CORRECTED COPY : August 3, 1998

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION Washington, DC 20436

MEMORANDUM TO THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE ON PROPOSED TARIFF LEGISLATION¹

Bill no., sponsor, and sponsor's state: S. 1694 (105th Congress), Senator Coverdell (LA).

Companion bill: None.

<u>Title as introduced</u>: To suspend temporarily the duty on certain textile machines.

Summary of bill:2

The proposed bill would suspend through December 31, 1999, the general rate of duty on textile doubling or twisting machines, classifiable in subheading 8445.30.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS).

Effective date: The 15th day after the date of enactment.

Retroactive effect: The duty suspension would be made retroactive to January 1, 1998.

Statement of purpose:

Senator Coverdell made no statement about the bill in the *Congressional Record*. The bill reportedly was introduced on behalf of the members of the American Textile Manufactures Institute (ATMI).³

Product description and uses:4

Doubling: This process involves combining two or more strands of sliver, roving⁵ or yarn during yarn manufacturing. The purpose of this plying operation is to increase the thickness, strength and uniformity of the strand, and ultimately of the resulting yarn.

¹Industry analyst: William Greene (205-3405); attorney: Jan Summers (205-2605).

²See appendix A for definitions of tariff and trade agreement terms.

³Mr. Doug Bulcao, American Textile Manufactures Institute, Apr. 22, 1998.

⁴*Textile Terminology*, American Telephone and Telegraph Company.

⁵Sliver is an untwisted continuous strand of loosely aggregated fibers, while roving is an intermediate product comprising sliver that has been drawn, twisted and doubled twice.

Twisting machines: These machines twist two or more filaments or yarns into one heavier, stronger strand, or may be used to add special effects to particular yarns. The machines insert twists in differing directions and in varying speeds in relation to the spindles.

Tariff treatment:

Product	HTS subheading	Col. 1-general rate of duty
Textile doubling and twisting machinery	8445.30.00	0.8% ad val. (1999 and thereafterFree)

Structure of domestic industry:

Twisting machines: Foreign manufacturers presently supply the bulk of all textile printing machines purchased by U.S. textile mills and apparel manufacturers. Foreign producers were able to surpass domestic producers in terms of technological sophistication in the late 1970s. Although a 1992 U.S. Census Bureau publication indicated that there were at least 2 domestic companies manufacturing the subject machinery, the American Textile Machinery Association reports that there are currently no domestic suppliers of textile doubling and twisting machines.⁶ The Commission is unable to identify any domestic producers of textile doubling and twisting machines.

Private-sector views:

The Commission contacted 2 entities representing importers, producers, and their representatives concerning this legislation. Written comments received from American Textile Manufactures Institute.⁷

⁶U.S. Bureau of the Census, *1992 Census of Manufactures, MC92-I-35D, Special Industry Machinery, Except Metalworking Machinery Industry*, 1992, p. 35D-18. Mr. Clay Tyeryar, American Textile Machinery Association, Apr. 22, 1998.

⁷The Commission contacted the following: Mr. Clay Tyeryar, Government Affairs, American Textile Machinery Association (ATMA); and Mr. Doug Bulcao, American Textile Manufacturers Institute (ATMI).

U.S. consumption:

Textile doubling or twisting machines:	<u>1995</u>	<u>1996</u>	<u>1997</u>
		(\$1,000)	
U.S. production	$(^{1})$	$(^{1})$	$(^{1})$
U.S. imports	24,103	25,088	23,261
U.S. exports ²	6,303	5,969	13,356
Apparent U.S. consumption	17,800	19,119	9,905

¹Not available.

²U.S. exports are believed to be of used or reconditioned machinery.

Principal import sources: France, Germany, Italy. Principal export markets: France, Mexico, Japan.

Effect on customs revenue:8

Future (1998-1999) effe	Customs revenue loss of \$96,800 for the last 6 months of 1998; the subject machinery will be free of duty as of January 1, 1999.		
<u>x colum</u>	e annual value of U.S. imports\$ 24.2 millionan 1-general rate of dutyx0.008e annual revenue loss\$193,600		
Retroactive effect:	The retroactive revenue loss would depend on the volume and value of shipments during the period from January 1, 1998 through the bill's effective date and on the goods' importers making proper claims for refunds. As such, the figure cannot be computed precisely; the monthly estimate given above might help predict the maximum expected revenue loss.		

