5 | |
| 2008 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Processes and Activities | Management and Innovation | Knowledge Management | Increase contribution to national and international climate-relevant products and assessments | .25 | TBD | |

| Performance Information Table | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|---|--|--|----------------|
| Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal(s) Supported | Measurement Area | Measurement Category | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Target | Actual Results |
| 2008 | 2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research. | Processes and Activities | Management and Innovation | Knowledge Management | U.S. temperature forecasts (cumulative skill score over the regions where predictions are made) | 28.7 | 19 | |
| 2008 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Processes and Activities | Management and Innovation | Knowledge Management | Improve society's ability to plan and respond to climate variability and change using NOAA climate products and information | 32 | 35 | |
| 2008 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Processes and Activities | Quality | Errors | Reduce uncertainty in model simulations of the influence of aerosols on climate. | 10% | 15% | |
| 2008 | 2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research. | Processes and Activities | Quality | Errors | Reduce the error in global measurement of sea surface temperature | .53C | .5C | |
| 2008 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Technology | Information and Data | Data Reliability and Quality | Determine the National explained variance (%) for temperature and precipitation for the contiguous United States using USCRN stations | temperature (97.7%); precipitation (93.8%) | temperature (98%); precipitation (95%) | |
| 2008 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Technology | Information and Data | Data Reliability and Quality | Improved estimates of the magnitude, associated error, and sources of variability on atmospheric forcing agents | 39 | TBD | |
| 2009 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Customer Results | Customer Benefit | Customer Satisfaction | Climate Observation and Analysis: Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS) Implemented | 59% | 62% | |
| 2009 | 2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research. | Mission and Business Results | Environmental Management | Environmental Monitoring and Forecasting | Reduce the uncertainty in the magnitude of the North American carbon uptake | +/- 0.35 gtC | +/- .0.3 gtC | |
| 2009 | 3.1 Advance understanding | Mission and Business Results | Environmental Management | Environmental Monitoring and | Climate Observation and | 2 | TBD | |

| Performance Information Table | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|---|--|--|----------------|
| Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal(s) Supported | Measurement Area | Measurement Category | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Target | Actual Results |
| | and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | | | Forecasting | Analysis; CDRs undergoing operational testing and validation. (Cumulative Total #) | | | |
| 2009 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Mission and Business Results | Environmental Management | Environmental Monitoring and Forecasting | Increased number of ecological forecasts and living marine resource assessments used by managers that incorporate indices of climate variability and change | 5 | TBD | |
| 2009 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Processes and Activities | Management and Innovation | Knowledge Management | Increase contribution to national and international climate-relevant products and assessments | .25 | TBD | |
| 2009 | 2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research. | Processes and Activities | Management and Innovation | Knowledge Management | U.S. temperature forecasts (cumulative skill score over the regions where predictions are made) | 19 | 20 | |
| 2009 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Processes and Activities | Management and Innovation | Knowledge Management | Improve society's ability to plan and respond to climate variability and change using NOAA climate products and information | 35 | 37 | |
| 2009 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Processes and Activities | Quality | Errors | Reduce uncertainty in model simulations of the influence of aerosols on climate. | 15% | 20% | |
| 2009 | 2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research. | Processes and Activities | Quality | Errors | Reduce the error in global measurement of sea surface temperature | .5C | TBD | |
| 2009 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Technology | Information and Data | Data Reliability and Quality | Determine the National explained variance (%) for temperature and precipitation for the contiguous United States using USCRN stations | temperature (98%); precipitation (95%) | temperature (98%); precipitation (95.1%) | |

| Performance Information Table | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|---|----------|--------|----------------|
| Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal(s) Supported | Measurement Area | Measurement Category | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Target | Actual Results |
| 2009 | 3.1 Advance understanding and predict changes in the Earth's environment to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs. | Technology | Information and Data | Data Reliability and Quality | Improved estimates of the magnitude, associated error, and sources of variability on atmospheric forcing agents | 39 | TBD | |

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

For existing Mixed-Life Cycle investments where enhancement, development, and/or modernization is planned, include the investment in both the "Systems in Planning" table (Table 3) and the "Operational Systems" table (Table 4). Systems which are already operational, but have enhancement, development, and/or modernization activity, should be included in both Table 3 and Table 4. Table 3 should reflect the planned date for the system changes to be complete and operational, and the planned date for the associated C&A update. Table 4 should reflect the current status of the requirements listed. In this context, information contained within Table 3 should characterize what updates to testing and documentation will occur before implementing the enhancements; and Table 4 should characterize the current state of the materials associated with the existing system.

