## TITLE VIII—PATENT AND TRADEMARK FEES

The conference agreement includes language regarding patent and trademark fees.

## TITLE IX—OCEANS AND HUMAN HEALTH ACT

The conference agreement includes language regarding the oceans and human health.

## CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 2005 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 2004 amount, the 2005 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 2005 follow:

### [In thousands of dollars]

New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2004	\$42,242,023
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2005	43,216,594
House bill, fiscal year 2005	43,483,066
Senate bill, fiscal year 2005	43,467,214
Conference agreement, fiscal year 2005	43,681,207
Conference agreement compared with:	, ,
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2004	+1,439,184
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year	, ,
2005	+464,613
House bill, fiscal year 2005	+198,141
Senate bill, fiscal year 2005	+213,993

# DIVISION C—ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2005

### TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

## DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

# CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs and activities of the Corps of Engineers. Additional items of the conference agreement are discussed below. House report 108–554 is presumed to have effect unless contradicted by this statement of the managers.

### CONTINUING CONTRACTS AND REPROGRAMMING

Construction of a single water resource project requires tremendous flexibility. Water resource projects are constructed in physically challenging locations. By their nature, these projects involve large mobilization costs and great uncertainties. The Corps of Engineers has been tasked with providing hundreds of water infrastructure projects in challenging locations throughout the country. Historically, the Corps has done an outstanding job of managing these great water resource projects and has provided the water infrastructure that has greatly contributed to our economic security.

The conferees recognize that one of the greatest tools that the Corps of Engineers has for managing its nationwide water resources infrastructure program is the ability to award multiyear continuing contracts. When an agency is managing, literally, hundreds of construction projects throughout the country, problems are inevitable. These can range from flood, to drought, to funding shortfalls, to unanticipated hazardous wastes encountered in the construction site, discovery of unanticipated cultural resources. Any one of these items can bring a project to a temporary halt or slow construction. By the same token, projects can be accelerated due to mild winters or below average flows on a river allowing a longer construction season and more work to be done and more funds to be utilized.

Continuing contracts allow the Corps to award large construction elements of a project to take advantage of the economies of scale available to construction contractors. Allowing these large construction elements to be managed over several years without requiring contracts to be fully funded before construction begins affords the Corps the ability to more efficiently manage multiple construction contracts. Multiyear funding, and the ability to reprogram funds, are tools that have allowed the Corps to maximize scarce resources to try to do as much as possible with the resources available to them; they also left the Corps open to charges that it

has put contractors in charge of managing its funds.

The conferees have expressed concerns in the past that Corps of Engineers construction projects may have used the continuing contracts clause and the ability to reprogram funds to award some construction contracts that may not have been fiscally prudent, in light of current budget realities. However, many of these construction contracts were awarded when surplus funds were available allowing reprogramming of funds to make up for budget shortfalls. This process has resulted in most surplus funds being expended, leaving the Corps with very little flexibility to cover the financial obligations of the construction contracts. This has resulted in an increased number of reprogrammings necessary to satisfy as many of the Corps' financial obligations as possible.

The conferees believe that the Corps has made great strides in resolving these financial issues by applying more stringent controls on financial obligations allowed on multiyear contracts and will allow the Corps to continue to resolve this situation. However, the conferees caution the Corps that it must regain control of all aspects of program execution and execute the program appropriated to it. The use of continuing contracts and reprogramming of funds is a privilege afforded by this conference—a privilege that can be revoked. The House and Senate Appropriations Committees will continue to monitor this situation and require the Corps to provide quarterly written updates to the Committees on its efforts to better manage continuing contracts and to award contracts better suited to responsible management.

Due to the increased number of reprogrammings that are being undertaken by the Corps, the conferees believe new guidelines are needed to help monitor reprogramming of funds. The conferees recognize that the increase in reprogrammings is not entirely the fault of the Corps of Engineers. However, reprogramming guidelines have not been examined in many years, and the conferees believe that it would be prudent to reexamine this privilege. Therefore, the

conferees are providing the following guidelines:

Reprogramming Authorities.—The conferees require the Corps to inform the Committees promptly and fully when a change in program execution and funding is required during the fiscal year. The following guidance is provided for Corps Civil Works programs and activities funded in the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act.

Definition.—A reprogramming includes the reallocation of funds from one activity to another within an appropriation, or any significant departure from a program, project, or activity described in the agency's budget justifications presented to and approved by Congress. For construction projects, a reprogramming constitutes the reallocation of funds from one construction project or a signifi-

cant change in the scope of an approved project.

General Criteria for Reprogramming.—Reprogramming is allowed only within an appropriation, with the exception, as now exists, that Flood Control and Coastal Emergency may be augmented when necessary from other Corps Civil Works appropriations. Reprogramming is allowed into only previously appropriated activities or those identified in a bill as "within available funds." Reprogrammings should not be employed to initiate new programs (unless specifically approved by both House and Senate Appropriations Committees) or to change program, project, or activity allocation in the Act or report. In cases where unforeseen events or conditions are deemed to require such changes, proposals shall be submitted in advance to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees and be fully explained and justified.

### REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE

General Investigations.—Reprogramming a cumulative total of up to 25 percent of the appropriated funding level between studies or programs under this heading without approval of either House of Congress, is permitted. However, the Chief of Engineers shall provide a quarterly report to both House and Senate Appropriations Committees of all reprogrammings in excess of \$250,000 but less than \$500,000 for individual studies or programs. Approval of both House and Senate Appropriations Committees is required for cumulative reprogrammings in excess of \$500,000 for individual studies or programs. Restoration of prior year savings and slippage shall not count toward the cumulative total. The conferees do not object to reprogramming up to \$50,000 to any continuing study or program that did not receive an appropriation or where the percentage limit is less than \$50,000. All funds used to source reprogrammings described above should be surplus to current year needs for that effort.

Construction General.—Reprogramming a cumulative total of up to 15 percent of the appropriated funding level between projects or programs under this heading without approval of either House of Congress, is permitted. However, the Chief of Engineers shall provide a quarterly report to both House and Senate Appropriations Committees of all reprogrammings in excess of \$4,000,000 but less than \$7,000,000 for individual projects or programs. Approval of both House and Senate Appropriations Committees is required for cumulative reprogrammings in excess of \$7,000,000 for individual projects or programs. Restoration of prior year savings and

slippage shall not count toward the cumulative total. The conferees do not object to reprogramming up to \$300,000 to any continuing project or program that did not receive an appropriation or where the percentage limit is less than \$300,000. All funds used to source reprogrammings described above should be surplus to current year needs for that effort.

Operation and Maintenance.—Unlimited reprogramming authority is granted in order for the Corps to be able to respond to emergencies. The Chief of Engineers must notify the House and Senate Appropriations Committees as soon as practicable of these emergency situations. For all other reprogrammings, a cumulative total of up to 50 percent of the appropriated funding level between projects or programs under this heading without approval of either House of Congress, is permitted. However, the Chief of Engineers shall provide a quarterly report to both House and Senate Appropriations Committees of all reprogrammings in excess of \$5,000,000 but less than \$10,000,000 for individual projects or programs. Approval of both House and Senate Appropriations Committees is required for cumulative reprogrammings in excess of \$10,000,000 for individual projects or programs. Restoration of prior year savings and slippage shall not count toward the cumulative total. The conferees do not object to reprogramming up to \$300,000 to any continuing project or program that did not receive an appropriation or where the percentage limit is less than \$300,000. All funds used to source reprogrammings described above should be surplus to current year needs for that effort.

Mississippi River and Tributaries.—The Corps should follow the same reprogramming guidelines for the General Investigations, Construction, and Operation and Maintenance portions of the Mississippi River and Tributaries Account as listed above.

## GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

The conference agreement appropriates \$144,500,000 for Gen-

Floodplain Management Services.—The conferees have provided \$6,813,000 for the Floodplain Management Services program, including \$776,000 to complete the Geographic Information System for East Baton Rouge, Louisiana; \$200,000 for a Blind Brook, City of Rye, New York, hydrological analysis; and \$1,000,000 for the Hurricane Preparedness Studies for the State of Hawaii and the U.S. Territories in the Pacific and the Caribbean.

Coastal Field Data Collection.—The conference agreement provides \$4,875,000 for the Coastal Field Data Collection program. Funds are provided in the amount of \$1,000,000 for the Southern California Beach Process Study, not less than \$1,000,000 for continuation of the Coastal Data Information Program, and \$1,000,000 for the State of Hawaii, the U.S. Territories in the Pacific and Caribbean, and \$1,000,000 for the Pacific Island Ocean Typhoon Experiment [PILOT]

Research and Development.—Within the funds provided for the Corps of Engineers Research and Development Program, \$2,000,000 is provided for innovative technology demonstrations for urban flooding and channel restoration. These demonstrations shall be conducted in close coordination and cooperation with the Urban Water Research Program of the Desert Research Institute of Nevada. In addition, within the funds provided for the Corps of Engineers Research and Development Program \$500,000 is provided to undertake and fund a demonstration project utilizing the Rapid Environmental Decision Support Environment software to fill current technology gaps in the GIS-based approaches with respect to post-natural disaster analysis. The conferees have also included \$1,000,000 to continue work in the area of Submerged Aquatic Vegetation or "seagrasses" and restoration efforts in the Chesapeake Bay, Maryland and Virginia.

Upper Trinity River Basin, Texas.—The conference report provides additional funding to proceed with Planning, Engineering and Design and continue preparation and coordination of an Environmental Impact Statement associated with the locally-preferred alternative for the Central City River Segment of the Trinity River

Vision Master Plan dated April 2003.

Connecticut River Ecosystem Restoration, Vermont and New Hampshire.—The conference has provided \$50,000 to initiate feasibility studies for the West and Ashuelot Rivers.

Other Coordination Programs.—The conferees have provided \$4,300,000 for the Other Coordination Programs. Within the funds provided, \$500,000 is to continue work associated with the Lake

Tahoe Federal Interagency Partnership.

Planning Assistance to States.—The amount provided for the Planning Assistances to States Program includes \$100,000 for the Arkansas River Corridor Master Plan; \$100,0000 to continue the Ingham County, Michigan, Geographic Information System Study; \$100,000 to finish the Arkansas River Corridor Master Plan, Oklahoma; \$100,000 to initiate geotechnical investigations of a proposed damsite near Mangum, Oklahoma; \$250,000 to initiate a groundwater study for Greene County, Missouri; \$134,000 to complete the Memphis Riverfront Development, Tennessee, study; \$200,000 for Central Oahu, Hawaii; \$500,000 for Lake Champlain, Vermont; \$500,000 for remote sensing in New Mexico; \$150,000 for Lake Rogers, North Carolina; and \$150,000 to conduct an evaluation of recreation supply and demand in New Castle County, Delaware. Also included is \$250,000 to continue a New Jersey Marine Fish Evaluation Study. The Corps of Engineers is urged to consider using the Fisheries Conservation Trust, formerly known as the Save the Fish Foundation, to carry out this investigation. Within funds provided for this program, the Corps is directed to work with the Chagrin River Land Conservancy to develop strategies for preserving, and acquisition of funding for preservation of the properties known as Wilde Fields and Mayer Preserve in Cuyahoga County, Ohio.

The conferees are aware of the potential benefits of incorporating modular plastic belting technology into fish screen devices. Accordingly, the conferees urge the Corps to consider deployment of this technology in the full range of viable fish screen configurations, including submersible traveling screens. Furthermore, the conferees look forward to reviewing the agency's assessment of the technology as compared to other available fish screen devices.

# CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates \$1,796,089,000 for Construction, General.

Red River Below Denison Dam, Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas.—The conferees have provided \$750,000 for levee rehabilitation in Arkansas and Louisiana.

American River Watershed (Folsom Dam Mini-Raise), California.—Within funds provided for the American River Watershed (Folsom Dam Mini-Raise), California, project, the Corps is directed to continue design of the Folsom Dam replacement road and permanent bridge to assure their completion at the earliest possible date consistent with the pace of the Mini-Raise project as a whole.

The conferees include language directing the Corps of Engineers to expend its full capability, up to \$5,000,000, to advance the permanent bridge to replace Folsom Bridge Dam Road, Folsom, California, as authorized by the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2004 (P.L. 108–137) with all remaining funds devoted to the Mini-Raise. The conferees are aware of reports that there have been attempts to place obstacles in the way of this work, and insist that it be allowed to proceed, unimpeded.

Florida Keys Water Quality Improvements, Florida.—The conferees have provided \$2,250,000 for the implementation of wastewater and stormwater improvements and believe these efforts should be carried out in coordination with the ongoing Everglades

restoration work.

Olmsted Locks and Dam, Ohio River, Illinois & Kentucky.— None of the funds provided for the Olmsted Locks and Dam Project are to be used to reimburse the Claims and Judgment Fund.

J. Bennett Johnston Waterway, Louisiana.—The conferees have provided \$13,000,000 for construction of navigation channel refinement features, land purchases and development for mitigation of project impacts, and construction of project recreation features and appurtenant features.

Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration and Protection Program, Maryland and Virginia.—The conference recommendation includes \$1,500,000 for this project. Within the funds provided, \$400,000 is provided to continue environmental studies of non-na-

tive oysters.

The conferees expect the Corps, in conducting the Environmental Impact Statement [EIS] for introducing non-native oyster species into the Chesapeake Bay, to consider all alternatives, including restoration of native oyster species. The conferees also expect that the EIS will address the research gaps identified in the National Research Council report titled "Non-native Oysters in the Chesapeake Bay" and the Chesapeake Bay Program Scientific and Technical Advisory Committee report on the same subject.

Rural Montana, Montana.—The conferees have provided \$2,000,000 for the Rural Montana project. Within the funds provided the Corps is directed to give consideration to projects at Belgrade, Manhattan, Livingston, Butte, Helena, and Drummond, Montana. Other communities that meet the program criteria

should be considered as funding allows.

Rural Nevada, Nevada.—The conferees have provided \$20,000,000 for the Rural Nevada project. Within the funds provided the Corps is directed to give consideration to projects at Boulder City, Lyon County (Carson River Regional Water System), Gerlach, Incline Village, Lawton-Verdi, Esmeralda County, Churchill County, West Wendover, Searchlight, Yerington, Virgin Valley Water District, Lovelock, Carson City, Hemenway Valley Wastewater treatment and Huffaker Hills Reservoir Water Conservation Nevada. Other communities that meet the program criteria should be considered as funding allows.

Tropicana and Flamingo Washes, Nevada.—The conferees have provided \$24,000,000 to continue construction of this flood control project. The conference recommendation includes \$3,000,000 for work performed in accordance with Section 211 of Public Law 104—

303.

Central New Mexico, New Mexico.—The conference has included \$5,000,000 for this project which includes funding to continue the Black Mesa project begun in fiscal year 2004.

Middle Rio Grande Flood Protection, New Mexico.—The conferees have included \$300,000 to continue the Belen,

Mountainview, and Isleta General Reevaluation Report.

Fire Island Inlet to Montauk Point, New York.—The conferees have included additional funding for the continuation of the refor-

mulation study.

Ohio Environmental Infrastructure, Ohio.—The bill contains \$22,000,000 for the Ohio Environmental Infrastructure program authorized by section 594 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999. The amount provided includes: \$15,000 for the Jackson County water line project; \$100,000 for the Morgan County, Bishopville, water project; \$475,000 for the Morgan County, McConnelsville, storm water project; \$1,000,000 for the McConnelsville, storm water project; \$1,000,000 for the Muskingum County, Zanesville, wastewater treatment facility; \$25,000 for the Vinton County, Arbaugh/Hope water line extension; \$350,000 for the Buckeye Lake, water line project; \$500,000 for the Hancock County, Village of Janera, wastewater collection system; \$1,000,000 for the Village of West Jefferson, water treatment facility; \$1,000,000 for the City of Louisville, protection for wastewater treatment plant; \$2,000,000 for the Stark County, Zimber Ditch project; \$500,000 for the Noble County, sewer system; \$500,000 for the Youngstown, Orchard Meadow Combined Sewer Overflow project; \$500,000 for the Lake County, Concord Township sanitary sewer line improvement; \$100,000 for the Lake County, Perry Township, Shepard Road waterline extension; \$900,000 for the Lake County, Village of Perry, Sanitary sewer system; \$1,000,000 for the Toledo Combined Sewer Overflow project; \$1,000,000 for the Tech Town Dayton Technology Campus water and sewer project; \$2,500,000 for the University of Dayton, Brown and Stewart water and sewer project; \$640,000 for the Clinton County, Clinton Massie School District sewer project; \$1,500,000 for the Springfield Applied Research and Technology Park water and sewer project; \$700,000 for the Clark County Southwest Regional Waste Water Treatment Plant expansion; \$500,000 for Clark County, Village of Donnelsville sewer system project; \$1,350,000 for the Fayette County, Village of Bloomingberg, Waste Water Treatment Plant; \$100,000 for the

Pickaway County, Harrison and Madison Township water and sewer project; \$150,000 for the Village of Corning water and sewer project; \$1,880,000 for the Scioto County, Minford Wastewater Treatment Facility; and \$250,000 for the City of Dayton, Northeast Quadrant water and sewer infrastructure.

Columbia River Treaty Fishing Sites, Oregon and Washington.—The conferees have included \$700,000 for facilities at

White Salmon, WA.

Elk Creek Lake, Oregon.—Funds provided in this Act and funds previously appropriated for the Elk Creek Lake, Oregon, project are available to plan and implement long-term management measures at the project to maintain the project in an uncompleted state, including design and construction of a permanent trap- and-haul facility to replace the existing, interim facility. Funds may not be used for any further work on the Corps of Engineers proposal

to remove a section of the dam for fish passage.

Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule Sioux, South Dakota.—The conference notes that Title IV of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999, as amended, authorizes funding to pay administrative expenses, implementation of terrestrial wildlife plans, activities associated with land transferred or to be transferred, and annual expenses for operating recreational areas. The conferees have included \$5,750,000 for this effort. Within the funds provided, the conference directs that not more than \$1,000,000 shall be provided for administrative expenses, and that the Corps is to distribute the remaining funds as directed by Title IV to the State of South Dakota, the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe and the Lower Brule Sioux Tribe.

Rural Utah, Utah.—The conference has provided \$1,000,000 for this project and encourages the Corps to proceed with those projects which are eligible and are prepared to move forward.

Columbia River Fish Mitigation, Avian Predation, Columbia / Snake River Basin, Washington, Oregon, and Idaho.—The conferees are aware of the need to investigate the effect of avian predation on the survival of listed salmon and steelhead in the Columbia/Snake River Basin and directs the Secretary to provide up to \$750,000, as needed, from the Columbia River Fish Mitigation project for this purpose. The conference expects the Corps of Engineers to coordinate with the Secretary of Commerce and the Secretary of the Interior to develop an implementation plan and initiate actions to reduce avian predation where such actions are determined to be biologically and cost effective.

Aquatic Plant Control Program.—The conferees have included \$4,500,000 for the Aquatic Plant Control program's base research and development activities. In an effort to maximize limited funding for eradication and harvesting, the conference strongly recommends that these efforts be undertaken only where a local sponsor agrees to provide 50 percent of the cost of the work. Within the funds provided, \$300,000 is for a cost shared effort with the State of South Carolina and \$400,000 is for a cost shared effort with the State of Vermont and \$100,000 is for the control of aquatic nuisance vegetation in the Potomac and Tributaries, Virginia, Maryland, and District of Columbia. The conference urges the Corps to establish a cost shared program with the State of Hawaii.

Beneficial Uses of Dredged Material.—The conference recommendation includes \$6,000,000. Within the funds provided \$3,000,000 is for Morehead City Harbor, NC.

Dam Safety and Seepage/Stability Correction Program.—The conference recommendation includes \$10,500,000 for the program. Within the funds provided, \$3,000,000 is provided for the Corps to complete work on Waterbury Dam in Vermont.

Shoreline Erosion Control and Development and Demonstration.—The conference recommendation includes \$7,000,000. Within the funds provided \$400,000 is provided for Sacred Falls, Hawaii. Continuing Authorities Program (CAP).—The conference de-

Continuing Authorities Program (CAP).—The conference departs from its usual practice in the presentation of CAP projects chosen for funding. In previous conference reports, CAP funding for individual projects was presented in an unstructured text form. For fiscal year 2005, in order to increase uniformity and simplify use of the report, CAP funding will be shown in a table, with information limited to identification of the CAP authority under which the project is authorized, the name of the project, and the amount of funding provided.

The conferees are aware that many projects selected for funding under the Continuing Authorities Program have not received any funds due to overwhelming demand and limited funding authority within the Continuing Authorities Program. The conference directs that such projects should receive priority consideration for

any available such funds, in fiscal 2005, and in the future.

The continuing project authorities listed below, allow the Corps great flexibility to respond to various, limited-scope, water resource problems facing communities throughout the Nation. This program has proven to be remarkably successful in providing a quick response to serious local problems. These problems range from flood control and navigation to bank stabilization and environmental restoration. The conferees have provided funds in excess of the budget request for virtually all of these accounts. As a general rule, once a project has received funds for the initial phases of any of these authorities, the project will continue to be funded as long as it proves to be environmentally sound, technically feasible, and economically justified, as applicable. With this in mind, the conference has chosen to limit explicit direction of these project authorities.

The conferees are aware that there are funding requirements for ongoing, continuing authorities projects that may not be accommodated within the funds provided for each program. It is not the conference's intent that ongoing projects be terminated. If additional funds are needed to keep ongoing work in any program on schedule, the conference urges the Corps to reprogram the nec-

essary funds.

Small Flood Control Projects (Section 205).—Funding for the Zimber Ditch, Stark County, Ohio project is now provided under the Ohio Environmental Infrastructure program. The conference is informed that \$700,000 in funding was provided for Butler Lake, Illinois, in fiscal year 2004, most of which has not been expended, and directs that, if true, the Corps of Engineers utilize funding provided to initiate construction on an expedited basis.

Tribal Partnership Program.—The conferees acknowledge the serious impacts of coastal erosion and flooding due to continued cli-

mate change in Alaska. The conference expects the Corps to continue its work in this area and has included a total of \$4,000,000, of which \$2,000,000 is to combat erosion in Alaska.

A field hearing was held in Anchorage, Alaska on June 29 and 30, 2004, on the impacts of severe erosion and flooding on Alaska

Native villages.

There is no Federal or State agency to coordinate and assist these communities in the relocation or in the interim provide preventative measures to slow the effects of the erosion and flooding. The conference finds there is a need for an Alaska erosion baseline study to coordinate and plan the appropriate responses and assistance for Alaska villages in the most need and to provide an overall assessment on the priority of which villages should receive assistance. Therefore, the conference has provided the \$2,000,000 for this study.

In addition, the conferees have also included \$150,000 for Idaho; \$150,000 for Nevada to initiate cultural resource restoration on historic Washoe lands; and \$150,000 for New Mexico to further

the tribal assistance efforts by the Corps in these States.

### MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES

Atchafalaya Basin, Louisiana.—The conference has included \$1,253,000 for the continued levee enlargement construction work.

Yazoo Basin, Mississippi, Yazoo Backwater Project (Pumping plant and Nonstructural Features), Mississippi.—The conference has provided \$12,000,000 and directive legislative language to maintain the schedule to complete the design, to initiate the pump supply contract, and to continue the real estate activities.

### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

*Mobile Harbor, Alabama.*—The conferees have included an additional \$1,000,000 to continue the Garrows Bend environmental restoration.

Tennessee—Tombigbee Waterway, Alabama & Mississippi.— The conference has included an additional \$650,000 to perform additional maintenance dredging. Of the funds provided, up to \$300,000 may be used for aquatic plant control activities.

Anchorage Harbor, Alaska.—The conferees have included an additional \$2,000,000 for maintenance dredging of the harbor.

Chena River Lakes, Alaska.—The conferees have included an additional \$775,000 for the additional deferred maintenance work

of the Chena River Lakes project.

Nome Harbor, Alaska.—The conference has included an additional \$1,000,000 for additional maintenance dredging of the harbor.

Cherry Creek, Chatfield, and Trinidad Lakes, Colorado.—The conferees have included an additional \$1,549,000 for continued repairs at these three lakes. This action in no way is intended to alter the Corps of Engineers' lease and property accountability policies. It is the conference's understanding that the State of Colorado has agreed to cost share this project on a 50–50 basis. It is also the understanding of the conferees that the Secretary is not to assume, nor share in the future of the operation and maintenance of these recreation facilities. Of the funds provided, the Corps is di-

rected to conduct a reallocation study for Chatfield Reservoir project.

Intracoastal Waterway, Delaware River to Chesapeake Bay, Delaware and Maryland.—The conference recommendation includes \$14,400,000 for this project. Within the funds provided, \$500,000 is included for maintenance costs of the SR-1 Bridge and \$100,000 for plans and specifications for the Summit Bridge approaches.

Apalachiacola, Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, Georgia, Alabama, and Florida.—The conference has included an additional \$5,231,000, which includes annual dredging of the river channel, annual operations and maintenance of the George W. Andrews Lock, spot dredging of shoals, continuation of slough mouth restoration, and routine operations and maintenance of the project.

Dworshak Dam and Reservoir, Idaho.—The conferees have included an additional \$500,000 for site improvements and environ-

mental compliance efforts.

Snake River Dredging, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington.—The conferees have recommended \$250,000 for dredging completion of the Programmatic Dredged Material Management Plan/Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement and for maintenance

dredging on the Snake River.

Ohio River Locks and Dams, Kentucky, Illinois, Indiana, and *Ohio.* Within the funds provided, the Corps of Engineers is directed to utilize up to \$2,500,000 in cooperation with Operation Respond, a non-profit organization, to implement a demonstration project collecting and integrating imagery of a selected segment of the Ohio Basin, gathering data from Federal and non-Federal interests, developing and testing software primarily for the use of emergency responders, and for stabilization measures for the Emery Lane bank failure at Indianapolis, Indiana.

Wolf Creek Dam, Lake Cumberland, Kentucky.—Additional funding includes \$500,000 for parking improvements at Lee's Ford Marina, as well as additional funding for powerhouse-related re-

pairs.

Mississippi River Between Missouri River and Minneapolis (MVR Portion), Illinois.—The conference recommendation includes \$43,473,000. Within the funds provided, \$1,000,000 is for continuation of the rehab of Lock and Dam 11.

Delaware River, Philadelphia to the Sea, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and Delaware.—The conferees have included an additional \$1,450,000 for completion of the Pea Patch Island project.

Council Grove Lake, Kansas.—The conference has included ad-

ditional funding for the repair and upgrade of public use facilities.

Wilson Lake, Kansas.—The conference has provided additional

funding for the Corps to conduct a reallocation study.

Barren River Lake, Kentucky.—The conferees have provided additional funding for the repair and upgrade of public use facili-

J. Bennett Johnston Waterway, Louisiana.—The conference has included additional funding for bank stabilization repairs, dredging entrances to oxbow lakes, routine operation and maintenance activities, annual dredging requirements, and backlog maintenance.

Fort Peck Dam, Montana.—The conferees have included additional funds to complete the on-going construction work related to the site.

Cochiti Lake, New Mexico.—The conferees have provided additional funds for the continuation of studies that were initiated in fiscal year 2004, which include the proposed operational changes and gate automation and to begin the relocation of the Al Black area.

Garrison Dam and Lake Sakakawea, North Dakota.—The conference has provided additional funds for mosquito control and for deferred maintenance activities. The conference is aware that low lake levels on Lake Sakakawea, North Dakota have made the current marina located at Fort Stevenson unusable and expects the Army Corps to use funds within this account to relocate this marina to Garrison Bay.

Norfolk Harbor, Craney Island, Virginia.—The conference has provided additional funds in order to raise the containment dikes to provide the capacity needed for the Norfolk Harbor Deepening

Connecticut River Flood Control Dams, Vermont.—\$250,000

has been provided for fish passage facilities at these projects.

Columbia & Lower Willamette River Below Vancouver, Washington and Portland, Oregon.—The conference recommendation includes \$250,000 for the Astoria Boat Basin.

Regional Sediment Management Demonstration Program.—The conferees have provided \$2,500,000 for this program. Within the funds provided, \$500,000 is for the southeast coast of Oahu, Hawaii and \$1,000,000 is for the Littoral Drift Restoration Program, Washington.

### FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM (FUSRAP)

The conferees provide \$165,000,000 for the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP). The additional funds are to be used to accelerate cleanup of existing FUSRAP sites and to address potential new sites that may qualify as eligible FUSRAP sites, such as the former Sylvania nuclear fuel site located in Hicksville, New York.

# OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY (CIVIL WORKS)

The conferees provide \$4,000,000 for the salaries and expenses of the Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works). This office had previously been funded under the Operation and Maintenance, Army, appropriation.

### General Provisions—Corps of Engineers

Sec. 101. The conference report includes language regarding

credits and reimbursements.

Sec. 102. The conference report includes language regarding Tuscarawas County, Ohio.

Sec. 103. The conference report includes language about divesting civil works functions.

Sec. 104. The conference report includes language regarding Alamagordo, New Mexico.

Sec. 105. The conference report includes language regarding Stark County, Ohio.

Sec. 106. The conference report includes language regarding the St. Georges Bridge in Delaware.

Sec. 107. The conference report includes language regarding Lake Cumberland, Kentucky.

Sec. 108. The conference report includes language regarding the Lake Tahoe Basin in California and Nevada.

Sec. 109. The conference report includes language regarding the Lake Tahoe Watershed in California and Nevada.

Sec. 110. The conference report includes language regarding the Prado Dam in California.

Sec. 111. The conference report includes language regarding the Black Warrior-Tombigbee Rivers in Alabama.

Sec. 112 and Sec. 113. The conference report includes language regarding the submittal of Chief of Engineers reports.

Sec. 114. The conference report includes language regarding coastal wetlands conservation funding.

Sec. 115. The conference report includes language regarding Lake Sakakawea in North Dakota.

Sec. 116. The conference report includes language regarding Central City in Fort Worth, Texas.

Sec. 117. The conference report includes language regarding Alaska erosion.

Sec. 118. The conference report includes language regarding Cook Inlet, Alaska.

Sec. 119. The conference report includes language regarding Northern Wisconsin. Sec. 120. The conference report includes language regarding

St. Croix Falls, Wisconsin.

Sec. 121. The conference report includes language regarding

Burns Harbor, Indiana.

Sec. 122. The conference report includes language regarding Duck River, Alabama.

Sec. 123. The conference report includes language regarding Yakutat, Alaska.

