

Senator DECONCINI. Absolutely not. Thank you very much. I have no further questions.

The CHAIRMAN. Senator Thurmond.

Senator THURMOND. Senator Hatch.

Senator HATCH. Let me just ask a few more questions, just to finish off what I had in mind.

In the Washington Post of September 9, 1991, the day before our hearings began, Anita Hill was quoted as follows, referring to a 10-year-old article in which Judge Thomas made comments relative to his sister. Now here is what Professor Hill said before she made—this statement was made before she made any public charges of harassment: "It takes a lot of detachment to publicize a person's experience in that way."

She was also quoted as observing that Judge Thomas exhibited "a certain kind of self-centeredness, not to recognize some of the programs that benefited you." And she also was quoted as saying, "I think he doesn't understand people. He doesn't relate to people who don't make it on their own."

Now I would like to ask all of you, and we could start from you, Ms. Alvarez, across, did Anita Hill ever mention to any of you, at the time that you knew her, that she believed Clarence Thomas to be "detached" and that she thought he was "self-centered," that she believed that he failed to recognize the programs that benefited minorities and, most importantly, that she thought he did not "relate to people" and "didn't understand people"? Did you ever hear any comments like these from her? Ms. Alvarez.

Ms. ALVAREZ. No, sir, I never did. I heard nothing but positive things about him, and everything he did in terms of helping her was an example of just the same thing.

Senator HATCH. Ms. Fitch.

Ms. FITCH. No, Senator.

Senator HATCH. Ms. Holt.

Ms. HOLT. No, Senator, and in fact her statement to the effect that he was unfriendly and couldn't relate to people could not be further from the truth. Even the members of the domestic staff talked to Chairman Thomas about their problems.

Senator HATCH. And he talked to them?

Ms. HOLT. And he talked to them.

Senator HATCH. And he treated them equally?

Ms. HOLT. He treated them equally.

Senator HATCH. Now let me just ask this last question. There has been some indication that part of the problem here was that she was ambitious and desired a promotion in the department, and if I have it correctly, Allyson Duncan was promoted above her. Am I correct? She got the job? Ms. Holt, go ahead.

Ms. HOLT. It wasn't actually a promotion. It was more recognizing Allyson as the chief of staff, as having supervisory responsibility in terms of assignments.

Senator HATCH. But is it true that Anita Hill wanted that position or that recognition, to use your term?

Ms. HOLT. She never indicated directly to me that she wanted it, no.

Senator HATCH. How about the rest of you? Ms. Alvarez.

Ms. ALVAREZ. It was common knowledge. I can't recall exactly who said what, but there were several times that people made reference to that.

Senator HATCH. Ms. Fitch.

Ms. FITCH. Senator, again, my experience is different because I was away, so any office-type politics I might not be aware of, so I am unaware of—

Senator HATCH. But you were aware of her ambition and that she desired—

Ms. FITCH. Oh, yes, she was ambitious.

Senator HATCH. Nothing wrong with that. I am not implying anything wrong.

Ms. FITCH. But I don't know about this specific position. I can't speak to that at all.

Senator HATCH. Sure. And Ms. Berry?

Ms. BERRY. She didn't indicate to me specifically, but I heard from other members from the Commission, throughout the Commission, that, yes, she desired that position.

Senator HATCH. That is all I have, Mr. Chairman.

The CHAIRMAN. Can you tell us for the record, Ms. Myers, who you heard it from, what other members, by name, you heard it from?

Ms. BERRY. I could, but I won't. They haven't volunteered to come forward.

The CHAIRMAN. Senator Leahy.

Senator LEAHY. Ms. Holt, I was just confused by one thing. You may have already said this, but when did you leave the EEOC?

Ms. HOLT. I am still at the EEOC.

Senator LEAHY. When did you leave the employ of Clarence Thomas? I'm sorry.

Ms. HOLT. In September of 1987.

Senator LEAHY. In September of 1987, and the last call from Anita Hill, according to your log, was August of 1987. Is that correct?

Ms. HOLT. Correct.

Senator LEAHY. And then after that, you were no longer there, keeping the log. About a month later, you were no longer keeping the log. Is that correct?

Ms. HOLT. That is correct.

Senator LEAHY. And by the number of calls we have in here, she called an average of about once every 7 or 8 months, so the fact that there wasn't another call a month later, there is nothing unusual in that, is there?

Ms. HOLT. No, sir.

Senator LEAHY. But there seemed to be some inference by some here that she made that call and then suddenly cut off because she had been told that Judge Thomas was on his honeymoon or something. The fact is, a month later you were gone, and she didn't call that often anyway. We have six or seven calls logged in here, a handful of calls over several years. It averages about one and a half or so a year. I just didn't want the wrong inference to be left here.

Ms. Alvarez, you opined that possibly Anita Hill could have been doing this so she could make a movie. Let me tell you, after spend-