All systems listed in the two security tables should be identified in the privacy table. The list of systems in the "Name of System" column of the privacy table (Table 8) should match the systems listed in columns titled "Name of System" in the security tables (Tables 3 and 4). For the Privacy table, it is possible that there may not be a one-to-one ratio between the list of systems and the related privacy documents. For example, one PIA could cover multiple systems. If this is the case, a working link to the PIA may be listed in column (d) of the privacy table more than once (for each system covered by the PIA).

The questions asking whether there is a PIA which covers the system and whether a SORN is required for the system are discrete from the narrative fields. The narrative column provides an opportunity for free text explanation why a working link is not provided. For example, a SORN may be required for the system, but the system is not yet operational. In this circumstance, answer "yes" for column (e) and in the narrative in column (f), explain that because the system is not operational the SORN is not yet required to be published.

Please respond to the questions below and verify the system owner took the following actions:

1. Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified Yes
and integrated into the overall costs of the investment:

a. If "yes," provide the "Percentage IT Security" for the 9
budget year:

2. Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part Yes
of the overall risk management effort for each system
supporting or part of this investment.

5. Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of No
the systems part of or supporting this investment been
identified by the agency or IG?

a. If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into
the agency's plan of action and milestone process?

| 8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table: | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---|---|--|----------------------------------|
| (a) Name of System | (b) Is this a new system? (Y/N) | (c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N) | (d) Internet Link or Explanation | (e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N) | (f) Internet Link or Explanation |
| NOAA Fleet | No | No | No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information. | No | |
| OAR Headquarters | No | No | No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information. | No | |

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:

| (a) Name of System | (b) Is this a new system? (Y/N) | (c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N) | (d) Internet Link or Explanation | (e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N) | (f) Internet Link or Explanation |
|--|---------------------------------|---|---|--|----------------------------------|
| Climate Diagnostics Center | No | No | No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information. | No | |
| Aeronomy Laboratory | No | No | No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information. | No | |
| Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Lab | No | No | No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information. | No | |
| Air Resources Laboratory Headquarters | No | No | No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information. | No | |
| Air Resources Laboratory (Surface Radiation Research Branch) | No | No | No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information. | No | |
| Air Resources Laboratory (Atmospheric Sciences Modeling Division) | No | No | No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information. | No | |
| Air Resources Laboratory (Atmospheric Turbulence Diffusion Division) | No | No | No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information. | No | |
| Air Resources Laboratory (Field Research Division) | No | No | No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information. | No | |
| Air Resources Laboratory (Special Operations and Research Division) | No | No | No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information. | No | |
| Climate Monitoring and Diagnostics Laboratory | No | No | No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information. | No | |
| Climate Monitoring and Diagnostics Laboratory Baseline Observatories | No | No | No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information. | No | |
| Forecast Systems Laboratory | No | No | No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information. | No | |
| Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory | No | No | No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information. | No | |
| Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory | No | No | No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information. | No | |
| National Severe Storms Laboratory | No | No | No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information. | No | |
| Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory | No | No | No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information. | No | |

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:

| (a) Name of System | (b) Is this a new system? (Y/N) | (c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N) | (d) Internet Link or Explanation | (e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N) | (f) Internet Link or Explanation |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|--|----------------------------------|
| Environmental Technology Laboratory | No | No | No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information. | No | |

Details for Text Options:

Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.

Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN.

Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture? Yes

a. If "no," please explain why?

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy? Yes

a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. Climate Goal

b. If "no," please explain why?

3. Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture? No

a. If "yes," provide the name of the segment architecture as provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:

Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>.

| Agency Component Name | Agency Component Description | FEA SRM Service Domain | FEA SRM Service Type | FEA SRM Component (a) | Service Component Reused Name (b) | Service Component Reused UPI (b) | Internal or External Reuse? (c) | BY Funding Percentage (d) |
|---|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| EC-COR Ecosystems: Corals | OAC - Integrated Coral Reef Ecosystem Observations and Assessments; RDC - Coral Reef decline research | Back Office Services | Data Management | Data Warehouse | | | No Reuse | 1 |
| CL-COA Climate Observation and Analysis | OBS - Observations; ACS - Analysis of the Climate System | Back Office Services | Data Management | Meta Data Management | Meta Data Management | 006-48-01-13-01-3205-00 | Internal | 15 |
| WW-WWS Weather and Water: Science, Technology, and Infusion | RND - Research and Development; RDS - Research and Development for Severe Thunderstorm, Tornado, and Hazardous Weather Forecasts and | Back Office Services | Development and Integration | Software Development | | | No Reuse | 47 |

Exhibit 300: NOAA/OAR/ NOAA Research Scientific Computing Support (Revision 15)