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	DLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	VCE
ALABAMA		3 4 1 4 6 6 8 8 8		1 1 1 7 7 7 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
BREWTON AND EAST BREWTON, AL	145	* *	145	;
CAHABA RIVER WATERSHED, AL	20	•	7.5	•
VILLAGE CREEK, JEFFERSON COUNTY (BIRMINGHAM WATERSHED)	233	1 1	233	1 1
ALASKA				
ADAK, AK	1 1	1	50	1 6
AKUTAN HARBOR, AK	135	1	135	1 1
ALASKA REGIONAL PORTS, AK	150	1 1	. 200	
ANCHORAGE HARBOR DEEPENING, AK	20	1 1	125	:
BARROW COASTAL STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION, AK	1,000	1 1	700	1
COFFMAN COVE, AK	1 1 1	; ;	100	1 1
CRAIG HARBOR, AK	20	1	150	1
DELONG MOUNTAIN HARBOR, AK	250	1	625	1 ,
EKLUTNA RIVER WATERSHED, AK	20	i i i	425	1 1
HAINES HARBOR, AK	135	;	218	1 1
HOMER HARBOR MODIFICATION, AK	300	t I	450	1
KAKTOVIK, AK	50	1 1	20	1
KENAI EROSION CONTROL, AK	•	f h f	200	
KETCHIKAN HARBOR, AK	20	1	150	1
KLAWACK HARBOR, AK	!	1	20	:
KNIK BRIDGE CROSSING, AK	1 1	1	100	:
KOTZEBUE SMALL BOAT HARBOR, AK	20	1	99	ŧ \$
LITTLE DIOMEDE HARBOR, AK	50	3 1	150	j l

CORPS IF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	UEST PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	NCE PLANNING
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	# I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	f 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
MATANUSKA RIVER EROSION, AK	1 2 2	1	125	i i
MCGRATH, AK	20	1	175	,
MEKORYUK HARBOR, AK	50	1 1	150	1
PORT LIONS HARBOR, AK	100	t ;	100	t 1
SAINT GEORGE NAVIGATION IMPROVEMETS, AK	90	3 1	425	† †
SKAGWAY RIVER, AK	90	1 1	125	\$ \$ \$
UNALAKLEET HARBOR, AK	50	1 1	275	;
UNALASKA HARBOR, AK	150	2 2 4	325	1 1
VALDEZ HARBOR, AK	1 * *	1 1 1	150	•
WHITTIER BREAKWATER, AK	90	1	20	:
YAKUTAT, AK	•	:	1,000	1 1
ARIZONA				
AGUA FRIA, AZ	:	:	25	1
GILA RIVER & TRIBS, AZ	:	:	25	•
NAVAJO NATION, AZ	;	1	25	!
PIMA COUNTY, AZ	713	1	713	1 1
RILLITO RIVER, PIMA COUNTY, AZ	253	1 1	253	:
RIO SALADO OESTE , SALT RIVER, AZ	1	1 1	478	1
SANTA CRUZ RIVER, GRANT RD TO FT LOWELL RD, AZ	100	1	253	93
SANTA CRUZ RIVER, PASEO DE LAS IGLESIAS, AZ	339	1 1	339	2 1 3
VA SHLY-AY AKIMEL SALT RIVER RESTORATION PROJECT, AZ	349	* * ;	1	750

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	NEST PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	NCE PLANNING
ARKANSAS		1		; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;
ARKANSAS RIVER LEVEES, AR	1 2 1	1 2	1 1	125
ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION STUDY, AR & OK	200	1 1	200	3
HOT SPRINGS, AR	; ;	,	29	1 1
MAY BRANCH, FORT SMITH, AR	1 3	;	3 8	25
NORTH LITTLE ROCK, AR	•	1	1	20
PINE MOUNTAIN DAM, AR	1	i 3 1	3 2 1	100
WHITE RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, AR & MO	1,000	,	1,000	1 1
WHITE RIVER MINIMUM FLOWS, AR AND MO.	3 3	1 1	1	150
WHITE RIVER NAVIGATION TO NEWPORT, AR	ŧ	; ;	•	100
CALIFORNIA				
ALISO CREEK MAINSTEM, CA	265	!	265	!
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CA	:	415	;	:
ARANA GULCH WATERSHED, CA	100	1	100	
ARROYO SECO WATERSHED RESTORATION, CA	:		175	;
BALLONA CREEK ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA	•	1 1	225	:
BOLINAS LAGOON ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA	1	1 1	100	20
CALAVERAS COUNTY WATERSHEDS, CA	:	1 1	1 1	, ,
CALIFORNIA COASTAL SEDIMENT MASTER PLAN, CA	32	1	116	1
CITY OF CARPENTERIA, CA	1	1	100	:
CITY OF INGLEWOOD, CA		1 1	400	!
CITY OF NORWALK, CA	•	, 3 1	160	í 1 1
CITY OF SAN BERNADINO, CA	1 1	!!!	75	) 1

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	UEST PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	VCE
				: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
COAST OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGLES COUNTY, CA	* *	ì	450	
COYOTE DAM, CA	200	; ;	200	e e
DESERT HOT SPRINGS, CA	} •	† ;	200	;
ESTUDILLO CANAL, SAN LEANDRO, CA		;	29	
GRAYSON AND MURDERER'S CREEKS, WALNUT CREEK BASIN, CA.	300	i 1	200	•
HUMBOLDT BAY LONG TERM SHOAL MANAGEMENT, CA	t ;	1	123	-
LAGUNA CREEK WATERSHED, CA		•	29	;
LAGUNA DE SANTA ROSA, CA	200	•	200	1 1
LAKE ELSINORE ENVIRON RESTOR, CA	;	1 1	25	1
LA RIVER WATERCOURSE, SAN JOSE CREEK, CA	1		50	•
LLAGAS CREEK FLOOD PROTECTION PROJECT, CA	•	1	-	325
LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CORNFIELDS, CA	32		45	:
LA COUNTY DREDGE MATERIAL MGMT, CA	1 1	1	38	:
LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CA	630	1	630	
LOS ANGELES RIVER WATERCOURSE, HEADWORKS AREA, CA	1 1	!	225	į .
LOWER CACHE CREEK, YOLO COUNTY, WOODLAND AND VICINITY,	,	300	1 1	300
LOWER MISSION CREEK (FLOOD CONTROL AND CREEK REHABILIT	# # #	1	£ 5 2	200
MALIBU CREEK WATERSHED, CA	325	1 1	325	1
MARINA DEL REY AND BALLONA CREEK, CA	175	1 1	175	1
MATILIJA DAM, CA	375	1 1	388	1
MORRO BAY ESTUARY, CA	1 1	;	175	:
MUGU LAGOON, CA	140	t i	184	
NAPA RIVER SALT MARSH RESTORATION, CA	i t	† !	t 1 3	250
NAPA VALLEY WATERSHED MANAGEMENT, CA	200	t t	200	;
NEWPORT BAY LA-3 SITE DESIGNATION, CA	:	1	100	1
NEWPORT BAY SAN DIEGO CREEK WATERSHED, CA	:	;	86	i i

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

			יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	PLAININ NG
CA STREAMS, LOWER SACRA, CA	1	;	100	,
CEAN BEACH, CA	200	1	200	;
RANGE COUNTY SPECIAL AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN, CA		ŧ •	195	
AJARO RIVER BASIN, CA		t f f	20	:
AJARO RIVER AT WATSONVILLE, CA.		400	* *	400
INE FLAT DAM, CA.	1		:	25
OSO CREEK, CA	200	1	200	1
IVERSIDE COUNTY SPECIAL AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN, CA	:	1	300	;
USSIAN RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA	200	!	250	*
ACRAMENTO - SAN JOAQUIN DELTA, CA	200	1	200	ļ
ACRAMENTO AND SAN JOAQUIN COMPREHENSIVE BASIN STUDY,	200	!	1,000	
AN BERNARDING COUNTY, CA	100	f y t	100	,
AN CLEMENTE SHORELINE, CA	178	1 1	178	•
AN DIEGO COUNTY SHORLINE, CA	1 2	1	125	100
JAN DIEGO COUNTY SPECIAL AREA MANAGEMENT PLAN, CA	1 1	:	150	1
AN FRANCISQUITO CREEK, CA	1 2 3	; ;	100	1
	: :	1 1	100	1
JAN JOAQUIN RB, WEST STANISLAUS COUNTY, ORESTIMBA CREE	200	\$ \$ \$	200	1
JAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, FRAZIER CREEK, CA	130	1	130	
JAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, TUOLUMNE RIVER, CA	200	i	200	1
JAN JUAN CREEK WATERSHEAD	t :	i t	20	1
JAN JUAN CREEK, SOUTH ORANGE COUNTY, CA	,	* Y *	18	•
AN PABLO BAY WATERSHED, CA.	300	;	400	
JANTA ANA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, BIG BEAR LAKE, CA	1,000	t F	1,000	•
JANTA CLARA RIVER STUDY, CA.	:	;	200	;
C THIND COMPANY CHILD CANADA CONT.			1000	

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)				
BUDGET REQ INVESTIGATIONS			ICE	
	A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		† † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † †	
200	1 3 1	525	;	
* *	t t	09	1	
} }		121	250	
274	;	274	1	
: :		325	;	
100	•	::	;	
	;	25	•	
:	:	38	1	
275	:	275	1	80
:	i i	:	1,000	67
;		. 38	;	
1	1	100	!	
1		1	75	
46	1 1	273	t t	
1	i i ;	75	1 1	
1 1	1 2	20	í i	
1 1	1	211	; ;	
122	1 1	122	1 1 1	
416	\$ 4 †	416	3 2	
100	1	20	1 1 5	
; ;	i i	ŧ	75	
100	i i	100	,	
3 1 1	100	,	350	
and proof 1	100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100	1100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	500	GET REQUEST CONFERENCE TIONS PLANNING INVESTIGATIONS  500 525  60 121  274 274  100 38  275 275  100 38  275 275  100 38  275 275  100 38  277 38  278 38  279 38  271 38  271 38  272 38  273 38  274 38  275 38  277 38  278 38  279 38  270 38  271 38  271 38  272 38  273 38  274 38  275 38  277 38  278 38  279 38  270 38  271 38  271 38  271 38  272 38  273 38  274 38  275 38  277 38  278 38  279 38  270 38  271 38  271 38  271 38  272 38  273 38  274 38  275 38  277 38  278 38  279 38  270 38  271 38  271 38  271 38  272 38  273 38  274 38  275 38  276 38  277 38  278 38  279 38  270 38  270 38  271 38  271 38  271 38  271 38  272 38  273 38  274 38  275 38  277 38  278 38  279 38  270 38  270 38  271 38  271 38  271 38  272 38  273 38  274 38  275 38  277 38  277 38  278 38  279 38  270 38  270 38  271 38  271 38  271 38  272 38  273 38  274 38  275 38  277 38  277 38  277 38  278 38  279 38  270 38  270 38  271 38  272 38  273 38  274 38  275 38  277 38  27

COLORADO

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	DUEST PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	VCE PLANNING
			*	; ; ; ; ;
ADAMS COUNTY, CO	225	1	225	1
BOULDER CREEK, CO	100	1 1	;	;
CACHE LA POUDRE, CO	185		185	1 1
CHATFIELD, CHERRY CREEK AND BEAR CREEK RESERVOIRS, CO.	200		200	:
FOUNTAIN CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, CO	273	1	273	;
ZUNI AND SUN VALLEY REACHES, PLATTE RIVER, CO	1	1	;	38
COMMONWEALTH OF NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS				
ROTA HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, CNMI	20	;	50	t 2 5
TINIAN HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, CNMI	90	;	- 20	1 1
DELAWARE				
CHESAPEAKE & DELAWARE CANAL, ENV RESTORATION, DE & MD.	100	1	1 2 3	; ; ;
FLORIDA				
BISCAYNE BAY FEASIBILITY STUDY, FL	;	1 1 1	75	1 7 1
DAYTONA BEACH SHORES, VOLUSIA COUNTY, FL	1	1 1	225	:
EGMONT KEY SHORELINE STABILIZATION, FL	1 1	1	200	1 1
FLAGLER COUNTY, FL		,	75	1 1
HILLSBOROUGH RIVER, FL	200	1	200	:
LAKE WORTH INLET, PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL	100	1	100	i i
LITTE TALBOT ISLAND, FL	•	1	1 1 2	25
MILE POINT, FL	200	1	200	1 1 1

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	NUEST PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNIN	CE
PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL SARASOTA COUNTY, LIDO KEY, FL ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FL ST. LUCIE COUNTY, FL ST PETERSBURG HARBOR, FL WALTON COUNTY, FL. WITHLACOOCHEE RIVER, FL	   100		63 25 75 75 100 250	25 150 200
AUGUSTA, GA.  ALLATOONA LAKE, GA.  ARABIA MOUNTAIN, GA.  INDIAN, SUGAR, ENTRENCHMENT AND FEDERAL PRISON CREEKS, LONG ISLAND, MARSH AND JOHNS CREEKS, GA.  SAVANNAH HARBOR ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, GA.  SAVANNAH HARBOR EXPANSION, GA.  SAVANNAH RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, GA & SC.  TYBEE ISLAND NORTH BEACH SHORE PROTECTION PROJECT, GA.  UTOY, SANDY AND PROCTOR CREEKS, GA.	150 100 100 122 250 250 250	500	75 450 100 100 122 250 250 250 110	200
GUAM HAGATNA RIVER FLOOD CONTROL, GUAM	100	!	150	:

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	DEST	INVESTIGATIONS PL	VCE PLANNING
НАМАІІ				; ; ; ; ;
LA WAI CANAL, DAHU, HI	150	;	150	!!!
SARBERS POINT HARBOR MODIFICATION, OAHU, HI	20	•	20	1 1
(AHUKU, HI	100		100	1 1 1
CAWAIHAE DEEP DRAFT HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, HAWAII, HI	150	,	150	1
(IHEI AREA EROSION, HI	20	:	20	:
!AWILIWILI HARBOR MODIFICATION, KAUAI, HI	20	,	20	1
VAIKIKI BEACH, HONOLULU, HI		,		300
VAILUPE STREAM FLOOD CONTROL STUDY, HI		:	:	300
ІВАНО			=	
30ISE RIVER, ID.	ŧ 3 3	; ; ;	155	1 2 3
ITTLE WOOD RIVER, ID	† 1 3	? 1	1 2 1	20
ILLINOIS				
LEXANDER & PULASKI COUNTIES, IL	: :		20	,
SES PLAINES RIVER, IL (PHASE II)	800	;	1,300	!
REAT LAKES FISHERY AND ECOSYS RESTOR, IL	1		18	:
LLINDIS RIVER AT BEARDSTOWN, IL	32	1 1	32	;
LLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION, IL	400	•	200	1 1
LLINOIS RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, IL	200	1 1	200	1 1
:LLINOIS SHORELINE EROSION, IL	09	1	09	1 2
(EITH CREEK, ROCKFORD, IL	32	; ;	32	t š

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	NEST PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	PLANNING
PEORIA RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, IL	200	100	200 200 355 1,172	13,500
INDIANA HARBOR, INJOHN T MYERS LOCKS AND DAM, IN & KY	200	700	009	350
CLEAR LAKE WATERSHED, IA.  DAVENPORT, IA.  DES MOINES AND RACCOON RIVERS, IA.  LOWER DES MOINES RIVER, IA.	150	156	193  150 25	100 25
KANSAS BRUSH CREEK BASIN, KS & MO	75 110 70 300 219		138 75 155 109 300 235	: : : : : :

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	UEST PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	NCE PLANNING
	1 1 5 5 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1	4 3 7 6 8 1 1 2 3 3	3	4 4 5 4 1 1 1
KENTUCKY				
DEWEY LAKE, KY	i P I	ę ę	20	1 1
GREENUP LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, KY & OH	;	310	1 1	450
LICKING RIVER, KY	:	•	20	::
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, JEFFERSON COUNTY, KY	100	:	20	20
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, MILL CREEK BASIN, KY	06	1	45	;
METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, SOUTHWEST, KY	244	:	122	122
OHIO RIVER MAIN STEM SYSTEMS STUDY, KY, IL, IN, PA, WV	1,080	:	1,580	,
LOUISIANA				
AMITE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LA.	250	1	250	1
AMITE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, BAYOU MANCHAC, LA	100	1	100	1
ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF AND BLACK, L	350	1 1	850	;
BAYOU SORREL LOCK, LA	5 1 1	250	1 7	550
BOSSIER PARISH, LA	1	f 1	193	1 1
CALCASIEU LOCK, LA	200	:	250	;
CALCASIEU RIVER BASIN, LA	350	1 1	350	1
CALCASIEU RIVER PASS SHIP CHANNEL ENLARGEMENT, LA	20	1	125	
CROSS LAKE WATER SUPPLY IMPROVEMENTS, LA	1	;	200	1
HURRICANE PROTECTION, LA	•	•	100	:
LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LA	8,000	1 1	8,500	1
MISSISSIPPI RIVER GULF OUTLET ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, L	225	1	1	1
PLAQUEMINES PARISH URBAN FLOOD CONTROL, LA	300	1	350	1 1

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	JEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE	NCE PLANNING
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
PORT OF IBERIA, LA	350	;	575	1
RED RIVER NAVIGATION, SOUTHWEST ARKANSAS, AR AND LA.	; ; h	!	25	
ST BERNARD PARISH URBAN FLOOD CONTROL, LA	300	1	350	;
ST CHARLES PARISH URBAN FLOOD CONTROL, LA	300	1	099	
ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST, LA	•	1	175	1
WEST BATON ROUGE, LA	•	:	,	20
WEST SHORE, LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN, LA	1	:	:	150
MAINE				
SEARSPORT HARBOR, ME	1	;	- 63	:
MARYLAND				
ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MD & DC	,	;	160	,
ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, PG COUNTY LEVEE, MD &	100		100	•
BALTIMORE METRO WATER, MD	, , ,	•		20
CHES BAY SHORELINE-SEDI BUDG, MODEL & REG SEDI MGT, MD	220	:	220	1 1 1
CHESAPEAKE BAY SHORELINE EROSION, MD, PA & VA	221	1	361	:
EASTERN SHORE, MID CHESAPEAKE BAY ISLAND, MD	324	1	912	1
JENNINGS RANDOLF LAKE, MD	,		25	
LOWER POTOMAC ESTUARY WATERSHED, ST MARY'S WATERSHED,.	103	1 1	103	1 1
MIDDLE POTOMAC RIVER BASIN, MD	1	:	200	

# MASSACHUSETTS

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	NUEST PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	VCE
BLACKSIONE KIVEK WAIEKSHED KESIOKAIION, MA & KI		1	001	:
BOSTON HARBOR (45-FOOT CHANNEL), MA	650	1	650	1
COASTAL MASSACHUSETTE, MA	1	1 1	25	1
	1	!	1 1	25
MICHIGAN				
BELLE ISLE SHORELINE, MI	:	1	50	1
DETROIT RIVER MASTERPLAN, MI	1 1 6	1 1	175	1
GREAT LAKES NAV SYST STUDY, MI, IL, IN, MN, NY, OH, PA	800	1	1,650	1 1
JOHN GLENN GREAT LAKES BASIN (STRATEGIC PLAN), MI, IL,	;		. 20	1
JOHN GLENN GREAT LAKES BASIN (BIOHYDROLOGICAL), MI, IL	1		20	1
JOHN GLENN GREAT LAKES BASIN (RECREATION BOATING), MI,	•	1	20	1
ROUGE RIVER SUPP PLAN, MI	•	1	25	1 1
ST. CLAIR RIVER & LAKE ST. CLAIR, MI	,	;	22	) ) (
MINNESOTA				
MINNEHAHA CREEK WATERSHED, UMR LAKE ITASCA TO L&D 2, M	300	;	300	1
MINNESOTA RIVER BASIN, MN & SD		!	43	,
RED RIVER OF THE NORTH BASIN, MN, ND, SD & MANITOBA, C	751	1 1	751	1 1
ROSEAU, MN (RED RIVER OF THE NORTH BASIN)		:	149	1
SOUTH WASHINGTON CTY WATERSHED, UMR LAKE ITASCA TO L&D	300	1 1	300	
WILD RICE RIVER, MN (RED RIVER OF THE NORTH BASIN)	400	1 !	400	!

158

158

YELLOWSTONE RIVER CORRIDOR, MT.....

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST CONFERENCE	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	VCE
Iddississim				
GULFDORT AND HARRISON, MS	200		50 200 150 400	
MISSOURI				
CHESTERETEID MO	1	;		50
KANSAS CITYS. MO & KS.	325	1	375	)
MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, UNITS L455 & R460-471, MO	09	1	180	:
RIVER DES PERES, MO	:	:	:	25
SPRINGFIELD, MO	200	:	200	;
ST LOUIS FLOOD PROTECTION, MO		450	,	450
ST. LOUIS HARBOR, MO	:	: :	•	25
ST LOUIS MISSISSIPPI RIVERFRONT, MO & IL	338	1	338	1
SWOPE PARK INDUSTRIAL AREA, KANSAS CITY, MO		1 1	1 1	200
WEARS CREEK, JEFFERSON CITY, MO	20	;	20	1
MONTANA				

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	DUEST PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	PLANNING
NEBRASKA				4 6 1 6 1 7
LOWER PLATTE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NE	257	1 1	257	1 1 2
NEVADA				
LOWER LAS VEGAS N LAS VEGAS, NV	4 1	\$ ! \$ ! \$ !	350	1 1
TRUCKEE MEADOWS, NV	1 1 3	1,000	0 1	2,500
NEW HAMPSHIRE			-	
MERRIMACK RIVER WATERSHED STUDY, NH & MA	200	1	250	;
NEW JERSEY				
DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, NJ, PA & DE	;	1 1	150	1 1 1
GOFFLE BROOK, BOROUGH OF HAWTHORNE, NJ	25	1 1	25	1 1
GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET TO TOWNSEND INLET, NJ	;	135	;	132
HUDSON - RARITAN ESTUARY, HACKENSACK MEADOWLANDS, NJ	100	1	320	; ;
HUDSON - RARITAN ESTUARY, LOWER PASSAIC RIVER, NJ	20	;	250	
LOWER SADDLE RIVER	1 1	1	25	1
MANASQUAN INLET TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	1	1		175
MID DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, NJ & PA		1 1	25	15
NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, ENVIRONMENTAL RESTOR	:		:	75
NEW JERSEY SHORE PROTECTION, HEREFORD TO CAPE MAY INLE	460	:	460	1

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	CE
ייייי יייי אייייייי אומיד אייייייי אייייייייי אייייייייי אייייייי				i 1 i i 1 1
NEW JEKSET SHUKELINE ALIEKNALIVE LUNG-LEKH NUUKISHMENI	967		967	
PASSAIC RIVER ENVIRO RESTOR	1 1	1	25	
PASSAIC RIVER, HARRISON, NJ	:	200	1 1	475
PECKMAN RIVER BASIN, NJ	100	-	250	* *
	100	;	175	1 1
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, HIGHLANDS, NJ	. 150		200	1
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, KEYPORT, NJ	150	1 1	200	1 1
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, LEONARDO, NJ	198	-	224	1 1
RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, UNION BEACH, NJ	1	1	1	75
RARITAN BAY & SANDY HOOK PORT MONMOUTH, NJ	•	,	;	25
SHREWSBURY RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NJ	100	1	. 100	1
SOUTH RIVER, RARITAN RIVER BASIN, NJ	1	20		150
STONY BROOK, MILLSTONE RIVER BASIN, NJ	100	1	, 100	1
UPPER ROCKAWAY RIVER, NJ	1 1	!	38	1
WOODBRIDGE RIVER BASIN, NJ	100	:	200	1 1
NEW MEXICO				
EAST MESA LAS CRUCES, NM	106	;	106	;
ESPANOLA VALLEY, RIO GRANDE AND TRIBUTARIES, NM	20	-	125	1
MIDDLE RIO GRANDE BOSQUE, NM	175	) ) !	225	
RIO GRANDE BASIN, NM, CO & TX	125	1 1 1	125	
SANTA FE, NM	175	!	250	1
SW VALLEY FLOOD REDUCTION, NM	:	!	:	350

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING
NEW YORK				
BRONX RIVER BASIN, NY	90	,	150	,
BUFFALO RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, NY	130	1	130	1
EAST RIVER SEAWALLS, NY	# 1 1	1 1	275	
EIGHTEEN MILE CREEK, NY	1 ;	1 F 3	88	;
FREEPORT CREEK, NY	1	1	13	1
EEK	* *	1 , ,	125	38
GATEWAY POINT, NY	;	t f	25	·
HUDSON RIVER HABITAT RESTOR, NY	: :	;	13	
	150	\$ ; r	300	;
HUDSON - RARITAN ESTUARY, NY & NJ	450	;	475	
JAMAICA BAY, NY.	:	1	25	:
LAKE MONTAUK HARBOR, NY	300	;	300	i
MONTAUK POINT, NY	•	1 1	•	100
NY HARBOR ANCHORAGES, NY	;	,	25	50
NORTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, ASHAROKEN, NY	175		190	:
NORTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, BAYVILLE, NY	200	1 1	200	;
SAW MILL RIVER, NY	:	;	25	:
ONONDAGA LAKE, NY	400	) ,	800	1
SOUTH SHORE OF STATEN ISLAND, NY	:	1 ,	155	;
SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN, NY	;	1	20	
TOWANDA CREEK, NY	:	;	25	
UPPER DELAWARE RIVER WATERSHED, NY	;	;	25	•
HADER CHOCKERS BIVED BACTA CATATORIA CREEK MATERCHE	•		•	

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)
CORPS OF ENGINEERS	(AMOUNTS

	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	UEST PLANNING	CONFEREN	CE
NORTH CAROLINA				: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
BOGUE BANKS, NC	\$ ; ?	1	75	1
CURRITUCK SOUND, NC	210	k f	210	1 1
DARE COUNTY BEACHES, HATTERAS AND ORACOKE ISLANDS, NC.	250	1	250	1
NEUSE RIVER BASIN, NC	120	f 3 k	120	1
SURF CITY AND NORTH TOPSAIL BEACH, NC	214	1 1	214	:
TAR RIVER AND PAMLICO SOUND, NC	99	:	99	1
0HIO				
ASHTABULA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, OH	1 1	564	1	564
CINCINNATI CENTRAL RIVERFRONT, OH	t t	1 1	20	
COLUMBUS METROPOLITAN AREA, OH	20	:	20	1 1
CUYAHOGA RIVER BULKHEAD STUDY, CLEVELAND, OH	1 7 5		100	1
DUCK CREEK, OH		: -	25	1
HOCKING RIVER BASIN ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, MONDAY.	1	1	•	350
MAHONING RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, OH & PA	450	!	675	1
MUSKINGUM BASIN SYSTEM STUDY, OH		1 1	20	100
OHIO RIVERFRONT, CINCINNATI, OH	1		1	2,000
WESTERN LAKE ERIE BASIN, OH, IN & MI	210	;	210	1 4
ОКГАНОМА				
GRAND LAKE, OK.	6 2 3	,	300	;
MIAMI AND VICINITY, OK	1771	;	177	:

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUESTINVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	DEST	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	NCE
10UNTAIN FORK RIVER WATERSHED, OK & AR.  JOLOGAH LAKE WATERSHED, OK & KS.  JPAVINAW CREEK WATERSHED, OK & AR.  JASHITA RIVER BASIN, OK.  JISTER LAKE, OH.  OREGON	500	!!!!!	25 200 25 132 132 25	:::::
JMAZON CREEK, OR.  JOWER COLUMBIA RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OR & WA.  JALLA WALLA RIVER WATERSHED, OR & WA.  JILLAMETTE RIVER BASIN REVIEW, OR.  JILLAMETTE RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, OR.  JILLAMETTE RIVER FLOODPLAIN RESTORATION, OR.	264 136 500 61 228 411	!!!!!!!	264 136 750 61 228 411	
HRISTINA RIVER, PA & DE.  MS., DASH., MONTGOMERY LOCKS, PA.  SCHUYLKILL RIVER BASIN ESTUARINE, PA.  SCHUYLKILL RIVER BASIN, WISSAHICKON CREEK BASIN, PA.  SUSQUEHANNA AND DELAWARE RIVER BASIN (SOUTHERN ANTHRACTOWN OF BLOOMSBURG LOCAL FLOOD PROTECTION PROJECT, PA.  INAMI CREEK, PA.  INAMI CREEK, PA.	50		50 50 100 250 10	100

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	UEST PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	PLANNING
PUERTO RICO				1 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
RIO YAGUEZ IN MAYAGUEZ, PR	35	1 1	35	! !
SOUTH CAROLINA				
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC	:	:	200	,
BROAD RIVER BASIN, SC	16	:	16	1
EDISTO ISLAND, SC	:	1 1	75	;
PAWLEYS ISLAND, SC	:		1	187
REEDY RIVER, SC	194	1	194	;
SANTEE DELTA ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, SC	23	:	23	1 1
WACCAMAW RIVER, SC	90	1 1 2	90	1 1
SOUTH DAKOTA				
JAMES RIVER, SD & ND	200	:	500	,
WATERTOWN & VICINITY, SD	1 1	1 1	1 1	237
TENNESSEE				
DAVIDSON COUNTY, TN	214	;	675	;
TEXAS				
ABILENE, TX (BRAZOS RIVER BASIN)	1 1 2	t t	125	\$ \$ \$

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		, , ,
BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, BROWNSVILLE CHANNEL, TX	200	1	1 1 1	1
BILEFALO RAYOLI & TRIBS (MAIN). TX	1 1	1	:	90
BILEFALO RAYOLI AND TRIBILITARIES WHITE OAK BAYOU. TX	350	1 1	350	1
CEDAR BAYOU TX	* * *	135		135
COLONIAS - LOWER RIO GRANDE BASIN TX	1 1	1 1		175
CORDIS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL IX	* 1	800	1 2 4	800
EREPORT HARROR TX	300	;	200	1
FREEDORT HURRICANE PROTECTION LEVEE, TX	150	) ; i	75	
GIWW MODIFICATIONS. TX.	350	1	175	! !
GIWW BRAZOS RIVER TO PORT O'CONNOR, TX	250	1	150	1
GTWW HIGH ISLAND TO BRAZOS RIVER, TX	1 5 1	1	1 1	50
GIWW HIGH ISLAND TO BRAZOS RIVER REALIGNMENTS, TX	275	3 1 1	138	
GIWW MATAGORDA BAY, TX.	;	1	1 * *	50
GIWM. PORT O'CONNOR TO CORPUS CHRISTI BAY, TX	250	1	150	,
GTWW VICINITY OF PORT ISABEL, TX	:	1	999	1
GREENS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX.	*	340	1	340
~	250	;	200	1
HARRIS GULLY. TX.	250	1	525	1
FON CREEK TX	200	t s	200	;
RIVER BASIN,	1,200	ŧ 1	1,450	1
I OWER GUADALUPE AND SAN ANTONIO RIVERS, TX	250	1	125	1 4
LOWER SABINE RIVER TX	200	1 1	200	1 1
I OWER SAN ANTONIO RIVER BASIN (TRI-COUNTY), TX.	200	1 1	300	1 1
MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL TX	300	*	200	1
MIDDLE BRAZOS RIVER TX	150	!	150	;
SOLUTION OF THE CONTRACT OF TH	305	;	305	;

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	DUEST	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	VCE
	* 6 5 5 5 6 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	t 1 3 1 1 1 1 1	7	# 9 E 1 1 # 1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
NUECES RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, TX	200	1	200	1 2
RAYMONDVILLE DRAIN, TX	1 1	300	;	350
RESACAS AT BROWNSVILLE, TX	250	1 1 1	250	1 1
RIVERSIDE OXBOW, UPPER TRINITY RIVER BASIN, FT WORTH,.	1 1	1 1	X 3 3	150
RIO GRANDE BASIN, TX	;	1 1	20	1
SABINE - NECHES WATERWAY, TX	350	1 1	375	1
SABINE PASS TO GALVESTON BAY, TX	325	1	325	
SALADO CREEK, TX	180	:	180	:
SOUTH MAIN CHANNEL, TX	;	1	169	25
SPARKS ARROYO COLONIA, EL PASO COUNTY, TX	256	3 1	256	1
SULPHUR RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, TX	* *	1	. 20	;
TEXAS CITY CHANNEL (50-FOOT PROJECT), TX	* *	1,180	:	1,180
UPPER TRINITY RIVER BASIN, TX	009	;	950	\$ ? !
VERMONT				
CONNECTICUT RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, VT	3 3 1	1 1 1	20	1 1
VIRGINIA				
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, BRIDGES AT DEEP CREEK,	t t	1 1	t E E	256
DISMAL SWAMP AND DISMAL SWAMP CANAL, VA	100	] ] {	100	
ELIZABETH RIVER BASIN, ENV RESTORATION, VA (PHASE II).	232	1	232	!
ELIZABETH RIVER HAMPTON RD., VA	•	1	* * *	38
FOURMILE RUN, VA	320	1	375	1
GAITHRIGHT DAM, VA	1 1	1	20	!

