Professor TRIBE. I think he quoted him without attribution, as a matter of fact.

Senator Simpson. Well, this is going to deteriorate, terribly, but I want to just ask on privacy, because it is so critical, it comes up again and again, and again.

Here are the two phrases, and I will tell you who said what, but

they seem similar to me.

Judge Bork said: "No civilized person wants to live in a society without a lot of privacy in it, and the framers in fact protected privacy in a variety of ways." That is what he said.

Professor Tribe. But then he listed specific provisions of the Bill

of Rights.

Senator SIMPSON. Yes, yes, I know. And then Judge Kennedy said: "It seems to me, to most Americans, lawyers and judges, liber-

ty includes protection of the value we call privacy."

All I am saying is—and I have several others here—the sole task of a judge is his duty in judicial restraint. He said a judge has power over people, it is important since he is unelected, and probably unrepresentative of the American people, that he demonstrate by his reasoning that there is a law that he is applying, that he is not applying his personal values or principles. That was Judge Bork.

The sole task of a judge, according to Judge Kennedy, is to transfer the framers' or legislators' morality into a rule to govern unforeseen circumstances, that abstinence from giving his own desires free play, that continuing and self-conscious renunciation of power, that is the morality of the jurist.

So, it is interesting to me that there are similarities, to a great extent, in their philosophies, and in the process, those things were said under oath in the previous proceedings, and apparently disre-

garded.

Professor Tribe. Senator.

Senator SIMPSON. You will win this test.

Professor Tribe. Actually, it was not a test, Senator. I just wanted to ask if you remember a test that Senator Biden gave—I guess it was Judge Kennedy—when he asked about the *Griswold* case, and Judge Kennedy said:

Well, I can't tell you that particular case, whether I like the opinion, but I can say that if a hypothetical case were to be imagined that fits better within the privacy that I believe the Constitution protects, I couldn't think of a hypothetical better than *Griswold*.

Whereas, Judge Bork said that *Griswold*—you know—the right of a married couple to decide about birth control, and the right of a company to pollute, are the same to him. I mean, they take the test differently.

Senator Simpson. But Judge Bork said it was a "goofy law," too.

We want to remember that.

The Chairman. Judge Bork also said—if I may interject here—that he could find no marital right to privacy in the Constitution, and Judge Kennedy said specifically he found a marital right to privacy in the Constitution. Fundamental difference.

Senator METZENBAUM. Is this a test this morning as to who re-

members better what somebody said on a previous occasion?

The CHAIRMAN. Yes, and you are about to flunk if—