National PBM Drug Monograph Anidfulafungin (EraxisTM)

VHA Pharmacy Benefits Management Strategic Healthcare Group and Medical Advisory Panel

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Anidulafungin is the third antifungal in the echinocandin class and was approved in 2006 for treatment of esophageal candidiasis and Candidemia and other forms of Candida infections (intra-abdominal abscess, and peritonitis). The other 2 agents in this class are caspofungin and micafungin.

Anidulafungin is active against most clinically relevant species of *Candida*. It also has activity against *Aspergillus spp*. In-vitro data show that anidulafungin is active against fluconazole-resistant *Candida*.

Two trials evaluated anidulafungin for treatment of candidemia and other candida infections. One was a dose-ranging study comparing anidulafungin 50mg, 75mg and 100mg once daily and the other compared anidulafungin 100mg once daily to fluconazole 400mg IV once daily. In the dose-ranging study, the primary outcome was global response in the evaluable patients, at the follow-up visit which took place 2-weeks after the end of therapy. The global response rate at follow-up was similar for the 75 and 100mg doses (90% and 89%) compared to a lower response rate with seen with the 50mg dose (72%).

In the pivotal trial, the primary outcome was global response at end of IV therapy in the modified intent-to-treat population. Successful global response was defined as clinical cure or improvement and documented or presumed microbiological eradication. The global success rate was 75.6% with anidulafungin and 60.2% with fluconazole (treatment difference 15.42% [95%CI 3.9, 27]). Global success at the 2-week and 6-week followup periods favored anidulafungin.

In a large pivotal trial for treatment of endoscopically confirmed esophageal candidiasis, anidulafungin 50mg once daily was compared to oral fluconazole 100mg once daily. The primary endpoint was endoscopic success in the evaluable groups at end of therapy. Endoscopic success was defined as cure (esophageal lesion grade =0) or improvement (decrease of \geq 1 grade from baseline value) Anidulafungin IV was found to be non-inferior to oral fluconazole with 97.2% and 98.8% achieving cure or improvement. Clinical success was achieved in approximately 99% of patients in either group. Mycological success occurred in 86.7% of anidulafungin and 91% of fluconazole patients. Time to resolution of symptoms was 5 days and mean duration of treatment was 14 days in both groups. Endoscopic success at the 2-week followup was significantly higher with fluconazole (89.5% vs. 64.4%).

The majority of adverse events (AE) were considered to be mild-moderate in severity.

Anidulafungin is not a substrate, inducer, or inhibitor of CYP450 isoenzymes; therefore, significant drug interactions via this mechanism are not expected. No dosage adjustment of either drug is need when co-administered with voriconazole, tacrolimus, or cyclosporin. No adjustment of anidulafungin is needed when co-administered with amphotericin B or rifampin.

Anidulafungin is only available intravenously. For the treatment of candidemia or invasive candidiasis, the recommended dose is a 200mg loading dose on day 1 followed by 100mg daily thereafter. Duration of treatment is based on clinical response. In general, antifungal therapy should be continued for at least 14 days after the last positive culture. For the treatment of esophageal candidiasis, the recommended dose is a 100mg loading dose on day 1 then 50mg once daily thereafter. Patients should be treated for a minimum of 14 days and for at least 7 days after resolution of symptoms.

Anidulafungin is an alternative to azole antifungals or amphotericin B for treatment of candidemia or invasive candidiasis. For treatment of esophageal candidiasis, anidulafungin should be reserved for individuals who have contraindications, adverse events, significant drug interactions with azoles or amphotericin or who have infection with fluconazole-resistant *Candida*.

INTRODUCTION

Anidulafungin is the 3rd antifungal in the echinocandin class, which includes caspofungin and micafungin.

PHARMACOLOGY

The echinocandins inhibit the synthesis of (1, 3)- β -D-glucan, an essential component of fungal cell walls, resulting in interference with fungal cell wall synthesis.

PHARMACOKINETICS

Table 1

I abic I			
Cmax (steady-state)	8.6mg/L (%CV 16.2) - healthy adults (200mg loading dose/ 100mg maintenance dose)		
	7.2 mg/L (%CV 23.3) - patients with fungal infections (200/100mg)*		
	4.2 mg/L (CV% 22.4)- patients with fungal infections (100/50mg)*		
AUC (steady-state)	111.8 mg·h/L (%CV 24.9) - healthy adults (200mg loading dose/ 100mg maintenance dose)		
	110.3 mg·h/L (%CV 32.5) - patients with fungal infections (200/100mg)*		
	55.2 mg·h/L (CV% 32.5)- patients with fungal infections (100/50mg)*		
Clearance	1 L/h		
Half-life	26.5 hours		
Volume of distribution	30-50L		
Protein binding	84%		
Metabolism	Undergoes slow non-enzymatic chemical degradation to a ring-opened peptide (no fungal activity)		
	which is then converted to peptidic degradants and eliminated.		
Elimination	30% eliminated in feces (< 10% as intact drug)		
	<1% eliminated in urine		

