

FOR CLEARED ADVISORS ONLY OF THE ITAC 16 AND NOT TO BE  
CIRCULATED BEYOND THE COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

November 7, 2005

The Honorable Rob Portman  
United States Trade Representative  
Executive Office of the President  
600 17<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20508

Dear Ambassador Portman:

Pursuant to Section 2104 (e) of the Trade Act of 2002 and Section 135 (e) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, I am pleased to transmit the report of the Industry Trade Advisory Committee on Standards and Technical Trade Barriers (ITAC 16) on the U.S.-Oman Free Trade Agreement reflecting a consensus opinion on the proposed Agreement.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Wayne Morris". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized initial "W".

Wayne Morris  
Acting Chair  
ITAC 16

FOR CLEARED ADVISORS ONLY OF THE ITAC 16 AND NOT TO BE CIRCULATED  
BEYOND THE COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

**The U.S.-Oman Free Trade Agreement (FTA)**

**Report of the  
Industry Trade Advisory Committee on Standards and  
Technical Trade Barriers (ITAC 16)**

**November 7, 2005**

FOR CLEARED ADVISORS ONLY OF THE ITAC 16 AND NOT TO BE CIRCULATED  
BEYOND THE COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

November 7, 2005

**Industry Trade Advisory Committee on Standards and Technical Trade Barriers (ITAC 16) Advisory Committee Report to the President, the Congress and the United States Trade Representative on the U.S. -Oman Free Trade Agreement**

**I. Purpose of the Committee Report**

Section 2104 (e) of the Trade Act of 2002 requires that advisory committees provide the President, the U.S. Trade Representative, and Congress with reports required under Section 135 (e)(1) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, not later than 30 days after the President notifies Congress of his intent to enter into an agreement.

Under Section 135 (e) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the report of the Advisory Committee for Trade Policy and Negotiations and each appropriate policy advisory committee must include an advisory opinion as to whether and to what extent the agreement promotes the economic interests of the United States and achieves the applicable overall and principle negotiating objectives set forth in the Trade Act of 2002.

The report of the appropriate sectoral or functional committee must also include an advisory opinion as to whether the agreement provides for equity and reciprocity within the sectoral or functional area.

Pursuant to these requirements, the ITAC 16 hereby submits the following report.

**II. Executive Summary of Committee Report**

The Members of ITAC 16 fully support the results of the U.S.-Oman Free Trade Agreement. The issues advanced by the ITAC 16 at the beginning of the negotiations have been adequately addressed. We are pleased with the work of the United States Government on this FTA.

**III. Brief Description of the Mandate of ITAC 16**

The Committee shall perform such functions and duties and prepare reports, as required by Section 135 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, with respect to the sector and functional advisory committees.

The Committee advises the Secretary and the USTR concerning the trade matters referred to in Sections 101, 102, and 124 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended; with respect to the operation of any trade agreement once entered into; and with respect to other matters arising in connection with the development, implementation, and administration of the trade policy of the United States including those matters referred to in Reorganization Plan Number 3 of 1979 and

FOR CLEARED ADVISORS ONLY OF THE ITAC 16 AND NOT TO BE CIRCULATED  
BEYOND THE COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

Executive Order 12188, and the priorities for actions thereunder.

In particular, the Committee provides detailed policy and technical advice, information, and recommendations to the Secretary and the USTR regarding trade barriers and implementation of trade agreements negotiated under Sections 101 or 102 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, and Sections 1102 and 1103 of the 1988 Trade Act, which affect the products of its sector; and performs such other advisory functions relevant to U.S. trade policy as may be requested by the Secretary and the USTR or their designees.

**IV. Negotiating Objectives and Priorities of ITAC 16**

The ITAC 16 discussed its negotiating objectives and priorities for the U.S.-Oman Free Trade Agreement and indicated their support for FTA provisions that built upon WTO disciplines in the following areas:

1. The ITAC 16 supported U.S. negotiations, which would reinforce transparency obligations by specifically requiring agencies to disclose and/or publish their response to the comments received on proposed technical regulations with the final rule.
2. ITAC 16 supported U.S. negotiations, which would seek a national treatment in a binding sense. The ITAC 16 was opposed to having any transition period as given to Mexico under NAFTA. (Currently expressed as a weak obligation in TBT Article 6.4, and as a binding obligation in NAFTA Article 908.2.) The IFAC 2 also supported U.S. negotiations, which would acknowledge alternative approaches to conformity assessment.
3. ITAC 16 supported U.S. negotiations, which would seek an opportunity for direct participation on a non-discriminatory basis in the development of Standards-Related Measures (not covered by WTO rules; cf NAFTA 909.7).
4. ITAC 16 supported U.S. negotiations, which would seek to establish informal mechanisms for rapid resolution of disputes.
5. ITAC 16 recommended that the five-year implementation period for transparency obligations be minimized or eliminated in future agreements.

**V. ITAC 16 Opinion on the U.S.-Oman Free Trade Agreement**

The ITAC 16 has deliberated over the final text of the U. S.-Oman Free Trade Agreement, and the committee has limited its comments to the sections that specifically address Technical Barriers to Trade. The issues advanced by the ITAC 16 at the beginning of the negotiations have been adequately addressed. We affirm that the agreement effectively promotes the economic interests of the United States and achieves the overall and principal negotiation

FOR CLEARED ADVISORS ONLY OF THE ITAC 16 AND NOT TO BE CIRCULATED  
BEYOND THE COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

objectives set forth in Section 2102 of the Bipartisan Trade Promotion Authority Act of 2002. Likewise, we verify that the agreement adequately provides for equity and reciprocity as regards standards and technical trade barriers. We recommended that the five-year implementation period for transparency obligations included in the U.S.-Chile FTA, be minimized or eliminated in future agreements. Our position remains the same as with respect to the U.S.-Oman FTA. In sum, we are pleased with the work of the United States Government on this FTA.

**VI. Membership of ITAC 16**

Wayne Morris (Acting Chair), Association of Home Appliance Manufacturers  
Joan Cassedy (Acting Vice-Chair), American Council of Independent Laboratories  
S. Joe Bhatia, Underwriters Laboratories  
Steven Butcher, Rubber Manufacturers Association  
Thomas Catania, Whirlpool Corporation  
Robert Daniels, Tile Council of America  
Darrin Drollinger, Association of Equipment Manufacturers  
Robert Glowinski, American Forest and Paper Association  
Charmaine Houseman, Alticor  
Mark Hurwitz, American National Standards Institute  
Frank Kitzantides, National Electrical Manufacturers Association  
June Ling, ASME International  
David Miller, American Petroleum Institute  
Robert Noth, Deere and Company  
William Primosch, National Association of Manufacturers  
Chuck Ramani, International Accreditation Service, Inc.  
Ronald Reimer, Rockwell Automation  
Peggy Rochette, Food Products Association  
AnnMarie Rollins, Information Technology Industry Council  
James Thomas, American Society of Testing and Materials International  
Peter Unger, American Association for Laboratory Accreditation  
Jerome Walker, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.