Technical comments:

Because the subject goods will be eligible for duty-free entry as of January 1, 1999, it is suggested that the proposed new HTS heading be amended so that the expiration date reads "On or before 12/31/98".

⁸Actual revenue loss may be understated if a significant increase in imports occurs during the duty suspension period.

APPENDIX A

TARIFF AND TRADE AGREEMENT TERMS

In the **Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States** (HTS), chapters 1 through 97 cover all goods in trade and incorporate in the tariff nomenclature the internationally adopted Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System through the 6-digit level of product description. Subordinate 8-digit product subdivisions, either enacted by Congress or proclaimed by the President, allow more narrowly applicable duty rates; 10-digit administrative statistical reporting numbers provide data of national interest. Chapters 98 and 99 contain special U.S. classifications and temporary rate provisions, respectively. The HTS replaced the <u>Tariff Schedules of the United States</u> (TSUS) effective January 1, 1989.

Duty rates in the **general** subcolumn of HTS column 1 are most-favored-nation (MFN) rates, many of which have been eliminated or are being reduced as concessions resulting from the Uruguay Round of Multilateral Trade Negotiations. Column 1-general duty rates apply to all countries except those enumerated in HTS general note 3(b) (Afghanistan, Cuba, Laos, North Korea, and Vietnam), which are subject to the statutory rates set forth in **column 2**. Specified goods from designated MFN-eligible countries may be eligible for reduced rates of duty or for duty-free entry under one or more preferential tariff programs. Such tariff treatment is set forth in the **special** subcolumn of HTS rate of duty column 1 or in the general notes. If eligibility for special tariff rates is not claimed or established, goods are dutiable at column 1-general rates. The HTS does not enumerate those countries as to which a total or partial embargo has been declared.

The <u>Generalized System of Preferences</u> (GSP) affords nonreciprocal tariff preferences to developing countries to aid their economic development and to diversify and expand their production and exports. The U.S. GSP, enacted in title V of the Trade Act of 1974 for 10 years and extended several times thereafter, applies to merchandise imported on or after January 1, 1976 and before the close of June 30, 1998. Indicated by the symbol "A", "A*", or "A+" in the special subcolumn, the GSP provides duty-free entry to eligible articles the product of and imported directly from designated beneficiary developing countries, as set forth in general note 4 to the HTS.

The <u>Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act</u> (CBERA) affords nonreciprocal tariff preferences to developing countries in the Caribbean Basin area to aid their economic development and to diversify and expand their production and exports. The CBERA, enacted in title II of Public Law 98-67, implemented by Presidential Proclamation 5133 of November 30, 1983, and amended by the Customs and Trade Act of 1990, applies to merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption, on or after January 1, 1984. Indicated by the symbol "E" or "E*" in the special subcolumn, the CBERA provides duty-free entry to eligible articles, and reduced-duty treatment to certain other articles, which are the product of and imported directly from designated countries, as set forth in general note 7 to the HTS.

Free rates of duty in the special subcolumn followed by the symbol "IL" are applicable to products of Israel under the **United States-Israel Free Trade Area Implementation Act** of 1985 (IFTA), as provided in general note 8 to the HTS.

Preferential nonreciprocal duty-free or reduced-duty treatment in the special subcolumn followed by the symbol "J" or "J*" in parentheses is afforded to eligible articles the product of designated beneficiary countries under the <u>Andean Trade</u> <u>Preference Act</u> (ATPA), enacted as title II of Public Law 102-182 and implemented by Presidential Proclamation 6455 of July 2, 1992 (effective July 22, 1992), as set forth in general note 11 to the HTS.