^{*}Parameters estimated by population modeling Data obtained from product package insert

- Gender: In multi-dose patient studies, drug clearance was approximately 22% faster in men. In healthy subjects, no difference in plasma concentration between men and women
- Age \geq 65 vs. < 65 years clearance was 1.07L/h and 1.22 L/h respectively
- No increase in concentration in subjects with Child-Pugh class A, B, or C hepatic insufficiency. Slight decrease in AUC noted in subjects with Child-Pugh class C, but still within range of population estimates for healthy subjects
- Pharmacokinetics similar for subjects with normal renal function and those with mild, moderate, severe, or end-stage renal insufficiency.
- Pharmacokinetics similar among Whites, Blacks, Asians, and Hispanics

MICROBIOLOGY

Anidulafungin is active against most clinically relevant species of Candida. In-vitro susceptibility of micafungin against the most common Candida spp. is shown in table 2.¹⁻⁴ Higher MIC values were seen for *C. parapsilosis*. Please note that methods for susceptibility testing and interpretive breakpoints for the echinocandins have not been established. Cross-resistance to amphotericin B and the azole antifungals is not expected due to differing mechanisms of action.

Emergence of resistance to anidulafungin has not been observed in clinical trials. Four-year surveillance data (2001-2004) with another echinocandin, caspofungin, showed no emergence of caspofungin-resistance.⁵ However, a small number of case reports have described the emergence of resistance or reduced susceptibility to the echinocandins in patients treated with these agents.⁶⁻⁸

The development of echinocandin resistance may be through mutations in the FKS gene coding for the FKSp subunit of the glucan synthase complex. Other possible mechanisms may be via an overexpression of a cell wall transport protein (Sbe2p) and the presence of a drug efflux pump in the cell wall.^{8, 16}

Table 2: In-vitro activity of anidulafungin against the most common Candida spp.

Organism	Study	# isolates	MIC ₉₀ (μg/mL)	MIC range
C. albicans	Ostrosky-Zeichner 2003*	733	0.03	-
	Espinel-Ingroff 2003	2394	0.01-0.5	<0.01->8
	Messer 2004	500	0.06	≤0.008-0.12
	Pfaller 2005^	59	0.25	0.03-4

C. glabrata	Ostrosky-Zeichner 2003*	458	0.13	-
	Espinel-Ingroff 2003	993	0.03-8	<0.01-8
	Messer 2004	105	0.12	≤0.03-2
	Pfaller 2005^	31	0.25	0.06-0.5
C. parapsilosis	Ostrosky-Zeichner 2003*	391	2	-
	Espinel-Ingroff 2003	231	2->8	0.01->8
	Messer 2004	106	4	0.12-8
	Pfaller 2005^	11	8	4-8
C. tropicalis	Ostrosky-Zeichner 2003*	307	0.13	-
_	Espinel-Ingroff 2003	548	0.06-2	0.03 - 32
	Messer 2004	106	0.06	<u>≤</u> 0.008-2
	Pfaller 2005^	7	-	0.12-2
C. krusei	Ostrosky-Zeichner 2003*	50	0.13	-
	Espinel-Ingroff 2003	207	0.03-1.0	<0.01-8
	Messer 2004	23	0.06	0.03-0.12
	Pfaller 2005^	4	-	0.12-0.25
C. lusitaniae	Ostrosky-Zeichner 2003	20	0.25	-
	Espinel-Ingroff 2003	81	0.12->8	$0.03 - \ge 8$
	Messer 2004	13	1	0.016-4
C. dubliniensis	Ostrosky-Zeichner 2003*	18	0.06	-
	Espinel-Ingroff 2003	92	0.06-4	0.12-8

MIC endpoints after 48 hours of incubation

Isolates resistant to fluconazole

In the study by Cuenca-Estrella, fluconazole resistance was defined as a MIC of \geq 16mg/L and in Pfaller, it was defined as a MIC of \geq 64mg/L. ^{9, 10} MIC values are shown in table 3. Anidulafungin was also found to be effective in clearing fluconazole-resistant C. albicans in a rabbit model of oropharyngeal and esophageal candidiasis. ¹¹

Table 3: Susceptibility of fluconazole- resistant isolates of Candida spp

Organism	Study	# isolates	MIC ₉₀ (μg/mL)	MIC range
C. albicans	Cuenca-Estrella 2000	63	0.015	≤0.0002-0.015
	Pfaller 2005	41	0.06	0.0007-0.5
C. glabrata	Cuenca-Estrella 2000	42	0.12	≤0.0002-0.25
	Pfaller 2005	110	0.06-0.12	0.0007-0.25
C. parapsilosis	Cuenca-Estrella 2000	5	-	0.015-0.5
C. tropicalis	Cuenca-Estrella 2000	15	0.06	0.0002-0.12
C. krusei	Cuenca-Estrella 2000	28	0.03	≤0.0002-0.5
	Pfaller 2005	146	1-2	0.007-2

Aspergillus

Anidulafungin is not approved to treat infections due to aspergillus; however, *in-vitro* activity has been shown against these organisms (table 4).² In another study, Messner et al. showed that the MIC₉₀ for *A. fumigatus* (30 isolates) was 0.03 mcg/ml (range <0.008-8).³

Table 4: In-vitro activity of micafungin against Aspergillus²

		0 0	8	
organism (# isolates)	A. flavus (53)	A. fumigatus (94)	A. niger (21)	A. terreus (10)
MIC (mcg/ml)	< 0.03 - 0.12	< 0.01-0.12	< 0.03-0.06	< 0.03

FDA INDICATIONS

- Candidemia and other forms of Candida infections (intra-abdominal abscess, and peritonitis).

