

June 11, 1998

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION  
Washington, DC 20436

MEMORANDUM TO THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE OF THE UNITED STATES  
SENATE ON PROPOSED TARIFF LEGISLATION<sup>1</sup>

Bill no., sponsor, and sponsor's state: S. 1783 (105th Congress), Senator Bond (MO).

Companion bill: H. R. 3325 (105th Congress), Representative Neal (MA).<sup>2</sup>

Title as introduced: To suspend temporarily the duty on triethyleneglycol bis(2-ethyl hexanoate).

Summary of bill:<sup>3</sup>

This bill would temporarily suspend the most-favored-nation (MFN) duty on U.S. imports of triethyleneglycol bis(2-ethylhexanoate), CAS No. 94-28-0, as defined under subheading HTS 2915.90.50, on or before December 31, 2001.

Effective date: The 15th day after enactment.

Retroactive effect: None.

Statement of purpose

No comment was published in the Congressional Record at the time the bill was introduced.<sup>4</sup>

According to the proponent, Solutia Inc., St. Louis, MO.,<sup>5</sup> although there are several domestic producers of this plasticizer in the United States, the proponent to date has imported raw material for the production of this product because no domestic source has been found having the very stringent requirements for its use in polyvinyl butyral (PVB) safety glass interlayer, where absolute purity and clarity are required. The proponent states that the plasticizer is produced in the United States for the it by another U.S. firm.

---

<sup>1</sup> Industry analyst: Raymond Cantrell (205-3362); attorney: Leo Webb (205-2599).

<sup>2</sup> *Congressional Record*, H. R. 3325, Mar. 4, 1998, p. H850.

<sup>3</sup> See appendix A for definitions of tariff and trade agreement terms.

<sup>4</sup> *Congressional Record*, S. 1783, Mar. 17, 1998, p. S2114.

<sup>5</sup> Messrs. Glenn S. Ruskin, V.P. Government Affairs, Washington, DC, and Jim Enyart, St. Louis, MO, Apr. 4, 1998.

Product description and uses:

Triethyleneglycol, bis-(2-ethylhexanoate):

This synthetic organic chemical is a plasticizer manufactured from triethyleneglycol and 2-ethylhexanoic acid. The material at room temperature is a clear mobile liquid with a mild odor. When compounded with PVB polymer, this plasticizer enhances flexural and impact strength, and adhesive properties, while maintaining excellent clarity. These properties are ideally suited for PVB interlayer material, which is used in automotive and architectural safety glass. The plasticizer is also found in a wide variety of plastics and rubber products, where its synergistic effects impart unique characteristics.

Tariff treatment:<sup>6</sup>

<u>Product</u>	<u>HTS subheading</u>	Col. 1-general <u>rate of duty</u>
Triethyleneglycol, bis(2-ethylhexanoate)...	2915.90.50	3.8%.

Structure of domestic industry (including competing products):

Triethyleneglycol, bis(2-ethylhexanoate):

There are several domestic producers of this product in the United States in addition to the proponent's tolled conversion, which was an imported raw material. Eastman Chemical, C.P. Hall, and Teknor Apex are known to produce this plasticizer in commercial quantities for a variety of end-use products in the United States.

The DuPont Company produces domestic PVB interlayer material reportedly in direct competition with the proponent using a different plasticizer. Each of the two PVB interlayer materials serve the same basic function in automotive and architectural safety glass, and are protected under registered trademarks.

Private-sector views:

In addition to the proponent, three firms known to produce the plasticizer or competitive products subject to this bill were contacted.<sup>7</sup> As of this date, two responses have been received.<sup>8</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup>See appendix B for column 1-special and column 2 duty rates.

<sup>7</sup>The C.P. Hall Co., Bedford Park, IL; Eastman Chemical, Kingsport, TN; and, DuPont, Wilmington, DE.

<sup>8</sup>See appendix C.

U.S. consumption:

Triethyleneglycol,bis(2-ethylhexanoate):	<u>1995</u>	<u>1996</u>	<u>1997</u>
	----- (million dollars)-----		
U.S. production.....	(1)	(1)	(1)
U.S. imports.....	(1)	(1)	(1)
U.S. exports.....	(1)	(1)	(1)
Apparent U.S. consumption.....	(1)	(1)	(1)

<sup>1</sup>Data not available.

