NOTICE OF OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET ACTION

Diana Hynek 07/14/2003 Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer Office of the Chief Information Officer 14th and Constitution Ave. NW. Room 6625 Washington, DC 20230

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, OMB has taken the following action on your request for approval of a new information collection received on 06/09/2003.

TITLE: Northeast Region Skate Bait Transfer At Sea Documentation

AGENCY FORM NUMBER(S): None

ACTION: APPROVED WITHOUT CHANGE

OMB NO.: 0648-0481

EXPIRATION DATE: 07/31/2006

BURDEN:	RESPONSES	HOURS	COSTS(\$,000)
Previous	0	0	0
New	2,880	96	0
Difference	2,880	96	0
Program Chan	ge	96	0
Adjustment		0	0

TERMS OF CLEARANCE: None

OMB Authorizing Official Title

Donald R. Arbuckle Deputy Administrator, Office of

Information and Regulatory Affairs

PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION

Please read the instructions before completing this form. For additional forms or assistance in completing this form, contact your agency's

Paperwork Clearance Officer. Send two copies of this form, the collection instrument to be reviewed, the supporting statement, and any additional documentation to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Docket Library, Room 10102, 725 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20503. 1. Agency/Subagency originating request 2. OMB control number b. [] None 3. Type of information collection (*check one*) Type of review requested (check one) Regular submission a. [b. [Emergency - Approval requested by ____ a. [] New Collection Delegated b. [] Revision of a currently approved collection c. [] Extension of a currently approved collection 5. Small entities Will this information collection have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities? [] Yes [] No d. [] Reinstatement, without change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired e. [] Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired 6. Requested expiration date f. [] Existing collection in use without an OMB control number a. [] Three years from approval date b. [] Other Specify: For b-f, note Item A2 of Supporting Statement instructions 7. Title 8. Agency form number(s) (if applicable) 9. Keywords 10. Abstract 11. Affected public (Mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "x") 12. Obligation to respond (check one) a. __Individuals or households d. ___Farms
b. __Business or other for-profite. ___Federal Government] Voluntary Business or other for-profite. Federal Government
Not-for-profit institutions f. State, Local or Tribal Government Required to obtain or retain benefits 1 Mandatory 13. Annual recordkeeping and reporting burden 14. Annual reporting and recordkeeping cost burden (in thousands of a. Number of respondents b. Total annual responses a. Total annualized capital/startup costs 1. Percentage of these responses b. Total annual costs (O&M) collected electronically c. Total annualized cost requested c. Total annual hours requested d. Current OMB inventory d. Current OMB inventory e. Difference e. Difference f. Explanation of difference f. Explanation of difference 1. Program change 1. Program change 2. Adjustment 2. Adjustment 16. Frequency of recordkeeping or reporting (check all that apply) 15. Purpose of information collection (Mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "X") a. [] Recordkeeping b. [] Third party disclosure] Reporting a. ___ Application for benefits Program planning or management 1. [] On occasion 2. [] Weekly Program evaluation f. Research 3. [] Monthly General purpose statistics g. Regulatory or compliance 4. [] Quarterly 5. [] Semi-annually 6. [] Annually 7. [] Biennially 8. [] Other (describe) 18. Agency Contact (person who can best answer questions regarding 17. Statistical methods Does this information collection employ statistical methods the content of this submission) [] Yes [] No Phone:

OMB 83-I 10/95

19. Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions

On behalf of this Federal Agency, I certify that the collection of information encompassed by this request complies with 5 CFR 1320.9

NOTE: The text of 5 CFR 1320.9, and the related provisions of 5 CFR 1320.8(b)(3), appear at the end of the instructions. *The certification is to be made with reference to those regulatory provisions as set forth in the instructions.*

The following is a summary of the topics, regarding the proposed collection of information, that the certification covers:

- (a) It is necessary for the proper performance of agency functions;
- (b) It avoids unnecessary duplication;
- (c) It reduces burden on small entities;
- (d) It used plain, coherent, and unambiguous terminology that is understandable to respondents;
- (e) Its implementation will be consistent and compatible with current reporting and recordkeeping practices;
- (f) It indicates the retention period for recordkeeping requirements;
- (g) It informs respondents of the information called for under 5 CFR 1320.8(b)(3):
 - (i) Why the information is being collected;
 - (ii) Use of information;
 - (iii) Burden estimate;
 - (iv) Nature of response (voluntary, required for a benefit, mandatory);
 - (v) Nature and extent of confidentiality; and
 - (vi) Need to display currently valid OMB control number;
- (h) It was developed by an office that has planned and allocated resources for the efficient and effective management and use of the information to be collected (see note in Item 19 of instructions);
- (i) It uses effective and efficient statistical survey methodology; and
- (j) It makes appropriate use of information technology.

If you are unable to certify compliance with any of the provisions, identify the item below and explain the reason in Item 18 of the Supporting Statement.