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:

Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>.

| Agency Component Name | Agency Component Description | FEA SRM Service Domain | FEA SRM Service Type | FEA SRM Component (a) | Service Component Reused Name (b) | Service Component Reused UPI (b) | Internal or External Reuse? (c) | BY Funding Percentage (d) |
|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Warnings; RDQ - Research and Development for Hurricane; Observing and Prediction | | | | | | | |
| MS-SEC Homeland Security | RRO - Emergency Readiness and Incident Management | Business Analytical Services | Business Intelligence | Decision Support and Planning | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| EC-EOP Ecosystem Observations | FMA - Ecosystem Monitoring, Assessment, and Forecasting; ESM - Economic and Social Science Monitoring and Forecasting | Business Analytical Services | Knowledge Discovery | Data Mining | | | No Reuse | 1 |
| CL-CPP Climate Prediction and Projections | CRD - Applied Climate Research and Development; TEM - Test and Evaluate Models | Business Analytical Services | Knowledge Discovery | Modeling | | | No Reuse | 11 |
| WW-MOD Weather and Water: Environmental Modeling | DTT - Develop, Test, and Transition Capabilities; OBR - Observations and Research | Business Analytical Services | Knowledge Discovery | Modeling | | | No Reuse | 9 |
| WW-AQL Weather and Water: Air Quality | ADT - Quantify Air Quality and Deposition Trends; KAP - Understand Key AQ Processes; AQP - Improve Air Quality Prediction; | Business Analytical Services | Knowledge Discovery | Modeling | | | No Reuse | 4 |
| EC-ERP Ecosystem Research | FCT - Develop Forecasts; ETT - Develop Environmental tools and technologies | Business Analytical Services | Visualization | Graphing / Charting | | | No Reuse | 6 |
| MS-ITS Mission Support: IT Services | NET - Enterprise Network Operations | Business Management Services | Organizational Management | Network Management | Network Management | 006-00-02-00-02-0000-00 | Internal | 0 |
| CL-CLF Climate Forcing | CCF - Understand the processes that cause climate forcing; CFG - Monitor the climate-forcing gases; RFC - Deliver information on radiative forcing of climate; OCM - Characterize observations for climate models | Digital Asset Services | Knowledge Management | Information Sharing | | | No Reuse | 4 |
| WW-TSU Weather and Water: Tsunami | RCH - Research | Digital Asset Services | Knowledge Management | Knowledge Distribution and Delivery | | | No Reuse | 2 |
| MS-ITS Mission Support: IT Services | SEI - IT Security | Support Services | Security Management | Access Control | Access Control | 006-00-02-00-02-0000-00 | Internal | 0 |
| MS-ITS Mission Support: IT Services | SEI - IT Security | Support Services | Security Management | Certification and Accreditation | Certification and Accreditation | 006-00-02-00-02-0000-00 | Internal | 0 |

Exhibit 300: NOAA/OAR/ NOAA Research Scientific Computing Support (Revision 15)

| 4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table: Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov . | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Agency Component Name | Agency Component Description | FEA SRM Service Domain | FEA SRM Service Type | FEA SRM Component (a) | Service Component Reused Name (b) | Service Component Reused UPI (b) | Internal or External Reuse? (c) | BY Funding Percentage (d) |
| MS-ITS Mission Support: IT Services | SEI - IT Security | Support Services | Security Management | Cryptography | Cryptography | 006-00-02-00-02-0000-00 | Internal | 0 |
| MS-ITS Mission Support: IT Services | SEI - IT Security | Support Services | Security Management | FISMA Management and Reporting | FISMA Management and Reporting | 006-00-02-00-02-0000-00 | Internal | 0 |
| MS-ITS Mission Support: IT Services | SEI - IT Security | Support Services | Security Management | Identification and Authentication | Identification and Authentication | 006-00-02-00-02-0000-00 | Internal | 0 |
| MS-ITS Mission Support: IT Services | SEI - IT Security | Support Services | Security Management | Intrusion Detection | Intrusion Detection | 006-00-02-00-02-0000-00 | Internal | 0 |
| MS-ITS Mission Support: IT Services | SEI - IT Security | Support Services | Security Management | Virus Protection | Virus Protection | 006-00-02-00-02-0000-00 | Internal | 0 |

a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in the column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