 Anidulafungin has not been studied in endocarditis, osteomyelitis, and meningitis due to Candida, or in sufficient numbers in neutropenic patients.
- Esophageal candidiasis

VA ALTERNATIVES

Fluconazole, itraconazole, amphotericin B

^{*}Data for Ostrosky-Zeichner is included in the review by Espinel-Ingroff. Because data were from isolates obtained in the U.S., results are also shown separately

[^]Data from phase 2 clinical trial in patients with candidemia/invasive candidiasis (Krause 2004)

DOSE

Candidemia and other Candida infections (intra-abdominal abscess, and peritonitis): 200mg loading dose on day 1 followed by 100mg daily thereafter. Duration of treatment is based on clinical response. In general, antifungal therapy should be continued for at least 14 days after the last positive culture. Esophageal candidiasis: 100mg loading dose on day 1 then 50mg once daily thereafter. Patients should be treated for a minimum of 14 days and for at least 7 days after resolution of symptoms.

Dosage adjustment not required based on gender age (geriatric), race, HIV status, hepatic or renal insufficiency. Anidulafungin is not dialyzable and may be administered without regard to timing of dialysis.

PREPARATION/ADMINISTRATION

- Anidulafungin is comes in a single use unit pack containing 50mg of anidulafungin and a 15ml diluent vial. Anidulafungin must be reconstituted with the companion diluent (20% w/w dehydrated alcohol in water for injection). Reconstituted solution must then be added to an IV bag or bottle containing 5% Dextrose Injection, USP or 0.9% Sodium Chloride Injection, USP.
- The rate of infusion should not exceed 1.1mg/minute
- Unreconstituted vials and companion diluent should be stored at 77°F. Excursions between 59-86°F are permitted. Do not freeze.
- Reconstituted vials should be stored at 77°F. Excursions between 59-86°F are permitted. Reconstituted solution must be added to an IV bag or bottle containing 5% Dextrose Injection, USP or 0.9% Sodium Chloride Injection, USP and administered within 24 hours. Do not freeze
- Diluted product should be stored at 77°F. Excursions between 59-86°F are permitted. Do not freeze

Note: The content of alcohol in a 100mg dose is estimated to be equal to ½ jigger of alcohol (or ½ glass of wine).

EFFICACY

Invasive Candidiasis

In a phase 2 dose-ranging trial (n=120) patients with invasive candidiasis and candidemia were randomized to anidulafungin 50mg, 75mg, or 100mg IV once daily. A single loading dose of twice the maintenance dose was given on day 1. The primary outcome was global response in the evaluable (per protocol) patients, at the follow-up visit which took place 2-weeks after the end of therapy. The evaluable population was defined as having confirmed Candida infection and received \geq 10 doses of study medication (or failed after \geq 5 doses) and who were without protocol violations. Definitions for response are shown in table 5.

Table 5: Phase 2 trial definitions

Successful global response	Successful clinical and microbiological responses
Successful clinical response (cure or improvement)	Cure = Resolution of signs and symptoms of infection and no need for additional antifungal therapy
• /	Improvement = significant improvement of signs and symptoms at EOT; continues therapy with oral agent
Successful microbiological	Negative culture from a normally sterile site that was previously positive for <i>Candida</i> or
response	inability to obtain cultures in a patient with a clinical response of success

Of the 120 patients enrolled, only 83 completed the study to the end of therapy and 68 for the follow-up period. Of those not completing the study, 33 withdrawals were due to death. For the primary outcome, the global response rate at follow-up was similar for the 75 and 100mg doses (90% and 89%) compared to a lower response rate with seen with the 50mg dose (72%).