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	DUEST	JEST CONFERENCE PLANNING INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	PLANNING
MES RIVER CHANNEL, VA HN H KERR DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA & NC (SECTION 216). NNHAVEN RIVER BASIN, VA RFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS, CRANEY ISLAND, VA ILPOT LAKE, VA WELL RIVER WATERSHED, VA CINITY AND WILOUGHBY SPIT, VA.	290 290 483 483 7 - 1	!!!!!!!	290 483 239 50 200	20
VIRGIN ISLANDS  OWN BAY CHANNEL, ST. THOMAS, VI	•	}	; -	1- 1-
EHALIS RIVER BASIN, WA.  LIOTT BAY SEAWALL, WA.  KE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA.  GET SOUND NEARSHORE MARINE HABITAT RESTORATION, WA.  AGIT RIVER, WA.  ILLAGUAMISH, WA.  ITE RIVER FLOOD CONTROL AND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, W	340 340 240 450 450 450		340 340 270 450 525 525 50 450	0 ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;
WEST VIRGINIA LAND CREEK AT LOGAN, WV	;	:	;	59

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	DUEST PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	PLANNING
LITTLE KANAWHA RIVER, WV. NEW RIVER BASIN, WV, NC & VA	125 160	1 1 6 1 1 1	210 265	i i i i i i
WISCONSIN				
BARABOO RIVER, WIFOX RIVER, WIST, CROIX RIVER BASIN, MN & WI	270 200	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	270 200 200	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
MISCELLANEOUS	-		-	
COASTAL ETELD DATA COLLECTION	1,875	1	4,875	;
COASIAL ILLE MAIN COLLECTION CONTROL OF THE STIPLES	94	•	94	1
CIVALNOMICE DATA	248	1	248	1 1 2
CLOOD DIATM MANAGEMENT SERVICES	5,625	1	6,813	1
CDEAT LAKES REMEDIAL ACTION PLANS	;	3 1 1	250	, , ,
HVDBOLOGIC STIDIES	300	1 1	300	1 1
	300	; ; ;	300	1
MATTONAL SHORELINE	375	1 1	375	*
٠ 🛌	3,899	† ! }	4,300	1
DIANNING ASSISTANCE TO STATES	4,650	1 1	8,000	1
PRECIDITATION STIDIES (NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE)	225	t t	225	1
DEMOTE SENSING/GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM SUPPORT.	152	1 1 5	152	† †
DESCRIPCIE OFFICE OFFIC	20,800	1	25,000	1
SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTERS.	78	1	78	1
STREAM GAGING (U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY)	009	1	009	3 1 5

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

		CONFERENCE
ALABAMA		
DUCK RIVER, AL. HOBILE HARBOR, AL.		100
MOBILE HARBOR, AL. WALTER F GEORGE POWERHOUSE AND DAM, AL & GA (MAJOR REH WALTER F GEORGE POWERPLANT, AL & GA (MAJOR REHAB)	1,700	50 1,700 8,000
ALASKA		
CHIGNIK HARBOR, AK. DILLINGHAM EMERGENCY BANK, AK FALSE PASS, AK. NOME HARBOR IMPROVEMENTS, AK. SAND PGINT HARBOR, AK. SEWARD, AK. SITKA, AK. ST PAUL HARBOR, AK. WRANGELL, AK.	2,000	2,000 2,000 5,000 20,000 4,000 3,000 1,000 13,000 5,000
ARIZONA		
NOGALES WASH, AZ		1,250
RIO DE FLAG, AZ. RIO SALADO, PHOENIX AND TEMPE REACHES, AZ. TRES RIOS, AZ.	13,000	2,500 14,000 3,500
TUCSON DRAINAGE AREA (TUCSON ARROYO), AZ		2,250
ARKANSAS		
MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR. MONTGOMERY POINT LOCK AND DAM, AR OZARK - JETA TAYLOR POWERHOUSE, AR (MAJOR REHAB) RED RIVER BELOW DENISON DAM, AR, LA & TX RED RIVER EMERGENCY BANK STABIL, AR & LA	9.090 5.000	2,250 9,090 5,000 750 1,500
CALIFORNIA		
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (FOLSOM DAM MINI-RAISE), CA. AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (FOLSOM DAM MODIFICATIONS), C AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CA. CAMBRIA SEAWATER DESALINIZATION INFRASTRUCTURE, CA. CITY OF SANTA CLARITA (PERCHLORATE), CA. CORTE HADERA CREEK FLOOD CONTROL, CA. COYOTE AND BERRYESSA CREEK, CA. FARMINGTON GROUNDWATER RECHARGE DEMONSTRATION PROJECT.	6,175 5,000 	8.000 6.675 5.000 425 175 275 338 1,000
GUADALUPE RIVER, CA. HAMILTON AIRFIELD WETLANDS RESTORATION, CA. HARBOR / SOUTH BAY WATER RECYCLING PROJECT, LOS ANGELE IMPERIAL BEACH/SILVER STRAND, CA.	6,000 5,100 	6,000 6,000 3,000 150
KAWEAH RIVER, CA. LLAGAS CREEK, CA. LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA (STORMWATER MANAGEMEN LOS ANGELES HARBOR MAIN CHANNEL DEEPENING, CA	5,624  23,000	5,624 125 125
LOS ANGELES MARBOR MAIN CHANNEL DEFFENING, CA.  LOWER WALNUT CREEK, CA.  MARYSYLLE/YUBA CITY LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA.  MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA.	3,686	23,000 250 3,686 350
MID-VALLEY AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA	2,300 7,000	2,300 1,500 16,000
NAPA RIVER, CA. NORTH VALLEY REGIONAL WATER INFRASTRUCTURE (CITY OF LA OAKLAND HARBOR (50 FOOT PROJECT), CA.	20,000	2,000 27,500
PETALUMA RIVER, CA.  SACRAMENTO AREA, CA.  SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION PROJECT, CA	3,404 1,000	3,000 1,750 1,750 375
SACRAMENTO RIVER DEEP WATER SHIP CHANNEL, CA SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CA	13,200	

(modified In modelines)	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
SAN LORENZO RIVER, CA		,010
SAN FRANCISCO BAY TO STOCKTON, CA	* * *	250
SAN RAMON VALLEY RECYCLED WATER PROJECT, CA		375
SOUTH PERRIS, CA (WATER SUPPLY DESALINATION)	1,000	625 2,250
SOUTH PERRIS, CA (WATER SUPPLY DESALINATION)	7,000	2,250
SUCCESS DAM, TULE RIVER, CA (DAM SAFETY)	4.000	4.000
TULE RIVER, CA	3,500	3,500
UPPER NEWPORT BAY ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA		1,000
UPPER SACRAMENTO AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA	2,400	2,400
YUBA RIVER BASIN, CA	* * *	375
CONNECTICUT		
SOUTHPORT HARBOR FEDERAL NAVIGATION PROJECT, CT STAMFORD MILL RIVER RESTORATION, CT	• • • •	125 50
DELAWARE		
DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, BETHANY TO SOUTH BETHANY, DE DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE TO PT. MAHON, DE	***	425 250
DELAWARE COAST, CAPE HENLOPEN TO FENWICK ISLAND, DE	2,500	2,500
DELAWARE COAST PROTECTION, DE	2,500	158
DELAWARE COAST, REHOBOTH BEACH TO DEWEY BEACH, DE DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, ROOSEVELT INLET TO LEWES BEACH	3,675	3,925
DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, ROOSEVELT INLET TO LEWES BEACH		352
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
WASHINGTON, DC & VICINITY	500	
FLORIDA		
BREVARD COUNTY SHORE PROTECTION. FL		550
BROWARD COUNTY SHORE PROTECTION, FL		1,250
CANAVERAL HARBOR, FL	3,016 85,600	3,016 75,000
CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL. DADE COUNTY, FL.	65,800	125
DUVAL COUNTY FEDERAL SHORE PROTECTION PROJECT, FL		375
EVERGLADES AND SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL	27,000	26,000
FLORIDA KEYS WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENTS, FL		2,250
FORT PIERCE BEACH, FL		750
HERBERT HOOVER DIKE, FL (MAJOR REHAB)	1,896 900	1,896
JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM POWERHOUSE, FL & GA (MAJOR R	2,502	2,200 2,502
KISSIMMEE RIVER, FL	18,000	18,000
LAKE WORTH INLET SAND TRANSFER PLANT, FL		145
LEE COUNTY (SHORE PROTECTION, ALL ELEMENTS), FL		750
MANATEE HARBOR, FL		1,000
MARTIN COUNTY, FL		1,250 750
MASSAU COUNTY SHORE PROTECTION, FL		375
PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL		600
PANAMA CITY BEACH RENOURISHMENT, FL		50
PINELLAS COUNTY BEACH RESTORATION, FL		10,000
PONCE DE LEON INLET, SOUTH JETTY, FL		250
PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FLSARASOTA COUNTY, CITY OF VENICE, FL		400 500
ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FL	• • • •	250
TAMPA HARBOR, ALAFIA RIVER, FL		10,000
TAMPA HARBOR, BIG BEND, FL	500	7,500
TAMPA HARBOR, SUTTON CHANNEL, FL		500
GEORGIA		
BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA	9,267 7,345	9,634 7,345

889

		CONFERENCE
RICHARD B RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE GA & SC.	4.600	4,600 4,000
THURMOND LAKE POWERHOUSE GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB)	4.000	4 000
HARTWELL LAKE POWERHOUSE, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB) RICHARD B RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC THURMOND LAKE POWERHOUSE, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB) TYBEE ISLAND SHORE PROTECTION (LRR), GA		102
IIAWAH		
HAWAII WATER MANAGEMENT, HI. (AO STREAM FLOOD CONTROL, MAUI, HI (DEF CORR)		500
(AO STREAM FLOOD CONTROL, MAUI, HI (DEF CORR)	500	500 500
(AUMALAPAU HARBOR, LANAI, HI		3,000
AUMALAPAU HARBOR, LANAI, HI. IKIAOLA SMALL BOAT HARBOR, KAUAI, HI	2,500	500 500 3,000 2,500 100
IDAHO		
RURAL IDAHO ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM, ID		3.750
ILLIN0IS		
HAIN OF ROCKS CANAL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL (DEF CORR) HICAGO SANITARY SHIP CHANNEL, ILHICAGO SHORELINE, IL	3,900	3,900
CHICAGO SANIFARY SHIP CHANNEL, IL		2,000 21,500
HICAGO SHORELINE, IL	17,300	21,500
ES PLAINES RIVER, IL (PHASE I)		325 300
AST ST. LOUIS, IL		520
AST ST. LOUIS AND VICINITY, IL		50
REAT LAKES FISHERY AND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, IL, IN,		650
LLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTOR, IL		200
OCK AND DAM 24, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL & MO (MAJOR REH	8.800	8,800
ADISON AND ST. CLAIR COUNTIES ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRU		1,625
CCOOK AND THORNTON RESERVOIRS, IL	25,300	29,150
ELVIN PRICE LOCK AND DAM, IL & MO	960	960
IMPOUND LEVEE, IL	75 000	100 69,000
PPER MISS RVR SYSTEM ENV MGHT PROGRAM II IA MN. MO	28.000	17,500
UTWOOD LEVEE, IL  LMSTED LOCKS AND DAM. DHIO RIVER, IL 8 KY.  PPER MISS RVR SYSTEM ENV MGMT PROGRAM, IL, IA, MN. MO  OOD RIVER DRAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT, IL.		300
INDIANA		
ALUMET REGION ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, IN		3,000
RAND CALUMET RIVER REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN, IN NDIANA HARBOR (CONFINED DISPOSAL FACILITY), IN	5.000	
NDIANA SHORELINE, IN	5.000	500
NDIANAPOLIS, ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING (C		1,000
NDIANAPOLIS, WHITE RIVER (NORTH), IN	819	819
OHN T MYERS LOCK AND DAM, IN & KY		1,000
ITTLE CALUMET RIVER BASIN (CADY MARSH DITCH), IN		5,000
ITTLE CALUMET RIVER, IN.	5,000 8,477	5.500
ISSISSINEWA LAKE, IN (MAJOR REHAB)HID RIVER GREENWAY PUBLIC ACCESS, IN	8,477 1,600	8,477 1,800
AWO I		
ES MOINES RECREATIONAL RIVER AND GREENBELT, IA		3,500
OCK AND DAM II, MS RIVER, IA		1,500
OCK AND DAM 19, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IA (MAJOR REHAB)	4,800	4,800
ISSOURI R FISH AND WILDLIFE RECOVERY, IA,KS,MO.HT.NE. ISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, IA, NE, KS & HO	69,000	19,000
ERRY CREEK, IA	1,250	1,250 1,000
KANSAS		
· · · · · · ·	1,000	1,000
KANSAS  IRKANSAS CITY, KS  URKEY CREEK BASIN, KS  UTTLE CREEK LAKE, KS (DAM SAFETY)		1,000 250 17,500

		CONFERENCE
KENTUCKY		
DEWEY LAKE, KY. KENTUCKY LOCK AND DAM. TENNESSEE RIVER, KY. LOUISVILLE WATERFRONT, KY. MCALPINE LOCKS AND DAM. OHIO RIVER, KY & IN. METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE. BEARGRASS CREEK, KY. METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, POND CREEK, KY. SOUTHERN AND EASTERN KENTUCKY, KY.	25,000  58 000 3,275 2,543	150 32,500 100 68,500 3,275 2,543 1,750
LOUISIANA		
ASCENSION PARISH ENVIRONHENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, LA COMITE RIVER, LA EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LA. EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LA. EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE GRAND ISLE AND VICINITY, LA. IBERIA PARISH ENVRIO INFRA, LA. INNER HARBOR NAVIGATION CANAL LOCK, LA. J BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LA. LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN AND VICINITY, LA (HURRICANE PROTECT LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW, LA (HURRICANE PROTECTION) LIVINGSTON PARISH ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, LA. HISSISSIPPI RIVER GULF OUTLET, LA. MISSISSIPPI RIVER SHIP CHANNEL, LA. NEW ORLEANS TO VENICE, LA (HURRICANE PROTECTION). OUACHITA RIVER LEVEES, LA. SOUTHEAST LOUISIANA, LA. WEST BANK AND VICINITY, NEW ORLEANS, LA.	1,500  10,000 4,000 3,937 583  2,965 30,000 37,000	13.000 5,719 583 550 400 98 2,965 900
MARYLAND	37,000	30,000
ASIAN OYSTER INTRODUCTION ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEME ASSATEAGUE ISLAND, MD. ATLANTIC COAST OF MARYLAND, MD. BALTIMORE METROPOLITAN WATER RESOURCES, GWYNNS FALLS, CHESAPEAKE BAY ENV. RESTORATION & PROTECTION PROGRAM, CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY, MD & VA. CUMBERLAND, MD. JENNINGS RANDOLPH LAKE, MD & WV (DAM SAFETY).	1,000  640 15,130	200 750 500 500 1,500 3,000 2,750 640 15,130
MASSACHUSETTS		
MUDDY RIVER, BOSTON & BROOKLINE, MA	• • •	500
GENNESSEE COUNTY ENVIRO INFRA, HI. GEORGE W. KUHN DRAIN RETENTION FACILITY, OAKLAND COUNT NEGAUNEE, HI (ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE). SAULT STE. MARIE REPLACEMENT LOCK, MI. TWELVE TOWNS DRAIN, MI.	•••	13 75 200 2,600 75
MINNESOTA		
BRECKENRIDGE MN. CROOKSTON, MN. LOWER ST. ANTHONY FALLS, MN. MILLE LACS REGIONAL SEWAGE TREATHENT PLANT, MN. NORTHEASTERN MINNESOTA, MN. UPPER MISSISSIPPI PLACE, MN.		250 600 50 525 750 125
MISSISSIPPI		
DESOTO COUNTY WASTEWATER, MS		2,500

891

		CONFERENCE
GULFPORT HARBOR, MS MISSISSIPPI ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM, MS PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS	1,981	400 3,750 2,491
MISSOURI		
BLUE RIVER BASIN, KANSAS CITY, MO. BLUE RIVER CHANNEL, KANSAS CITY, MO. BOIS BRULE LEVEE AND DRAINAGE DISTRICT, MO. CAPE GIRARDEAU (FLOODWALL), MO. CHESTERFIELD, MO. MERAHEC RIVER BASIN. VALLEY PARK LEVEE, MO. MISS RIVER BYWN THE OHIO AND MO RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO MO AND MID-MS RIVERS ENHANCE, MO. ST GENEVIEWE, MO. ST. LOUIS, MC (COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOWS PROJECT). TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO. & AR (DAM SAFETY).	500 1,525  2,060 2,000  3,896	1,500 750 300
MONTANA		
FORT PECT CABIN SALES. MT. FORT PECK FISH HATCHERY, MT. RURAL MONTANA.		250 7,270 2,000
NEBRASKA		
ANTELOPE CREEK, NE. MISSOURI NATIONAL RECREATIONAL RIVER, NE & SD SAND CREEK WATERSHED. SAUNDERS COUNTY, NE WESTERN SARPY AND CLEAR CREEK, NE	1,000	500 648 1,000 1,000
NEVADA		
RURAL NEVADA, NV	8,475	20,000 24,000
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
LEBANON. NH (CSOS). NASHUA, NH (CSOS). SEABROOK HARBOR, NH. OTTER BROOK DAM, NH (DAM SAFETY).	3,000	500 300 250 3,000
NEW JERSEY		
BARNEGAT TO LITTLE EGG HARBOR INLET. NJ. BRIGANTINE INLET TO GREAT EGG INLET (ABSECON ISLAND). BRIGANTINE INLET TO GREAT EGG INLET, BRIGANTINE ISLAND CAPE MAY INLET TO LOWER TOWNSHIP, NJ  DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, DE & NJ. REEDS BEACH TO PIERCE DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, VILLAS AND VICINITY, NJ  GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET TO PECK BEACH, NJ  HACKENSACK-MEADOWLANDS, NJ  JOSEPH G. MINISH PASSAIC RIVER WATERFRONT PARK & HISTO LOWER CAPE MAY MEADOWS, CAPE MAY POINT, NJ  PASSAIC RIVER FLOOD MGMT, NJ.  PASSAIC RIVER FLOOD MGMT, NJ.  PASSAIC RIVER PRESERVATION OF NATURAL STORAGE AREAS, N RAMAPO AND MAHWAH RIVERS, NJ.  RAMAPO RIVER AT OAKLAND, NJ  RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, NJ  RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, PORT MONHOUTH, NJ  RARITAN RIVER BASIN, GREEN BROOK SUB-BASIN, NJ	2,000  2,000  5,164  3,000  3,500	250 3.175 225 3.250 175 250 9,100
SANDY HOOK TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	12,600	500 12,600

# CONSTRUCTION GENERAL (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

		CONFERENCE
NEW MEXICO		
ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEM, NM. ALAMOGORDO, NM. CENTRAL NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRA MIDDLE RIO GRANDE FLOOD, BERNALILLO TO BELEN NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM, NM RIO GRANDE FLOODWAY, SAN ACACIA TO BOSQUE DEL APACHE,	1,200	1,450 5,250 5,000 300 1,500 600
NEW YORK		
ATLANTIC COAST OF LONG ISLAND, LONG BEACH ISLAND, NEW ATLANTIC COAST OF NEW YORK CITY, EAST ROCKAWAY INLET T ATLANTIC COAST OF NEW YORK CITY, ROCKAWAY INLET TO NOR EAST ROCKAWAY INLET TO ROCKAWAY AND JAMAICA. NY	6,600 103,000	150 250 250 50 8,000 95,000 2,000 500 2,500 125
NORTH CAROLINA		
BRUNSWICK COUNTY BEACHES, NC.  DARE COUNTY BEACHES, NC (BODIE ISLAND).  STANLY COUNTY WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE, NC.  WEST ONSLOW BEACH, NC.  WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC.	25,000	200 625 500 175 22,000
NORTH DAKOTA		
BUFORD - TRENTON IRRIGATION DISTRICT LAND ACQUISITION, GARRISON DAM AND POWER PLANT, ND (MAJOR REHAB) GRAFTON, PARK RIVER, ND GRAND FORKS, ND - EAST GRAND FORKS, MN MISSOURI RIVER RESTORATION, ND	200 9,740  31,190	230
OHIO		
HOLES CREEK WEST CARROLLTON, OH. HILL CREEK, OH. OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, OH. LOWER GIRARD LAKE DAM, OH. HETROPOLITAN REGION OF CINCINNATI, DUCK CREEK, OH. OTTOWA RIVER HARBOR, OH. WEST COLUMBUS, OH.	760	50 50 22,000 500 760 25 50
OKLAHOMA		
CANTON LAKE (DAM SAFETY). OK	4,400	150 600 1,500 4,400
OREGON		
BONNEVILLE POWERHOUSE PHASE II, OR & WA (MAJOR REHAB). COLUMBIA RIVER CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS, OR & WA COLUMBIA RIVER TREATY FISHING ACCESS SITES, OR & WA ELK CREEK LAKE, OR LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OR & WA WILLAMETTE RIVER TEMPERATURE CONTROL, OR	4,900 4,200 300 2,000 6,200	4,900 9,000 4,550 300 2,000 6,200

PENNSYLVANIA

893

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
CONEMAUGH RIVER, PA. LACKAWANNA RIVER, OLYPHANT, PA. LOCKS AND DAMS 2, 3 AND 4, MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA. NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM, PA. PRESQUE ISLE, PA. SCHUYKILL RIVER PARK, PA. SOUTH CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTUR SOUTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA WATERWAYS INFRASTUCTURE IMPR 3 RIVERS WET WEATHER DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM, ALLEGHENY. WYOMING VALLEY, PA (LEVEE RAISING)	2,600 31,000 	100 2,600 35,500 1,600 310 1,044 9,000 300 625 7,300
PUERTO RICO		
ARECIBO RIVER, PR PORTUGUES AND BUCANA RIVERS, PR RIO GUANAJIBO, PR RIO PUERTO NUEVO, PR	1,200 15,786 2,396 17,000	1,200 13,000 14,500
SOUTH CAROLINA		
CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC (DEEPENING & WIDENING)	1,500  3,800	1,500 350 3,800 3,000
SOUTH DAKOTA		
BIG SICUX RIVER, SIOUX FALLS, SDCHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE, LOWER BRULE SIOUX, SDMISSOURI RIVER RESTORATION, SDPIERRE, SD.	6,000 1,000  4,038	6.000 5.750 500 4,038
TENNESSEE		
CHICKAMAUGA LOCK, TN		17,000 2,000
TEXAS		
BRAYS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX. BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, TX. CLEAR CREEK, TX. OALLAS FLOODWAY EXTENSION, TX. EL PASO, TX.	10,000 9,500	10,000 1,200 12,500 1,232
HOUSTON - GALVESTON NAVIGATION CHANNELS, TX	2,200	22,000 625 2,200 50 3,250
RED RIVER CHLORIDE CONTROL PROJECT, WICHITA RIVER BASI SALT CREEK, GRAHAM, TX SAN ANTONIO CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS, TX. SINS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX. WHITNEY LAKE POWERHOUSE, TX (MAJOR REHAB)	16,000	1,500 250 1,625 16,000 1,750
НАТИ		
RURAL UTAH, UT		1,000
VERMONT		
LAKE CHAMPLAIN WATERSHED, VT & NY	* * -	500 25

		CONFERENCE
VIRGINIA		
AIWW GREAT BRIDGE, VA		850
CLINCH RIVER WATER PROJECT. VA		250 1,250
EMBREY DAM, RAPPAHANNOCK RIVER, VA		50
JAMES RIVER, VA.  JOHN H KERR DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA & NC (MAJOR REHAB).  LAKE MERRIWEATHER, LITTLE CALFPASTURE RIVER (GOSHEN DA		650
LYNCHBURG, VA. NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS, VA (DEEPENING)	1,000	25 3,000 50
OCCOQUAN RIVER, VA		125
RICHMOND COMBINED SEWER, VA  ROANOKE RIVER UPPER BASIN, VA  VIRGINIA BEACH, VA	• • • •	5,000 500
WASHINGTON		
CHIEF JOSEPH DAM GAS ABATEMENT, WA	7.000	7,000
COLUMBIA RIVER FISH MITIGATION, WA, OR & ID	7.000 98,000	85,000
DUWAMISH AND GREEN RIVER BASIN, WA	8,000	1,250 8,500
HOWARD HANSON DAM ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, WA	2.000	2 000
MT ST HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL, WA.  MUD MOUNTAIN DAM, WA (DAM SAFETY).  MUCH SQUIND AND AD JACPENT WATERS PESTORATION, WA.	200 8 000	350 8 000
		500
SHOALWATER BAY SHORELINE EROSION, WA		650
THE DALLES, WA	•••	125
WISCONSIN		
NORTHERN WISCONSIN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	•••	10,000
WEST VIRGINIA		
BLUESTONE LAKE, WV (DAM SAFETY)CENTRAL WEST VIRGINIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, WV	11,400	18,000
COCENDATED DIVED BACTN UV		1,500 3,000
LEVISA AND TUG FORKS AND UPPER CUMBERLAND RIVER, WV. V LOWER MUD RIVER, WV.	6,000	32,750
LOWER MUD RIVER, WV	50.000	125
		75,000 900
SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE		500
ROBERT C BYRD LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, WV & OH.  SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE .  WEST VIRGINIA AND PENNSYLVANIA FŁODO CONTROL PROGRAM  WINFIELD LOCKS AND DAM, KANAWHA RIVER, WV		500
	3,000	3,000
MISCELLANEOUS		1 000
ABANDON MINE RESTORATION	10,000	1,000 25,000
AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL PROGRAM	2,500	4,500
BENEFICIAL USES OF DREDGED MATERIAL (SEC 204,207,933). DAM SAFETY AND SEEPAGE/STABILITY CORRECTION PROGRAM	2,000 9,000	6,000 10,500
DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL FACILITIES PROGRAM	8,834	8,834
EMERGENCY STREAMBANK & SHORELINE PROTECTION (SEC 14)	7,000	12.000
EMPLOYEES' COMPENSATION	20,000	20,000 1,000
ESTUARY RESTORATION PROGRAM	14,000	27,000
INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - BOARD EXPENSE	45	45
INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - CORPS EXPENSE NAVIGATION MITIGATION PROJECT (SECTION 111)	185 500	185 1,000
NAVIGATION PROJECTS (SECTION 107)	3.000	9,000
PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE ENVIRONME SHORELINE EROSION CONTROL DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATIO SHORELINE PROTECTION PROJECTS (SECTION 103) SNAGGING AND CLEARING PROJECT (SECTION 208)	13,500	25.000
SHORELINE EROSION CONTROL DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATIO	5,000	7,000 3,000
SNAGGING AND CLEARING PROJECT (SECTION 208)	5,000 2,500 400	450
TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP		4,000

		CONFERENCE
*************************************		
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	-127,649	-202,141
=		######################################
TOTAL. CONSTRUCTION GENERAL	1,421,500	1,796,089

	CONFERENCE
SMALL BEACH EROSION CONTROL PROJECTS	
(SECTION 103)	
POINT HOPE, AK	100
UNALAKLEET, AK	125
NANTASKET BEACH, HULL, MA	150
ST. MARY'S STABILIZATION PROGRAM, MD	500
BARNEGAT LIGHTHOUSE, NJ	125
CRESCENT BEACH, STATEN ISLAND, NY	250
CLEVELAND LAKEFRONT, OH	100
CHESAPEAKE BAY SHORELINE, HAMPTON, VA	300
SMALL NAVIGATION PROJECTS (SECTION 107)	
DOUGLAS, AK	75
SAVOONGA. AK	75
COLD BAY, AK	50
POINT MALLARD PARK, DECATUR, AL	200
BLYTHEVILLE HARBOR, AR	600
OYSTER POINT HARBOR BREAKWATER, CA	125
SAN DIEGO HARBOR DEEPENING, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CA	750
WHITING, IN	300
BASS HARBOR, TREMONT, ME	80
BUCKS HARBOR, MACHIASPORT, ME	38
NORTHWESTERN MICHIGAN COLLEGE MARITIME ACADEMY HARBOR,	50
TRAVERSE CITY, MI	.50 55
DULUTH (MCQUADE ROAD) HARBOR, MN	100
TWO HARBORS, MN	100
YAZOO DIVERSION CANAL, MS.	150
BUFFALO INNER HARBOR, SOUTH BASIN NAVIGATION IMPROVEME	150
CLEVELAND LAKEFRONT STATE PARK, OH	50
CHARLESTOWN BREACHWAY AND NINIGRET POND, RI	45
LAKESHORE STATE PARK, MILWAUKE, WI	150
MITIGATION OF SHORE DAMAGE DUE TO FEDERAL	
NAVIGATION PROJECTS (SECTION 111)	
SACO RIVER AND EILLIS BEACH, SACO, ME	100
MATTITUCK INLET, NY	100

	CONFERENCE
PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT (SECTION 1135)	
PAVOLI MACONI AD	40
BAYOU MACON, AR	40 75
SULPHER RIVER, AR	
TUJUNGA WASH ECOSYSTEM, REST. CA	75 100
CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DISPERSAL BARRIER, IL.	
	125
BAYOU MACON, LA	190
FRAZIER/WHITEHORSE OXBOW, LA	100
LOWER ROUGE RIVER, DEARBORN, MI	75
ROUGE RIVER OXBOW RESTORATION, DEARBORN, MI	80
MCCARRON RANCH, NV	150
LINCOLN PARK WEST, JERSEY CITY, NJ	45
KANSAS CITY RIVERFRONT, KANSAS CITY, JACKSON COUNTY,	
MO	75
ALBUQUERQUE BIOPARK, NM	75
LAS CRUCES DAM, ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DOPNA ANA	
COUNTY, NM	80
PECOS RIVER, CHAVE3 COUNTY, NM	80
ROUTE 66, ABUQUERQUE, NM	75
SANTA ANA RIPARIAN, NM	100
UPPER TIOGA RIVER WATERSHED, PA	80
	90
HORSESHOE LAKE, AR	20
GREENVILLE MARSH, LUCAS COUNTY, IA	334
SPUNKY BOTTOMS ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, BROWN COUNTY, IL	150
SAND CREEK, KS	350
DUCK CREEK, STODDARD COUNTY, MO	50
KANSAS CITY RIVERFRONT, KANSAS CITY, MO	122
DELAWARE BAY OYSTER RESTORATION, NJ	300
PINE MOUNT CREEK, NJ	350
ONTARIO BEACH, ROCHESTER, NY	80
BIG LAKE AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OK	135
WALLA WALLA RIVER, OR & WA	200
ALLIN'S COVE, BARRINGTON, RI	279
LOWER OBION RIVER AND VICINITY, TN	130
STREAMBANK AND SHORELINE PROTECTION	
FOR PUBLIC FACILITIES (SECTION 14)	
DEERING, AK	70
KWETHLUK, AK	50
SEWARD, AK	70
SHISHMAREF, AK	50
BEAVER CREEK, ACKLEY IA	40
IOWA RIVER, SAC & FOX SETTLEMENT, TAMA COUNTY, IA	50
INDIANA UNIVERSITY AT SOUTH BEND, IN	400
SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LA	75

	CONFERENCE
EUBANKS CREEK, JACKSON, MS.  ELIZABETH RIVER VALLEYVIEW ROAD, HILLSIDE, NJ.  I-40 GALLUP, NM.  SOUTH BRANCH RAHWAY RIVER, WOODBRIDGE, NY.  ST. JOHNS LANDFILL DIKE STABILIZATION, OR.  KENOSHA HARBOR RETAINING WALL, KENOSHA, WI.  WINDSOR POND RESERVOIR, DALTON, MA.  HOLMES BAY, WHITING, ME.  DETROIT RIVER SHORELINE, MI.  COUNTY ROAD 228 BRIDGE, HUBBLE CREEK, MO.  MT. PLEASANT AVENUE, HANOVER TOWNSHIP, NJ.  NORTHPORT, HUNTINGTON, NY.  OAKLAND, TN.  BOGGY CREEK, AUSTIN, TX.	40 60 50 50 60 50 100 505 215 152 200 350 65 100
SMALL FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS (SECTION 205)  FORT YUKON, AK. SKAGWAY, AK. SALCHA, AK. GRUBBS, AR. COSGROVE CREEK, CA. SANTA VENETIA FLOOD CONTROL, CA. CALAVERAS COUNTY WATERSHED, CA. SALMON RIVER, CT. ELSMORE, DE. EAST BOYER RIVER, DENISON, IA. MAD CREEK, MUSCATINE, IA. WOLF CREEK, IA. BRAITHWAITE PARK, LA. LOCKPORT TO LAROSE, LA. RED CHUTE BAYOU, LEVEE, LA. PATTERSON BAYOU, BLUE CANE, MS. MONTEVIDEO, MN.	200 225 225 125 500 150 250 125 100 150 75 75 200 150 150 150
LIVINGSTON, MT.  SPANISH SPRINGS, WASHOE COUNTY, NV. HATCH, NM. HOBBS, NM. LITTLE PUERCO WASH, GALLUP, NM. VADO, DEL CERRO, BERINO, MEQUITE, DONA ANA COUNTY, NM. EAST TULSA COUNTY, HALKEY CREEK WATERSHED, OK. JAMESTOWN ISLAND SEAWALL, VA. FREEMONT SOUTH LEVEE, NE. FREEMONT NORTHWEST, NE. FARGO RIDGEWOOD, ND. WYNNE, AR. TEHAMA FLOOD REDUCTION PROJECT, CA. YUCCA VALLEY, WEST BURNT MOUNTAIN BASIN, CA. CITY OF ALBANY, GA.	125 275 150 100 100 100 80 150 185 67 470 50 500 300 250

	CONFERENCE
INDIAN AND DRY RUN CREEKS (AND CEDAR RIVER, CEDAR RAPI	205
DEER CREEK RESERVOIR, FORD HEIGHTS, IL	500
EAST PEORIA, IL	400
KANKAKEE RIVER, LAKE & NEWTON COUNTIES, IN	100
COWSKIN CREEK, WICHITA, KS	150
HINKSTON CREEK FLOOD CONTROL, KY	120
DETROIT BEACH, FRENCHTOWN TOWNSHIP, MI	1,365 90
FESTUS AND CRYSTAL CITY, MO	432
JAMES RIVER, NEEDMORE BRANCH, HIDDEN VALLEY, GREENE CO	225
LILBOURN, MO	76
GREENS MILL RUN. GREENVILLE. NC	60
WAHPETON, ND	320
JACKSON BROOK, NJ	300
POPLAR BROOK, MONMOUTH COUNTY, NJ	200
UPPER PASSAIC RIVER, LONG HILL TOWNSHIP, NJ	250
CHENANGO LAKE, NY	125
FULMER CREEK, NY	321
MOYER CREEK, NY	312
SOUTH SHORE OF STATEN ISLAND, NY	204
BUCKEYE LAKE, OH	250
LITTLE MILL CREEK, SOUTHHAMPTON, PA	125
MILL CREEK, SOUTHHAMPTON, PA	90
FRENCH BROAD WATERSHED, TN	500
TOWN CREEK, LENOIR CITY, TN	200
LITTLE LIMESTONE CREEK, JONESBOROUGH, TN	300
BEAVER CREEK, BRISTOL, VA & TN	500 350
AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECTS (SECTION 206)	
BLACK LAKE, AK	125
CHESTER CREEK, AK	175
EKLUTNA, AK	75
NORTHWAY, AK	125
BROWNSVILLE BRANCH, AR	60
NORTH FORK GUNNISON RIVER RESTORATION, CO	100
DUCK CREEK, DAVENPORT, IA	50
INDIAN CREEK ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION CALDWELL, ID	175
EMIQUON FLOODPLAIN RESTORATION, IL	125
QUINCY BAY, IL	135
UNIVERSITY LAKES, EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LA	125
GREENBURY POINT, MD	125
DETROIT RIVER, TRENTON, MI	125
MINNEHAHA CREEK - PAINTERS CREEK SUBWATERSHED, MN CONFLUENCE POINT STATE PARK, MO	50 50
WATKINS CREEK, ST LOUIS COUNTY, MO	50
BOTTOMLESS LAKES, NM	125

	CONFERENCE
JEMEZ RIPARIAN, NM	100
·	
LAS CRUCES, NMSTREAMBANK RESTORATION, CONCORD, NC	100
GRAND NEOSHO ECOSYSTEM, OK	100 80
ARROWHEAD CREEK, OR	125
LITTLE PARK RUN, PA	100
NARROWS RIVER, NARRAGANSETT, RI	150
HUNTING ISLAND. SC	150
CARPENTER CREEK ESTUARY, WA	150
SEAHURST PARK SEAWALL REMOVAL AND RESTORATION, WA	150
LAKE BELLE VIEW, WI	360
LITTLE MAQUOKETA RIVER, DUBUQUE COUNTY, IA	50
ENGLISH CREEK AQUATIC RESTORATION, CA	100
ST. HELENA NAPA RIVER RESTORATION, CA	600
SWEETWATER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA	180
THOMPSON CREEK, CA	300
UPPER YORK CREEK DAM REMOVAL AND RESTORATION, CA	400
MILL RIVER, STAMFORD, CT	250
C-1 REDIVERSION, BREVARD CO, FL	300
DAVIS LAKE RESTORATION PROJECT, FL	200
	245
LAKE HELL'N BLAZES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL  LAKE SAWGRASS ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL	245
STEVENSON CREEK, FL	300
TSALA APOPKA LITTORAL SHELF RESTORATION PROJECT, FL	200
BIG PAINT CREEK RESTORATION, WAUKON, IA	100
CLEAR CREEK AND IOWA RIVERAQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATIO	200
CLEAR LAKE, IA (VENTURA MARSH)	175
CHARITON RIVER / RATHBUN LAKE WATERSHED, IA	250
STORM LAKE WATER QUALITY PROJECT, IA	10
KANKAKEE, IL	150
LAKE MAUVAISTERRE, JACKSONVILLE, IL	50
ORLAND TRACT, IL	210
SQUAW CREEK BASIN, IL	220
CHAPMAN LAKES, KOSCIUSKO CO, IN	100
EFROYMSON, NEWTON COUNTY, IN	100
LONG LAKE. IN	200
WOLF LAKE, IN	1,000
MILFORD POND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, MILFORD, MA	182
NASHAWANNUCK POND. EASTHAMPTON. MA	183
NEPONSET RIVER, BOSTON, MA	63
PAINT BRANCH FISH PASSAGE AND STREAM RESTORATION, MD	200
WESTERN CARY STREAM RESTORATION, CARY, NC	193
ASSUNPINK CREEK, TRENTON, NJ	100
CHENANGO LAKE, NY	125
SOUNDVIEW PARK, BRONX, NY	400
EUGENE DELTA PONDS ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OR	250
FERN RIDGE LAKE, OR	200
KELLOGG CREEK, OR	200
CORDORUS CREEK WATERSHED, PA	722
Commence of the Commence of th	

	CONFERENCE
NINIGRET AND CROSS MILLS PONDS ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION,.	200
PISTOL CREEK, MARYSVILLE, TN	400
LAKE ANNA, VA	200
POWELL RIVER, ELY & PUCKETTS CREEK, VA	250
PORT OF SUNNYSIDE YAKIMA RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION,.	233
LAKE KOSHKONONG. WI	160