Signature of Senior Official or designee Date

OMB 83-I 10/95

Agency Certification (signature of Assistant Administrator, Deputy Assistant Administrator, Line Office Chief Information Officer, head of MB staff for L.O.s, or of the Director of a Program or StaffOffice)					
Signature	Date				
Signature of NOAA Clearance Officer					
Signature	Date				

SUPPORTING STATEMENT NORTHEAST REGION SKATE BAIT TRANSFER-AT-SEA DOCUMENTATION

INTRODUCTION

This submission requests Office of Management and Budget (OMB) clearance for requirements resulting from the Skate Fishery Management Plan (FMP). The Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to adopt such regulations as may be necessary to carry out the conservation and management objectives of FMPs. Section 402(a) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act authorizes the Regional Fishery Management Councils to request that the Secretary implement collection-of-information programs if such programs are beneficial to an FMP.

The New England Fishery Management Council (Council) is proposing management measures to be implemented for the skate fishery to prevent overfishing and help rebuild certain stocks of skates included in the management unit. The Council proposed collection-of-information programs in the Skate FMP which are necessary and justified as discussed below.

The Skate FMP includes new regulations to allow for skates to be transferred at sea for use as bait. This measure allows for vessels that fish for skates for bait only (differentiated from the larger skate wing fishery) to transfer skates at sea to another vessel for use as bait, provided the vessels comply with certain other requirements. Management measures for skate bait transfers at sea require that: The transferring vessel be issued a Federal skate permit and a Letter of Authorization (LOA) for the skate bait exemption program; the transferring vessel maintain a record in the vessel trip report of the quantity of skates transferred; and the receiving vessel has documentation (provided by the transferring vessel) showing the date of the transfer, the amount of skates transferred, and identifying information for the transferring vessel.

A. Justification

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary.

A comprehensive information system which identifies the participants and which monitors their activity levels and landings is necessary to enforce the management measures and prevent overfishing. An information system is also needed to measure the consequences of management controls. In general, information requirements for an effective monitoring and enforcement system include: Identification of the participating vessels, operators, dealers, and processors; location of the fishing activity; activity levels; and catch and landings information.

The Skate FMP incorporates these information requirements and vessels issued skate permits are therefore subjected to these requirements. Vessels wishing to transfer skates at sea must provide documentation to the receiving vessel showing the date, amount of skates transferred, and the identification of the transferring vessel. This documentation is necessary for NOAA Fisheries to monitor transfers at sea and for enforcement officers to more easily assess whether skates possessed on board the receiving vessel were obtained lawfully.

2. Explain how, by whom, how frequently, and for what purpose the information will be used. If the information collected will be disseminated to the public or used to support information that will be disseminated to the public, then explain how the collection complies with all applicable Information Quality Guidelines.

The information will be used by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NOAA Fisheries) and the Coast Guard, while at sea and dockside, to monitor compliance with, and enforce, the regulations pertaining to bait transfers at sea. The information is required every time a vessel transfers skate at sea to another vessel.

The Section 515 Information Quality Guidelines do not apply to this information collection. No information is submitted to NOAA or disseminated to the public.

3. <u>Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated,</u> electronic, mechanical, or other technological techniques or other forms of information technology.

No improved information collection technology has been identified to reduce this burden further. Every effort will be made in the future to use computer technology to reduce the public burden.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication.

There is no duplication of effort in permit information collection.

5. <u>If the collection of information involves small businesses or other small entities, describe the</u> methods used to minimize burden.

Most of the respondents for these collection-of-information requirements qualify as small businesses. Only the minimum data needed to verify a transfer at sea transaction are requested from all respondents. There are no other options available to minimize this burden in this instance, other than the preparation of a receipt from one vessel to another.

6. <u>Describe the consequences to the Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not</u> conducted or is conducted less frequently.

The need for the collection-of-information is described in item 1. If this collection is not conducted, there would be no way to enforce the regulations regarding transfer-at-sea.

7. Explain any special circumstances that require the collection to be conducted in a manner inconsistent with OMB guidelines.

The data collection is consistent with OMB guidelines.

8. Provide a copy of the PRA Federal Register notice that solicited public comments on the information collection prior to this submission. Summarize the public comments received in response to that notice and describe the actions taken by the agency in response to those comments. Describe the efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the

availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and record keeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

The New England Fishery Management Council held many Council and Skate Oversight Committee meetings during 2000-2002 at which there was a public discussion of monitoring requirements. The draft FMP and DEIS, which identified the proposed permitting and reporting requirements, was made available for public review on August 30, 2002. The NEFMC held five public hearings on the proposed management program between September 16 and October 1, 2002. The public had opportunity to provide written comments on the management program through October 15, 2002. Experience with various programs, some of which have been operating since 1981, provides continual feedback to NOAA Fisheries on issues and concerns of the applicants.

No comments have been received to date on this proposed collection. A proposed rule will also solicit public comment on these requirements.

9. Explain any decisions to provide payments or gifts to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.

No payment or gift will be made to respondents.