Table 6: Results from phase 2 trial

	Anidulafungin 50mg		Anidulafungin 75mg		Anidulafungin 100mg	
	EOT	FU	EOT	FU	EOT	FU
Global response rate	21/25 (84%)	13/18 (72%)	27/30 (90%)	22/26 (85%)	25/28 (89%)	20/24 (83%)
Clinical response rate	22/25 (88%)	13/18 (72%)	27/30 (90%)	22/26 (85%)	25/28 (89%)	20/24 (83%)
Microbiological response rate	21/25 (84%)	14/18 (78%)	28/30 (93%)	22/26 (85%)	25/28 (89%)	21/24 (88%)

In a separate publication, it was noted that there was a trend towards dose-related eradication of Candida; however, clinical success was not related to anidulafungin MICs.⁴

Data for a phase 3 pivotal trial were obtained from the product package insert. Patients with invasive candidiasis or candidemia were randomized to receive anidulafungin 100mg IV daily or fluconazole 400mg IV daily (n=256). A single loading dose of twice the maintenance dose was given on day 1. Treatment was given for 14-42 days. A switch to oral fluconazole was allowed if the following conditions were met: \geq 10 days IV therapy, able to tolerate oral medication, afebrile \geq 24 hours, last culture negative for Candida. Patients were stratified by APACHE II score (\leq 20 and >20) and by the presence or absence of neutropenia. Among those enrolled, 97% were non-neutropenic and 81% had APACHE II scores \leq 20. Patients with Candida endocarditis, osteomyelitis, meningitis or infection due to *C. krusei* were excluded.

The primary outcome was global response at end of IV therapy in the modified intent-to-treat population (n=245). Successful global response was defined as clinical cure or improvement and documented or presumed microbiological eradication. Clinical improvement was defined as significant but incomplete resolution of signs and symptoms of the Candida infection and no additional antifungal treatment.

The median duration of IV therapy was 14 and 11 days for the anidulafungin and fluconazole groups respectively. Nearly 1/3 of patients in both groups continued with oral therapy for a median duration of 7 and 5 days respectively. 71.8% of the anidulafungin and 64% of fluconazole group completed the study and were followed up for 6 weeks. A statistically greater percentage of patients receiving anidulafungin had a globally successful outcome compared to fluconazole. However results from 1 study site contributed to the statistical difference in outcome. When this study was excluded from the analysis, anidulafungin was non-inferior to fluconazole. The mortality rate was higher in the fluconazole group. Data separated for clinical cure versus clinical improvement and microbiological outcomes were not shown in the package insert.

Table 7: Results from phase 3 trial in the modified intent-to-treat population

	Anidulafungin	Fluconazole	Tx diff [95%CI]
Global success*	96/127 (75.6%)	71/118 (60.2%)	15.42 [3.9, 27]
Global success^	94/127 (74%)	67/118 (56.8%)	17.24 [2.9, 31.6]
Success at 2-wk FU	82/127 (64.6%)	58/118 (49.2%)	15.41 [0.4, 30.4]
Success at 6-wk FU	71/127 (55.9%)	52/118 (44.1%)	11.84 [-3.4, 27]
Overall study mortality	29/127 (22.8%)	37/118 (31.4%)	
Mortality during study therapy	10/127 (7.9%)	17/118 (14.4%)	
Mortality due to Candida	2/127 (1.6%)	5/118 (4.2%)	

^{*}at end of IV therapy

Esophageal candidiasis

There is 1 large pivotal trial (n=601) comparing anidulafungin to fluconazole using a non-inferiority design. Anidulafungin 50mg IV once daily was compared to oral fluconazole 100mg once daily. ¹³ A single loading dose of twice the maintenance dose was given on day 1. Therapy continued for 7 days after resolution of symptoms but not for <14 or > 21 days in total. Patients with evidence of systemic fungal infection or ulcerative esophageal lesions were excluded. Approximately 75% of the patients had AIDS and 80% had an endoscopy grade of 2 or 3. *C. albicans* was the sole pathogen in over 90% of cases.

The primary outcome was endoscopic response in the evaluable group at end of therapy (n=504). The evaluable population was defined as having completed > 10 days therapy, had an EOT assessment with a clinical outcome other than indeterminate, and had an endoscopic result recorded at EOT.

Table 8: Definitions used in esophageal candidiasis trials

Mucosal grade	0	1	2	3	
_	normal esophageal mucosa	individual plaques each ≤ 2mm in diameter	individual plaques > 2mm in diameter	confluent plaques and/or increased friability of mucosa	
Endoscopic success	Cure = complete resolution of esophageal lesions (grade 0)				
	Improvement	= decrease of ≥ 1 grade from	baseline value		
Clinical success	Absence or in	nprovement of symptoms con	npared to baseline		

[^]at end of all therapy (IV + oral)

Proven or presumed eradication of Candida species present at baseline

Anidulafungin IV was found to be non-inferior to oral fluconazole with 97.2% and 98.8% achieving endoscopic cure or improvement. Clinical success was achieved in approximately 99% of patients in either group. Mycological success occurred in 86.7% of anidulafungin and 91% of fluconazole patients. Time to resolution of symptoms was 5 days and mean duration of treatment was 14 days in both groups.

According to the product package insert, endoscopic relapse rate 2-weeks post-treatment was higher with anidulafungin (53.3%) than fluconazole (19.3%).