# FLOOD CONTROL - MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS		
ALEXANDRIA TO THE GULF, LA	100	1,224
DONALDSONVILLE TO THE GULF. LA. SPRING BAYOU, LA. COLDWATER RIVER BASIN BELOW ARKABUTLA LAKE. MS FLETCHER CREEK, TN	500 203 93	825 500 352 93
GERMANTOWN. TN. HILLINGTON AND VICINITY. TN. HORGANZA TO THE GULF. LA. TENSAS RIVER BASIN. LA. SOUTHEASTERN ARKANSAS, AR. COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA.	27 100 1.500	2,750 100 50
SUBTOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	4,458	7,156
CONSTRUCTION		
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL. KY, LA. MS, MO & YN FRANCIS BLAND FLOODWAY DITCH (EIGHT MILE CREEK), AR	1.357	2,325
GRAND PRAIRIE, AR. HISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES. AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. ST FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO	38,960 3,000 7,200	5.305 8,600
HORN LAKE CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, MS & TN	1,800 (5,850)	102 2,400
DELTA HEADWATERS. MS MAINSTEH. MS REFORMATION. MS. UPPER YAZOO PROJECTS. MS.	•••	18,750 13 225
ST JOHNS BAYOU AND NEW MADRID FLOODWAY, MO	8.300 2.153	8,300 3,153 100 750
YAZOO BACKWATER PUMPS, MS		12,000
SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION	127,997	175,617
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT. AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN HELENA HARBOR PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR. LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, NORTH BANK, AR. LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, SOUTH BANK, AR. HISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. ST FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO. TENSAS BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA WHITE RIVER BACKWATER, AR. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY. ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA	385 318 146 122 7,665 6,080 2,160 1,316 174 61 2,775	69.275 385 318 146 122 9.500 7.250 2.160 1.316 174 61 3.275
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA. BATON ROUGE HARBOR, DEVIL SWAMP, LA. BAYOU COCODRIE AND TRIBUTARIES, LA. BONNET CARRE, LA. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA. LOWER RED RIVER, SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA. MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA. OLD RIVER, LA.	14 65 2,310 585 105 588	57 65

903

# FLOOD CONTROL . MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
TENSAS BASIN. RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA		3.342
GREENVILLE HARBOR, MS		52
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS		168
VICKSBURG HARBOR, MS		182
YAZOO BASIN:	(27,492)	(31,922)
ARKABUTLA LAKE. MS		6.355
BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS		1,070
ENID LAKE, MS		5.477
GREENWOOD, MS	•	668
GRENADA LAKE MS.		6.250
MAIN STEM, MS		2.007
SARDIS LAKE. MS		7,523
TRIBUTARIES MS		923
WILL M WHITTINGTON AUXILLIARY CHANNEL, MS		400
YAZOO BACKWATER AREA. MS		520
YAZOO CITY. MS		729
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO		116
WAPPAPELLO LAKE, MO		5.000
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN		78
MEMPHIS HARBOR, MCKELLAR LAKE, TN	1,205	1,205
MAPPING		1,112
SUBTOTAL, MAINTENANCE	151,855	161,219
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	-14,310	-19,492
	=========	
TOTAL, FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND		
TRIBUTARIES	270,000	324,500
	22222##222##	=======================================

904

		CONFERENCE
ALABAHA		
ALABAMA - COOSA COMPREHENSIVE WATER STUDY, AL	500	500
ALABAMA - COOSA RIVER, AL	549	4,000
BAYOU LA BATRE. AL		100
BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, AL	18,377	19,539
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, AL	5,000	5,000
MILLERS FERRY LOCK AND DAM, WILLIAM "BILL" DANNELLY LA	50 4,543	50 2,272
10BILE HARBOR, AL	20,000	21,000
ROBERT F HENRY LOCK AND DAM, AL	4,590	4,464
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AL	100	100
FENNESSEE - TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY WILDLIFE MITIGATION, AL	2,000	2,000
TENNESSEE - TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL & MS	22,354	23.004
VALTER F GEORGE LOCK AND DAM, AL & GA	5.989	5,989
ALASKA		
ANCHORAGE HARBOR, AK	3,154	5,154
THENA RIVER LAKES, AK	1,886	2,661
DILLINGHAN HARBOR, AK	603 445	603
HOMER HARBOR, AK	445	445 43
VINILCHIK HARBOR, AK	278	278
IOME HARBOR, AK.	2,815	3,815
ROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS. AK	554	554
ARIZONA		
ALAMO LAKE, AZ	1,528	1,528
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AZ	90	90
AINTED ROCK DAM. AZ	1.571	1,571
CHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AZ	35	35
HITLOW RANCH DAM, AZ	221	221
ARKANSAS .		
BEAVER LAKE, AR	5,060	5,060
BLAKELY HT DAM, LAKE QUACHITA. AR	7,192	7.192
BLUE MOUNTAIN LAKE, AR	1,189	1,189
BULL SHOALS LAKE, AR	4.401	4,701
DARDANELLE LOCK AND DAM, AR	5,337 6,164	5,337 6,164
EQUEEN LAKE, AR	1,001	1,001
DIERKS LAKE, AR	1,030	1,030
GILLHAM LAKE. AR	931	931
REERS FERRY LAKE, AR	5,016	5,016
HELENA HARBOR, AR		175
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR	191 35,489	191
ILLEWOOD LAKE, AR	1,418	35,489 1,418
MARROWS DAM, LAKE GREESON, AR	5,613	5,613
IMROD LAKE, AR	1,793	1,793
ORFORK LAKE, AR	3,152	3,152
SCEOLA HARBOR, AR	20	305
DUACHITA AND BLACK RIVERS, AR & LA,,	1,974	7,000
ZARK - JETA TAYLOR LOCK AND DAM, ARPROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AR	4,866 6	4,866 6
HITE RIVER, AR		500
CELLOW BEND PORT, AR.	14	75
CALIFORNIA		
BLACK BUTTE LAKE, CA	1,882	1,882
	1,958	1,958
BUCHANAN DAM, HV EASTMAN LAKE, CA	1,000	,,,,,,,

		CONFERENCE
COYOTE VALLEY DAM, LAKE MENDOCINO, CA	4,348	4.348
GRESCENT CITY, CA		500
DRY CREEK (WARM SPRINGS) LAKE AND CHANNEL, CA	4,779	5,500
FARMINGTON DAM, CA	526	526
HIDDEN DAM, HENSLEY LAKE, CA,	1,828	1,328
HUMBOLDT HARBOR AND BAY, CA	2,864 1,271	2.364
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CA	2,080	1,271 2,080
LOS ANGELES - LONG BEACH HARBOR MODEL, CA	175	175
LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CA	5,376	5,376
MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA	292	292
MOJAVE RIVER DAM, CA	328	328
MORRO BAY HARBOR, CA		289
MOSS LANDING HARBOR, CA		250
NEW HOGAN LAKE, CA	2.044	2,044
NEW MELONES LAKE, DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL, CA	1.335 7,098	1,335 7,098
OCEANSIDE HARBOR, CA.	1,110	1,110
PILLAR POINT HARBOR, CA		125
PINE FLAT LAKE, CA	2,941	2,941
PINOLE SHOAL MANAGEMENT, CA		500
PORT HUENEME. VENTURA COUNTY, CA		250
PORT SAN LUIS, SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, CA		500
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, CA	2,173	2,173
REDWOOD CITY HARBOR, CA	~	500
RICHMOND HARBOR, CASACRAMENTO RIVER (30 FOOT PROJECT), CA	7,572 2,745	7,572 2,745
SACRAMENTO RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES (DEBRIS CONTROL), CA.	1,246	1,246
SACRAMENTO RIVER SHALLOW DRAFT CHANNEL, CA	145	145
SAN FRANCISCO BAY LONG TERM MANAGEMENT STRATEGY (LTMS)		1,000
SAN FRANCISCO BAY, DELTA MODEL STRUCTURE, CA	1,277	1,189
SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR AND BAY, CA (DRIFT REMOVAL)	2,674	2,987
SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR, CA	2,255	2,255
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER, PORT OF STOCKTON, CA		3,000
SAN PABLO BAY & MARE ISLAND STRAIT, CA	4.023	1,000 4,023
SANTA ANA RIVER BASIN, CASANTA BARBARA HARBOR, CA	4,023	1,045
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CA	1.285	1,285
SUCCESS LAKE, CA	2,007	2,007
SUISUN BAY CHANNEL, CA	4,559	4,559
TERMINUS DAM, LAKE KAWEAH, CA	2,268	2.268
VENTURA HARBOR CA	2.910	2,910
YUBA RIVER CA	126	126
COLORADO		
BEAR CREEK LAKE, CO	292	292
CHATFIELD LAKE, CO.	1,109	1,609
CHERRY CREEK LAKE, CO	911	1,910
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CO	102	102
JOHN MARTIN RESERVOIR, CO	2,573	2,573
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CO	308	308
TRINIDAD LAKE, CO	1,110	1,160
COMMONWEALTH OF NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS		
ROTA HARBOR, CNMI	200	200
CONNECTICUT		
BLACK ROCK LAKE, CT	414	414
COLEBROOK RIVER LAKE, CT	541	541
CONNECTICUT RIVER BELOW HARTFORD, CT		750
HANCOCK BROOK LAKE, CT	288	288
HOP BROOK LAKE, CT	985	985
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CT	36	36

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
MANSFIELD HOLLOW LAKE, CT. NORTHFIELD BPOOK LAKE, CT. NORWALK HARBOR, CT. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS. CT. SOUTHPORT HARBOR. CT. STAMFORD HURRICANE BARRIER, CT. THUMASTON JAM, CT. TREATMENT OF DREDGED MATERIAL, LONG ISLAND SOUND, CT. WEST THOMPSGN LAKE, CT.	585 416  1,486  458 616 1,500 575	585 416 750 1,486 500 456 616 1,500
DELAWARE		
INDIAN RIVER I'LET AND BAY, DE	500 13.800 80 3,570	500 14,400 80 3,570
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
POTONAC AND ANACOSTIA RIVERS, DC (DRIFT REMOVAL) PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, DC	1,122 36	1,122 36
FLORIDA		
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, FL CANAVERAL HARSOR, FL CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL ESCAMBIA AND CONECUH RIVERS, FL FERNANDINA HARBOR, FL GULF INTRACCASTAL WATERWAY, FL INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, FL INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, JACKSONVILLE TO MIAMI, FL JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM, LAKE SEMINOLE, FL, AL & GA. MIAMI RIVER, FL. OKEECHOBEE WATERWAY, FL PALM BEACH HARBOR, FL PENSACOLA HARBOR, FL PENSACOLA HARBOR, FL PENSACOLA HARBOR, FL PCRT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL PCOTE TOONDITION SURVEYS, FL REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, FL TAMPA HARBOR, FL	7,500 10,559 1,000 1,980  6,945 5,380  3,055 1,985 906 1,500 2,000 975 3,500 4,286	750 7,500 10,559 1,000 300 2,000 6,945 5,940 2,000 3,055 1,985 908 1,500 2,000 975 3,500 4,286
GEORGIA		
ALLATOONA LAKE GA. APALACHICOLA. CHATTAHOOCHEE AND FLINT RIVERS, GA. AL & BRUNSWICK HARBOR. GA. BUFORD DAM AND LAKE SIDNEY LANIER. GA. CARTERS DAM AND LAKE, GA. HARTWELL LAKE, GA. & SC. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, GA. J STROM THURMOND LAKE, GA. & SC. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, GA. RICHARD & RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA. & SC. SAVANNAH HARBOR, GA. SAVANNAH RIVER BELOW AUGUSTA, GA. WEST POINT DAM AND LAKE, GA. & AL.	5,986 117 3,993 9,697 12,955 12,238 41 11,106 71 8,128 11,687 134 5,676	5,986 5,231 3,993 9,697 12,955 12,238 41 11,106 71 8,128 11,687 134 5,678
IJAWAH		
BARBERS POINT HARBOR, HI INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, HI LAUPAHOEHOE SMALL BOAT HARBOR, HAWAII, HI POHIKI BAY, HAWAII, HI	248 180 100 100	248 180 100 100

907

		CONFERENCE
PORT ALLEN HARBOR, KAUAI, HI PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, HI		1,770 550
IDAHO		
ALBENI FALLS DAK, ID.  DWORSHAK DAM AND RESERVOIR, ID.  INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ID.  LUCKY PEAK LAKE, ID.  SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, ID.  SNAKE RIVER DREDGING, ID. WA & OR.	2.412 2.399 74 3.234 406	
ILLINOIS		
CALUMET HARBOR AND RIVER. IL & IN CARLYLE LAKE, IL. CHICAGO HARBOR. IL CHICAGO RIVER. IL ELINOIS WATERWAY (HVR PORTION). IL & IN. ILLINOIS WATERWAY (HVR PORTION) IL & IN. ILLINOIS WATERWAY (HVR PORTION) IL & IN. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL. KASKASKIA RIVER NAVIGATION, IL LAKE MICHIGAN DIVERSION, IL LAKE SHELBYVILLE, IL. HISS RIVER BIWN HO RIVER AND HINNEAPOLIS (HVR PORTION) HISS RIVER BIWN HO RIVER AND HINNEAPOLIS (HVS PORTION) PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IL. REND LAKE, IL. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IL. WAUKEGAN HARBOR, IL.	4,366 2,599 385 192 33,273 1,814 605 392 547 5,309 42,473 17,907 33	4,366 2,599 385 225 33,458 1,982 605 2,000 547 5,309 43,473 18,847 33 4,435
INDIANA		
BROOKVILLE LAKE, IN. BURNS WATERWAY HARBOR, IN. CAGLES MILL LAKE, IN CECIL M HARDEN LAKE, IN INDIANA HARBOR, IN. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IN. J EDWARD ROUSH LAKE, IN, MICHIGAN CITY HARBOR, IN, MISSISSINEWA LAKE, IN, MONROE LAKE, IN, PATOKA LAKE, IN, PATOKA LAKE, IN, PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IN, SALAMONIE LAKE, IN, SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IN.	670 3.764 652 713 371 370 707 316 810 775 687 59 634	670 4,064 652 713 871 370 707 316 810 775 687 59 634
IOWA		
CORALVILLE LAKE. 1A INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IA. MISSOURI RIVER - KENSLERS BEND, NE TO SIOUX CITY, IA. MISSOURI RIVER - SIOUX CITY TO RULD, IA & NE. RATHBUN LAKE, IA. RED ROCK DAM AND LAKE RED ROCK, IA. SAYLORVILLE LAKE, IA.	2,806 191 157 11,015 2,907 3,350 3,860	2,806 191 157 11,015 2,907 3,350 4,030
KANSAS		
CLINTON LAKE, KS. COUNCIL GROVE LAKE, KS. EL DORADO LAKE, KS. ELK CITY LAKE, KS. FALL RIVER LAKE, KS.	2,074 1,259 480 389 1,516	2,074 1,630 480 389 1,516

		CONFERENCE
HILLSDALE LAKE. KS	899	298
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS. KS	172	172
JOHN REDMOND DAM AND RESERVOIR, KS	1.260	1,260
KANOPOLIS LAKE, KS	1,645	1,645
MARION LAKE, KS	1,687	1,687
MELVERN LAKE, KS	2,227	2,227
MILFORD LAKE, KS	2,122	2,122
PEARSON - SKUBITZ BIG HILL ŁAKE, KS	932	932
PERRY LAKE, KS	2,869	2,869
POMONA LAKE, KS	1,984	1,984
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, KS	68	68
TORONTO LAKE, KS	389	389
TUTTLE CREEK LAKE, KS	2,169 2,816	2,169 2,891
KENTUCKY		
BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY & TN	8,982	8,982
BARREN RIVER LAKE, KY	2,054	2,404
BIG SANDY HARBOR, KY	35	618
BUCKHORN LAKE, KY	1,282	1,282
CARR CREEK LAKE, KY	1,270	1,270
CAVE RUN LAKE, KY	812	812
DEWEY LAKE, KY	1,498	1,498
FLVIS STARR (HICKMAN) HARBOR, KY	19	255
FISHTRAP LAKE, KY	1,558	1,558
GRAYSON LAKE, KY	1,249	1,249
GREEN AND BARREN RIVERS, KY	1,180	1,130
GREEN RIVER LAKE, KY	1,596	1.596
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY	97	97
KENTUCKY RIVER, KY	21 1,389	21 1,389
LAUREL RIVER LAKE, KY	686	686
MARTINS FORK LAKE, KY	122	122
NOLIN LAKE, KY	1.892	1,892
OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, KY, IL, IN & OH	32,687	35,000
OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, KY, IL, IN & OH	4,560	4,560
PAINTSVILLE LAKE, KY.	1,026	1,026
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, KY	6	6
ROUGH RIVER LAKE, KY	2,421	2,421
TAYLORSVILLE LAKE, KY	895	895
WOLF CREEK DAM, LAKE CUMBERLAND, KY	8,804	9,804
YATESVILLE LAKE, KY	1.069	1.069
LOUISIANA		
ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF AND BLACK, L	13,813	
BARATARIA BAY WATERWAY, LA		250
BAYOU BODCAU RESERVOIR, LA	776	776
BAYOU PIERRE, LA	28	28
BAYOU SEGNETTE, LA		375
BATOU FECHE, LA	182	75 182
CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LA	13,285	13,285
FRESHWATER BAYOU, LA	1,678	1,678
GRAND ISLE AND VICINITY, LA.	.,0,0	250
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, LA.	17,476	
HOUMA NAVIGATION CANAL, LA	3,070	3,070
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA	747	747
J BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LA	10,600	
LAKE PROVIDENCE HARBOR, LA	38	38
MADISON PARISH PORT, LA	20	20
MERMENTAU RIVER, LA	4,410	4,410
MISSISSIPPI RIVER OUTLETS AT VENICE, LA	424	424
MISSISSIPPI RIVER, BATON ROUGE TO THE GULF OF MEXICO	59,125	59,125
MISSISSIPPI RIVER, GULF OUTLET, LA	13,004	13.004

		CONFERENCE
REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, LA	1,800	1,800
WALLACE LAKE, LA	290	290
EMPIRE TO THE GULF, LA		100
WATERWAY FROM INTRACAOSTAL WATERWAY TO BAYOU DULAC, LA	• • •	100
MAINE		
DISPOSAL AREA MONITORING, ME	1,390	1,390
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ME	11	1.1
KENNEBUNK RIVER, KENNEBUNK AND KENNEBUNKPORT, ME		625
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, ME	646	646 250
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ME	17	17
MARYLAND		
BALTIMORE HARBOR AND CHANNELS (50 FOOT), MD	15,796	15,796
BALTIMORE HARBOR, MD (DRIFT REMOVAL)	510	510
BALTIMORE HARBOR, MD (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSI	700	700
CUMBERLAND, MD & RIDGELY, WV		250
CHESAPEAKE CITY, HD (DREDGING)		125
HERRING BAY & ROCKHOLD CREEK, MD		90
HERRING CREEK & TALL TIMBERS, MD	2,662	125 2.662
NANTYCOKE RIVER, MD.	2,002	2,562
KNAPPS NARROWS, MD		150
OCEAN CITY HARBOR INLET, MD		435
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MD	372	372
QUEENSTOWN HARBOR, MD		43
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MD	95	95
ST JEROME CREEK, MD		43 100
UPPERTHROUGHFARE SOMERSET COUNTY, MD		125
WICOMICO RIVER, MD.	720	720
MASSACHUSETTS		
AUNT LYDIA'S COVE, MA	350	350
BARRE FALLS DAM, MA	680	680
BIRCH HILL DAH, MA	585	585
BOSTON HARBOR, MABUFFUMVILLE LAKE, MA	7,500	7,500
CAPE COD CANAL, MA	301 10,225	601 11.240
CHARLES RIVER NATURAL VALLEY STORAGE AREA, MA	310	310
CONANT BROOK LAKE, MA	211	211
EAST BRIMFIELD LAKE, MA	461	461
GREEN HARBOR, MA	387	387
HODGES VILLAGE DAM, MA	646	646
KNIGHTVILLE DAM, MA	114 559	114 559
LITTLEVILLE LAKE, MA	498	498
NEW BEDFURD FAIRHAVEN AND ACUSHNET HURRICANE BARRIER,.	750	7 <b>5</b> 6
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MA	1,511	1,511
SALEM HARBOR, MA		500
SESUIT HARBOR, MA		65
TULLY LAKE, MA	564 736	564 736
WESTVILLE LAKE, HA.	736 569	736 569
WEYMOUTH-FORE & TOWN RIVERS, MA		500
MICHIGAN		
BAYPORT HARBOR, MI		250
CASEVILLE HARBOR, MI		128
CHANNELS IN LAKE ST CLAIR, MI	97	97
CHARLEVOIX HARBOR MI	159	159

910

		CONFERENCE
CLINTON RIVER, MI		400
DETROIT RIVER, MI	4,357	4.357
GRAND HAVEN HARBOR, MI	637	637
GRAND MARAIS HARBOR, MI	181	181
HARBOR BEACH HARBOR, MI	38	38
HOLLAND HARBOR, MI	1,214	1,214
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MI	144	144
KEWEENAW WATERWAY, MI	399	399
LELAND HARBOR. HI		75
LITTLE LAKE HARBOR, MI	17	17
LUDINGTON HARBOR, MI	538 521	538 521
MANISTEE HARBOR MI	10	10
MARQUETTE HARBOR, MI	154	154
MONROE HARBOR, MI	184	184
MUSKEGON HARBOR MI.	47	47
ONTONAGON HARBOR, MI	569	569
PT. LOOKQUT HARBOR, MI	• • •	60
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MI	152	40
ROUGE RIVER, MI	1,241	1,241
SAGINAN RIVER, MI	2.708	2.854
ST CLAIR RIVER, MI	947	947
ST JOSEPH HARBOR, MI	305	605
ST MARYS RIVER, MI	16,705	16,705
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MI	2,410	2,410
MINNESOTA		
BIGSTONE LAKE WHETSTONE RIVER, MN & SD	228	228
DULUTH - SUPERIOR HARBOR, MN & WI	4,917	4,917
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MN	123	123
LAC QUI PARLE LAKES, MINNESOTA RIVER, MN	565	565
MINNESOTA RIVER. MN	178	178
MISS RIVER BYWN HO RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVP PORTION)	51,030	51,030
ORWELL LAKE, MN	361 72	361 72
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS. MNRED LAKE RESERVOIR. MN	98	98
RESERVOIRS AT HEADWATERS OF MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MN	6.026	6.026
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MN	282	232
WARROAD HARBOR, MN.		150
MISSISSIPPI		
	4 252	
BILOXI HARBOR, MS	1,250 7	1,250
CLAIBORNE COUNTY PORT, MS	170	57 170
EAST FORK, TOMBIGBEE RIVER, MS	2.500	3.500
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS	57	124
MOUTH OF YAZOO RIVER, MS	24	74
OKATIBBEE LAKE, MS	1,320	1.420
PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS	3,900	4,450
PEARL RIVER, MS		50
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MS	355	355
ROSEDALE HARBOR, MS	20	260
YAZOO RIVER, MS	140	140
HISSOURI		
CARUTHERSVILLE HARBOR, NO	12	350
CLARENCE CANNON DAM AND MARK TWAIN LAKE, MO	5,821	6,321
CLEARWATER LAKE, MO	1,974	2,424
HARRY S TRUMAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, MO	8,369	8,369
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO	781	781
LITTLE BLUE RIVER LAKES, MO	841	841
LONG BRANCH LAKE, MO	926 21,236	926 21.736
HIDD KIVER DIWN THE UNIO MAN HO KIVEKS (KEG WORKS), HO	41,230	∠1,736

911

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
NEW MADRID HARBOR, MO. POMME DE TERRE LAKE, MO. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS NO. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MO. SMITHVILLE LAKE, MO. SOUTHEAST HISSOURI PORT. MO. STOCKTON LAKE MO. TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO. UNION LAKE, MO. HONTANA	16 2.252 6 319 1.175  3.760 5.972	188 2,252 6 319 1,175 189 3,760 5,972
<del>-</del>		
FT PECK DAM AND LAKE, MT INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MT LIBBY DAM. LAKE KOOCANUSA, MT. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MT.	5,280 19 3,837 87	5,730 19 3,837 87
NEBRASKA		
GAVINS POINT DAM. LEWIS AND CLARK LAKE, NE & SD	6,942 2,005 102 350 619 644	6.842 2,005 102 350 619 644
NEVADA		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NV	44 612 261	44 612 261
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
COCHECO RIVER. NH. BLACKWATER DAM, NH. EDWARD MACDOWELL LAKE. NH. FRANKLIN FALLS DAM. NH. HOPKINTON - EVEREIT LAKES, NH. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NH. OTTER BROOK LAKE, NH. PROJECT COMDITION SURVEYS, NH. SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE, NH.	617 527 722 1,175 12 648 343 639	1.000 617 527 722 1.175 12 648 343 639
NEW JERSEY		
ABSECON INLET, NJ. BARNEGAT INLET, NJ. COLD SPRING INLET, NJ. COLD SPRING INLET, NJ. CELAWARE RIVER AT CAMDEN, NJ. DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA. NJ. PA & DE. DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA, PA TO TRENTON, NJ. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NJ. MANASQUAN RIVER, NJ. NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NJ. NEWARK BAY, HACKENSACK AND PASSAIC RIVERS, NJ. PASSAIC RIVER FLOOD WARNING SYSTEMS, NJ. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NJ. RARITAN RIVER, NJ. SHARK RIVER, NJ. SHARK RIVER, NJ.	245 20 20,100 3,415 40 190  120 425 1,670 	28 795 245 20 21,550 3,415 40 190 1.000 120 425 1.670 250 125
ABIQUIU DAM, NM ALBUQUERQUE LEVEES, NM	1,920	2,310 175

912

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
COCHITI LAKE. NM	2,881	4,081
CONCHAS LAKE, NH.	1,733	2,183
GALISTEO DAM, NM	432	532
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NM	192	192
JEMEZ CANYON DAM, NM	721	2,121
RIO GRANDE BOSQUE REHABILITATION, NM	5,000	5,000
SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM	1,259	1,389
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM	172	511
TWO RIVERS DAM, NM	525	725 1.000
NEW YORK		
ALMOND LAKE, NY	530	530
ARKPORT DAM, NY	283	283
BLACK ROCK CHANNEL AND TONAWANDA HARBOR, NY	1,681	1,681
BROWNS CREEK. NY	750	750
BUFFALO HARBOR, NY	309	309
BUTTERMILK CHANNEL NY	1,030	1,030
DUNKIRK HARBOR, NY	370	370
EAST RIVER, NY	2,100	2,100
EAST ROCKAWAY INLET, NY	466	466
FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY	.80	180
FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NY		375
GREAT SODUS BAY HARBOR, NY		150
GREAT SOUTH BAY, PATCHOGUE RIVER, NY		100
HUDSON RIVER, NY (MAINT)	2,005	2,005
HUDSON RIVER. NY (0&C)	1,950	1,950
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NY	594	594
JAMAICA BAY, NY	2,200 750	2,200 750
LAKE MONTAUK HARBOR, NY	750	300
LITTLE SODUS BAY HARBOR, NY	50	50
MT MORRIS LAKE, NY.	2,129	2,129
NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY CHANNELS, NY	5,700	5,700
NEW YORK HARBOR, NY	4,235	4,235
NEW YORK HARBOR, NY & NJ (DRIFT REMOVAL)	5,414	5,414
OSWEGO HARBOR, NY	500	500
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NY	1,075	1,075
ROCHESTER HARBOR, NY	60	60
SAUGERTIES HARBOR, NY	500 100	500 100
SHINNEGOCK INLET, NY	783	788
SOUTHERN NEW YORK FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS, NYSURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, NY	596	596
WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY	577	577
WILSON HARBOR, NY		175
NORTH CAROLINA		
ATLANTIC INTERCOASTAL WATERWAY, NC		2,000
B EVEREIT JORDAN DAM AND LAKE, NC	1,915	1,915
CAPE FEAR RIVER ABOVE WILMINGTON, NC	123	123
FALLS LAKE, NC	1,793 35	1,793
LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER, NC		500
MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC	6,970	
MOREHEAD CITY HARBOR, NC	4,112	4,112
NEW RIVER INLE, NC		250
NEW TOPSAIL INLET & CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC		200
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NC	227	227
W KERR SCOTT DAM AND RESERVOIR, NC	2,524	2,524
WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC	8,157	8,157

913

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
NORTH DAKOTA		
BOWMAN - HALEY LAKE, ND. GARRISON DAM, LAKE SAKAKAWEA, ND. HOMME LAKE, ND. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ND. LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, ND. PIPESTEM LAKE, ND. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, ND. SOURIS RIVER, ND. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ND.	242 13,597 196 79 1,216 534 116 387 30	242 14,322 196 79 1,216 534 116 387 30
OHIO		
ALUM CREEK LAKE, OH. ASHTABULA HARBOR, OH BERLIN LAKE, OH. CAESAR CREEK LAKE, OH. CLARENCE J BROWN DAM, OH CLEVELAND HARBOR, OH DEER CREEK LAKE, OH. DELAWARE LAKE, OH. DELAWARE LAKE, OH. DILLON LAKE, OH. FAIRPORT HARBOR, OH HURON HARBOR, OH INSPECTION OF CCHPLETED WORKS, OH. LORAIN HARBOR, OH HOASILLON LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH MICHAEL J KIRWAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, OH. MOSQUITO CREEK LAKE, OH NORTH BRANCH KOKOSING RIVER LAKE, OH. PAINT CREEK LAKE, OH PORT CLINTON HARBOR, OH PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OH ROSEVILLE LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH SANDUSKY HARBOR, OH. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OH TOLEDO HARBOR, OH SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OH WEST FORK OF MILL CREEK LAKE, OH. WILLIAM H HARSHA LAKE, OH.	715 1,940 1,830 1,187 758 4,653 420 727 719 653 954 1,104 175 1,615 25 795 985 300 170 3,569 269 397 848	715 1,940 1,830 1,187 1,000 4,653 420 719 653 954 1,104 175 1,615 25 795 985 7,026 169 747 185 98 30 950 170 3,569 269 397 848
OKLAHOMA		
ARCADIA LAKE, OK BIRCH LAKE, OK. BROKEN BOW LAKE, OK. CANDY LAKE, OK. CANTON LAKE, OK. COPAN LAKE, OK. CUPAN LAKE, OK. EUFAULA LAKE, OK. FORT GIBSON LAKE, OK. FORT SUPPLY LAKE, OK. GREAT SALT PLAINS LAKE, OK. HEYBURN LAKE, OK. HUJAH LAKE, OK. HUJAH LAKE, OK. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OK. KAW LAKE, OK. KEYSTONE LAKE, OK. OOLOGAH LAKE, OK. OPTIMA LAKE, OK. PENSACOLA RESERVOIR, LAKE OF THE CHEROKEES, OK. PINE CREEK LAKE, OK.	280 459 1,294 20 3,111 734 5,435 6,190 733 129 557 2,997 337 131 1,835 4,233 2,094 41 18	280 459 1, 294 20 3,111 734 5,435 6,190 733 129 557 2,997 337 131 1,835 4,233 2,094 41 18

914

		CONFERENCE
ROBERT S KERR LOCK AND DAM AND RESERVOIRS, OK. SARDIS LAKE. OK. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS. OK. SKIATOOK LAKE. OK. TENKILLER FERRY LAKE. OK. WAURIKA LAKE. OK. WEBBERS FALLS LOCK AND DAM. OK. WISTER LAKE. OK.	4.734 604 616 1,196 3,217 946 6,706 1,885	4,734 604 616 1,196 3,217 946 6,706 1,885
CREGON		
APPLEGATE LAKE, UR. BLUE RIVER LAKE, GR. CHETCO RIVER, OR. BONNEVILLE LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA. COLUMBIA & LWR WILLAMETTE R BLW VANCOUVER, WA & PORTLA COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, OR & WA. COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN VANCOUVER, WA AND THE DALLES, O COOS BAY, OR.	669 256  8,307 19,768 17,791 614 5,796	669 256 259 8,807 20,018 77,791 614 6,165
CODUILLE RIVER OR COTTAGE GROVE LAKE OR COUGAR LAKE OR	793 1,037	132 793 1,037
DEPOE BAY DR. DEPOT SLOUGH, OR. DETROIT LAKE, OR. DORENA LAKE, OR. FALL CREEK LAKE. OR. FALL CREEK LAKE. OR. FERN RIDGE LAKE. OR. GREEN PETER - FOSTER LAKES, OR. HILLS CREEK LAKE. OR. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OR. JOHN DAY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA. LOOKOUT POINT LAKE. OR. LOST CREEK LAKE, OR. HCNARY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA. PORT ORFORD, OR. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OR. ROGUE RIVER. GOLD BEACH, OR. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR DPERATIONS, OR. SIUSLAW RIVER, OR. SKIPANON CHANNEL, OR. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OR. TILLAMOOK BAY AND BAR, OR.	627 599 521 993 1,350 526 165 5,898 1,456 2,805 5,678 	150 150 150 150 627 599 521 993 1.350 526 185 5.898 1.456 2.805 5.678 175 200 175 62 125 92 134 250 225
WILLAMETTE FALLS LOCKS, OR WILLAMETTE RIVER BANK PROTECTION, OR WILLOW CREEK LAKE, OR. YAQUINA BAY AND HARBOR, OR.	60 723 2.182	210 60 723 2.182
PENNSYLVANIA		
ALLEGHENY RIVER, PA.  ALVIN R BUSH DAM, PA.  AYLESWORTH CREEK LAKE, PA.  BLUE MARSH LAKE, PA.  CONEMAUGH RIVER LAKE, PA.  COWANESQUE LAKE, PA.  CROOKED CREEK LAKE, PA.  CURWENSVILLE LAKE, PA.  DELAWARE RIVER, FAIRLESS TURNING BASIN, BUCKS CO. PA.  EAST BRANCH CLARION RIVER LAKE, PA.  FOSTER JOSEPH SAYERS DAM, PA.  FRANCIS E WALTER DAM, PA.	4,540 614 204 906 2,355 1,012 2,010 1,210 716  1,086 70 758 617	4.540 614 204 906 2.355 1,012 2,010 1.210 716 500 1.293 70 758 617
GENERAL EDGAR JADWIN DAM AND RESERVOIR, PA	231	231