Preferential or free rates of duty in the special subcolumn followed by the symbol "CA" are applicable to eligible goods of Canada, and rates followed by the symbol "MX" are applicable to eligible goods of Mexico, under the **North American Free Trade Agreement**, as provided in general note 12 to the HTS and implemented effective January 1, 1994 by Presidential Proclamation 6641 of December 15, 1993. Goods must originate in the NAFTA region under rules set forth in general note 12(t) and meet other requirements of the note and applicable regulations.

Other special tariff treatment applies to particular **products of insular possessions** (general note 3(a)(iv)), **products** of the West Bank and Gaza Strip (general note 3(a)(v)), goods covered by the <u>Automotive Products Trade Act</u> (APTA) (general note 5) and the <u>Agreement on Trade in Civil Aircraft</u> (ATCA) (general note 6), <u>articles imported from freely</u> <u>associated states</u> (general note 10), <u>pharmaceutical products</u> (general note 13), and <u>intermediate chemicals for dyes</u> (general note 14).

The <u>General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994</u> (GATT 1994), pursuant to the Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization, is based upon the earlier GATT 1947 (61 Stat. (pt. 5) A58; 8 UST (pt. 2) 1786) as the primary multilateral system of disciplines and principles governing international trade. Signatories' obligations under both the 1994 and 1947 agreements focus upon most-favored-nation treatment, the maintenance of scheduled concession rates of duty, and national treatment for imported products; the GATT also provides the legal framework for customs valuation standards, "escape clause" (emergency) actions, antidumping and countervailing duties, dispute settlement, and other measures. The results of the Uruguay Round of multilateral tariff negotiations are set forth by way of separate schedules of concessions for each participating contracting party, with the U.S. schedule designated as Schedule XX.

Pursuant to the **Agreement on Textiles and Clothing** (ATC) of the GATT 1994, member countries are phasing out restrictions on imports under the prior "Arrangement Regarding International Trade in Textiles" (known as the **Multifiber Arrangement** (MFA)). Under the MFA, which was a departure from GATT 1947 provisions, importing and exporting countries negotiated bilateral agreements limiting textile and apparel shipments, and importing countries could take unilateral action in the absence or violation of an agreement. Quantitative limits had been established on imported textiles and apparel of cotton, other vegetable fibers, wool, man-made fibers or silk blends in an effort to prevent or limit market disruption in the importing countries. The ATC establishes notification and safeguard procedures, along with other rules concerning the customs treatment of textile and apparel shipments, and calls for the eventual complete integration of this sector into the GATT 1994 over a ten-year period, or by Jan. 1, 2005.

Rev. 8/12/97

APPENDIX B

SELECTED PORTIONS OF THE HARMONIZED TARIFF SCHEDULE OF THE UNITED STATES

(Appendix not included in the electronic version of this report.)

APPENDIX C

OTHER ATTACHMENTS

(Appendix not included in the electronic version of this report.)

^{105TH CONGRESS} ^{2D SESSION} **S. 1694**

To suspend temporarily the duty on certain textile machines.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

March 2, 1998

Mr. COVERDELL introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Finance

A BILL

To suspend temporarily the duty on certain textile machines.

1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-

2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

3 SECTION 1. TEXTILE MACHINES.

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter II of chapter 99 of
5 the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States is
6 amended by inserting in numerical sequence the following
7 new heading:



8 (b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by9 subsection (a) applies to goods entered, or withdrawn from

warehouse for consumption, on or after the date that is
 15 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

3 (c) RETROACTIVE APPLICATION.—Notwithstanding 4 section 514 of the Tariff Act of 1930 or any other provi-5 sion of law, upon proper request filed with the Customs Service not later than 180 days after the date of enact-6 7 ment of this Act, any entry, or withdrawal from warehouse 8 for consumption, of goods described in subheading 9 9902.84.45 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the 10 United States (as added by subsection (a))—

(1) that was made after December 31, 1997,
and before the date that is 15 days after the date
of enactment of this Act; and

14 (2) with respect to which there would have been
15 no duty if the amendment made by subsection (a)
16 applied to such entry or withdrawal,

17 shall be liquidated or reliquidated as if such amendment18 applied to such entry or withdrawal.

 \bigcirc