<u>Aspergillosis</u>

Anidulafungin in combination with liposomal amphotericin B (L-AmB) in the treatment of primary aspergillosis was evaluated in an open-label noncomparative pilot trial (n=30). Anidulafungin was dosed at 100mg daily (200mg LD) and L-AmB could be given in a dose up to 5mg/kg daily for a maximum duration of 90days (median duration was 22 days). The primary endpoint was clinical and radiologic response (global response) at end of therapy in the MITT group (n=25). Risk factors for aspergillosis were as follows: hematologic malignancy (n=17), AIDS (n=6), transplant (n=4), aplastic anemia (n=2). Among these patients, 14 were neutropenic. The lung was the most common site of infection, followed by CNS, liver, heart, bone, sinus, skin and prostate. (Data on file Pfizer)

Table: Results in patients with aspergillosis

Global response	Clinical response	Radiologic response	Survival at end of study
			(6 weeks)
28%	44%	28%	43%

Empiric use in febrile neutropenia

There are no trials at this time.

SAFETY

Safety has been evaluated in over 900 patients participating in phase 1 and clinical studies. Among these patients, 633 received the indicated daily dose of 50 or 100mg. Anidulafungin was administered for \geq 14 days in 481 patients. ¹⁸

In the combined phase 2-3 trials in candidemia, mortality in the anidulafungin group was 36/206 (17.6%) compared to 38/129 (30.4%) in the fluconazole group. ¹⁷ In the phase 3 esophageal candidiasis trial, there were 23 and 20 patients who died in anidulafungin and fluconazole groups respectively.

Table 9: TEAE in ≥ 2% of patients in candidemia trial VER002-9

candidemia trial VER002-9				
	Anidulafungin (n=131)	Fluconazole (n=125)		
d/c treatment due to AE	12	21		
(n)				
% patients with ≥ 1 TEAE	24.4%	26.4%		
Increased ALT	2.3%	3.2%		
Increased AST	0.8%	2.4%		
Increased alk phos	1.5%	4.0%		
Increased hepatic enzyme	1.5%	7.2%		
Diarrhea	3.1%	1.6%		
Hypokalemia	3.1%	2.4%		
DVT	0.8%	2.4%		

Data from product package insert

Table 10: TEAE in \geq 1% of patients in esophageal candidiasis trial

esophagear candidiasis triai					
	Anidulafungin (n=300)	Fluconazole (n=301)			
% patients with ≥ 1 TEAE	14.3%	16.6%			
Increased GGT	1.3%	1.3%			
Increased ALT	-	1.0%			
Increased AST	0.3%	2.3%			
Neutropenia	1.0%	-			
Leukopenia	0.7%	1.3%			
Nausea	1.0%	1.0%			
Vomiting	0.7%	1.0%			
Dyspepsia aggravated	0.3%	1.0%			
Headache	1.3%	1.0%			
Rash	1.0%	0.7%			
Phlebitis	0.7%	1.3%			
Pyrexia	0.7%	1.0%			

There were no adverse events reported at a frequency of greater than 2%.

Data from product package insert

LOOK-ALIKE/SOUND-ALIKE

The VA PBM and Center for Medication Safety is conducting a pilot program which queries a multiattribute drug product search engine for similar sounding and appearing drug names based on orthographic and phonologic similarities, as well as similarities in dosage form, strength and route of administration. Based on similarity scores as well as clinical judgment, the following drug names <u>may</u> be potential sources of drug name confusion:

LA/SA for trade name Eraxis:

Eurax (crotamiton) – topical cream scabicidal, antipruritic

Exratuss (carbetapentane/chlorpheniramine/phenylephrine) - OTC oral suspension

LA/SA for generic name anidulafungin:

Use caution not to confuse with the other echinocandins caspofungin and micafungin

DRUG INTERACTIONS

Anidulafungin is not a substrate, inducer, or inhibitor of CYP450 isoenzymes; therefore, significant drug interactions via this mechanism are not expected. There are no drug interaction studies evaluating anidulafungin and sirolimus at this time.

Table 11: Drug interactions 14-17

1 av	Table 11: Drug interactions							
n	subjects	Anidulafungin		Results	Recommendation			
12	healthy subjects	Anidulafungin 100mg (200mg loading dose) once daily on days 1-8	Oral cyclosporine 1.25mg/kg BID on days 5-8	No change in anidulafungin Cmax Anidulafungin AUC increased by 22%	no dosage adjustment needed for either drug			
17	healthy subjects	Anidulafungin 100mg (200mg loading dose) daily	Oral voriconazole 200mg BID (400mg loading dose x 2)	Cmax and AUC of either drug not significantly altered	no dosage adjustment needed for either drug			
35	healthy subjects	Anidulafungin 100mg (200mg loading dose) once daily on days 4-12	Oral tacrolimus 5mg on day 1and day 13	Cmax and AUC of either drug not significantly altered	no dosage adjustment needed for either drug			
27	patients	anidulafungin	liposomal amphotericin B	No change in p-kinetics of anidulafungin + AMB compared to patients receiving anidulafungin alone	no dosage adjustment of anidulafungin needed			
27	patients	anidulafungin	rifampin	No change in p-kinetics of anidulafungin + rifampin compared to patients receiving anidulafungin alone	no dosage adjustment of anidulafungin needed			

COST

The acquisition cost of anidulafungin and other antifungals used for the treatment of candidemia is shown below in table 12.