915

		CONFERENCE
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, PA  JOHNSTOWN PA.  KINZUA DA. AND ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR, PA.  LOYALHANNA LAKE, PA.  MAHONING CREEK LAKE, PA.  MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA.  OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAHS, PA, OH & WV.  DHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, PA, OH & WV.  PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, PA.  PPOMPTON LAKE, PA.  PUNKSUTAWNEY, PA.  SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, PA.  SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, PA.  SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, PA.  SIHUYLKILL RIVER, PA.  SHENANGO RIVER LAKE, PA.  SILLWATER LAKE, PA.  SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, PA.  TIONESTA LAKE, PA.  JUNION CITY LAKE, PA.	4 13 1, 206 334 795 13, 963 21, 603 30 399 17 4, 078 55 1,480 2,336 378 82 2,642 1,551 244	4 1,009 1,206 934 795 14,313 21,603 578 300 399 17 4,078 55 1,480 2,336 378 82 2,642 1,551
WOODCOCK CREEK LAKE, PA. YORK INDIAN ROCK DAM, PA.	798 538	793 588
PUERTO RICO		
SAN JUAN HARBOR, PR	2,000 30	2.000 30
RHODE ISLAND		
BULLOCKS COVE. RI. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS. RI. PAMTUXET COVE. CRANSTON AND WARVICK, RI. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS. RI. PROVIDENCE RIVER AND HARBOR. RI. RHODE ISLAND REGION. LONG-TERM DREDGE DISPOSAL EVAL. R	10 414 9,000 500	250 10 400 414 9,000 500
SOUTH CAROLINA		
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC. CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC. COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC. FOLLY RIVER, SC. GEORGETOWN HARBOR, SC. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SC. HURRELLS INLET, SC. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, SC. TOWN CREEK, SC.	14,052 3,315  1,988  349	2,500 14,052 3,315 225 3,488 30 28 349 413
SOUTH DAKOTA		
BIG BEND DAM, LAKE SHARPE, SG. CHEYENNE RIVER SIDUX TRIBE, LOWER BRULE, SD. COLD BROOK LAKE, SD. COTTONWOOD SPRINGS LAKE, SD. FORT RANDALL DAM, LAKE FRANCIS CASE, SD. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SD. LAKE TRAVERSE, SD & MN. MISSOURI R BETWEEN FORT PECK DAM AND GAVINS PT. SD, MT OAHE DAM, LAKE OAHE, SD & ND. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, SD.	9,263 308 215 9,749 17 512 350 13,580 49	9,263 2,500 308 215 9,749 17 512 350 13,580 49
TENNESSEE		
CENTER HILL LAKE, TN	5,057 6,062	5,057 6,062

916

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
	1,080	
CHICKAMAUGA LOCK, TN	5,688	1,080
DALE HOLLOW LAKE, TN	4,461	5,688 4,461
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN	116	
J PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR. TN	4,245	116 4,245
OLD HICKORY LOCK AND DAM, TN	9,163	9,163
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TN	5,105	5,103
TENNESSEE RIVER. IN	15,210	17,000
WOLF RIVER HARBOR, TN.	19	110
TEXAS		
AQUILLA LAKE, TX	644	644
ARKANSAS - RED RIVER BASINS CHLORIDE CONTROL - AREA VI	1,185	1,185
BARDWELL LAKE, TX	1.621	1.621
BAYPORT SHIP CHANNEL, TX	2,785	2,785
BELTON LAKE. TX	2,712	2,712
BENBROOK LAKE, TX	2.481	2,481
BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, TX	2,875	2.875
BUFFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES, TX	1.835	1,835
CANYON LAKE, TX	2,732	2,732
CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX	7,945	7,945
DENISON DAM, LAKE TEXOMA, TX	7,715	7,715
ESTELLINE SPRINGS EXPERIMENTAL PROJECT, TX	5	5
FERRELLS BRIDGE DAM. LAKE O' THE PINES, TX	2,635	2,635
FREEPORT HARBOR, TX	6,320	6,320
GALVESTON HARBOR AND CHANNEL, TX	8,551	8,551
GRANGER DAM AND LAKE, TX	1,600	1,600
GRAPEVINE LAKE, TX	2,834	2,834
GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, TX	15,527	15,527
GULF INTRACOASTAL, WATERWAY CHANNEL TO PORT MANSFIELD.		163
HORDS CREEK LAKE, TX	1,276	1,276
HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL, TX	13,438	16,719
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TX	448	448
JIM CHAPMAN LAKE, TX	1,283	1,283
JOE POOL LAKE, TX	769	769
LAKE KEMP. TX	158	158
LAVON LAKE. TX	2,580	2,580
LEWISVILLE DAM, TX	3,832	3,832
MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX		2,000
NAVARRO MILLS LAKE, TX	1,603	1,603
NORTH SAN GABRIEL DAM AND LAKE GEORGETOWN, TX	1.724	1,724
O C FISHER DAM AND LAKE, TX	813	813
PAT MAYSE LAKE, TX	724	724
PROCTOR LAKE, TX	1,701	1,701
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TX	50	50
RAY ROBERTS LAKE TX	1,061	1.061
SABINE - NECHES WATERWAY, TX	10,985	10,985
SAM RAYBURN DAM AND RESERVOIR, TX	4,291	4,291
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, TX	129	129
SOMERVILLE LAKE, TX	2,600	2,600
STILLHOUSE HOLLOW DAM, TX	1,782	1.782
TEXAS WATER ALLOCATION ASSESSMENT, TX	100	550
TOWN BLUFF DAM, B A STEINHAGEN LAKE, TX	1,801	1,801
WACO LAKE, TX	2,291	2.791
WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX	1,295 4,516	1,295
WHITNEY LAKE, TXWRIGHT PATMAN DAM AND LAKE, TX	2,672	4,516 2,672
UTAH		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, UT	76	76
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, UT	393	393

917

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
VERMONT		
BALL MOUNTAIN LAKE, VT. BUPLINGTON HARBOR, VT. CONNECTICUT RIVER FLODD CONTROL DAMS, VT. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VT. NARROWS OF LAKE CHAMPLAIN, VT & NY. NORTH HARTLAND LAKE, VT. TOWNSHEMD LAKE, VT. UNION VILLAGE DAM, VT.  VIRGINIA	789  42 50 659 849 759 602	789 100 250 42 50 659 849 759 602
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY - ACC. VA ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY - DSC. VA CHINCOTEAGUE INLET, VA GATHRIGHT DAM AND LAKE MOOMAW, VA HAMPTON RDS. NORFOLK & NEWPORT NEWS HBR, VA (DRIFT REM INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VA JAMES RIVER CHANNEL, VA. JOHN H KERR LAKE, VA & NC. JOHN W FLAN:AGGAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA LYNNHAVEN INLET, VA. NORFOLK HARBOR, VA. (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS NORTH FORK OF POUND RIVER LAKE, VA. PHILPOTT LAKE, VA PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, VA. RJDEE INLET, VA.  WASHINGTON	1,934 435  1,682 1,100 176 3,770 11,881 1,401 1,625 8,678 190 347 3,905 760	1,934 785 250 1,682 1,100 178 3,965 11,881 1,401 1,635 10,089 347 3,905 760 500
CHIEF JOSEPH DAM, WA EVERETT HARBOP AND SNOHOMISH RIVER, WA GRAYS HARBOR AND CHEHALIS RIVER, WA HOMARD HARSON DAM, WA ICE HARBOR LOCK AND DAM, WA INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WA LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CAMAL, WA LITTLE GOOSE LOCK AND DAM, WA LOWER GRANITE LOCK AND DAM, WA LOWER GRANITE LOCK AND DAM, WA HOLDER MONUMENTAL LOCK AND DAM, WA MILL GREEK LAKE, WA MILL GREEK LAKE, WA MILL GREEK LAKE, WA NEPH BAY, WA NEPH BAY, WA DUGET SOUND AND TRIBUTARY WATERS, WA SUFFICIENT SEERVOIR OPERATIONS, WA STILLAGUAMISH RIVER, WA STILLAGUAMISH RIVER, WA TACOMA, PUYALLUP RIVER, WA THE DALLES LOCK AND DAM, WA & OR WATERWAY CONNECTING PORT TOWNSEND AND DAK BAY, WA MATERWAY CONNECTING PORT TOWNSEND AND DAK BAY, WA	339 1,589 8,215 4,166 6,022 303 6,480 1,607 2,931 2,337 1,763 2,72 2,705 128 343 1,003 490 1,152 262 64 510 118 3,138 221	839 1,589 8,715 4,166 6,022 303 6,480 1,607 2,931 2,337 1,763 272 3,855 128 343 1,003 490 1,152 64 510 118 3,448 221
WEST VIRGINIA		
BEECH FORK LAKE, WV. BLUESTONE LAKE, WV. BURNSVILLE LAKE, WV. EAST LYNN LAKE, WV. ELKINS, WV. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WV.	1,062 1,047 1,531 1,672 18 80	1,062 1,047 1,531 1,672 18

918

	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
KANAWHA RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV. OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV, KY & OH. OHIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, WV, KY & OH. R D BAILEY LAKE, WV. STONEWALL JACKSON LAKE, WV. SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV. SUTICN LAKE, WV. TYGART LAKE, WV. YOUGHIOGHENY LAKE, WV.	7,454 26,269 2,494 1,416 859 1,587 1,685 3,809	7,454 26,259 2,494 1,416 859 1,587 1,685 3,809 1,753
WISCONSIN		
CORNUCOPIA HARBOR, WI  EAU GALLE RIVER LAKE, WI  FOX RIVER, WI.  GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI.  INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WI  KENOSHA HARBOR, WI.  MANITOWOC HARBOR, WI.  MILWAUMEE HARBOR WI.  POR! WING HARBOR WI.  PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WI.  SHEBO COAN HARBOR, WI.  STURGEON BAY HARBOR AND LAKE MICHIGAN SHIP CANAL, WI.  SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WI.  TWO RIVERS HARBOR, WI.	722 1,776 3,585 38 190 95 72 768 61 2,450 1,324 472 15	120 722 1,776 3,595 38 190 95 72 1.038 130 61 2,450 1,324 472 225
WYOMING		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WY JACKSON HOLE LEVEES, WY	11 1.281 86	11 1.281 86
MISCELLANEOUS		
AGUATIC NUISANCE CONTROL RESEARCH AUTOMATED BUDGET SYSTEM (ABS) COASTAL INLET RESEARCH PROGRAM CULTURAL RESOURCES (NAGPRA/CURATION) DREDGE WHESLEK READY RESERVE. DREDGING DATA AND LOCK PERFORMANCE MONITORING SYSTEM. DREDGING OPERATIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH (DOER) DREDGING OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT PROGRAM. EARTHOLAKE HAZARDS REDUCTION PROGRAM. RESERVE FOR KEY EMERGENCY MAINTENANCE/REPAIRS. FACILITY PROTECTION. GREAT LAKES SEDIMENT TRANSPORT MODELS HARBOR HAINTENANCE FEE DATA COLLECTION. INLAND WATERWAY NAVIGATION CHARTS. HONITORING OF COMPLETED NAVIGATION PROJECTS. NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. NATIONAL DAM SECURITY PROGRAM. NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PROGRAM (NEPP). NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PROGRAM (NEPP). NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PROGRAM (NEPP). NATIONAL ELEMIS AND CLARK COMMEMORATION COORDINATOR. PERFORMANCE BASED BUDGETING SUPPORT PROGRAM. PROTECT, CLEAR AND STRAIGHTEN CHANNELS (SEC 3). RECREATION MANAGEMENT SUPPORT PROGRAM (RMSP). REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM. RELIABILITY MODELS PROGRAM FOR MAJOR REHABILITATION. REMOVAL OF SUNKEN VESSELS. WATER OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (WOTS)	653 250 2.475 1.391 8.000 1.062 6.080 1.391 270 35.000 12.000 608 3.708 1.575 250 31 5.000 319 734 45 1.600 1.391 608 450 653 4.271	3.500 250 2,675 1,391 8,000 1,062 6,080 1,468 270  12,000 950 608 3,708 1,575 250 31 5,000 319 734 45 1,600 2,500 608 675 653 4,271

	BUDGET REQUEST	
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	-12,325	-58,909
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		1,959,101

#### TITLE II—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

#### CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

The conference agreement includes \$48,009,000 for fiscal year 2005 to carry out the provisions of the Central Utah Project Completion Act. An appropriation of \$30,806,000 has been provided for Central Utah project construction; \$15,469,000 for fish, wildlife, and recreation, mitigation and conservation. The conference recommendation provides \$1,734,000 for program administration and oversight.

#### BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

#### WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

An appropriation of \$859,481,000 is provided by the conferees for Water and Related Resources.

#### BUILDING AND SITE SECURITY

Security Costs and Allocations.—Following the attacks on September 11, 2001, the Bureau of Reclamation strengthened security at Federal dams and similar facilities and has undertaken but not completed extensive risk assessments for over 400 units throughout the West. Many of these are multi-purpose facilities providing flood control, water storage for contract irrigators, municipal and industrial water supplies, power generation, recreation and environmental mitigation benefits. The conference understands that beginning in fiscal year 2005, Reclamation will no longer make a distinction between pre-September 11, 2001, security costs and post-September 11, 2001, security costs. The conference recognizes that the security posture of Reclamation will likely not approach pre-September 11, 2001, levels for many years, if ever. The conference recognizes that project beneficiaries benefit from this enhanced security. However, the conference remains concerned about the reimbursability of increased security costs for Reclamation projects. Therefore, Reclamation shall provide a report to the conference, no later than, May 1, 2005, with a breakout of planned reimbursable and non-reimbursable security costs by project, by region. The conference directs the Commissioner not to begin the reimbursement process until the Congress provides direct instruction to do so.

Within the funds provided for the Central Valley Project, Colorado Front Work and Levee System, AZ. The conference has included additional funds to continue activities for water management reservoirs to be constructed along the All American Canal.

Central Valley Project.—A total of \$7,500,000 has been provided under various divisions of the Central Valley Project in support of the California Bay-Delta Restoration. A description of the activities for which funds have been added follows.

#### CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT

#### ENVIRONMENTAL WATER ACCOUNT

*Miscellaneous Project Programs.*—\$1,000,000 is provided to acquire water and groundwater storage.

### PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

Delta Division Oversight.—\$500,000 is provided to continue coordination, administration, planning, performance tracking and science activities in coordination with CALFED Program Implementation Plan.

### STORAGE

*Delta Division.*—\$1,000,000 is provided for Reclamation to continue participating in planning and study activities associated with enlarging Los Vaqueros reservoir.

Sacramento River Division.—\$1,000,000 is provided to continue

planning and study activities for Sites reservoir.

Shasta Division.—\$1,000,000 is provided to continue evaluating the potential impacts of the proposed Shasta raise.

### CONVEYANCE

Delta Division.—\$1,000,000 is provided for the Tracy Test Fish

facility.

*Miscellaneous Project Programs.*—\$1,000,000 is provided for the continuation of feasibility levels studies and technical assistance to the State of California; \$1,000,000 for the Bureau for the administration of storage, conveyance, water use efficiency, ecosystem restoration, science and water transfer.

Central Valley Project, Friant Division, California.—The conferees have provided an additional \$1,000,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation to continue the Upper San Joaquin River Basin Stor-

age investigation.

Middle Rio Grande Project, New Mexico.—The conferees are pleased with the increased progress of on-the-ground activities resulting from implementation of the Executive Committee of the Endangered Species Collaborative program. Of the total \$6,150,000 provided for this effort, the Bureau of Reclamation is to fund the following activities: \$2,000,000 for habitat restoration; \$275,000 for water and minnow management improvement; \$2,000,000 for water acquisition; \$500,000 for science and monitoring; \$750,000 for biological opinion monitoring; and \$625,000 for program management. Prior to obligation of funds, the Bureau is to submit the funding levels for each category, accompanied by a detailed spending plan, to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees for approval. The Bureau will also submit to the Committees, concurrent with the President's funding request to Congress, a detailed spending plan for the 2006 fiscal year. The cost-share requirements for this program remain 75 percent Federal/25 percent non-Federal. Within the funds provided, the Bureau is directed to begin work on the models for the Silvery Minnow sanctuary.

Middle Rio Grande Project, Middle Rio Grande Levees, New

Middle Rio Grande Project, Middle Rio Grande Levees, New Mexico.—The conference has provided an additional \$5,000,000 for the continued repair of the Middle Rio Grande levees, on which

work began in fiscal year 2003.

San Juan River Basin Investigations Program, New Mexico.— The conference has included additional funds for the Commissioner to begin the evaluation and initial work regarding the San Juan Chama, New Mexico, title transfer. Oklahoma Investigations Project, Oklahoma.—The conferees have provided additional funds for the Bureau of Reclamation to continue studying ways to improve management of the Arbuckle-

Simpson aquifer.

Klamath Project, Oregon and California.—The conferees recommend additional funds for the Klamath Project water bank program. From within available funds, the conferees direct that up to \$1,000,000 be used for water quality multi-probe and flow measurement instrumentation.

Mni Wiconi Project, South Dakota.—The conference agreement provides \$25,282,000 for this project. Within the funds provided, up to \$160,000 may be used to replace water trucks for the Oglala Sioux Tribe. Reclamation and its tribal partners are cautioned that these water trucks should only be used for supplying water on a temporary short term critical need basis to areas that are part of the authorized Mni Wiconi project but are not yet served by the project. As more of the project is completed, the conference expects this water hauling operation to diminish. The Rosebud Sioux Rural Water System is authorized to utilize funds provided for the operation and maintenance of the Mni Wiconi Rural Water Project to contract with the town of White River to deliver water to tribal members located in White River, SD.

Washington State Investigations Program, Washington.—The conference has included additional funds for studies of the West Canal reach through Ephrata and for appraisal of the Odessa Sub-

aquifer.

Departmental Irrigation Drainage Program.—The conferees have included additional funds for the Uncompangre, Colorado se-

lenium project.

Drought Emergency Assistance Program.—The conferees have provided additional funds for drought assistance and urge the agency to provide full and fair consideration of the request for drought assistance from the State of Hawaii. The conferees are aware of the impacts of the significant drought which has lasted several years in the West, and has provided \$50,000 for drought assistance in an effort to mitigate some effects of the drought. Of the total funds provided, \$250,000 is for Espanola, New Mexico and

\$200,000 is for Chimayo, New Mexico.

Water 2025.—The dire drought the West is currently experiencing, combined with an unprecedented number of water users and endangered species and related requirements, make water use efficiencies more critical than ever. The conference has provided \$19,500,000 for this initiative proposed by the administration. The reduction does not reflect the conference's strong support for this effort. The initiative is an effort to enhance efficiency and performance in water and power delivery. Ultimately, the conference believes that the initiative, if successfully carried out, will result in enhanced efficiency in the operation of Reclamation programs and projects. Of the funds provided \$2,000,000 is for the Desert Research Institute to address water quality and environmental issues in ways that will bring industry and regulators to mutually acceptable answers. The conference believes that the water resource and efficiency issues, combined with the drought and endangered species listings, make the Rio Grande River in New Mexico the embod-

iment of the Water 2025 initiative. Therefore, the conference has included \$1,750,000 to provide for continued efficiency and water improvements related to the Middle Rio Grande Conservancy district, including a system evaluation, siphons, flow measurement gages, gates and the automation of diversions.

In addition, the conference has included \$1,000,000 for work

related to the Aamodt water rights settlement efforts.

The conference strongly encourages the Bureau to consider providing funding to the Rural Water Technology Alliance to implement low-cost remote sensing technologies and water conservation technologies in the West. The conferees have also provided additional funds for the Bureau of Reclamation to continue its successful alliance with the International Center for Water Resources Management at Central State University in Ohio, the Ohio View Consortium, and Colorado State University, for the development of advanced remote sensing technologies for use in operational decisionmaking to deal with the current drought conditions and with future constraining events. The conferees are also aware of the serious water shortage issues in Central Texas. There is significant potential to address these issues if salinity problems in the Lake Whitney watershed could be ameliorated. The Bureau is encouraged to work with local and State officials as well as researchers at Baylor University to address these problems.

Science and Technology, Desalination Research and Development Program.—The conferees have provided additional funds for desalination efforts for research and development of new, advanced technologies to create new additional water supplies using desalination and related technologies. The Commissioner is directed to assess the potential use of advanced water treatment technologies as a resource to create new net water supplies and to evaluate project benefits, economic values and environmental effects. Further, the Commissioner should identify resource needs that can be met through these technologies and inter-party transfers, and to identify obstacles to be overcome (physical, financial, institutional, and regulatory). In using the funds provided, the Bureau shall pay particular attention to research and development of shallow well pretreatment, brine disposal and recycling, micro-filtration and ultra-filtration, and water conditioning. Further, the conference continues to urge the Bureau of Reclamation to place a higher priority on desalination activities in future budgets given the importance of sustainable water supplies to the West and to other regions of the country. Of the funds provided, \$3,500,000 is for the continuation of the project in Tularosa, New Mexico. The conference notes that, with regard to the Tularosa Basin National Desalination Research Center, section 7 of the Water Desalination Act of 1996 does not apply to the project because it is a joint Federal effort.

The conference has also included \$3,000,000 in additional funding for the WateReuse Foundation. These funds shall be available to support the Foundation's research priorities.

Wetlands Development.—The conferees have provided \$500,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation to continue work on the East Wetlands Restoration project in Yuma, Arizona.

Title XVI, Water Reclamation and Reuse Program.—The conference agreement provides \$1,655,000 for the Title XVI Water Reclamation and Reuse Program. Of this, \$125,000 is provided for the Bureau to work with the Mission Springs, California, Water District to evaluate further the possibilities of using recycled water for

groundwater recharge or other non-potable uses.

Water Management and Conservation Program.—Within the funds provided, the conferees direct that \$700,000 be used to continue urban water conservation programs within the service area of the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California and \$200,000 for the Bureau to continue a cost shared, industrial recirculation water efficiency effort related to recirculating water use by industries in Southern California to conserve water.

### CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

The conference agreement provides \$54,695,000 for the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund.

### POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement provides \$58,153,000 for general administrative expenses. The conferees expect the Bureau of Reclamation to continue to observe underfinancing guidance provided in the fiscal year 2004 Energy and Water Appropriations Act.

### GENERAL PROVISIONS—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Sec. 201. The conference report includes language regarding Kesterson Reservoir in California.

Sec. 202. The conference report includes language regarding the purchase or lease of water in New Mexico.

Sec. 203. The conference report includes language regarding the Lower Colorado River Basin Development.

Sec. 204. The conference report includes language regarding Drought Emergency Assistance.
Sec. 205. The conference report includes language regarding

the San Juan Chama Project in New Mexico.

Sec. 206. The conference report includes language regarding Water 2025.

Sec. 207. The conference report includes language regarding the Animas La Plata project.

Sec. 208. The conference report includes language regarding Montana water contract extensions.

# WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

# .... BUDGET REQUEST ---- CONFERENCE -----

AK CHIN INDIAN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT 33,993 94 33,993 94 34,993 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94		RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OM&R		RESOURCES FACILITIE MANAGEMENT OM&	FACILITIES
33,993 6,893 7,347 3,993 3,647 7,12 4,347 7,12 4,60 4,00	SOURCES				
33,993 94 33,993 3,647 4,347 712 460 607 460 607 870 498 607 870 1,380 607 870 1,380 607 870 1,380 607 870 1,000 607 1,867 7,499 4,867 607 7,499 4,867 607 7,262 6,372 10,762	EMENT ACT DBO LECT	;	6,893	1	6,893
3,647 4,347 712 712 460 460 460 460 460 498 498 498 498 498 400 1,380 1,380 1,560 20,666 1,560 20,666 1,560 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000	RIVER BASIN	33,993	94	33,993	94
712	SYSTEM	3,647	1	4,347	1
460      460       498      498       5,078      498       870      1,380       400      1,560     20,666       1,560     20,666     1,560     2       300      300       1,000      1,000       1,867     7,499     4,867       6,397     125     6,397       7,262     6,372     10,762		712	1 3 4	712	1
5,078 5,078 6,078 7,262 1,560 1,560 20,666 1,560 20,666 1,560 20,667 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000	GRAM	460	1 1	460	t t
498        498         5,078        5,078         870        1,380         400        400         1,560       20,666       1,560       2         939       822       939         300        300         1,000        1,000         1,867       7,499       4,867         6,397       6,397       6,397         7,262       6,372       10,762	TON & REUSE PROJECT		1 1	375	1
5,078 5,078 870 1,380 400 400 1,560 20,666 1,560 2 300 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,867 7,499 4,867 6,397 6,397 7,262 6,372 10,762		498	1 1	498	1
939 822 939 300 1,380 2 1,560 20,666 1,560 2 300 1,0	IENT ACT PROJECT	5,078	:	5,078	\$ \$ {
939 822 939 300 1,560 2 2 300 300 1,000 1,000 12 6,397 6,397 7,262 6,372 10,762	PROGRAM	870	; ;	1,380	t 1 *
1,560 20,666 1,560 2 339 822 939 300 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,867 7,499 4,867 6,397 6,397 7,262 6,372 10,762		400	1	400	:
939 822 939 300 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,867 7,499 4,867 6,397 6,397 7,262 6,372 10,762		1,560	20,666	1,560	20,666
939 822 939 300 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,867 7,499 4,867 6,397 6,397 7,262 6,372 10,762					
300 300 1,000 1,000 1,867 7,499 4,867 6,397 125 6,397 7,262 6,372 10,762		939	822	626	822
1,000 1,000 1,867 7,499 4,867 6,397 125 6,397 7,262 6,372 10,762		300	;	300	!
1,867 7,499 4,867 6,397 125 6,397 7,262 6,372 10,762	CYCLING PROJECT	1,000	;	1,000	1
6,397 125 6,397 7,262 6,372 10,762		1,867	7,499	4,867	7,499
. 7,262 6,372 10,762		6,397	125	6,397	125
		7,262	6,372	10,762	6,3/2

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OM&R	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES FACILITIE: MANAGEMENT OM&	ENCE FACILITIES OM&R
EAST SIDE DIVISION	1,548	2,455	1,548	2,455
FRIANT DIVISION	1,955	3,970	2,955	3,970
JECT PRO	13,324	1,210	22,287	1,210
REPLACEMENTS, ADDITIONS, & EXTRAORDINARY MAINT	1 1	23,200	1	20,100
SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVISION	3,337	1,689	6,337	1,689
SAN FELIPE DIVISION	696	1 1	696	:
SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION	295	1	295	
SHASTA DIVISION	1,110	7,171	1,610	7,171
TRINITY RIVER DIVISION	6,641	3,100	6,641	3,100
WATER AND POWER OPERATIONS	1,900	9,724	1;900	9,724
WEST SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION, SAN LUIS UNIT.	41,484	7,766	8,484	7,766
YIELD FEASIBILITY INVESTIGATION	200	1 1	200	6 6 1
LAKE TAHOE REGIONAL WETLANDS DEVELOPMENT	1	:	2,250	1 1
LONG BEACH AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROJECT	1,000	•	1,000	\$ * 1
LONG BEACH DESALINATION PROJECT		* * *	1,000	;
NAPA - SONOMA MARIN AGRICULTURE REUSE PROJECT	1 1	t t	375	1
NORTH SAN DIEGO COUNTY AREA WATER RECYCLING PROJECT	2,000	1	2,500	:
ORANGE COUNTY REGIONAL WTR RECLAMATION PROJECT, PHS 1.	2,000	•	2,500	:
ORLAND PROJECT.	40	547	40	547
PASADENA RECLAIMED WATER PROJECT	:		20	1
SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVERSION STUDY, CA	1	1	200	1
SALTON SEA RESEARCH PROJECT	1,000		2,250	1
SAN DIEGO AREA WATER RECLAMATION PROGRAM	3,500	1	3,500	!
SAN GABRIEL BASIN PROJECT	200	1 1	200	•
SAN GABRIEL BASIN RESTORATION PROJECT	:	1	4,500	:

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OM&R	RESOURCES FACT	ENCE FACILITIES OM&R
SAN JOSE WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROGRAM	2,677	1,750 250 1,576 1,240 524 500	2,677
COLORADO			
ANIMAS-LA PLATA PROJECT, CRSP SECTIONS 5 & 8	10,334 10,334 2,054 130 4,941 2,179 99 4,771 4,771	52,000 627 65 189 67 87 87 87 366 592 1133 31	1,159 10,334 10,334 2,054 4,941 2,179 99 111 111

HAWAII

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OM&R	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OM&R	
HAWAII WATER RESOURCES STUDY	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	250		
ІВАНО					
BOISE AREA PROJECTSCOLUMBIA AND SNAKE RIVER SALMON RECOVERY PROJECT	2,717 17,500	2,810	2,717	2,810	
IDAHO INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	750 3,355 200	2,122	750 3,355 200	2,122	
KANSAS					
KANSAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	50 250	328	50 250	328	
MONTANA					
FORT PECK DRY PRAIRIES RURAL WATER SYSTEM HUNGRY HORSE PROJECT HUNTLEY PROJECT MILK RIVER PROJECT	28 28 508 519	521 120 839	7,000 28 508 519 1,000	521 120 839 839	

928

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	RESOURCES FACIL:	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES FACT	RENCE FACILITIES OM&R
NORTH DAKOTA				
DAKOTAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	230	1	230	;
DAKOTAS TRIBES INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAMPDAKOTAS TRIBES INVESTIGNT PROGRAM, GARRISON DIVERSION.	191 18,659	3,414	191 21,830	3,414
NEBRASKA				
MIRAGE FLATS PROJECT	12 85	91	12 · 85	91
NEW MEXICO				
A BIONEROUS METRO AREA WATER & RECLAMATION REUSE	;	j T	200	; 3 1
CARLSBAD PROJECT.	206	994	206	994
ATER SUPPLY.	•	1 1	88	
MIDDLE RIO GRANDE PROJECT	7,199	10,801	13,349	15,801
NAVAJO NATION INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	300	1 1	300	:
NAVAJO-GALLUP WATER SUPPLY PROJECT	200	•	200	:
PECOS RIVER BASIN WATER SALVAGE PROJECT	1	31	1	62
RIO GRANDE PROJECT	606	3,314	606	3,314
SAN JUAN RIVER BASIN INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	188	!	288	1
SANTA FE - WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROJECT		:	200	:
SOUTHERN NEW MEXICO/WEST TEXAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.	238	:	238	:
TUCUMCARI PROJECT	•	13	:	13

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OM&R	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	CONFERENCE RESOURCES FACILITIES ANAGEMENT OM&R
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
NEVADA				
HALEWAY WASH PROJECT STUDY.	150	1 1	1,750	ŧ
LAHONTAN BASIN PROJECT (HUMBOLT, NEWLANDS, WASHOE)	6,475	1,844	6,475	1,844
LAKE MEAD /LAS VEGAS WASH PROGRAM	1,450	3 1 2	2,000	;
NORTH LAS VEGAS WATER REUSE	1 1	1 1	2,500	•
SOUTHERN NEVADA WATER RECYCLING PROJECT	4 3 1	\$ \$ \$	5,000	* *
ОКLАНОМА			-	
ARBUCKLE PROJECT	13	168	13	168
MCGEE CREEK PROJECT	26	463	26	463
MOUNTAIN PARK PROJECT	13	331	13	331
NORMAN PROJECT	13	303	13	303
NORTH FORK OF THE RED RIVER PROJECT			125	1
OKLAHOMA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	190	!!!	440	:
W.C. AUSTIN PROJECT.	150	387	150	387
WASHITA BASIN PROJECT	13	1,053	13	1,053
OREGON				
CROOKED RIVER PROJECT	373	388	373	388
DESCHUTES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECT	1 1	1	200	:
DESCHUTES PROJECT	448	143	448	143

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	RESOURCES FACIL MANAGEMENT	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES FACILITIE MANAGEMENT OM&	ENCE FACILITIES OM&R
		7 90	, a	
EASTERN UREGON PROJECTS	0.0	too	200	000
KLAMATH PROJECT	24,191	808	26,191	808
OREGON INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	655		655	:
ROGUE RIVER BASIN PROJECT. TALENT DIVISION	820	181	820	181
SAVAGE RAPIDS DAM REMOVAL	2,000	:	2,200	;
THAI ATTN PROJECT	222	118	472	118
) IFC	200	;	200	;
UMATILLA PROJECT	816	2,259	816	2,259
SOUTH DAKOTA				
FEWIS AND CLARK RURAL WATER SYSTEM	17.500	; 4 ;	18,750	1
MID-DAKOTA RIIRAI WATER PROJECT	17,000	15	17,000	15
MNI WICONI PROJECT	18,246	6,254	25,282	6,254
PERKINS COUNTY RURAL WATER SALVAGE PROJECT.	200	:	2,250	:
RAPID VALLEY PROJECT, DEERFIELD DAM	† †	16	ë E	16
TEXAS				
CANADIAN RIVER PROJECT		1	63	145
EI PASO WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE	63	117	382	59
I OWER RID GRANDE VALEY WATER RESOURCES.	20	•	1,625	: -
NIECES RIVER	31	609	31	609
SAN ANGELO PROJECT	63	333	63	333
SUN RIVER PROJECT.	:	204	1	204

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OM&R	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OMS	RENCE FACILITIES OM&R
TEXAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	208	ì	208	;
ОТАН				
HYRIM PROJECT	152	26	152	26
CT	26	22	26	22
NEWTON PROJECT.	62	19	62	19
INVESTIGATIONS PROGE	220	,	220	
OGDEN RIVER PROJECT.	314	27	314	27
PARK CITY FEASIBILITY STUDY.	1	:	. 250	1 1
PROVO RIVER PROJECT	883	314	883	314
EER CRE	:	4,150	1 1	4,150
SCOFIELD PROJECT	109	22	109	22
SOUTHERN UTAH INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	133	:	133	* * *
STRAWBERRY VALLEY PROJECT	194	7	194	7
WEBER BASIN PROJECT	1,808	379	2,058	379
PINEVIEW PROJECT	1	1,375	;	1,375
WEBER RIVER PROJECT	103	75	103	75
WASHINGTON				
COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECT	3,991	11,277	3,991	11,277
LOWER ELWHA KLALLAM WAIER SUPPLY FEASIBILITY STUDY	) 	1 4 1 1	300	
STORAGE DAM FISH PASSAGE FEASIBILITY STUDY	200	1 P	700	:

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	RESOURCES FACI	RENCE FACILITIES OM&R
WASHINGTON INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAMYAKIMA PROJECTYAKIMA RIVER BASIN WATER ENHANCEMENT PROJECTYAKIMA RIVER BASIN STORAGE STUDY	470 1,948 9,190	7,107	620 1,948 9,190 1,500	7,107
WYOMING				
KENDRICK PROJECT	19	3,774	19	3,774
NORTH PLATTE PROJECT	25	1,686	25	1,686
SHOSHONE PROJECT	20	1,354	. 20	1,354
WYOMING INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	40	1 1	40	1 ,
VARIOUS				
COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PRJCT, TITLE I	781	9,988	781	9,834
COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PRJCT, TITLE II.	9,064	-	9,314	,
COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT, (CRSP), SECTION 5	8,514	3,333	8,257	3,333
COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT, SECTION 8	1,995	1	1,995	1
COLORADO RIVER WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	450	1 1	450	1
DAM SAFETY PROGRAM:		,		
DEPARTMENT DAM SAFETY PROGRAM	1	1,700	1	1,700
INITIATE SOD CORRECTIVE ACTION	1	38,253	;	37,753
SAFETY EVALUATION OF EXISTING DAMS	1 1	18,000	,	18,000
SAFETY OF DAMS CORRECTIVE ACTION STUDIES	1 1	200	1 1	200
DEPARTMENTAL IRRIGATION DRAINAGE PROGRAM	t 1	1 1	150	;

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

DROUGHT EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE	00 98  64 57 83 50 50 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	2-	451
CY ASSISTANCE		<del>-</del>	451
INTIVES PROGRAM		<del>-</del>	451
ING & DISASTER RESPONSE PROGRAM			451
12, INTERAGENCY COORDINATION			
INTERAGENCY COORDINATION ACTIVITIES 1, ROGRAM ADMINISTRATION			:
PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION			1
EXISTING STRUCTURES	5,920		:
		111	5,920
46 SEISMIC SAFETY PROGF	1,575		1,575
GENERAL PLANNING STUDIES	31	1,931	1 1
LAND RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	31	8,631	:
IVER INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM		564	•
LOWER COLORADO RIVER OPERATIONS PROGRAM	.22	15,322	1
MISCELLANEOUS FLOOD CONTROL OPERATIONS	626	:	626
NATIVE AMERICAN AFFAIRS PROGRAM7,720	20	7,720	1
NATURAL RESOURCES DAMAGE ASSESSMENT	00	300	1
NEGOTIATION & ADMINISTRATION OF WATER MARKETING 1,699	66	1,699	1
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	1,031	156	1,031
PICK-SLOAN MISSOURI BASIN PROGRAM, OTHER PROJECTS 3,589	89 36,019	3,589	36,019
POWFR PROGRAM SERVICES.	.02 226	802	226
PUBLIC ACCESS AND SAFETY PROGRAM		603	1
RECLAMATION LAW ADMINISTRATION		4,144	•
REATION MANAGEMENT	00	300	1
RECREATION AND FISH & WILDLIFE PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION. 1,743	43	1,743	•

363,666

495,815

461,839 366,637 495,815

366,637

TOTAL, WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES........