| 5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table: To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment. | | | | |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| FEA SRM Component (a) | FEA TRM Service Area | FEA TRM Service Category | FEA TRM Service Standard | Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name) |
| Software Development | Component Framework | Business Logic | Platform Independent | |
| Software Development | Component Framework | Business Logic | Platform Independent | |
| Software Development | Component Framework | Business Logic | Platform Independent | |
| Software Development | Component Framework | Business Logic | Platform Independent | |
| Software Development | Component Framework | Business Logic | Platform Independent | |
| Software Development | Component Framework | Business Logic | Platform Independent | |
| Software Development | Component Framework | Business Logic | Platform Independent | |
| Software Development | Component Framework | Business Logic | Platform Independent | |
| Software Development | Component Framework | Data Interchange | Data Exchange | |
| Software Development | Component Framework | Data Management | Database Connectivity | |
| Software Development | Component Framework | Presentation / Interface | Content Rendering | |
| Graphing / Charting | Component Framework | Presentation / Interface | Content Rendering | |
| Graphing / Charting | Component Framework | Presentation / Interface | Content Rendering | |
| Graphing / Charting | Component Framework | Presentation / Interface | Content Rendering | |
| Graphing / Charting | Component Framework | Presentation / Interface | Content Rendering | |
| Knowledge Distribution and Delivery | Component Framework | Presentation / Interface | Static Display | |
| Access Control | Component Framework | Security | Certificates / Digital Signatures | |
| Identification and Authentication | Component Framework | Security | Certificates / Digital Signatures | |
| Cryptography | Component Framework | Security | Supporting Security Services | |
| Cryptography | Component Framework | Security | Supporting Security Services | |
| Identification and Authentication | Component Framework | Security | Supporting Security Services | |
| Intrusion Detection | Component Framework | Security | Supporting Security Services | |

Exhibit 300: NOAA/OAR/ NOAA Research Scientific Computing Support (Revision 15)

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:

To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

| FEA SRM Component (a) | FEA TRM Service Area | FEA TRM Service Category | FEA TRM Service Standard | Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Cryptography | Component Framework | Security | Supporting Security Services | |
| Information Sharing | Component Framework | Security | Supporting Security Services | |
| Knowledge Distribution and Delivery | Service Access and Delivery | Access Channels | Collaboration / Communications | |
| Information Sharing | Service Access and Delivery | Access Channels | Other Electronic Channels | |
| Modeling | Service Access and Delivery | Access Channels | Other Electronic Channels | |
| Knowledge Distribution and Delivery | Service Access and Delivery | Access Channels | Other Electronic Channels | |
| Information Sharing | Service Access and Delivery | Access Channels | Other Electronic Channels | |
| Knowledge Distribution and Delivery | Service Access and Delivery | Access Channels | Web Browser | |
| Knowledge Distribution and Delivery | Service Access and Delivery | Access Channels | Web Browser | |
| Decision Support and Planning | Service Access and Delivery | Service Requirements | Hosting | |
| Access Control | Service Access and Delivery | Service Requirements | Legislative / Compliance | |
| Certification and Accreditation | Service Access and Delivery | Service Requirements | Legislative / Compliance | |
| Information Sharing | Service Access and Delivery | Service Transport | Service Transport | |
| Information Sharing | Service Access and Delivery | Service Transport | Service Transport | |
| Identification and Authentication | Service Access and Delivery | Service Transport | Service Transport | |
| Access Control | Service Access and Delivery | Service Transport | Service Transport | |
| Network Management | Service Access and Delivery | Service Transport | Service Transport | |
| Network Management | Service Access and Delivery | Service Transport | Supporting Network Services | |
| Network Management | Service Access and Delivery | Service Transport | Supporting Network Services | |
| Network Management | Service Access and Delivery | Service Transport | Supporting Network Services | |
| Network Management | Service Access and Delivery | Service Transport | Supporting Network Services | |
| Network Management | Service Access and Delivery | Service Transport | Supporting Network Services | |
| Network Management | Service Access and Delivery | Service Transport | Supporting Network Services | |
| Network Management | Service Interface and Integration | Integration | Middleware | |
| Software Development | Service Interface and Integration | Interface | Service Description / Interface | |
| Meta Data Management | Service Interface and Integration | Interface | Service Description / Interface | |
| Information Sharing | Service Interface and Integration | Interoperability | Data Format / Classification | |
| Information Sharing | Service Interface and Integration | Interoperability | Data Format / Classification | |
| Data Mining | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Database / Storage | Storage | |
| Information Sharing | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Database / Storage | Storage | |
| Information Sharing | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Delivery Servers | Web Servers | |
| Data Warehouse | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Hardware / Infrastructure | Embedded Technology Devices | |
| Intrusion Detection | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Hardware / Infrastructure | Network Devices / Standards | |
| Virus Protection | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Hardware / Infrastructure | Network Devices / Standards | |
| Access Control | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Hardware / Infrastructure | Network Devices / Standards | |
| Information Sharing | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Hardware / Infrastructure | Network Devices / Standards | |
| Software Development | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Software Engineering | Integrated Development Environment | |
| Software Development | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Software Engineering | Integrated Development Environment | |
| FISMA Management and Reporting | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Software Engineering | Test Management | |
| Software Development | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Support Platforms | Platform Independent | |

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:

To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

| FEA SRM Component (a) | FEA TRM Service Area | FEA TRM Service Category | FEA TRM Service Standard | Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Software Development | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Support Platforms | Platform Independent | |
| Information Sharing | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Support Platforms | Platform Independent | |

a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications

b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)? Yes

a. If "yes," please describe.