Table 12: Acquisition cost of antifungals used for treatment of candidemia

Tuble 12. Hequisition cost of until unguis used for the utilities of culture in units						
Drug	Dose	Cost/ unit	Cost/day			
Anidulafungin	100mg daily	\$67.37/50mg vial	\$134.74			
	(200mg loading dose)^	•				
Micafungin	100mg daily*	\$66.51/50mg vial	\$133.02			
Caspofungin	50mg daily	\$228.19/ 50mg vial	\$228.19			
	(70mg loading dose)^	_				
Fluconazole	400mg daily	\$16.08-19.68	\$16.08-19.68			
	(800mg loading dose)^	(generic)				
Voriconazole	3-4mg/kg q 12h	\$65.75/200mg vial	\$131.50-197.25			
	(6mg/kg q 12h x 2 doses		(based on 70kg)			
	loading dose)^					
Amphotericin B	0.6-1.0mg/kg/day	\$5.07/50mg vial	\$5.07-7.10			
(conventional)		_	(based on 70kg)			

Liposomal	3-5mg/kg	\$52.76/50mg vial	\$211.04-369.32
amphotericin B		_	(based on 70kg)

[^]Cost of loading dose not included

Does not include cost of IV bags, tubing, adjunctive drugs used to treat adverse reactions, laboratory monitoring, etc.

Table 13 compares the daily acquisition cost of the 3 echinocandins in the treatment of esophageal candidiasis. The majority of patients can be treated with oral fluconazole at a daily cost of \$0.125 (tablets) or \$1.05-2.00 (suspension) or itraconazole oral solution for \$5.07.

Table 13: Cost per day in esophageal candidiasis

Caspofungin	Micafungin	Anidulafungin
50mg daily	150mg daily	50mg daily (100mg LD)
\$217.96	\$199.53	\$67.37 (does not include cost of
Mean duration of $tx = 9.4 days$ (7-	Mean duration of $tx = 15 days$ (10-	LD)
21d)	30d)	Median duration of $tx = 14$ days

REFERENCES

- 1. Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Rex JH, Pappas PG, et al. Antifungal susceptibility survey of 2,000 bloodstream Candida isolates in the United States. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2003 Oct; 47(10):3149-54.
- Espinel-Ingroff A. In vitro antifungal activities of anidulafungin and micafungin, licensed agents and the investigational triazole
 posaconazole as determined by NCCLS methods for 12,052 fungal isolates: review of the literature. Rev Iberoam Micol. 2003
 Dec;20(4):121-36.
- 3. Messer SA, Kirby JT, Sader HS, et al. Initial results from a longitudinal international surveillance program for anidulafungin (2003). J Antimicrob Chemother 2004; 54: 1051-56.
- Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ, Boyken L, et al. Effectiveness of anidulafungin in eradicating Candida species in invasive candidiasis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005; 49: 4795-97.
- 5. Pfaller MA, Boyken L, Hollis RJ, et al. In vitro susceptibilities of Candida spp. to caspofungin: four years of global surveillance. J Clin Microbiol. 2006 Mar;44(3):760-3.
- Krogh-Madsen M, Arendrup MC, Heslet L, Knudsen JD. Amphotericin B and caspofungin resistance in Candida glabrata isolates recovered from a critically ill patient. Clin Infect Dis. 2006 Apr 1;42(7):938-44.
- 7. Laverdiere M, Lalonde RG, Baril JGet al. Progressive loss of echinocandin activity following prolonged use for treatment of Candida albicans oesophagitis. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2006 Apr;57(4)
- 8. Park S, Kelly R, Kahn JN, et al. Specific substitutions in the echinocandin target Fks1p account for reduced susceptibility of rare laboratory and clinical Candida sp. isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2005 Aug;49(8):3264-73.
- 9. Cuenca-Estrella M, Mellado E, Diaz-Guerra TM,et al.. Susceptibility of fluconazole-resistant clinical isolates of Candida spp. to echinocandin LY303366, itraconazole and amphotericin B. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2000 Sep; 46(3):475-7.
- Pfaller MA, Boyken L, Hollis RJ, et al. In vitro activities of anidulafungin against more than 2500 clinical isolates Candida spp., including 315 isolates resistant to fluconazole. J Clin Microbiol 2005; 43: 5425-27.
- Petraitis V, Petraitiene R, Groll AH, et al. Dosage-dependent antifungal efficacy of V-echinocandin (LY303366) against experimental flunconazole-resistant oropharyngeal and esophageal candidiasis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001; 45: 471-479
- 12. Krause DS, Reinhardt J, Vazquez JA, et al.; Anidulafungin Invasive Candidiasis Study Group. Phase 2, randomized, doseranging study evaluating the safety and efficacy of anidulafungin in invasive candidiasis and candidemia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2004 Jun; 48(6):2021-4.
- 13. Krause DS, Simjee AE, van Rensburg C, et al. A randomized, double-blind trial of anidulafungin versus fluconazole for the treatment of esophageal candidiasis. Clin Infect Dis. 2004 Sep 15; 39(6):770-5.
- 14. Dowell JA, Stogniew M, Krause D, et al. Assessment of the safety and pharmacokinetics of anidulafungin when administered with cyclosporine. J Clin Pharmacol. 2005 Feb; 45(2):227-33.