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES (AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	FACILITIES	RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	RESOURCES FACILITIES RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OM&R MANAGEMENT OM&R
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM:				
IMPROVING WATER & POWER INFRASTRUCTURE RELIABILITY	2,000		2,000	:
IMPROVING WATER DELIVERY RELIABILITY	4,184	•	4,184	:
IMPROVING WATER SUPPLY TECHNOLOGIES	1,800	•	1,800	:
IMPROVING WATER OPERATIONS DECISION SUPPORT TOOLS.	1,450	:	1,450	1
APPLIED SCIENCE/TECHNOLOGY AND DEVELOPMENT	!	:	!	:
DESALINATION RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	100	:	6,500	:
SALT CEDAR IRRADICATION DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM		:	1,050	
SITE SECURITY	1	43,216		43,216
SOIL AND MOISTURE CONSERVATION	290	1	. 290	:
ECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO STATES	2,071	1	2,071	:
ITLE XVI, WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROGRAM	1,530	1	1,655	•
INITED STATES/MEXICO BORDER ISSUES - TECHNICAL SUPPORT	78	:	78	!!!
WATER MANAGEMENT & CONSERVATION PROGRAM	5,580	•	5,680	t ! !
WATER 2025	20,000	:	19,500	•
WETLANDS DEVELOPMENT	:		•	200
INDISTRIBILED REDIICTION RASED ON ANTICIP DELAYS	-36.601	1 1	-59.143	-4,852

### TITLE III—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Department of Energy. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

### CONGRESSIONAL DIRECTION

The conferees support the House language requiring the Secretary to submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development, a quarterly report on the status of all projects, reports, fund transfers, and other actions directed in the House bill and report for the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2005, and in this conference agreement.

### FIVE-YEAR BUDGET PLANNING

The conferees agree with the House language regarding fiveyear budget planning.

### NON-NNSA WORK AT NNSA FACILITIES

Within 90 days of enactment, the conferees direct the Secretary, working with the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA), to put in place written procedures for work taskings originating from non-NNSA program offices in DOE to NNSA laboratories that are consistent with the constraints of Section 3213 of Public Law 106–65, as subsequently modified by Section 3157 of Public Law 106–398, and follow the chain of command (i.e., through the Secretary of Energy and the Administrator of the NNSA to the NNSA field elements) that is clearly specified in those statutes.

### LABORATORY DIRECTED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (LDRD)

The conferees recognize the value of conducting discretionary research at DOE's national laboratories. Such research provides valuable benefits to the Department and to other Federal agencies, and is useful for attracting and retaining scientific talent.

However, the conferees continue to have serious reservations about the financial execution of this program, specifically with how the Department's laboratories levy the LDRD "tax" on work being performed for other agencies (Work for Others). The conferees agree with the concerns detailed in the House report dealing with LDRD and work for others. Beginning with the enactment of this appropriation, DOE shall not advance funds for LDRD based upon work for others, but only provide the LDRD funds to the labs once the Department has received the fund transfers from other agencies to pay for the work.

### REPROGRAMMING GUIDELINES

The conferees require the Department to inform the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations promptly and fully when a change in program execution or funding is required during the fiscal year. A reprogramming includes the reallocation of funds from one activity to another within an appropriation, or any significant departure from a program, project, or activity described in the agency's budget justification as presented to and approved by Congress. For construction projects, a reprogramming constitutes the reallocation of funds from one construction project identified in the justifications to another project or a significant change in the scope of an approved project.

A reprogramming should be made only when an unforeseen situation arises, and then only if delay of the project or the activity until the next appropriations year would result in a detrimental impact to an agency program or priority. The Department should not submit reprogrammings in the fourth quarter of the fiscal year unless necessitated by an unforeseeable change in external circumstances. Reprogrammings may also be considered if the Department can show that significant cost savings can accrue by increasing funding for an activity. Mere convenience or desire should not be factors for consideration.

Reprogrammings should not be employed to initiate new programs or to change program, project, or activity allocations specifically denied, limited, or increased by Congress in the Act or report. In cases where unforeseen events or conditions are deemed to require such changes, proposals shall be submitted in advance to the Committees and be fully explained and justified.

The conferees have not provided statutory language to define the reprogramming guidelines, but do expect the Department to follow the spirit and the letter of the guidance provided in this report. The Committees have not provided the Department with any internal reprogramming flexibility in fiscal year 2005, unless specifically identified in the House or conference reports. Any reallocation of new or prior year budget authority or prior year deobligations must be submitted to the Committees in writing and may not be implemented prior to approval by the Committees on Appropriations.

# REDUCTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMMODATE SPECIFIC PROGRAM DIRECTIONS

The Department is directed to provide a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by March 30, 2005, on the actual application of any general reductions of funding or applications of prior year balances contained in this conference agreement. Such reductions are to be applied proportionately against each program, project, or activity. If necessary, the Department must submit a reprogramming to reallocate funds if the proportional reduction unduly impacts a specific program, project, or activity.

### SMALL BUSINESS PROCUREMENTS

The conferees are concerned that the Department of Energy's current efforts at breaking out procurement requirements for small business contracts do not represent a systematic approach for consideration of small business statutory goals together with other legitimate acquisition objectives. The conference report includes statutory language (General Provisions 312 and 313) requiring the De-

partment to undertake such a systematic approach by utilizing the appropriate consultative process set forth in the Federal Acquisition Regulation.

### **ENERGY SUPPLY**

The conference agreement provides \$946,272,000 for Energy Supply.

### RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES

The conference agreement provides \$389,063,000 for renewable energy resources. As in fiscal year 2004, funds for renewable energy resources shall remain available until expended. The conferees provide \$5,000,000 for the National Center on Energy Management and Building Technologies and direct that this project shall be subject to the cost sharing requirements of a research project rather

than a demonstration project.

Biomass/biofuels.—The

conference agreement \$82,147,000 for biomass and biorefinery systems research and development. The conference agreement includes \$500,000 for the Oxydiesel demonstration program in California and Nevada; \$500,000 for a biorefinery at the Louisiana State University Agricultural Center; \$500,000 for the ThermoEnergy research project at the University of Nevada-Reno; \$500,000 for the Vermont Biomass Energy Center; \$500,000 for the Vermont Biofuels Initiative; \$500,000 for the National Ag-Based Industrial Lubricants Center at the University of Northern Iowa; \$500,000 for the Chariton Valley Biomass Project; \$250,000 for the Eastern Nevada Landscape Coalition for biomass restoration and science-based restoration; \$250,000 for the City of Wells, Nevada, Recycling for Energy Conservation Project; \$1,000,000 for the Center for Biomass Utilization at the University of North Dakota; \$500,000 for the Livingston Parish Alternative Fuel Plant Construction; \$3,000,000 for the Consortium for Plant Biotechnology Research (CPBR); \$200,000 for the Alaska Wood Biomass project; \$3,000,000 for the Mississippi Technology Alliance Alternative Energy Enterprise Program; \$1,500,000 for the Mississippi State University Biodiesel from Feedstocks project; \$2,000,000 for the Kentucky Řural Energy Supply program; \$1,500,000 to the South-Eastern and North-Central Regional Sun Grant Centers for purposes as authorized in H.R. 2673, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2004, which amended Title IX of the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002, for research, extension, and educational programs on biobased energy technologies and products; \$500,000 for the Purdue-Midwest Consortium for Sustainable Biofuels; \$1,000,000 for the Texas A&M Renewable Energy from Animal Biowaste project; \$1,500,000 for the Biotechto-Ethanol Project; \$2,000,000 for the National Biofuel Energy Laboratory; \$1,000,000 for the Research Triangle Biomass project in North Carolina; \$2,000,000 for sugar-based ethanol biorefinery at Louisiana State University; \$200,000 for the SUNY-Morrisville anaerobic digester project; \$3,000,000 through NREL for demonstration for a small-scale biomass system (BioMax); \$1,000,000 for research on anaerobic digestion by the Ohio Agricultural Research Development Center in cooperation with the City of Wooster. The

conference agreement provides \$500,000 for alternative fuel source study in Alabama, \$1,500,000 is provided for a biorefinery and hydrogen fuel cell research in Georgia.

The conferees believe that the Regional Biomass Energy Program (RBEP) has been a successful partnership and provide \$4,000,000 for product development and State and Regional part-

nership activities.

Geothermal.—The conference agreement includes \$25,800,000 for geothermal activities, the same as the budget request. Geopowering the West is funded at current year levels. The Department is directed to maintain funding for university research at the fiscal year 2004 funding level. The conference agreement includes \$500,000 for the Full Circle Project in Lake County, California; \$1,000,000 for geothermal research at the University of Nevada-Reno; \$500,000 for the Tuscarora Geothermal Project; \$300,000 for the Klamath and Lake Counties Geothermal-Agricultural Industrial Park in Oregon; \$750,000 for the Geothermal Mill Redevelopment project in Massachusetts; and \$196,000 for the University of Texas Permian Basin Center for Energy and Economic

Diversification for geothermal research.

Hydrogen.—The conference agreement includes \$95,325,000 for hydrogen activities. No funds are provided for the proposed effort on hydrogen education as these efforts are premature. The conference agreement includes \$2,000,000 for the Fuel Cell Mine Loader and Prototype Locomotive; \$1,000,000 for the Hawaii Hydrogen Center for Development and Deployment of Distributed Energy Systems; \$5,000,000 for the University of Nevada-Las Vegas renewable hydrogen fueling station system; \$3,000,000 for the University of Nevada-Las Vegas for hydrogen storage and fuel cells; \$100,000 for the Zero Emission Bus Demonstration Program Evaluation; \$1,000,000 for the hydrogen fuel cell project for the Regional Transportation Commission of Washoe County, Nevada; \$1,100,000 for the Ohio Distributed Hydrogen Project; \$2,000,000 for the Hydrogen Regional Infrastructure Program; \$2,000,000 for the University of South Carolina Clean Energy Research program; \$1,000,000 for the University of Toledo/Bowling Green Fuel Cell Research project; \$5,000,000 for the California Hydrogen Infrastructure Project, including \$1,000,000 for validation efforts within the Lake Tahoe basin; \$500,000 for Startech plasma conversion technology; \$3,000,000 for fuel cell research at the University of South Florida; \$3,000,000 for the Edison Materials Technology Center to develop improved materials to support the hydrogen economy; and \$2,000,000 for the Florida Hydrogen Initiative; \$5,000,000 should be used to support a competitive solicitation for solid oxide fuel cell research under a cost-shared program to look at the application of solid oxide electrochemical technology for coproduction of hydrogen and electricity and also for storage of electricity through closed and open system regenerative fuel cells.

The conferees strongly support the FreedomCar and Hydrogen

Fuel initiatives.

Hydropower.—The conference agreement provides \$5,000,000 for hydropower. As directed previously, the Department should focus its efforts on completing a limited program of testing and demonstration of new turbine technologies and then transfer these

technologies to other Federal agencies and private sector firms for deployment. The proposed increase for advanced hydropower technology should be funded by the agencies that own and operate the Federal hydropower facilities, not by the Department of Energy.

Solar Energy.—The conference agreement includes \$86,533,000 for solar energy programs. As in prior fiscal years, the conferees have combined the concentrating solar power, photovoltaic energy systems, and solar building technology subprograms into a single program for solar energy, with the control level at the solar energy program account level. The Southeast and Southwest photovoltaic stations are to be funded at current year levels and the conferees direct the Department to continue to support the public-private Million Solar Roofs program. The conferees include \$6,000,000 from within available funds for concentrating solar power. The conference agreement includes \$200,000 for Photovoltaic panels for the Mark Twain House and Museum; \$750,000 for the Solar Technology Center at the University of Nevada-Las Vegas; \$1,500,000 for Photonics Research and Development at the University of Nevada-Las Vegas; \$4,500,000 for the evaluation of solar-powered thermo-chemical production of hydrogen for the University of Nevada-Las Vegas; \$400,000 for the University of Louisville Sustainable Buildings project; \$1,500,000 for the Conductive Coatings for Solar Cells project; and \$250,000 for the Town of Yucca Valley solar energy project (CA).

Wind.—The conference agreement includes \$41,600,000 for wind programs. The conference agreement includes \$500,000 for the North Dakota Hydrogen Wind Pilot Project; \$500,000 for the Great Plains Wind Energy Transmission Development Project; \$1,500,000 for the Alaska Wind Energy project; \$500,000 for the Renewable Energy for Rural Economic Development Program, Utah State University (UT); \$500,000 for the Iowa Lakes Community College wind turbine project; and \$525,000 for the St. Francis

University (PA) wind farm project.

Intergovernmental Activities.—The conference agreement includes \$17,000,000 for renewable support and implementation. This amount includes \$6,500,000 for the international renewable energy program, including \$2,000,000 for the International Utility Electricity Partnership (IUEP), \$5,500,000 for tribal energy, and \$5,000,000 for the Renewable Energy Production Incentive (REPI). The conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe Renewable Energy Park; \$1,000,000 for the Council of Renewable Energy Resource Tribes (CERT); and \$600,000 for the Clean Energy Technology Exports (CETE) initiative. The funds for CETE are provided to the Office of International Energy Market Development in the Department of Energy to carry out a program in support of the multi-Agency Clean Energy Technology Exports Initiative.

Renewable Support and Implementation.—The conference agreement provides \$4,967,000, including \$1,967,000 for departmental energy management and \$3,000,000 to continue the efforts of the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) to develop renewable energy resources uniquely suited to the Southwestern

United States through its virtual site office in Nevada.

National Climate Change Technology Initiative.—The conferees

provide no funds for this initiative.

Facilities and Infrastructure.—The conference agreement provides the requested amount of \$4,800,000 for the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) and includes an additional \$6,680,000 for construction of the new Science and Technology facility at NREL (project 02–E–001).

Program Direction.—The conference agreement includes

\$19,211,000 for program direction.

### ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION

The conference agreement provides \$121,155,000 for Electricity Transmission and Distribution. The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000 to accelerate the operation of the national SCADA testbed at the Idaho National Laboratory. The conferees provide \$5,500,000 for the GridWorks initiative and \$6,500,000 for the GridWise initiative, which includes an additional \$1,500,000 in GridWise for the Northwest Regional Demonstration project. The conference agreement includes: \$750,000 for the Electric Utility Transmission and Distribution Line Engineering Project; \$325,000 for the Pacific Northwest Bi-National Regional Energy Planning Initiative (AK); \$3,000,000 for the Western Environmental Technology Office; \$2,000,000 for the University of Missouri at Rolla electric transmission program; \$1,000,000 for the Smart Energy Management Control Systems project in Alabama; \$1,500,000 for the Northwest Indiana Electric Infrastructure project; \$1,500,000 for the University of Notre Dame for research on ionic fluids for power distribution; \$1,500,000 for the Center for Grid Modernization (PA); \$1,000,000 for the Large Scale Energy Center in Michigan; \$750,000 for research on advanced ceramic engines and materials for energy applications; \$1,000,000 for the National Center for Reliable Electric Power Transmission to develop high power siliconcarbide based power electronics systems (AR); \$2,000,000 to continue development of the bi-polar Ni-Mh wafer cell battery storage system; \$1,500,000 for the Iowa Stored Energy Plant using an underground aquifer; \$2,000,000 for research, development, and demonstration of advanced thermal energy storage technology integrated with renewable thermal energy technology; \$5,000,000 for a Florida state-wide university research initiative on electric power infrastructure and security; \$3,000,000 for research into lead carbon acid asymmetric supercapacitors; and \$400,000 for Dine Power in New Mexico. The conference agreement provides \$500,000 for alternative fuel source study in Alabama.

The conference agreement includes \$10,500,000 for the National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) for energy assurance technology and electric grid modeling activities, including \$3,000,000 for program direction, travel, and other related direct and indirect expenses. An additional \$5,000,000 shall be for NETL to continue the planning, design, and construction of an energy information training facility at Camp Dawson. An additional \$4,000,000 shall be available to continue physical improvements at

the facility.

The conferees agree that the Office of Energy Assurance should be closed and that the functions of that office should be merged with the functions of the Office of Electricity Transmission and Distribution.

### NUCLEAR ENERGY

The conference agreement provides a total of \$513,271,000 for Nuclear Energy. The Office of Nuclear Energy, Science and Technology is the lead office with landlord responsibilities for the Idaho site. Because this site provides considerable support to defense activities and naval nuclear reactors, \$114,347,000 of costs are allocated to other defense activities and \$10,000,000 is allocated to Naval Reactors. Both programs are in the 050 budget function.

The conferees commend the State of South Carolina for recently creating one of the first new graduate nuclear engineering programs in the last 20 years. The conferees provide \$1,500,000 from available funds to support this effort. The conferees also support the efforts of the University of Nevada-Las Vegas to launch a graduate nuclear engineering program and instruct the Department to support this worthy effort.

University reactor fuel assistance and support.—The conference

agreement includes \$24,000,000.

Research and development.—The conference agreement provides \$172,000,000 for nuclear energy research and development activities. The conference agreement includes \$2,500,000 for nuclear energy plant optimization (NEPO) to address the effects of aging on material in nuclear plants, \$2,500,000 for the nuclear energy research initiative (NERI), \$50,000,000 for Nuclear Power 2010, \$40,000,000 for the Generation IV nuclear energy systems initiative, \$9,000,000 for the nuclear hydrogen initiative, and \$68,000,000 for the Advanced Fuel Cycle Initiative (AFCI). These NERI funds are in addition to funds included in the request for other nuclear research and development items.

For Nuclear Power 2010, the conferees direct the Department to focus the resources on the demonstration of the regulatory licensing processes of 10 CFR Part 52 for early site permits, design certifications, and combined construction and operating licenses. This is to be cost-shared with industrial and governmental entities.

Within the funding for Generation IV, the conferees direct that \$25,000,000 be used for the Next Generation Nuclear Plant (NGNP) project. The conferees expect the Department to submit a budget in fiscal year 2006 that is consistent with the goal of demonstrating hydrogen production and electricity generation by 2015 at the Idaho National Laboratory.

Within the Nuclear Hydrogen Initiative, the conferees provide \$4,000,000 to the UNLV Research Foundation to continue research and development of high temperature heat exchangers and chemical processing equipment to permit demonstration of nuclear-pow-

ered production of hydrogen from water.

Within the Advanced Fuel Cycle Initiative, the conferees direct \$7,000,000 to the UNLV Research Foundation for continued research; of this amount, \$3,000,000 is provided for collaborative studies of "deep burn" fuel cycles in advanced nuclear reactor designs. Also within available funds, \$3,000,000 is provided for the Idaho Accelerator Center and \$7,000,000 is provided to develop a Nuclear Energy Materials Test Station at the Los Alamos Neutron

Science Center to advance the technology needed to support the materials and fuel experiments required by the Advanced Fuel Cycle and for the exploration of Generation IV fast neutron spectrum systems.

Radiological Facilities Management.—The Office of Nuclear Energy, Science and Technology operates a variety of facilities and equipment to support the needs of space, defense, and medical customers who obtain radiological materials from the Department of Energy on a reimbursable basis. The conference agreement provides \$69,110,000 for this work.

Space and defense power systems infrastructure.—The conference agreement includes \$33,800,000 to maintain the infrastructure necessary to support future national security needs and National Aeronautics and Space Administration missions.

Medical isotopes infrastructure.—The conference agreement includes \$21,194,000 for the medical isotope program and \$13,616,000 for construction of facility modifications for U-233 disposition at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

Idaho Facilities Management.—The conference agreement provides \$121,527,000 for Idaho National Laboratory operations and infrastructure. This funding covers activities previously funded separately in budget lines for ANL-West and INEEL. The conference agreement provides the requested amount of \$1,523,000 for project 99–E–200, at the Test Reactor Area. Within available funds, \$10,000,000 is provided for capital improvements and operational upgrades to the Advanced Test Reactor. Of these funds, \$8,000,000 is designated for capital improvements, including the ATR Gas Loop and \$2,000,000 is provided for operational systems and upgrades. The conferees provide \$5,000,000 for critical infrastructure upgrades at Argonne National Laboratory-West.

Idaho Sitewide Safeguards and Security.—The conference agreement provides \$58,103,000 for Idaho sitewide safeguards and security.

Spent Nuclear Fuel Management.—The conferees direct the Office of Nuclear Energy, Science and Technology to assume the responsibilities that were proposed for transfer to the Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management. The conference recommendation provides the requested amount of funding, \$6,723,000. Within available funds, \$1,500,000 is provided to inspect and repackage the spent fuel stored at the Lynchburg Technology Center in Virginia.

*Program direction*.—The conference agreement includes \$60,285,000 for program direction.

### ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY, AND HEALTH (NON-DEFENSE)

The conference agreement provides \$28,000,000 for non-defense environment, safety and health activities, which includes \$20,000,000 for program direction. The conference agreement includes the transfer of \$700,000 to the Occupational Health and Safety Administration (OSHA) for the costs of OSHA regulation of worker health and safety at DOE's non-nuclear facilities not covered under the Atomic Energy Act.

### OFFICE OF LEGACY MANAGEMENT (NON-DEFENSE)

The conference agreement provides \$31,130,000 for the Office of Legacy Management, the same as the budget request.

### NON-DEFENSE SITE ACCELERATION COMPLETION

The conference agreement provides \$151,850,000 for Non-Defense Site Acceleration.

2006 Accelerated Completions.—The conference agreement provides \$45,435,000, the same as the budget request.

2012 Accelerated Completions.—The conference agreement provides \$98,191,000, the same as the budget request.

2035 Accelerated Completions.—The conference agreement provides \$8,224,000 for 2035 Accelerated Completions. The Conferees' recommendation includes the requested \$7,773,000 to accelerate remediation of the former Atlas Mill Site in Moab, Utah.

### NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

The conference agreement provides \$291,296,000 for non-defense environmental services.

Community and regulatory support.—The conference agreement provides \$90,000, the same as the budget request.

Environmental cleanup projects.—The conference agreement

provides \$46,083,000, the same as the budget request.

Non-closure environmental activities.—The conference agreement provides \$245,123,000, the same as the budget request. The conferees provide \$100,000,000 for the Depleted Uranium Hexafluoride Conversion Project, Paducah, Kentucky and Portsmouth, Ohio (project 02–U–101), including an additional \$7,400,000 to ensure that conversion and disposition of the accumulated DUF6 is carried out as soon as is safely possible.

## URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND

The conference agreement provides \$499,007,000 for activities funded from the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund.

The Conferees' recommendation provides a total of \$112,178,000 for activities related to the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant. The Conferees provide \$19,421,000 in additional funds to accelerate characterization and disposal of legacy waste stored at the plant, including 50,000 tons of scrap metal and 41,000 drums of low-level waste at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant. The conferees provide \$80,000,000 for uranium and thorium reimbursements.

The Conferees reiterate the fiscal year 2004 conference guidance regarding the barter arrangement.

### SCIENCE

The Science account funds the Department's work on high energy physics, nuclear physics, biological and environmental sciences, basic energy sciences, advanced scientific computing, maintenance of the laboratories' physical infrastructure, fusion en-

ergy sciences, safeguards and security, science workforce development, and science program direction. The conference agreement provides \$3,628,902,000. The conferees encourage the Department to request sufficient funds for the Office of Science in fiscal year 2006 to operate user facilities for as much time as possible, to enhance user support, and to upgrade essential equipment at the Department's Science user facilities.

The conferees reiterate their support for broader participation by universities in DOE's research programs, including existing user facilities and potential new user facilities. The conferees are aware of the Office of Science's strategy for future facilities. Where existing facilities provide capabilities critical to a new user facility, colocation is appropriate; where this is not the case, the location of new user facilities should be openly competed. Regardless of location, broad participation in design by staff from national laboratories, user faculty from colleges, universities, and industrial investigators and groups should be sought. All of these user groups must

have access to these capabilities on a competitive basis.

High energy physics.—The conference agreement provides \$741,629,000 for high energy physics research. The control level is at the High Energy Physics level. The conferees encourage the Department to proceed with the Dark Energy Mission even if the primary science of the mission and mission development must be pursued by the Department so as to avoid schedule delays resulting from implementing the mission jointly with NASA. International cooperation and appropriate launch arrangements should be pursued where appropriate. The conferees recognize that an excellent and energized science team has been assembled for this exciting mission. Within available funds, the conferees redirect \$5,000,000 from the Science Laboratories Infrastrucuture construction funds at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center MEL—001 Subproject 36 to the High Energy Physics account for the research program at SLAC.

*Nuclear physics.*—The conference agreement provides \$408,040,000 for nuclear physics. An additional \$5,000,000 is provided to continue research and development and initiate concept design activities for the Rare Isotope Accelerator, and an additional \$7,000,000 is provided to increase utilization of the user facilities

in the Nuclear Physics program.

Biological and environmental research.—The conference agreement includes \$576,590,000 for biological and environmental research. The conference agreement provides an additional \$10,000,000 to initiate Project Engineering and Design for the proposed new facility for the production and characterization of proteins and molecular tags. The Conferees do not agree with the Department's strategy of restricting competition for such a facility to only the DOE national laboratories. The Department should present in the fiscal year 2006 budget request an alternate procurement strategy for this and future Genomes to Life (GTL) facilities that will maximize rather than limit competition and will allow universities and other entities to compete with DOE national laboratories for these new GTL facilities. The Department is encouraged to consult with NASA, which for decades has conducted competitions for the development of research instrumentation among

universities, NASA, DOE, and other government laboratories, and

other entities including for-profit corporations.

The conference agreement includes \$2,000,000 for a science building at Waubonsee Community College in Illinois; \$1,000,000 for digital playback hardware and software for recording for the blind and dyslexic; \$600,000 for All Children's Hospital in Florida; \$300,000 for Eckerd College in Florida; \$2,000,000 for Applied Research and Technology Park electrical and communication infrastructure improvements in Springfield, Ohio; \$250,000 for a Multiple Sclerosis, Alzheimer's, Parkinson's, Lou Gehrig's Imaging System at the Cleveland Clinic in Ohio; \$125,000 for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy research-related equipment at Children's National Medical Center in the District of Columbia; \$125,000 for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy research-related equipment at the University of Washington-Seattle: \$500,000 for the Northeast Regional Cancer Center in Scranton, Pennsylvania; \$250,000 for Ohio State University for environmental research in cooperation with Earth University; \$125,000 for the University of Akron, Ohio, Polymer Center; \$125,000 for the Ohio Northern University, Ada, Ohio, Science and Pharmacy Building; \$250,000 for the Alabama A&M University; \$600,000 for University of Texas at Arlington optical medical imaging equipment; \$1,000,000 for the Missouri Alternative and Renewable Energy Technology Center, Crowder College; \$600,000 for the San Antonio, Texas, Cancer Research and Therapy Center; \$250,000 for the University of South Alabama Cancer Center; \$1,250,000 for the Virginia Commonwealth University Massey Cancer Center; \$250,000 for the Saint Francis Hospital, Delaware, Cardiac Catheterization Lab; \$450,000 for the Jacksonville University Environmental Science Center; \$600,000 for the Houston, Texas, Alliance for Nanohealth; \$250,000 for the Virginia Science Museum; \$1,000,000 for the Polly Ryon Memorial Hospital, Texas; \$250,000 for the St. Thomas University Minority Science Center, Miami, Florida; \$500,000 for Project Intellicare, Roseville, California; \$250,000 for the Virginia Polytechnic Institute Center for High-Performance Learning Environment; \$500,000 for Georgia State University; \$700,000 for the Michigan Research Institute for life science research; \$700,000 for the University of Arizona Environment and Natural Resources Phase II Facility; \$250,000 for the Children's Hospital of Illinois ambulatory care project; \$700,000 for the Loma Linda University, California, Medical Center synchrotron expansion; \$250,000 for the University of Dubuque, Iowa, Environmental Science Center; \$250,000 for the Ball State University, In-Bioenergetics Research Initiative; \$600,000 for Clearfield Area School District, Pennsylvania, Energy Initiative; \$500,000 for Digital Cardiology equipment at Children's Hospital and Research Center, Oakland, California; \$750,000 for the National Childhood Cancer Foundation; \$250,000 for the Roswell Park Cancer Institute, New York, Center for Genetics and Pharmacology; \$250,000 for Bucknell University, Pennsylvania, Materials Science Laboratory; \$1,000,000 for the Science Center at Mystic Seaport, Connecticut; \$250,000 for the Saratoga Hospital, New York, radiation therapy center; \$600,000 for the San Joaquin Community Hospital, Bakersfield, California; \$700,000 for the Syracuse University, New York, Environmental Systems Center; \$600,000

for the University of Tennessee Sim Center; and \$250,000 for the

St. Mary's Hospital, Kankakee, Illinois.

The conference agreement includes \$575,000 for the Derby Center for Science and Mathematics at Lyon College in Arkansas; \$1,000,000 for the Rush Presbyterian St. Luke's Medical Center in Illinois; \$1,000,000 for Medical Research and Robotics at the University of Southern California; \$750,000 for the Advanced Building Efficiency Testbed at Carnegie Mellon University; \$1,000,000 for DePaul University Biological Sciences; \$500,000 for the Philadelphia Educational Advancement Alliance; \$750,000 for Northwestern University Institute of Bioengineering and Nanoscience in Medicine; \$500,000 for the Rensselaer Polytechnical Institute Center for Bioscience; \$750,000 for St. Peter's Biotechnical Research in New Jersey; \$160,000 for the Berkshire Environmental Center in Massachusetts; \$500,000 for the Center for the Environment at the University of Massachusetts; \$1,000,000 for technical upgrades at St. Joseph Hospital in Arizona; \$515,000 for the Center for Science at the University of San Francisco in California; \$1,000,000 for Augsburg College in Minnesota; \$1,000,000 for the Bronx Community Center for Sustainable Energy; \$500,000 for Marquette General Hospital in Marquette, Michigan; \$1,500,000 for the Illinois-Indiana Super-Grid Program connecting Argonne National Laboratory and Purdue and Notre Dame Universities; \$1,000,000 for the Purdue Calumet Water Environmental Institute; \$1,000,000 for the Multi-Discipline Engineering Institute at Notre Dame in Indiana; and \$1,000,000 for the Energy Efficiency Project at Valparaiso University in Indiana.

The conference agreement provides \$11,000,000 for the Mental Illness and Neuroscience Discovery Institute in New Mexico; \$1,800,000 for Military Spirit in New Mexico; \$2,000,000 for the Academic Center Sustainable Design Project at St. Francis College, New York; \$3,000,000 for the University of Louisville Pediatric Clinical Proteomic Center; \$2,000,000 for the University of Louisville Institute for Advanced Materials; \$2,000,000 for the Advanced Bioreactor located in Butte, Montana; \$1,200,000 to expand the Center for Integrated and Applied Environmental Toxicology at the University of Southern Maine; \$500,000 for the University of Tennessee Cancer Institute; and \$500,000 for St. Jude Children's Re-

search Hospital in Tennessee.