Principal import sources: Belgium, Germany, and Japan.

Principal export markets: Not available.

Effect on customs revenues:

Future (1998-2000) effect:<sup>9</sup>

<u>Product</u>	Estimated average annual <u>revenue loss<sup>1</sup></u>		
	<u>1998</u>	<u>1999</u>	<u>2000</u>
	----- (1,000 dollars)-----		
Triethyleneglycol, bis(2-ethylhexanoate)....	100	400	500

<sup>1</sup>Commission estimates include the petitioner's plans to commence importing this material from its new plant in the U.K. by 1999.

Retroactive effect: None.

Technical comments:

The language of companion legislation H.R. 3325 provides for a temporary suspension of duty until December 31, 2000, while this bill extends the suspension by one year, to December 31, 2001. The Commission in its report to the House Ways and Means Committee recommended that H.R. 3325 be modified to coincide with the duty suspension period specified in this bill.

---

<sup>9</sup> Actual revenue loss may be understated if a significant increase in imports occurs during the duty suspension period.

## APPENDIX A

### TARIFF AND TRADE AGREEMENT TERMS

In the **Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States** (HTS), chapters 1 through 97 cover all goods in trade and incorporate in the tariff nomenclature the internationally adopted Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System through the 6-digit level of product description. Subordinate 8-digit product subdivisions, either enacted by Congress or proclaimed by the President, allow more narrowly applicable duty rates; 10-digit administrative statistical reporting numbers provide data of national interest. Chapters 98 and 99 contain special U.S. classifications and temporary rate provisions, respectively. The HTS replaced the **Tariff Schedules of the United States** (TSUS) effective January 1, 1989.

Duty rates in the **general** subcolumn of HTS column 1 are most-favored-nation (MFN) rates, many of which have been eliminated or are being reduced as concessions resulting from the Uruguay Round of Multilateral Trade Negotiations. Column 1-general duty rates apply to all countries except those enumerated in HTS general note 3(b) (Afghanistan, Cuba, Laos, North Korea, and Vietnam), which are subject to the statutory rates set forth in **column 2**. Specified goods from designated MFN-eligible countries may be eligible for reduced rates of duty or for duty-free entry under one or more preferential tariff programs. Such tariff treatment is set forth in the **special** subcolumn of HTS rate of duty column 1 or in the general notes. If eligibility for special tariff rates is not claimed or established, goods are dutiable at column 1-general rates. The HTS does not enumerate those countries as to which a total or partial embargo has been declared.

The **Generalized System of Preferences** (GSP) affords nonreciprocal tariff preferences to developing countries to aid their economic development and to diversify and expand their production and exports. The U.S. GSP, enacted in title V of the Trade Act of 1974 for 10 years and extended several times thereafter, applies to merchandise imported on or after January 1, 1976 and before the close of June 30, 1998. Indicated by the symbol "A", "A\*", or "A+" in the special subcolumn, the GSP provides duty-free entry to eligible articles the product of and imported directly from designated beneficiary developing countries, as set forth in general note 4 to the HTS.

The **Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act** (CBERA) affords nonreciprocal tariff preferences to developing countries in the Caribbean Basin area to aid their economic development and to diversify and expand their production and exports. The CBERA, enacted in title II of Public Law 98-67, implemented by Presidential Proclamation 5133 of November 30, 1983, and amended by the Customs and Trade Act of 1990, applies to merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption, on or after January 1, 1984. Indicated by the symbol "E" or "E\*" in the special subcolumn, the CBERA provides duty-free entry to eligible articles, and reduced-duty treatment to certain other articles, which are the product of and imported directly from designated countries, as set forth in general note 7 to the HTS.

Free rates of duty in the special subcolumn followed by the symbol "IL" are applicable to products of Israel under the **United States-Israel Free Trade Area Implementation Act** of 1985 (IFTA), as provided in general note 8 to the HTS.