^{*}Dose based on that used in clinical trial; not currently approved for candidemia

- 15. Dowell JA, Schranz J, Baruch A, Foster G. Safety and pharmacokinetics of coadministered voriconazole and anidulafungin. J Clin Pharmacol. 2005 Dec; 45(12):1373-82.
- 16. Product package insert for anidulafungin (Eraxis). February 2006.
- 17. FDA review of anidulafungin http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/nda/2006/21948s000_Eraxis_MedR.pdf
- 18. Pfizer Eraxis Clinical Product Monograph.

Prepared by: Deborah Khachikian, PharmD

Date: June 2006

Appendix 1: Candidemia trials (see page 11 for abbreviations used)

Study	1: Candidemia trials (s	Dose	Demographics and baseline	Results			
Study	criteria	Dose	values	Results			
Krause	≥ 18 years old	Loading dose of twice the	Values for 50mg/75mg/100mg				
Phase 2 trial	expected survival > 72h	maintenance dose	Age (years): 52/54/59		ANI 50mg	ANI 75mg	ANI 100mg
R, dose-ranging	blood or tissue sample		% male: 33/ 53/ 45	completed study to		30/40	28/40
study	culture positive for	Maintenance dose:	Weight (kg): 68.9/76.1/70.6	EOT	23/10	30/10	20/10
	Candida	50mg vs. 75mg vs. 100mg	APACHE II score: 13.4 ± 8.3 /	completed study to	18/40	26/40	24/40
ANI 50mg (n=40)	≥1 sign or symptom of	administered once daily	$18.6 \pm 9.7 / 15 \pm 8.2$	FU			_ ,, .,
ANI 75mg (n=40)	infection within 4 days		% APACHE score ≥ 20: 18/	Global resp EOT	21/25 (84%)	27/30 (90%)	25/28 (89%)
ANI 100mg (n=40)	prior to tx initiation	Tx continued for 2 weeks after	30/25	Global resp FU	13/18 (72%)	22/26 (85%)	20/24 (83%)
	F 1 .	resolution of infection and blood or	% ANC < 500: 18/13/10 % diabetes: 33/30/25	Clinical resp EOT	22/25 (88%)	27/30 (90%)	25/28 (89%)
	Exclusion Therapeutic dose of	tissue cultures were negative (or		Clinical resp FU	13/18 (72%)	22/26 (85%)	20/24 (83%)
	antifungal therapy within 7	presumed negative if unobtainable) to a maximum of 42 days	% prior systemic antifungal tx: 58/70/78	Micro resp EOT	21/25 (84%)	28/30 (93%)	25/28 (89%)
	days of enrollment unless	to a maximum of 42 days	% C. albicans: 54/50/56	Micro resp FU	14/18 (78%)	22/26 (85%)	21/24 (88%)
	patient was designated as a		% C. glabrata: 30/ 25/ 38	median number of	14	15	14
	treatment failure		% C. parapsilosis: 16/10/3	doses			
	pregnant/lactating		% C. tropicalis: 3/15/8				
	program, mounting		% C. krusei: 5/8/0				
			Other: 5/ 0/3				
VER002-9	≥ 16 years old	Anidulafungin 100mg IV daily (LD	Values for anidulafungin/				
Product package	≥ 1 positive blood culture	200mg on day 1)	fluconazole	-	Anidulafungin	Fluconazole	Tx diff
insert/FDA	or positive culture from a		Mean age (years): 57/ 59		g		[95%CI]
transcripts	normally sterile site	Fluconazole 400mg IV daily (LD	% male: 51.2%/ 50.8%	# drop-outs	34/12/11	48/21/16	<u> </u>
	indicating	800mg on day 1)	CVP line: 78%	(all/ AE/ LOE)			
MITT analysis (≥ 1	candidemia or IC,		broad-spectrum antibiotics:	completed study	94/131 (71.8%)	80/125 (64%)	
dose of medication	respectively, within 96	tx given for at least 14 and not	69%	after 6 wks FU	` ′	` ′	
and + culture for	hours of enrollment, and	more than 42 days	recent surgery: 42%	Global success*	96/127 (75.6%¶	71/118 (60.2%)	15.42
Candida from a	clinical evidence of active	A b 1 - 4i4 - b 4 1 4 1 -	recent hyperalimentation: 25%				[3.9, 27]
normally sterile site)	infection	Able to switch to oral fluconazole after at least 10 days of IV tx if:	malignancy: 22% C. albicans: 64%/ 59%	Global success^	94/127 (74%)	67/118 (56.8%)	17.24
randomized n=256	Exclusions	able to tolerate oral	C. glabrata: 16%/ 25%				[2.9, 31.6]
MITT pop n=245	> 48h of prior antifungal	medication	C. parapsilosis: 10%/ 14%	Success at 2-wk	82/127 (64.6%)	58/118 (49.2%)	15.41
WII I pop II 243	therapy, failed appropriate	• afebrile for > 24 hours	C. tropicalis: 12%/ 9%	FU			[0.4, 30.4]
Non-inferiority trial	antifungal tx for the	• Last blood culture negative	Other non-albicans: 5%/ 3%	Success at 6-wk	71/127 (55.9%)	52/118 (44.1%)	11.84
	current infection, received	for Candida spp.	ANC < 500 : 2.4%/ 3.4%	FU			[-3.4, 27]
	prophylaxis with an azole	ты санаша зрр.	APACHE II score ≤ 20: 79.5%/	Persistent	6.3%	14.4%	
	for > 1 week within 30	patients stratified by APACHE II	83.1%	candidemia *			
	days of enrollment,	score (≤ 20 and ≥ 20) and by the	Mean APACHE II score: 15/	median duration	14 days	11 days	
	suspected endocarditis,	presence or absence of neutropenia	14.4	of IV tx			
	osteomyelitis, meningitis,	r		% switching to	26%	28.8%	
	or infected prosthesis			oral			
	Infection due to C. krusei			median duration	7 days	5 days	
	ALT/AST > 10x ULN			of oral tx	20/127 (22.00/)	27/110 (21 40/)	
	T. bilirubin > 5 x ULN			Overall study	29/127 (22.8%)	37/118 (31.4%)	