The conference agreement includes \$250,000 for the Huntsman Cancer Institute; \$500,000 for the Mega-Voltage Cargo Imaging Development Applications for the Nevada Test Site; \$500,000 for the California Hospital Medical Center PET/CT Fusion Imaging System; \$500,000 for the Luci Curci Cancer Center Linear Accelerator; \$500,000 for Project Intellicare in California; \$750,000 for the University Medical Center in Las Vegas, Nevada; \$500,000 for the Southern California Water Education Center; \$500,000 for Live Cell Molecular Imaging System at the University of Connecticut; \$500,000 for the St. Francis Hospital Wilmington, Delaware, MRI and Cardiac Catherization Laboratory; \$500,000 for the University of Delaware for the Delaware Biology Institute; \$500,000 for the University of Nevada-Las Vegas School of Public Health; \$250,000 for the Latino Development and Technology Center; \$250,000 for the Swedish American Health Systems; \$250,000 for DePaul Uni-

versity Chemistry Lab Renovation Project; \$250,000 for the Edward Hospital Cancer Center; \$500,000 for the Mary Bird Perkins Cancer Center; \$500,000 for the Morgan State University Center for Environmental Toxicology; \$500,000 for the Suburban Hospital in Montgomery County, Maryland; \$500,000 for the University of Massachusetts at Boston Multidisciplinary Research Facility and Library; \$500,000 for the Martha's Vineyard Hospital; \$750,000 for the Nevada Cancer Institute; \$500,000 for the Mercy Hospital Grayling, Michigan Rural Healthcare Advancement Initiative; \$750,000 for the Health Sciences Complex at Creighton University; \$500,000 for the Hackensack University Medical Center Women and Children's Pavilion; \$500,000 for the Kennedy Health System Linear Accelerator; \$750,000 for the University of Buffalo Center of Excellence in Bioinformatics; \$500,000 for the Hospital for Special Surgery National Center for Musculoskeletal Research: \$500,000 for the New University in New York City; \$500,000 for the Radiochemistry research facility at the University of Nevada-Las Vegas; \$250,000 for the Hauptman-Woodward Medical Research Institute; \$1,000,000 for the Vermont Institute of Natural Science; and \$750,000 for the Tahoe Center for Environmental Services.

Molecular Medicine.—The conferees continue to support research that brings together PET imaging, systems biology and nanotechnology to develop new molecular imaging probes. These probes should provide a biological diagnosis of disease that it informative of the molecular basis of disease and specific for guiding the development of new molecular therapies.

The conferees are concerned about consequence mitigation activities and public health impacts associated with the threat of any radiological event and strongly encourage the Department to develop therapeutic radiological countermeasures to protect against exposure to the effects of ionizing radiation. The conferees are aware of the potential of inositol radiation and encourages the Department to support research of this emerging technology. The conferees recommend that the Department fund medical therapy research and other treatment options to protect the public health against radiation exposure.

The conferees strongly support the Department's efforts to maintain the scientific infrastructure of the Nation's structural biology assets, and encourage the Department to work to address the needs within the broader community. The Department should continue to work constructively with the non- profit entity operating the X4A and X4C beamlines to fund state-of-the-art detectors, goniometers, and automated sample changing equipment, using available funds.

Basic Energy Sciences.—The conference agreement includes \$1,113,530,000 for Basic Energy Sciences. The conference agreement includes \$628,228,000 for materials sciences and engineering research, and \$253,422,000 for chemical sciences, geosciences, and energy biosciences. For purposes of reprogramming during fiscal year 2005, the Department may allocate funding among all operating accounts within Basic Energy Sciences. The conference agreement also provides the request of \$7,673,000 for the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (EPSCoR).

Advanced scientific computing research.—The conference agreement includes \$234,340,000 for advanced scientific computing research (ASCR), an increase of \$30,000,000 over the budget request, with not more than \$25,000,000 devoted to hardware. The conferees support the House Report language on ASCR.

Science laboratories infrastructure.—The conference agreement provides \$42,336,000 for science laboratories infrastructure, including an additional \$5,000,000 to correct safety deficiencies at Science laboratories, and \$6,100,000 additional for excess facilities

disposal.

The conference agreement provides the requested amounts of \$1,766,000 for infrastructure support, \$5,079,000 for Oak Ridge landlord costs, and \$24,391,000 for construction of various infrastructure projects (MEL-001). Of this increase, \$5,000,000 additional is provided to continue infrastructure subproject 18 under MEL-001 to support continuing activities at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory to replace the infrastructure being displaced by the closure of the 300 Area at the Hanford site.

Fusion energy sciences.—The conference agreement includes \$276,110,000 for fusion energy sciences, an increase of \$12,000,000 over the budget request. The additional \$12,000,000 is to be used to increase the utilization of existing large and small experiments; further work in inertial fusion technology; take advantage of opportunities in High Energy Density Physics, including research on fast ignition, and large-scale scientific computing; and provide for cost-effective construction and development of the National Compact Stellarator Experiment. The conference notes the delay in site selection for the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) and directs the Department to reduce its planned expenditures on ITER in fiscal year 2005 in consideration of this delay.

Safeguards and security.—The conference agreement includes \$73,315,000 for safeguards and security activities at laboratories

and facilities managed by the Office of Science.

Science workforce development.—The conference agreement provides the requested amount of \$7,660,000 for science workforce development. The conferees encourage the Department to provide funds and technical expertise for high school students to participate in the For Inspiration and Recognition of Science and Technology (FIRST) robotics competition.

Science program direction.—The conference agreement includes \$155,268,000 for science program direction. This amount includes \$89,341,000 for field offices and \$65,927,000 for headquarters. The control level for fiscal year 2005 is at the program account level of

Science Program Direction.

Funding adjustments.—The conference agreement includes an offset of \$5,605,000 for the safeguards and security charge for reimbursable work, as proposed in the budget request. The conference agreement also includes the use of \$5,062,000 of prior year balances.

### NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement provides \$346,000,000 for Nuclear Waste Disposal. When combined with the \$231,000,000 appropriated from the Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal account, a total

of \$577,000,000 will be available for program activities in fiscal year 2005. The conferees have provided \$2,000,000 for the State of Nevada and \$8,000,000 for the Affected Units of Local Government (AULG) for project oversight.

### DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$332,866,000 for Departmental Administration expenses. Including a transfer of \$92,440,000 from Other Defense Activities, and revenues of \$122,000,000, the same as estimated by the Congressional Budget Office, this results in a net appropriation of \$118,426,000.

Specific funding levels for each Departmental organization are

provided in the accompanying table.

Reprogramming guidelines.—The conference agreement provides reprogramming authority of \$1,000,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less, within the Departmental Administration account without prior submission of a reprogramming to be approved by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. No individual program account may be increased or decreased by more than this amount during the fiscal year using this reprogramming authority. Congressional notification within 30 days of the use of this reprogramming authority is required. Transfers which would result in increases or decreases in excess of \$1,000,000 or 10 percent to an individual program account require prior notification and approval.

### OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement provides \$41,508,000 for the Inspector General.

### ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

### NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

### WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

### $(INCLUDING\ TRANSFER\ OF\ FUNDS)$

The National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA), a semiautonomous agency within the Department of Energy, manages the Nation's nuclear weapons, nuclear nonproliferation, and naval reactors activities.

The conference agreement provides \$6,526,471,000 for Weapons Activities.

Availability of funds.—The conference agreement makes funds

available until expended.

Directed stockpile work (DSW).—The conference agreement includes \$1,316,936,000 for directed stockpile work. The conference agreement provides \$460,754,000 for DSW Life Extension Programs. The conference agreement provides \$511,095,000 for DSW Stockpile Systems and \$75,000,000 for DSW Retired Warheads Stockpile systems. The conferees do not provide \$9,000,000 for advanced concepts research on new weapons designs, but the same

amount is made available for the Reliable Replacement Warhead program to improve the reliability, longevity, and certifiability of existing weapons and their components. The conference agreement provides \$270,087,000 for DSW Stockpile services. No funds have been provided for the Robust Nuclear Earth Penetrator (RNEP).

The Conferees support a degree of flexibility in executing this budget by providing limited reprogramming authority within Directed Stockpile Work [DSW]. The control levels for the DSW pro-

gram are:

(1) Life Extension Programs;

(2) Stockpile Systems;

(3) Retired Warhead Stockpile Systems; and

(4) Stockpile Services.

Campaigns.—Funding for individual campaigns is shown on the accompanying table. From within funds provided for the various campaigns, \$4,350,000 is provided for the University Research

Program in Robotics.

For science campaigns, the conference agreement provides \$279,462,000. The conference agreement provides \$73,973,000 for primary assessment technologies; \$86,521,000 for dynamic materials properties program and \$55,371,000 for the advanced radiography program. The conference provided \$63,597,000 for secondary assessment technologies.

Within available funds, the conferees provide \$7,500,000 to support Dynamic Materials Properties at the Nevada Test Site [NTS], experiments on dynamic materials properties at the Atlas facility and plutonium experiments at the Joint Actinide Shock

Physics Experimental facility [JASPER].

Within available funds, the Department is directed to work with the UNLV Research Foundation to organize and lead a consortium of universities to design, prepare, and conduct experiments on the Atlas Machine.

Within Primary Assessment Technologies, NNSA is directed to fund the Nevada Test Site [NTS] to maintain the critical personnel skills and institutional viability in direct support of the subcritical

experiment program.

The conference agreement provides \$260,830,000 for engineering campaigns. The conference agreement for the enhanced surety campaign is \$33,121,000. The conference agreement for the weapons system engineering assessment technology is \$27,270,000. The conference agreement for nuclear survivability is \$9,460,000 and the conference recommendation for enhanced surveillance campaign is \$99,879,000.

Engineering campaign construction projects.—The conference agreement provides \$86,500,000 for Project 01–D–108, Microsystem and engineering science applications (MESA) at Sandia, in New

Mexico.

Inertial Confinement Fusion Ignition and High Yield.—The conference agreement includes \$541,034,000 for the inertial confinement fusion ignition and high yield program. This represents a \$25,000,000 cut of the NIF project baseline. An additional \$46,000,000 is provided to support expanded research in non-NIF related ICF research including petawatt and high-energy petawatt laser development. Funding also enables continued development of

the beryllium shell targets currently envisioned for ignition demonstrations in 2010. This target, if successful, may enable advancement of the 2014 date for ignition specified in the budget request documentation, a date which represents a 4 year slip from the original goal of 2010. Since demonstration of ignition by 2010 was the rationale provided for construction of NIF under the current baseline funding, the conference is extremely concerned with suggestions of major delay in that date and requires that effort focus on achieving that goal on the timescale originally proposed. Until very recently, the beryllium shell and fill tube design was not considered viable, but it is now viewed by the program managers as the best option for regaining the 2010 ignition goal. Significant risks are associated with this design however, which is why this target design was not considered earlier in the program. To estimate the probability of success for this new target design, the conference mandates that a full review of NIF progress and the use and promise of this target be accomplished by an outside panel of experts, the JASONs, to validate the current NIF construction baseline and the outlook for ignition with this target design. As part of this validation, experiments should be designed and completed on alternative drivers, such as LLE at the University of Rochester and the Z machine at Sandia National Laboratories, to increase confidence in the performance of this target. The conference further requires that these experiments, as well as the JASON review, be used to develop a position paper authored by the NNSA Laboratory and LLE Directors by June 2005, discussing the promise of this target design to achieve ignition on the original schedule of 2010, 4 years ahead of the date specified in the current Budget. The conference is also aware that the laser glass used in the Japanese GEKKO program, which is identical to the optics used in the NIF project, has significantly degraded in efficiency over time. The conference requests the JASONs undertake a study utilizing the Japanese laser optic operations as a measure to determine if the NIF laser optics are performing as originally estimated and what impact this will have on the project, the ability to achieve ignition by 2010 and the overall lifecycle costs of replacing the optics more frequently. The conference provides \$5,000,000 for the development of advanced target fabrication and diagnostic techniques required to support experiments at Omega, Z machine and NIF employing advanced materials. Target fabrication and manufacturing capabilities are critical in fielding increasingly sophisticated experiments.

Petawatt Lasers.—The conference recommendation includes an additional \$6,000,000 for university grants and other support. Of this amount, \$3,000,000 is provided for continued development of the petawatt laser at the University of Texas at Austin; \$1,000,000 is provided for an optical parametric chirped pulse amplifier upgrade and associated operations of the short pulse laser at the University of Nevada, Reno; \$1,000,000 is provided to the University of Nevada, Reno to continue its collaboration with Sandia National Laboratories on highly diagnosed studies of exploding wire arrays and implosion dynamics; and \$1,000,000 is provided for research using the Z- Beamlet laser at Sandia National Laboratories under the Z-Petawatt Consortium that includes the University of Texas

at Austin, the University of California, San Diego, the University of California, Davis, the University of Nevada, Reno, the University of Michigan, the University of Rochester, Ohio State University

and the General Atomics Corporation.

Inertial Fusion Technology.—The conferees also include \$25,000,000 to continue development of high average power lasers and supporting science and technology, the budget request for the Naval Research Laboratory, and \$73,469,000 for the University of Rochester, an increase of \$28,000,000 over the budget request. The additional funding is provided to the University of Rochester's Laboratory for Laser Energetics for the OMEGA Extended Performance (EP) Facility in support of the nation's stockpile stewardship program. The conference recommendation includes \$9,000,000 to initiate double-shift operations and assessments and initial development and testing of Z-pinch inertial fusion energy. The conference recommendation includes \$1,000,000 to the University of Nevada-Reno for magnetized plasma/laser interaction studies at the Nevada Terawatt Facility, using the Zebra pulse power machine and the Leopard short pulse laser system.

National Ignition Facility.—Within the funds provided, \$130,000,000 is for National Ignition Facility (NIF) construction,

Project 96-D-111.

Advanced Simulation and Computing.—The conference agreement provides \$703,760,000. From within available funds for advanced simulation and computing, \$10,000,000 is provided for the Ohio Supercomputing Center high-end computer network at its Springfield, Ohio site; \$2,500,000 is provided to complete Phase I of the demonstration project of three-dimensional chip scale packaging integrated with spray cooling at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. The conferees direct the University Partnerships program be funded at the budget request.

For the pit manufacturing and certification campaign, the conference agreement provides \$265,671,000. The conference agreement provides \$132,005,000 for W88 pit manufacturing and \$60,960,000 for W88 pit certification, the same as the budget request. Providing the requested level of funding will ensure that the NNSA maintains its commitment to produce a certified W88 pit by 2007. The conference agreement provides \$13,500,000 for Pit Manufacturing Capability and \$7,000,000 for Modern Pit Facility. The conferees agree that funding for Modern Pit Facility cannot be used

to select a construction site in fiscal year 2005.

For readiness campaigns, the conference agreement provides \$272,627,000. The conference agreement provides \$45,812,000 for the Stockpile readiness campaign. High explosives weapons operations is funded at \$34,220,000. The conference agreement provides \$32,957,000 for the non-nuclear readiness campaign. The conference agreement provides \$79,788,000 for the advanced design and production technologies campaign. Funding for the tritium readiness campaign is the same as the budget request. The Conferees are aware of the successful partnership between the NNSA and the University of Nevada-Las Vegas and the University of Nevada-Reno that have been fostered through a series of cooperative agreements. The Department is encouraged to renew these agreements at higher levels as appropriate.

Readiness in technical base and facilities.—For readiness in technical base and facilities, the conference agreement provides

\$1,121,557,000 for operations of facilities.

Within funds provided for operations of facilities, the conferees provide an additional \$45,000,000 for the Pantex Plant in Texas; and an additional \$50,000,000 for the Y-12 Plant in Oak Ridge, Tennessee; and an additional \$5,000,000 for the Kansas City Plant. For Program Readiness, the conference agreement provides \$106,204,000.

Within available funds, an additional \$5,000,000 is provided to support the operation for the facilities at the Nevada Test Sites, including the Device Assembly Facility, the Joint Actinide Shock Physics Experimental facility, operations associated with the Atlas relocation project, U1a operations, general plant projects and other NTS support facilities. Finally, the conferees provide an additional \$1,000,000 to the Nevada Site Office for testing and enablement of water filters to mitigate consequences of radionuclides in drinking water. The conference agreement includes an additional \$13,000,000 within the funds provided for modification of the Z-Beamlett laser at the Z Pinch at Sandia National Laboratory.

For continued facility upgrades, refurbishments, operation and maintenance costs associated with and for the National Center for Combating Terrorism [NCCT] at the Nevada Test Site, an additional \$25,000,000 is provided. Within the funds provided for NCCT, the conference agreement includes \$2,500,000 to the UNLV Research Foundation to support the ongoing programs of the Institute for Security Studies including research and development, training and collaborative activities related to combating terrorism, emergency response and consequence management. The recommendation also includes, within funds provided, \$2,500,000 for the UNR Fire Sciences Academy.

For Special Projects, the conference agreement provides \$41,500,000. Within the available funds, \$3,000,000 for magnetized high energy density matter research at the Nevada Terawatt facility at the University of Nevada-Reno; and \$1,000,000 to continue the ongoing administration infrastructure support grant for the UNLV Research Foundation; \$750,000 to the UNLV Research Foundation to establish and certify a radioanalytical services laboratory to support emergency management training activities and actual radiological events; \$10,000,000 for settlement of claims for the Pajarito Plateau homesteaders pertaining to acquisition of their lands and property during the Manhattan Project; and \$8,000,000 for Los Alamos County Schools Program.

From within available funds, \$5,000,000 for National Energy Technology Laboratory to use the Plasma Separation Process to develop high energy isomers and isotopes for energy storage and utilization. From within available funds, the conferees provide \$2,000,000 for the Airborne Particulate Threat Assessment program; \$2,000,000 for the Secure Wireless Technology Program; \$1,000,000 for the Total Asset Management (TAMS) program; \$2,000,000 for Integrated Collaborative Prototyping for Y-12; and \$2,000,000 for development of multi-platform dosimeter Radiation Detection devices. The conference provides \$2,000,000 for the Na-

tional Center for Biodefense at George Mason University in Vir-

The conference agreement includes \$86,965,000 for materials recycle and recovery, the same as the budget request. The conferees continue to be concerned about the fire station support at the Nevada Test Site and are pleased by the decision to use a design-build acquisition strategy for the fire station and encourage completion at the earliest possible time within the funding that has been provided. The conference agreement also includes an additional \$16,000,000 for the Los Alamos National Laboratory for the CMR Building 04–D–125.

The conference agreement includes the budget request of

\$17,910,000 for containers and \$18,982,000 for storage.

Construction projects.

Project 05–D–140, Project engineering and design (PED)— RTBF, various locations. The conferees provide \$16,600,000, an increase of \$5,000,000. The additional PED funds are provided to begin planning for impact-resistant bunkers for additional warhead storage facilities for nuclear warheads with conventional high explosives at the Pantex Plant in Texas.

Project 04–D–125, Chemistry and Metallurgy Research Facility Replacement (CMRR)—LANL. The conference provides \$40,000,000

for the CMRR project.

Project 01-D-124, Highly Enriched Uranium Materials Facility, Y-12 National Security Complex, Oak Ridge, TN. The conference provides \$114,000,000, an increase of \$50,000,000 over the budget request.

Facilities and Infrastructure Recapitalization.—The conference agreement includes \$316,224,000 for the facilities and infrastruc-

ture (F&I) recapitalization program.

Secure Transportation Asset.—The conference agreement provides \$201,300,000 for secure transportation asset. The conference agreement provides \$57,427,000 for STA program direction. Consistent with the new triad as outlined in the Nuclear Posture Review, NNSA is working to create a responsive infrastructure to deliver needed facilities at lower cost and with greater speed. The conference encourages NNSA to explore opportunities for third party financing where appropriate.

Nuclear Weapons Incident Response.—The conference agreement provides \$99,209,000 for nuclear weapons incident response.

Safeguards and Security.—The conference agreement includes \$757,678,000, for safeguards and security activities at laboratories and facilities managed by the National Nuclear Security Administration. The conferees provide an additional \$30,000,000 at the Y-12 plant in Tennessee to accelerate security infrastructure upgrades and consolidate the facility footprint. The conferees provide an additional \$20,000,000 for the expansion of the red network at Los Alamos National Laboratory to reduce the necessity for CREM.

Funding Adjustments.—The conference agreement includes an adjustment of \$30,000,000 for a security charge for reimbursable work, as proposed in the budget, and the use of \$86,000,000 in prior year balances.

### DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION

The conference agreement provides \$1,420,397,000 for Defense

Nuclear Nonproliferation.

Nonproliferation and Verification Research and Development.— The conference agreement provides \$225,750,000 for nonproliferation and verification research and development. The conference agreement includes \$20,000,000 for ground-based systems for trea-

ty monitoring.

The conferees provide an additional \$5,000,000 within Supporting Activities to support the ongoing regulatory and environmental activities for 300 Area replacement at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory that will allow PED to occur on an accelerated schedule. The conferees direct the Office of Nuclear Nonproliferation to coordinate closely with the Office of Science on the transition schedule and construction plans to maintain the national security capabilities resident at PNNL. From within available funds, the conferees provide \$2,000,000 for testing of high-pressure xenon radiation detectors at the Brookhaven National Laboratory Rad-Tech facility for portal applications. From within the funds provided, the conference recommendation includes \$3,500,000 for the University of Nevada-Reno for the development of state-of-the-art chemical, biological, and nuclear detection sensors. The conference recommendation includes the \$2,000,000 for the UNLV research Foundation to continue to establish and operate within the Institute for Security Studies and applied research and technology capability in support for the effort to combat terrorism. An additional \$500,000 is provided to support nanomaterial research related to sensor applications. The conference recognizes the unique mission capability the Los Alamos National Laboratory possesses through work performed at Technical Area 18 [TA-18] in areas of nuclear threat detection and response. In light of these important national security activities, the conferees direct the Secretary to perform an assessment as to the LANL role in managing the future CAT III/ IV mission.

Nonproliferation and International Security.—The conference agreement provides \$154,000,000 for nonproliferation and international security. The conferees include \$20,000,000 for the Global Threat Reduction Initiative. The conferees provide \$10,000,000 for initiatives focused on removing nuclear weapons-usable materials from vulnerable sites around the world. These activities are essential to prevent terrorist groups or states hostile to the United States from acquiring destructive nuclear capabilities. The Administrator, working with the Secretary, must utilize the NNSA's strength in the inter-agency process to become the lead agency for all such government activities worldwide. From within available funds, the conference agreement provides \$150,000 to continue the collaboration between Texas A&M and Russian universities on nuclear facilities safety and decontamination and decommissioning technologies.

### NON-PROLIFERATION PROGRAMS WITH RUSSIA

The conferees are disappointed the Administration has failed to negotiate an acceptable solution for liability to allow the MOX program to move forward this year. As a result, another construction season will be missed in Russia. Not only does this raise serious concern from a national security standpoint, but it will also have serious repercussions here in the United States as a result of maintaining parity of the two construction schedules. The conferees strongly urge the Administration to find an acceptable solution in the near term in order to successfully complete negotiations and allow construction of both the Russian and United States mixed

oxide fuel fabrication facilities to begin.

 $International \ Materials \ Protection, \ Control \ and \ Cooperation$ (MPC&A).—The conference recommendation is \$322,000,000 for the MPC&A program, an increase of \$84,000,000 over the budget request. The Conferees provide additional funds for MPC&A to accelerate securing nuclear warhead sites in Russia, begin MPC&A upgrades at the Russian Federation serial production enterprise sites and provide additional resources for the Second Line of Defense program to accelerate installation activities in the Baltic and Caucasus regions and other critical border activities. The conference provides the budget request within the Second Line of Defense program for the MegaPorts initiative. An increase of \$50,000,000 is provided for other high priority MPC&A activities, to include countries outside the FSU.

Russian Transition Initiatives.—The conference agreement provides \$41,000,000, for the Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention

(IPP) program and the Nuclear Cities Initiative (NCI).

HEU Transparency Implementation.—The conference agreement provides \$20,950,000, the same as the budget request.

Elimination of Weapons-Grade Plutonium Production.—The conference agreement includes \$40,097,000 for the elimination of

weapons-grade plutonium production program.

Fissile Materials Disposition.—The conference agreement provides \$624,000,000 for fissile materials disposition, the same level authorized in the fiscal year 2005 defense authorization bill. Funding of \$159,700,000 is provided for U.S. surplus materials disposition and \$64,000,000 for the Russian plutonium disposition program.

Construction Projects.—The conference recommendation includes \$368,000,000 for Project 99-D-143, the Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication facility project. Funding of \$32,300,000 is provided for Project 99–D–141, the Pit Disassembly and Conversion Facility

project

Off-Site Source Recovery Project.—The conference agreement provides \$7,600,000 for Off-Site Source Recovery Project. The conferees provide an additional \$2,000,000 for the Nuclear and Other Hazardous Materials Transportation Research Project at South Carolina State University's Transportation Center.

### NAVAL REACTORS

The conference agreement provides \$807,900,000 for Naval Reactors, an increase of \$10,000,000 over the budget request. The conferees agree to transfer the additional \$10,000,000 to the Office of Nuclear Energy to support the Idaho National Laboratory's Advanced Test Reactor.

### OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

The conference agreement provides \$356,200,000 for the Office of the Administrator, \$22,500,000 above the request.

The conference recommendation provides \$12,000, the same as the budget request, for official reception and representation ex-

penses for the NNSA.

Within the Office of the Administrator, the conferees provide \$22,500,000 to support the Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs) scientific and technical programs. The conferees concur with the House language directing the HBCUs and Hispanic Serving Institutions (HSIs) receive financial support in rough parity on a year-to-year basis. The conference recommendation includes \$2,000,000 each for Wilberforce University and Central State University in Wilberforce, Ohio; and \$2,000,000 each for Claflin College in Orangeburg, SC and Allen University in Columbia, SC; \$500,000 each for Morris College in Sumter, SC and Benedict College in Columbia, SC; and \$1,000,000 for Voorhees College in Denmark, SC and \$2,000,000 for Morehouse College Dansby Hall Minority Science Center.

### ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

### DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement for Defense Environmental Manage-

ment totals \$7,034,405,000.

The conferees are aware that the Department of Defense has utilized guaranteed fixed price remediation (GFPR) to remediate contaminated properties. The conferees note the significant cost-savings experienced by the Department of Defense using GFPR, and believe that the Department of Energy may be able to achieve similar savings and benefits. In order to better assess the opportunity for cost savings by the Department of Energy, the conferees direct the Department to submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate, by May 1, 2005, a report as to the feasibility of applying GFPR to remediation activities undertaken or planned by the Department.

### DEFENSE SITE ACCELERATION COMPLETION

The conference agreement for Defense Site Acceleration Com-

pletion in fiscal year 2005 is \$6,096,429,000.

Accelerated Completions, 2006.—The conference agreement provides \$1,264,999,000. This funding supports the closure by the year 2006 of the Rocky Flats, West Jefferson, Fernald, Miamisburg, and Ashtabula sites, and the completion of significant cleanup projects at various other sites such as Melton Valley, Kansas City, and Savannah River. The additional \$13,200,000 is provided to accelerate low-level waste shipments during fiscal year 2005 from the Miamisburg Closure Project.

Accelerated Completions, 2012.—The conference agreement provides \$2,150,641,000.

Accelerated Completions, 2035.—The conference agreement provides \$1,904,339,000. This amount includes the requested funding of \$43,827,000 for construction of the Glass Waste Storage

Building #2 at SRS (project 04-D-408) and the requested funding for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, the Idaho Cleanup Project, the Y–12 and Oak Ridge National Laboratory sites, Hanford and the Office of River Protection, Savannah River, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Nevada Test Site, and various other sites and facilities. From within available funds, the conferees provide \$2,000,000 for the Hanford Tank Waste Operations Simulator (HTWOS); \$2,000,000 for the Modular Phase Low Cost Nano-particle at Idaho National Lab; and \$1,000,000 for the Mid-Atlantic Recycling Center for End of Life Electronics.

Waste Incidental to Reprocessing.—The conference agreement provides \$162,600,000 for the Savannah River site, \$97,300,000 for the Idaho site and \$32,050,000 for the Hanford site. The conference agreement provides \$26,000,000 for Project 05-D-405 Salt Waste Processing Facility for long lead procurement activities.

Safeguards and Security.—The conference agreement provides

\$265,059,000, the same as the budget request.

Technology Development and Deployment.—The conference agreement provides \$60,142,000. From within available funds, the conferees direct the Department to use not less than \$10,000,000 to conduct a competitive evaluation of the various advanced remediation technologies available in the private sector. Within remaining available funds, the conferees provide \$5,000,000 to continue the five-year international agreement with AEA Technology, and \$7,000,000 to continue the five-year agreement with Florida International University's Hemispheric Center for Environmental Tech-

Within available funds, the conferees provide \$3,000,000 for Advanced Monitoring Systems Initiative at the Nevada Test Site, to continue micro-sensing technology development and prototype deployment of remote monitoring systems for the underground test area; \$2,400,000 for the Management of Nevada Natural Resources with Remote Sensing Systems program; \$1,000,000 for nanotube research and development at the Materials Reliability Center at the University Research Programs in Robotics. The Department is directed to renew its cooperative agreements with the University of

Nevada-Las Vegas and the University of Nevada-Reno.

Within available funds, \$3,000,000 is provided to continue the development of an electrochemical system utilizing ceramic ionic transport membranes for the recycling and disposal of radioactive sodium ion waste. The conference also provides \$4,000,000 for work on the subsurface science research institute by Idaho National Laboratory and the Inland Northwest Research Alliance institutions. The Department shall continue its support of the Tribal Colleges Initiative grant, involving Crownpoint Institute of Technology, Dine College, and Southwestern Indian Polytechnic Institute, to develop high-quality environmental programs at tribal colleges.

### DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

The conference recommendation for Defense Environmental Services in fiscal year 2005 is \$937,976,000.

Community and Regulatory Support.—The conference agreement is \$60,547,000. From within available funds, \$500,000 shall be used to support the Energy and Environmental Hispanic Community Participation project of the Self Reliance Foundation needed to increase Hispanic community understanding of and participation in environmental management initiatives of the Department.

Federal Contribution to Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund.—The Energy Policy Act of 1992, Public Law 102-486, created the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund to pay for the cost of cleanup of the gaseous diffusion facilities located in Oak Ridge, Tennessee; Paducah, Kentucky; and Portsmouth, Ohio. The conference agreement includes the budget request of \$463,000,000 for the Federal contribution to the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund.

Non-Closure Environmental Activities.—The conference agreement is \$146,038,000. Within available funds, the conference agreement directs the Department to provide \$10,000,000 for the Hazardous Waste Worker Training Program and \$8,000,000 for the Volpentest Hazardous Materials Management and Emergency Response (HAMMER) training and education center.

The conference agreement includes \$2,000,000 for the Desert Research Institute's Environmental Monitoring Program; \$750,000 for the University of Nevada-Reno to conduct research in the areas of materials evaluation, fundamental studies on nuclear degradation mechanisms, alternate materials and design, and computational and analytical modeling; \$1,000,000 for the Nye County Groundwater Evaluation Program; \$2,000,000 for the Defense and Security Research Center; \$1,000,000 for the Amargosa Valley Science and Technology Park; \$1,000,000 for the Hazardous Material Truck Tracking Facility; and \$1,000,000 for the Research Foundation at the University of Nevada-Las Vegas to assess earthquake hazards and seismic risk in Southern Nevada; \$100,000 for the Perchlorate Characterization study for the City of Simi Valley; and \$6,000,000 for the Western Environmental Technology Office. Spent Nuclear Fuel Management.—The Department proposed

to transfer responsibility for the management and operation of the DOE national spent fuel program, the foreign research reactor spent nuclear fuel acceptance program, and the management of chemical processing plant 666 at Idaho from the Office of Environmental Management to the Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management. The conference agreement includes the requested amounts of \$8,217,000 for the DOE national spent fuel program, \$8,055,000 for management of chemical processing plant 666, and \$1,060,000 for associated program direction costs. It is the conferees' expectation that these activities will continue to be managed at the Idaho site.

Program Direction.—The conference agreement for program direction is \$271,059,000, the same as the budget request.

Funding adjustments.—The conference agreement includes an offset of \$143,000 the same as the budget request, for the security costs associated with reimbursable work. The conference agreement includes the use of \$2,000,000 of prior year balances.

### OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement provides \$692,691,000 for Other Defense Activities.

### ENERGY SECURITY AND ASSURANCE

The conference agreement funds these programs under the Office of Electricity Transmission and Distribution.

#### OFFICE OF SECURITY

The conference agreement provides \$298,506,000. \$193,904,000 is provided for nuclear safeguards and security; \$49,880,000 is provided for security investigations; and \$54,722,000 is provided for program direction.

### INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT AND PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE

The conference agreement provides \$24,669,000, the same as the budget request, for the independent oversight and performance assurance program.

#### ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH (DEFENSE)

The conference agreement provides \$129,519,000 for defense-related environment, safety and health activities, including \$20,414,000 for program direction. The conferees disagree with the Administration's decision to cut funding for the Radiation Effects Research Foundation. Within available funds, the conference agreement provides \$14,000,000, an increase of \$10,000,000 above the request for the Foundation. This funding is critical in carrying out the scientific work, which the United States has funded since 1947, to study the health effects associated with the atomic blasts above Hiroshima and Nagasaki.

The conference agreement includes \$2,000,000 for the Marshall Island program to meet the core health and environmental monitoring mission in order to reassure the communities of safe habitation and resettlement. The conference agreement also includes \$5,000,000 to continue the DOE Worker Records Digitization project in Nevada. These funds are to be administered by the Nevada Site Office. The conferees provide \$4,100,000 for the medical monitoring at the gaseous diffusion plants at Paducah, Kentucky, Portsmouth, Ohio, and Oak Ridge, Tennessee. The conferees support the continued use of helical low-dose CAT scanning for early lung cancer detection in workers with elevated risks of lung cancer. The conferees direct the Department to establish an employee field resource center in the State of New York.