Geospatial

Exhibit 300: Part III: For "Operation and Maintenance" investments ONLY (Steady State)**Section A: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)**

Part III should be completed only for investments identified as "Operation and Maintenance" (Steady State) in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan? Yes
 - a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan? 7/30/2007
 - b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB? No
 - c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:
2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?
 - a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?
 - b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?

Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

1. Was operational analysis conducted? Yes
 - a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed. 2/9/2007
 - b. If "yes," what were the results?

IT investments are reviewed by project managers to determine if Program benefits have been realized in areas such as lowered cost, reduced cycle time, increased quality, additional quantity of services, and increased speed of service delivery. Sr. IT Mgrs. meet (virtually/face-to-face) with the CIO to discuss impacts on IT requirements; the Technical Committee for Computing Resources meets to evaluate and share solutions across labs.

Technology maintenance/refreshment is applied in post implementation reviews, for COTS software, scientific desktop systems, applications, and server/networking equipment and services for: upgrades - dependencies are vendor announcements of new technology and industry trends (e.g., Linux vs proprietary operating systems); refreshers - includes reaching a predefined age, component failure, repeated maintenance calls on the component failure to meet the system requirement, mission failure, planned obsolescence of the component resulting in the vendor's inability to maintain the component, vendor has gone of business or been acquired; insertion - dependencies on vendor or developer announcements of a product line that meets or increases component capability, vendor or developer announcements of a product line that decreases cost industry trends (e.g. Linux vs. proprietary operating systems), announcements of a milestone of research and development effort resulting in a new capability that can be applied to the laboratory or Program Office.

IT investments are refreshed with the periodic replacement of COTS components; e.g., processors, displays, computer operating systems, commercially available software (CAS), and communications capabilities within larger systems to assure continued supportability of that system through an indefinite service life under the following criteria: existing system component has malfunctioned and either cannot be repaired, or the repair costs exceed the replacement costs; existing system component has reached its life expectancy; surrounding technical infrastructure has evolved and is incompatible with the existing component under consideration; newer technology has come to market that provides more capability for the same or lower Total Cost of Ownership; and, requirements of the system have evolved to the extent that the system cannot meet the requirements with the existing technology.

OA: http://www.cio.noaa.gov/Policy_Programs/OAR_Scientific_Computing_Support_2006_OA_020907.pdf

- c. If "no," please explain why it was not conducted and if there are any plans to conduct operational analysis in the future:

2. Complete the following table to compare actual cost performance against the planned cost performance baseline. Milestones reported may include specific individual scheduled preventative and predictable corrective maintenance activities, or may be the total of planned annual operation and maintenance efforts).

- a. What costs are included in the reported Cost/Schedule Performance information (Government Only/Contractor Only/Both)? Contractor and Government

2.b Comparison of Plan vs. Actual Performance Table:

Comparison of Plan vs. Actual Performance Table

| Milestone Number | Description of Milestone | Planned | | Actual | | Variance | |
|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | | Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Total Cost(\$M) | Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Total Cost(\$M) | Schedule (# days) | Cost(\$M) |
| 01 | Annual Costs | 9/30/2003 | \$14.85248 | 9/30/2003 | \$14.85248 | 0 | \$0 |
| 02 | Annual Costs | 9/30/2004 | \$16.40775 | 9/30/2004 | \$16.40775 | 0 | \$0 |
| 03 | Annual Costs | 9/30/2005 | \$17.44022 | 9/30/2005 | \$17.44022 | 0 | \$0 |
| 04 | Annual Costs | 9/30/2006 | \$16.70031 | 9/30/2006 | \$16.70031 | 0 | \$0 |
| 05 | Annual Costs | 9/30/2007 | \$16.9057 | 9/30/2007 | \$16.9057 | 0 | \$0 |
| 06 | Annual Costs | 9/30/2008 | \$17.71229 | | | | |
| 07 | Annual Costs | 9/30/2009 | \$19.20397 | | | | |