Preferential nonreciprocal duty-free or reduced-duty treatment in the special subcolumn followed by the symbol "J" or "J\*" in parentheses is afforded to eligible articles the product of designated beneficiary countries under the **Andean Trade Preference Act** (ATPA), enacted as title II of Public Law 102-182 and implemented by Presidential Proclamation 6455 of July 2, 1992 (effective July 22, 1992), as set forth in general note 11 to the HTS.

Preferential or free rates of duty in the special subcolumn followed by the symbol "CA" are applicable to eligible goods of Canada, and rates followed by the symbol "MX" are applicable to eligible goods of Mexico, under the **North American Free Trade Agreement**, as provided in general note 12 to the HTS and implemented effective January 1, 1994

by Presidential Proclamation 6641 of December 15, 1993. Goods must originate in the NAFTA region under rules set forth in general note 12(t) and meet other requirements of the note and applicable regulations.

Other special tariff treatment applies to particular **products of insular possessions** (general note 3(a)(iv)), **products of the West Bank and Gaza Strip** (general note 3(a)(v)), goods covered by the **Automotive Products Trade Act** (APTA) (general note 5) and the **Agreement on Trade in Civil Aircraft** (ATCA) (general note 6), **articles imported from freely associated states** (general note 10), **pharmaceutical products** (general note 13), and **intermediate chemicals for dyes** (general note 14).

The **General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994** (GATT 1994), pursuant to the Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization, is based upon the earlier GATT 1947 (61 Stat. (pt. 5) A58; 8 UST (pt. 2) 1786) as the primary multilateral system of disciplines and principles governing international trade. Signatories' obligations under both the 1994 and 1947 agreements focus upon most-favored-nation treatment, the maintenance of scheduled concession rates of duty, and national treatment for imported products; the GATT also provides the legal framework for customs valuation standards, "escape clause" (emergency) actions, antidumping and countervailing duties, dispute settlement, and other measures. The results of the Uruguay Round of multilateral tariff negotiations are set forth by way of separate schedules of concessions for each participating contracting party, with the U.S. schedule designated as Schedule XX.

Pursuant to the **Agreement on Textiles and Clothing** (ATC) of the GATT 1994, member countries are phasing out restrictions on imports under the prior "Arrangement Regarding International Trade in Textiles" (known as the **Multifiber Arrangement** (MFA)). Under the MFA, which was a departure from GATT 1947 provisions, importing and exporting countries negotiated bilateral agreements limiting textile and apparel shipments, and importing countries could take unilateral action in the absence or violation of an agreement. Quantitative limits had been established on imported textiles and apparel of cotton, other vegetable fibers, wool, man-made fibers or silk blends in an effort to prevent or limit market disruption in the importing countries. The ATC establishes notification and safeguard procedures, along with other rules concerning the customs treatment of textile and apparel shipments, and calls for the eventual complete integration of this sector into the GATT 1994 over a ten-year period, or by Jan. 1, 2005.

Rev. 8/12/97

**APPENDIX B**

**SELECTED PORTIONS OF THE  
HARMONIZED TARIFF SCHEDULE OF THE UNITED STATES**

(Appendix not included in the electronic version of this report.)

## **APPENDIX C**

### **OTHER ATTACHMENTS**

(Appendix not included in the electronic version of this report.)

105TH CONGRESS  
2D SESSION

# S. 1783

To suspend temporarily the duty on triethyleneglycol bis(2-ethyl hexanoate).

---

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

MARCH 17, 1998

Mr. BOND introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Finance

---

## A BILL

To suspend temporarily the duty on triethyleneglycol bis(2-ethyl hexanoate).

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. TRIETHYLENEGLYCOL BIS(2-ETHYL**  
4 **HEXANOATE).**

5 (a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter II of chapter 99 of  
6 the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States is  
7 amended by inserting in numerical sequence the following  
8 new heading:

“	9902.31.15	Triethyleneglycol bis(2-ethyl hexanoate) (CAS No. 94-28-0) (provided for in sub-heading 2915.90.50) .....	Free	No change	No change	On or before 12/31/2001	”.
---	------------	---	------	-----------	-----------	-------------------------	----



1       (b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by  
2 subsection (a) applies with respect to articles entered, or  
3 withdrawn from warehouse for consumption, on or after  
4 the 15th day after the date of enactment of this Act.

○