life expectancy ≤ 72h	mortality
	mortality during 10/127 (7.9%) 17/118 (14.4%)
	study therapy
	Mortality due to 2/127 (1.6%) 5/118 (4.2%)
	Candida
	*at end of IV therapy in MITT population
	^at end of all therapy (IV + oral) in MITT population
	¶significant vs. fluconazole

Appendix 2: Esophageal candidiasis clinical trial (see below for abbreviations used)

Appendix A	z: Esophageai candidia	isis ciinicai triai (see beiow ioi	r abbreviations useu)			
Krause 2004	18-65 years old	Anidulafungin 50mg IV daily (LD	Values for anidulafungin/			<u> </u>
R, DB, DD	Diagnosed esophageal	100mg on day 1)	<u>fluconazole</u>		Anidulafungin (IV)	Fluconazole (PO)
S. Africa, Thailand,	candidiasis	Fluconazole 100mg oral daily (LD	Age (years): $37.5 \pm 10.4/37 \pm$	evaluable population	249	255
Argentina		200mg on day 1)	9.6	(n)		
	Exclusions	Placebo	% male: 42.3/ 48.2		opic response at end-of -t	herany
N=601 (300	Evidence of systemic		% AIDS: 74.3%/ 77.4%	Evaluable pop.	97.2%/ 88%/ 9.2%	98.8%/ 93.3%
anidulafungin , 301	fungal infection	Therapy continued for 7 days after	% endoscopy grade 1: 20.3/	all/ cure/	71.270/ 00/0/ 7.2/0	5.5%
fluconazole)	Ulcerative esophageal	resolution of symptoms but not for	17.6	improvement		5.570
	lesions	<14 or > 21 days in total	% endoscopy grade 2: 37.3/	ITT population	86.7%	88%
	Hypersensitivity to		33.6	(all)	00.770	3070
Non-inferiority	echinocandins		% endoscopy grade 3: 42.3/	Clinical success	246/249 (98.8%)	254/255 (99.6)
	Systemic antifungals in the		48.8	Mycological success	156/180 (86.7%)	169/ 186 (90.9%)
	week before enrolment		C. albicans as sole pathogen:	Time to resolution of	5 days	5 days
	Life expectancy < 2		401/442	SX	5 days	3 days
	months Total bili > 3x ULN		Antiretroviral use pre-study (n): 3/7	Median duration of tx	14 days	14 days
	Aminotransferases > 3 x		Antiretroviral started during	endoscopic success at	150/233 (64.4%)	205/229 (89.5%)
	ULN		study (n): 26/58	2-week followup	, ,	,
	ANC < 500		study (ii). 20/ 30	Endoscopic relapse	120/225 (53.3%)	45/233 (19.3%)
	Platelets < 60, 000			rate at 2-wk FU*	, ,	` '
	1 14101010 00,000			results of intent-to-treat ar	nalysis similar to evaluable	e population
				*data from the product pa		

Abbreviations: ANI=anidulafungin; AE=adverse event; ANC=absolute neutrophil count; DB=double-blind; DD= double dummy; EOT=end of therapy; FU=follow up; IC=invasive candidiasis; ITT=intent-to-treat; LD=loading dose; LOE=lack of efficacy; MITT=modified intent-to-treat; R=randomized; sx=symptoms; tx=treatment; ULN=upper limit of normal