Former Worker Medical Screening.—The conference agreement includes \$300,000 within the Former Workers Health Program for the Iowa Army Ammunition Plant for ongoing assistance in collecting requisite medical records and completing claims forms for workers and retirees and \$250,000 for the on-going beryllium screening and outreach program for workers employed at vendors in the Worcester, Massachusetts, area who supplied beryllium to the Atomic Energy Commission.

The conferees support and are pleased with the Department's efforts to expand the Voluntary Protection Program and other voluntary cooperative programs. The conference agreement includes \$790,000 for the University of Washington's Former Hanford Production Workers Medical Screening Program and to initiate med-

ical screening for current tank farm workers consistent with the July 2004 NIOSH Health Hazard Evaluation Report.

Energy Employees Compensation Initiative.—The conferees note the transfer of responsibility for processing the Subtitle D claims from the Department of Energy to the Department of Labor.

#### LEGACY MANAGEMENT

The conferees support the established mission of the office of legacy management to manage the long-term stewardship responsibilities at the Department's cleanup sites. The conference agreement provides a total of \$46,895,000 for the office of legacy management of which \$13,201,000 is provided for program direction. Within available funds, the conferees provide \$8,000,000, to remain available until expended, for planning, design, construction, and land acquisition, if necessary, to establish a records management facility centrally located near sites transferring into Legacy Management status, and in close proximity to the Office of Legacy Management's records management capability. The conferees urge the Department to accelerate these activities with the goal of such a facility being operational by early fiscal year 2007. From within available funds, the conference agreement provides \$1,200,000 to complete transition of the STAR Center in Pinellas County, Florida and \$4,000,000 for the final payment, subject to the existing requirement for matching funds, to the Miamisburg Mound Community Improvement Corporation. From available funds, \$500,000 is provided to establish a Local Stakeholder Post-Closure organization in the State of Colorado.

### FUNDING FOR DEFENSE ACTIVITIES IN IDAHO

The conference agreement provides \$114,347,000 for defenserelated activities at the Idaho National Laboratory (INL) and associated Idaho cleanup sites.

### DEFENSE RELATED ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT

The conference agreement provides \$92,440,000 as proposed by the House for national security programs administrative support.

### OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

The conference agreement provides \$4,318,000 for the Office of Hearings and Appeals, the same as the budget request.

### OFFICE OF FUTURE LIABILITIES

The conferees do not support the creation of a redundant Departmental office to address the planning function for long term environmental cleanup liabilities. The conference agreement provides no funds for the Office of Future Liabilities.

### DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement provides \$231,000,000 for the defense contribution to the nuclear waste repository program. The Conferees are aware that the Department formally approved, in 1995, the right of the Affected Units of Local Government and the State of Nevada to retain interest earned on unexpended balances in their oversight accounts. The conferees reaffirm that this policy reflects the intent of Congress and should be maintained by the Department.

### POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes \$5,200,000, the same as the budget request, for the Southeastern Power Administration. The conference agreement provides \$34,000,000 for purchase power and wheeling in fiscal year 2005.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes \$29,352,000, the same as the budget request, for the Southwestern Power Administration. The conference agreement provides \$2,900,000 for purchase power and wheeling in fiscal year 2005. The conference recommendation also provides authority for Southwestern to accept advances from non-Federal entities to provide interconnections to Southwestern's transmission system.

## CONSTRUCTION, REHABILITATION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement provides \$173,100,000, for Western Area Power Administration. The conference agreement provides \$227,600,000 for purchase power and wheeling in fiscal year 2005. Within available funds, the conference recommendation includes \$6,000,000 for Topock-Davis-Mead Transmission Line Upgrades to provide additional transmission capacity by using aluminum matrix composite conductor technology.

Utah Mitigation and Conservation Fund.—The conference report does not contain the change in law sought by the Administration concerning the transfer of this fund from the Secretary of Energy to the Secretary of the Interior.

Within available funds, \$500,000 is provided on a non-reimbursable basis for a transmission study on the placement of 500 MW of wind energy in North Dakota and South Dakota.

### FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND

The conference agreement includes \$2,827,000, the same as the budget request, for the Falcon and Amistad Operating and Maintenance Fund.

### FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes \$210,000,000 for the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). Revenues for FERC are set at an amount equal to the budget authority, resulting in a net appropriation of \$0.

On March 24, 2004, FERC issued a declaratory order asserting exclusive jurisdiction over the approval and siting of liquefied natural gas (LNG) terminals. FERC concluded that LNG terminals are engaged in foreign commerce and, as such, fall clearly within the authority granted to the FERC under Section 3 of the Natural Gas Act of 1938. The conferees agree on this point and disagree with the position of at least one State government agency that it should be the authority responsible for LNG terminal siting within its boundaries, rather than the FERC.

The Natural Gas Act clearly preempts States on matters of approving and siting natural gas infrastructure associated with interstate and foreign commerce. These facilities need one clear process for review, approval, and siting decisions. Because LNG terminals affect both interstate and foreign commerce, LNG facility development requires a process that also looks at the national public interest, and not just the interests of one State.

The conferees recognize that, as a matter of energy supply, the nation will need to expand its LNG infrastructure over the decades to come to satisfy natural gas demand. Any dispute of LNG siting jurisdictional authority now will be counterproductive to meeting our natural gas needs in the future.

### GENERAL PROVISIONS—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Sec. 301. The conference agreement includes language regarding contract competition.

Sec. 302. The conference agreement includes a provision that none of the funds may be used to prepare or implement workforce restructuring plans or provide enhanced severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants for Federal employees of the Department of Energy under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 1993, Public Law 102–484. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 303. The conference agreement includes a provision that none of the funds may be used to augment funds made available for obligation for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants unless the Department of Energy submits a reprogramming request subject to approval by the appropriate Congressional committees. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 304. The conference agreement includes a provision that none of the funds may be used to prepare or initiate Requests for Proposals for a program if that program has not been funded by Congress in the current fiscal year. This provision also precludes the Department from initiating activities for new programs which have been proposed in the budget request, but which have not yet been funded by Congress. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

#### (TRANSFERS OF UNEXPENDED BALANCES)

Sec. 305. The conference agreement includes a provision that permits the transfer and merger of unexpended balances of prior appropriations with appropriation accounts established in this bill. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water De-

velopment Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 306. The conference agreement includes a provision prohibiting the Bonneville Power Administration from performing energy efficiency services outside the legally defined Bonneville service territory unless the Administrator certifies in advance that such services are not available from private sector businesses. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 307. The conference agreement includes a provision establishing certain notice and competition requirements for Department of Energy user facilities. This provision has been carried in pre-

vious Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 308. The conference agreement includes a provision allowing the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration to authorize certain nuclear weapons production plants, including the Nevada Test Site, to use not more than 2 percent of available funds for research, development and demonstration activities. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 309. The conference agreement includes a provision which would authorize intelligence activities of the Department of Energy for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947 until enactment of the Intelligence Authorization Act for fiscal year

2005.

Sec. 310. The conference agreement includes a provision that requires that waste characterization at WIPP be limited to determining that the waste is not ignitable, corrosive, or reactive. This confirmation will be performed using radiography or visual examination of a representative subpopulation of the waste. The language directs the Department of Energy to seek a modification to the WIPP Hazardous Waste Facility Permit to implement the provisions of this section.

Sec. 311. The conference agreement includes language regard-

ing disposition of depleted Uranium Hexafluoride.

Sec. 312. The conference agreement includes a provision regarding the use of funds in this Act for some procurement actions by the Department of Energy.

Sec. 313. The conference agreement includes a provision prohibiting the use of funds in this Act for procurements to increase the dollar value of prime contracts awarded directly by the Department to small business.

Sec. 314. The conference agreement includes a provision limiting the types of waste that can be disposed of in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant in New Mexico. None of the funds may be used to dispose of transuranic waste in excess of 20 percent plutonium by weight for the aggregate of any material category. At the Rocky Flats site, this provision includes ash residues; salt residues; wet residues; direct repackage residues; and scrub alloy as referenced in the "Final Environmental Impact Statement on Management of Certain Plutonium Residues and Scrub Alloy Stored at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site". This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

### CONFERENCE RECOMMENDATIONS

The conference agreement's detailed funding recommendations for programs in title  $\Pi\Pi$  are contained in the following table.

967

	Budget Request	Conference
ENERGY SUPPLY		
RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES		
Renewable energy technologies Biomass and biorefinery systems R&D. Geothermal technology. Hydrogomer. Solar energy. Wind energy Intergovernmental activities.	25,800 95,325 6,000 80,333 41,600 16,000	5,000 86,533 41,600 17,000
Total, Renewable energy technologies	337,654	353,405
Renewable support and implementation Departmental energy management program Renewable program support		3,000
Total, Renewable support and implementation	1,967	4,967
National climate change technology initiative	3,000	
Facilities and infrastructure National renewable energy laboratory Construction 02-E-001 Science and technology facility, NREL	4,800	4,800
Golden, CO	6,680	6,680
Total, Facilities and infrastructure	11,480	11,480
Program direction	20,711	19,211
TOTAL, RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES		389,063
ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION		
High temperature superconductivity R&D. Transmission reliability R&D. Electricity distribution transformation R&D. Energy storage R&D. Gridwise. Gridworks.	10,720 5,459 4,000 5,000 5,500	15,720 5,459 4,000 6,500 5,500
Total, Research and development		92,179
Electricity restructuring		20,000 8,201
04-E-001 Project engineering and design (PED), energy reliability and efficiency laboratory		
TOTAL, ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION		121,155
NUCLEAR ENERGY	=========	
University reactor fuel assistance and support	21,000	24,000
Research and development Nuclear energy plant optimization. Nuclear energy research initiative. Nuclear power 2010. Generation IV nuclear energy systems initiative. Nuclear hydrogen initiative.	10,246 30,546	2,500 50,000

968

		Conference
Advanced fuel cycle initiative		
Total, Research and development	96,046	172,000
Infrastructure Radiological facilities management Space and defense infrastructure	33,800	33,800
Medical isotopes infrastructure Construction 05-E-203 Facility modifications for U-233	21,194	21,194
disposition, Oak Ridge	13,616	
Subtotal, Medical isotopes infrastructure		
Enrichment facility and uranium management	500	500
Subtotal, Radiological facilities management	69,110	69,110
Idaho facilities management INL Operations and infrastructure ANL-West operations		
INL infrastructure	75,746	
upgrade, Idaho National Engineering Lab, ID	1,523	
Subtotal, INL infrastructure	77,269	1,523
Subtotal, Idaho facilities management	108,050	123,050
Idaho sitewide safeguards and security		58,103
Total, Infrastructure		
Spent nuclear fuel managementProgram direction	60,285	
Subtotal, Nuclear Energy	412,594	513,271
Funding from Other defense activities		-114,347 -10,000
TOTAL, NUCLEAR ENERGY	299,747	
CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT		
Spent nuclear fuel management		
ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH		
Office of Environment, Safety and Health (non-defense) Program direction	20,474	20,000
TOTAL, ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH	30,474	
OFFICE OF FUTURE LIABILITIES	==========	**********
Future liabilities	3,000	

### 969

		Conference
OFFICE OF LEGACY MANAGEMENT		
Legacy management	31,130	
Subtotal, Energy supply	835,266	958,272
Use of prior year balances		-12,000
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPLY		946,272
NON-DEFENSE SITE ACCELERATION COMPLETION	=========	***********
Accelerated completions, 2006	98,191 8,224	45,435 98,191 8,224
TOTAL, NON-DEFENSE SITE ACCELERATION COMPLETION	151,850	151,850
URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND	***********	
Decontamination and decommissioning		419,007
Uranium/thorium reimbursement		80,000
TOTAL, URANIUM ENRICHMENT D&D FUND		
NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	*********	*****
Community and regulatory support	90 46,083	90 46,083
Non-closure environmental activities	152,523	145,123
Construction 02-U-101 Depleted uranium hexafluoride conversion project, Paducah, KY and Portsmouth, OH		100,000
Total, Non-closure environmental activities	245,123	245,123
	55555555555	************
TOTAL, NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES		
SCIENCE		========
High energy physics		
Proton accelerator-based physics		417,092 150,890
Electron accelerator-based physics		42,936
Theoretical physics	49,630	49,630
Advanced technology R&D		81,081
Subtotal	736,629	741,629
98-G-304 Neutrinos at the main injector, Fermilab	751	751
Total, High energy physics	*******	*******
Nuclear physics		
Biological and environmental research	496,590	576,590

970

	Budget Request	Conference
Construction 05-SC-004 Project engineering and design (PED), facility for the production and characterization		
of proteins and molecular tags	5,000	10,000
Basic energy sciences Research	002 220	600 000
Materials sciences and engineering research Chemical sciences, geosciences and energy biosciences		
Subtotal, Research		881,650
Construction		
Construction 05-R-320 LINAC coherent light source (LCLS)	30,000	30,000
05-R-321 Center for functional nanomaterials (BNL)	18,465	18,465
04-R-313 The molecular foundry (LBNL)	32,085	32,085
03-SC-002 Project engineering & design (PED) SLAC.	20,075	20,075
03-R-312 Center for nanophase materials sciences, ORNL	17,811	17,811
03-R-313 Center for Integrated Nanotechnology	30,897	30,897
02-SC-002 Project engineering and design (VL) $\dots$ .	2,012	2,012
99-E-334 Spallation neutron source (ORNL)	80,535	80,535
Subtotal, Construction		231,880
Total, Basic energy sciences	1,963,530	
Advanced scientific computing research	204,340	234,340
Science laboratories infrastructure Laboratories facilities support		
Infrastructure supportConstruction	1,520	1,766
MEL-001 Multiprogram energy laboratory infrastructure projects, various locations	16,391	24,391
Subtotal, Laboratories facilities support	17,911	
Oak Ridge landlord	5,079	5,079
Excess facilities disposal	6,100	6,100
Safety-related corrective actions		5,000
Total, Science laboratories infrastructure		
Fusion energy sciences		276,110
Safeguards and security		
Science program direction Field offices	89,341	89,341
Headquarters	65,927	65,927
Total, Science program direction		155,268
Subtotal, Science		3,639,569

971

		Conference
Use of prior year balances	-5,605	-5,062 -5,605
<b>3</b>	*=========	
TOTAL, SCIENCE	3,431,718	
NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL		
Repository programProgram direction	661,510 87,490	
TOTAL, NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL	749,000	
DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION		
Administrative operations Salaries and expenses Office of the Secretary Board of contract appeals Chief information officer Congressional and intergovernmental affairs Economic impact and diversity	653 44,856 4,956	653 38,273 4,865
General counsel.  Office of Management, Budget and Evaluation Policy and international affairs.  Public affairs	23,349 106,055 17,977 4,649	106,855 15,114 2,464
Subtotal, Salaries and expenses	213,336	199,883
Program support Minority economic impact Policy analysis and system studies Environmental policy studies Cybersecurity and secure communications. Corporate management information program	395 567 24,932 37,632	567 24,932 32,138
Subtotal, Program support	64,356	58,862
Competitive sourcing initiative (A-76)		2,500
Total, Administrative operations	282,692	
Cost of work for others	71,621	71,621
Subtotal, Departmental Administration	354,313	
Funding from other defense activities		-92,440
Total, Departmental administration $(gross)$		240,426
Miscellaneous revenues	-122,000	
TOTAL, DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION (net)	139,873	118,426
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL	=========	2
Office of Inspector General		41,508

	Budget Request	
ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION		
WEAPONS ACTIVITIES		
Directed stockpile work		
Life extension program		
B61	117,927	117,927
W76	213,111	236,427
W80	146,400	106,400
Subtotal, Life extension program	477,438	460,754
Stockpile systems		
B61	91,256	91,256
W62.,	18,401	18,401
W76	137,527	137,527
W78	44,313	44,313
W80	49,507	39,507
B83	44,995	44,995
W84.,,	6,119	
W87 W88	94,884 49,093	
#99,		49,000
Subtotal, Stockpile systems	536,095	
Retired warheads stockpile systems	65,258	75,000
Stockpile services	157 000	147 000
Research and development certification and safety.  Management, technology, and production		147,986 113,101
Reliable replacement warhead		
Robust nuclear earth penetrator		
noduce more of portag dear, and a constitution of		
Subtotal, Stockpile services	327,644	270,087
Total, Directed stockpile work	1.406.435	
Campaigns		, ,
Science campaigns		
Primary assessment technologies	81,473	73,973
Dynamic materials properties	91,521	
Advanced radiography,	62,371	
Secondary assessment technologies	65,597	
Subtotal, Science campaigns	300,962	279,462
Engineering campaigns		
Enhanced surety	38,121	33,121
Weapons system engineering assessment technology		
Nuclear survivability		
Enhanced surveillance	99,879	99,879
Microsystem and engineering science applications		
(MESA), other project costs	4,600	4,600
Construction	-,,000	7,500
01-D-108 Microsystem and engineering science		
applications (MESA), SNL, Albuquerque, NM	48,654	86,500
Subtotal, MESA	53,254	91,100
Subtotal, Engineering campaigns	242,984	260,830

973

	Budget Request	Conference
Inertial confinement fusion ignition and high yield campaign:		
Ignition	76,437	69,437
Support of stockpile program	38,987	38,987
NIF diagnostics, cryogenics and experiment support	44,023	49,023
Pulsed power inertial confinement fusion	10,080	11,080
University grants/other support	7,776	7,776
Facility operations and target production	63,056	63,056
Inertial fusion technology	• • •	34,000
NIF demonstration program	113,700	95,700
High-energy petawatt laser development		
Subtotal	362,034	
Construction		
96-D-111 National ignition facility, LLNL	130,000	130,000
Subtotal, Inertial confinement fusion	492,034	541,034
Advanced simulation and computing Construction	738,032	700,532
00-D-103, Terascale simulation facility,	2 220	2 222
LLNL, Livermore, CA	3,228	3,228
Subtotal, Advanced simulation and computing		
Pit manufacturing and certification		
W88 pit manufacturing	132,005	132,005
W88 pit certification	101,470	60,960
Pit manufacturing capability	20,992	13,500
Modern pit facility	29,800	7,000
Pit campaign support activities at NTS	52,206	52,206
Subtotal, Pit manufacturing and certification	336,473	265,671
Readiness campaigns		
Stockpile readiness	45,812	45,812
High explosives weapons operations	34,220	34,220
Non-nuclear readiness	35,457	32,957
Advanced design and production technologies	84.788	79,788
Tritium readiness	58,850	58,850
Construction		
98-D-125 Tritium extraction facility, SR	21,000	
Subtotal, Tritium readiness	79,850	79,850
Subtotal, Readiness campaigns	280.127	
Total, Campaigns	2,393,840	
Readiness in technical base and facilities		
Operations of facilities	1,017,557	1,121,557
Program readiness	106,204	106,204
Special projects	20,534	41,500
Material recycle and recovery	86,965	86,965
Containers	17.910	17.910
Storage	18,982	18,982
Subtotal, Readiness in technical base and fac		

974

(Amounts in thousands)		
	Budget Request	Conference
Construction 05-D-140 Project engineering and design (PED), various locations	11,600	16,600
05-D-401 Building 12-64 production bays upgrades, Pantex plant, Amarillo, TX	25,100	25,100
05-D-402 Berylium capability (BEC) project, Y-12 National security complex, Oak Ridge, TN	3,627	3,627
04-D-103 Project engineering and design (PED), various locations	1,500	1,500
04-D-125 Chemistry and metallurgy facility replacement project, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM	24,000	40,000
04-D-126 Building 12-44 production cells upgrade, Pantex plant. Amarillo, TX	2,600	2,600
03-D-102, National Security Sciences building, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM	37,348	37,348
03-D-103 Project engineering and design (PED), various locations	15,275	15,275
03-D-123 Special nuclear materials requalification, Pantex plant, Amarillo, TX	4,602	4,602
02-D-103 Project engineering and design (PED), various locations	5,250	5,250
02-D-105 Engineering technology complex upgrade, LLNL, CA	5,400	5,400
01-D-103 Project engineering and design (PED), various locations	6,000	6,000
01-D-124 HEU materials facility, Y-12 plant, Oak Ridge, TN	64,000	114,000
Subtotal, Construction	206,302	277,302
Total, Readiness in technical base and facilities.	1,474,454	1,670,420
Facilities and infrastructure recapitalization program Construction 05-D-160 Facilities and infrastructure	291,543	248,863
recapitalization program project engineering design (PED), various locations	8,700	8,700
05-D-601 Compressed air upgrades project (CAUP), Y-12, National security complex, Oak Ridge, TN	4,400	4,400
05-D-602 Power grid infrastructure upgrade (PGIU), Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM	10,000	10,000
05-D-603 New master substation (NMSU), SNL	600	600

975

	Budget Request	Conference
04-D-203 Facilities and infrastructure recapitalization program (FIRP), project		
engineering design (PED), various locations	981	981
Subtotal, Construction		24,681
Total, Facilities and infrastructure recapitalization program		273,544
Secure transportation asset Operations and equipment Program direction	143,873 57,427	143,873 57,427
Total, Secure transportation asset		
Nuclear weapons incident response	99,209	99,209
Safeguards and security	669,991	720,678
05-D-170 Project engineering and design (PED), various locations	17,000	17,000
05-D-701 Security perimeter project, Los Alamos. National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM	20,000	20,000
Total, Safeguards and security	706,991	757,678
Subtotal, Weapons activities		6,642,471
Use of prior year balances	-30,000	-86,000 -30,000 -300,000
TOTAL, WEAPONS ACTIVITIES	6,568,453	6,226,471
Transfer from Department of Defense appropriations		(300,000)
Total, Weapons Activities (program level)	(6,568,453)	
DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION		
Nonproliferation and verification, R&D		
Nonproliferation programs with Russia International nuclear materials protection and cooperation		322,000 41,000
HEU transparency implementation. Elimination of weapons-grade plutonium production		20,950
program	50,097	40,097
Fissile materials disposition U.S. surplus materials disposition Russian surplus materials disposition Construction		159,700 64,000
99-D-141 Pit disassembly and conversion facility, Savannah River, SC	32,300	32,300

976

	Budget Request	Conference
99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility,		
Savannah River, SC		368,000
Subtotal, Construction		400,300
Subtotal, Fissile materials disposition		624,000
Total, Nonproliferation programs with Russia $\dots$		1,048,047
Offsite source recovery project		
Subtotal, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation		1,435,397
Use of prior year balances		-15,000
TOTAL, DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION		
NAVAL REACTORS	=========	
Naval reactors development	761,211	771,211
05-N-900 Materials development facility building, Schenectady, NY	6,200	6,200
90-N-102 Expended core facility dry cell project, Naval Reactors Facility, ID	989	
Subtotal, Construction		7,189
Total, Naval reactors development		778,400
Program direction		
TOTAL, NAVAL REACTORS		807,900
OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR		
Office of the Administrator		356,200
TOTAL, OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR	333,700	356,200
		=======================================
TOTAL, NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION		8,810,968
DEFENSE SITE ACCELERATION COMPLETION		
Accelerated completions, 2006	1,251,799	1,264,999
Accelerated completions, 2012	1,437,001	1,437,001
04-D-414 Project engineering and design (PED), various locations	3,000	3,000
04-D-423 Container surveillance capability in 235-F, Savannah River	20,640	20,640

977

		Conference
01-D-416 Waste treatment and immobilization plant,		
Richland, WA		690,000
Subtotal, Construction	713,640	713,640
Total, Accelerated completions, 2012	2,150,641	
Accelerated completions, 2035	1,849,512	1,860,512
04-D-408 Glass waste storage building #2, Savannah River		43,827
Total, Accelerated completions, 2035		1,904.339
Safeguards and security	265,059	265,059
Alternative high level waste actions		249,442
High level waste (Waste Incidental to Reprocessing) (legislative proposal)	249,442	217,392
05-D-405 Salt waste processing facility, Savannah River	52,000	26,000
04-D-414 04-02 PED: Sodium bearing waste treatment, Idaho	24,900	24,900
03-D-414 PED: Salt waste processing facility alt Savannah River, SC		23,658
Total, High level waste (WIR) (legis, proposal)	350,000	291,950
Technology development and deployment	60,142	
Subtotal, Defense Site Acceleration Completion	5,970,980	
Less security charge for reimbursable work	-143	-143
Use of prior year balances		-90,000
TOTAL, DEFENSE SITE ACCELERATION COMPLETION		6,096,429
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	*************	
Community and regulatory support	60.547	60,547
Federal contribution to the uranium enrichment fund	463,000	463,000
Non-closure environmental activities	187,864	60,547 463,000 146,038 17,332
Spent nuclear fuel management		17,332
Program direction	2/1,059	271,059 -20,000
use of prior year darances		-20,000
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	982,470	937,976
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT		7,034,405
OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
Other national security programs Energy security and assurance		
Energy security	6,100	
Program direction		
Subtotal, Energy security and assurance		

978

		Conference
Office of Security  Nuclear safeguards and security  Security investigations  Program direction	143,197 53,554 58,350	193,904 49,880 54,722
Subtotal, Office of Security	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	298,506
Independent oversight and performance assurance	24,669	24,669
Civilian radioactive waste management Spent nuclear fuel management Program direction		
Subtotal, Civilian radioactive waste mgmt		
Environment, safety and health (Defense) Program direction - EH	99,105 20,414	109,105 20,414
Subtotal, Environment, safety & health (Defense)		129,519
Office of Legacy Management Legacy management		
Subtotal, Office of Legacy Management		
Defense related administrative support  Defense activities at INEEL  Office of hearings and appeals  Office of Future Liabilities	112,847 4,318 5,000	114,347 4,318
Subtotal, Other Defense Activities		710,694
Use of prior year balances	-15,000 -3,003	-15.000 -3.003
TOTAL, OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	663,636	
DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL		
Defense nuclear waste disposal	131,000	131,000
TOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		16,669,064
POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS		
SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance Purchase power and wheeling Program direction	5,200	34,000 5,200
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance		
Offsetting collections		-34,000
TOTAL, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	5,200	

### 979

		Conference
SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance Operating expenses Purchase power and wheeling Program direction Construction	19,324 5,352	2,900 19,324
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance		
Offsetting collections		-2,900
TOTAL, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	29,352	29,352
WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION	=======================================	=========
Operation and maintenance Construction and rehabilitation. Operation and maintenance. Purchase power and wheeling. Program direction.	39,821  116,756	39,821 227,600
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance		
Offsetting collections	-3,668	-227,600 -3,668
TOTAL, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION	173,100	
FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND	***********	
Operation and maintenance	2,827	2,827
TOTAL, POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS		210,479
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION		
Federal energy regulatory commissionFERC revenues	-210,000	210,000 -210,000
GRAND TOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY		23,002,804

### 980

	Budget Request	Conference
ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNTS		
Energy programs:		
Energy supply	835,266	946,272
Non-defense site acceleration completion  Non-defense environmental management	151,850	151,850
Uranium enrichment D&D fund	500,200	499.007
Non-defense environmental services	291,296	291,296
Uranium facilities maintenance and remediation		
Science	3,431,718	3,628,902
Nuclear waste disposal	749,000	446,000
Departmental administration	261,873	240,426
Revenues	-122,000	-122,000
Total, Departmental administration	139,873	
Office of the Inspector General	41,508	41,508
Total, Energy programs		6,123,261
Atomic energy defense activities:		
National Nuclear Security Administration:		
Weapons activities	6,568,453	6,226,471
Defense nuclear nonproliferation	1,348,647 797,900	1,420,397 807,900
Office of the Administrator	333,700	356,200
Subtotal, National Nuclear Security Admin	9,048,700	8,810,968
Defense environmental restoration and waste mgmt		
Defense facilities closure projects		
Defense site acceleration completion	5,620,837	5,804,479
High level waste legislative proposal	350,000	291,950
Defense environmental management privatization		
Defense environmental services	982,470	937,976
Defense environmental mgmt. privatization (resc.)		
Subtotal, Defense environmental management	6,953,307	7,034,405
Other defense activities	663,636	692,691
Defense nuclear waste disposal	131,000	131,000
Total, Atomic energy defense activities	16,796,643	16,669,064

981

		Conference
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Power marketing administrations:		
Southeastern Power Administration		5,200
Southwestern Power Administration		29,352
Western Area Power Administration		173,100
Falcon and Amistad operating and maintenance fund	2,827	
Total, Power marketing administrations		
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission:		
Salaries and expenses	210,000	210,000
Revenues		-210,000
Total, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission		
TOTAL. ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNTS	23.147.833	23,002,804
FUNCTION RECAP:		
NON-DEFENSE	6 351 100	6,583,182
DEFENSE	16.796.643	
DEI ENGE.	10,700,040	10,410,022
Environmental restoration and waste management:		
Defense function	(6,953,307)	(7,034,405)
Non-defense function	(943,346)	(942,153)
Total, Environmental restoration and waste mgmt	(7 006 653)	/7 076 EEQ)
Total, Environmental restoration and waste mgmt	(1,090,003)	(7,970,556)
Nuclear waste disposal:		
Defense function		(131,000)
Non-defense function	(749,000)	(446,000)
Total, Nuclear waste disposal	(990, 000)	(677, 000)
rucar, mucrear waste ursposar	(000,000)	(577,000)

### TITLE IV—INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

### APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

The conference agreement provides \$66,000,000 for the Appalachian Regional Commission. Within available funds, the conferees direct the Commission to provide \$1,000,000 to facilitate construction of the Farmers' Ethanol biorefinery and supporting infrastructure in Perry County, Ohio. The conferees support the Appalachian-Turkish Trade Project to promote trade and investment opportunities.

### DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides \$20,268,000 for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, the same as the request.

### DELTA REGIONAL AUTHORITY

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement appropriates \$6,048,000 for the Delta Regional Authority. The conferees direct the Authority to submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations quarterly financial reports providing detailed accounting data on the expenditures of funds during fiscal year 2005. The conferees also expect the Authority to submit a detailed budget justification for the fiscal year 2006 budget.

### DENALI COMMISSION

The conference agreement appropriates \$67,000,000 for the Denali Commission. The conferees renew the direction to the Commission to submit a detailed budget justification for fiscal year 2006.

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes \$662,777,000, to be offset by revenues of \$534,354,000, for a net appropriation of \$128,423,000. This reflects the statutory language adopted by the conference in fiscal year 2001 to reduce the fee recovery requirement to 90 percent in fiscal year 2005.

In fiscal year 2004, the conferees directed the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to contract with the National Academy of Sciences for a study of spent nuclear fuel storage at commercial reactor sites. The National Academy completed this study and found a number of areas in which the NRC could improve its modeling of the risks to spent fuel storage and the mitigation of such risks. The conferees expect the NRC to take the necessary steps to improve its analyses, including the preparation of site-specific models, and to work with the utilities to ensure timely application of this information to mitigate risks.

### OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement includes \$7,518,000, to be offset by revenues of \$6,766,200, for a net appropriation of \$751,800. This reflects the statutory language adopted by the conference in fiscal year 2001 to reduce the fee recovery requirement to 90 percent in fiscal year 2005.

#### NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides \$3,177,000, the same as the budget request.

### TITLE V—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec. 501. The conference agreement includes language directing that none of the funds appropriated in this Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress except to communicate to Members of Congress.

Sec. 502. The conference agreement includes language regarding the transfer of funds made available in this Act to other departments or agencies of the federal government.

Sec. 503. The conference agreement includes language regarding the public release of documents concerning energy markets.

Sec. 504. The conference agreement includes language regarding the extension of the prohibition of oil and gas drilling in the Great Lakes through 2007.

Sec. 505. The conference agreement includes language authorizing the Secretary of the Army to transfer and advance funds to the Administrator of the Bonneville Power Administration to carry out activities in connection with Section 2406 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992.

Sec. 506. The conference agreement includes language concerning the voting methods for the Delta Regional Authority.

### TITLE VI

The conference agreement includes language changing the composition, operation, and duties of the Board of Directors of the Tennessee Valley Authority.

### CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 2005 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 2004 amount, the 2005 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 2005 follow:

### [In thousands of dollars]

New budget (obligation) authority, fiscal year 2004	\$27,756,375
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2005	28,470,382
House bill, fiscal year 2005	28,525,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 2005	0
Conference agreement, fiscal year 2005	29,020,000
Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligation) authority, fiscal year 2004	+1,263,625

Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year	
2005	+549,618
House bill, fiscal year 2005	+495,000
Senate bill, fiscal vear 2005	+29.020.000

## DIVISION D—FOREIGN OPERATIONS, EXPORT FINANCING, AND RELATED PROGRAMS APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2005

The statement of the managers remains silent on provisions that were in both the House and Senate bills that remain unchanged by this conference agreement, except as noted in this statement of the managers. The House and Senate report language that is not changed by the conference is approved by the committee of conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein.

### TITLE I—EXPORT AND INVESTMENT ASSISTANCE

### EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE UNITED STATES

The conference agreement appropriates \$59,800,000 for the subsidy appropriation instead of \$125,700,000 as proposed by the House and \$115,700,000 as proposed by the Senate. The managers expect that there will be no reduction in Export-Import Bank activity levels due to the extraordinarily high level of carryover balances in fiscal year 2005, which total approximately \$444,000,000.

The conference agreement appropriates \$73,200,000 for administrative expenses for the Export-Import Bank as included in the House bill and Senate amendment.

The conference agreement does not include a first-time appropriation for an Office of Inspector General. The managers note that the Export-Import Bank already has an audit committee and other regimes in place, including independent auditors that provide financial oversight to its operations.

The conference agreement includes Senate language that requires the Export-Import Bank to provide a report on the economic effect of an ethanol dehydration plant in Trinidad and Tobago within 30 days of enactment of this Act. The conference agreement does not include Senate language that would have required prior consultation with the Senate Finance Committee and the Committees on Appropriations prior to extending credit support to establish or expand the production of indigenous products by a beneficiary country pursuant to section 423 of the Tax Reform Act of 1986. The managers are concerned by the precedent this provision may establish within the Act, and believe this matter is better addressed by the relevant authorizing committees.

### OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

The managers direct the President of OPIC to continue current policy and consult with the Committees on Appropriations before any future financing for nongovernmental organizations or private and voluntary organizations is approved.

The conference agreement includes Senate language that allows OPIC to operate in Iraq for fiscal year 2005. The House bill did not address this matter.