10. <u>Describe any assurance or confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.</u>

All data will be kept confidential as required by NOAA Administrative Order 216-100, Confidentiality of Fisheries Statistics, and will not be released for public use except in aggregate statistical form (and without identifying the source of data, e.g., vessel name, owner, etc.).

11. <u>Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private.</u>

The collection does not involve questions of a sensitive nature.

12. Provide an estimate in hours of the burden of the collection of information.

Table 1 summarizes the burden hours, number of respondents, and total burden of the reporting requirements under the Skate FMP. The burden hours are based on the number of participants expected to participate in the skate bait exemption fishery and an average time to prepare the documentation of 2 minutes. For purposes of this estimate, vessels are assumed to transfer skates at sea once per fishing trip, and vessels are assumed to make, on average, two fishing trips per month.

The number of expected respondents (120) is based on the number of vessels reported to have landed skates as bait in 1999, the baseline year for the analysis in the FMP. These are considered to be the universe of vessels that would request the skate bait exemption LOA, a prerequisite for transferring skates

at sea. This may be an overestimation of the number of vessels that would actually transfer skates at sea, but serves as the maximum number of potential respondents.

Each of the 120 expected participants is therefore assumed to provide 24 documentation items, for a total of 2,880 items for all participating entities. Assuming 2 minutes per transaction, the total burden for all participating entities is 96 hours.

13. <u>Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to the respondents or recordkeepers</u> resulting from the collection.

Table 1 summarizes the burden and cost estimates for complying with the documentation requirements for transferring skates at sea to another vessel. The only costs associated with this requirement are related to the time it would take for the transferring vessel to document the transfer of skates. This burden is explained in item 12.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government.

Because this requirement is fishing vessel-to-fishing vessel, there is no information provided to the government and no processing required by the government; therefore, there are no costs to the government associated with this requirement.

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments reported in Items 13 or 14 of the OMB 83-I.

These are new requirements and therefore a program change. Previously, no FMP existed for this fishery. In order to implement effective management of the skate resources, additional data collections are required

16. For collections whose results will be published, outline the plans for tabulation and publication.

No data will be collected from participants; the participants retain this information.

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons why display would be inappropriate.

The Council is not seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection.

18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in Item 19 of the OMB 83-I.

There are no exceptions.

B. COLLECTIONS OF INFORMATION EMPLOYING STATISTICAL METHODS

No statistical methods are employed in the information collection procedures; the requirements are mandatory for participants in the skate fishery.

Burden and Cost Estimates for the Public and the Government

Table 1: Skate Transfer at Sea Documentation										
Requirement	Number of Entities	Items per Entity	Total Number of Items	Response Time (minutes)	Total Burden (hours)	Material Costs	Cost to Public (1)	Cost to Govern- ment (2)		
Preparation of Transfer at Sea Documentation	120	24	2,880	2	96	\$0.00	\$1,440	N/A		
Total	120		2,880		96	\$0.00	\$1,440	N/A		

⁽¹⁾ Calculated based on the burden hours (assumed to be \$15 per hour).(2) There is no cost to the government associated with this requirement.

Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act

Public Law 94-265

As amended through October 11, 1996

SEC. 303. CONTENTS OF FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLANS 16 U.S.C. 1853

95-354, 99-659, 101-627, 104-297

- (a) **REQUIRED PROVISIONS**.--Any fishery management plan which is prepared by any Council, or by the Secretary, with respect to any fishery, shall--
- (1) contain the conservation and management measures, applicable to foreign fishing and fishing by vessels of the United States, which are--
 - (A) necessary and appropriate for the conservation and management of the fishery to prevent overfishing and rebuild overfished stocks, and to protect, restore, and promote the long-term health and stability of the fishery;
 - (B) described in this subsection or subsection (b), or both; and
 - (C) consistent with the national standards, the other provisions of this Act, regulations implementing recommendations by international organizations in which the United States participates (including but not limited to closed areas, quotas, and size limits), and any other applicable law;
- (2) contain a description of the fishery, including, but not limited to, the number of vessels involved, the type and quantity of fishing gear used, the species of fish involved and their location, the cost likely to be incurred in management, actual and potential revenues from the fishery, any recreational interest in the fishery, and the nature and extent of foreign fishing and Indian treaty fishing rights, if any;
- (3) assess and specify the present and probable future condition of, and the maximum sustainable yield and optimum yield from, the fishery, and include a summary of the information utilized in making such specification;
 - (4) assess and specify--
 - (A) the capacity and the extent to which fishing vessels of the United States, on an annual basis, will harvest the optimum yield specified under paragraph (3),
 - (B) the portion of such optimum yield which, on an annual basis, will not be harvested by fishing vessels of the United States and can be made available for foreign fishing, and
 - (C) the capacity and extent to which United States fish processors, on an annual basis, will process that portion of such optimum yield that will be harvested by fishing vessels of the United States;

- (5) specify the pertinent data which shall be submitted to the Secretary with respect to commercial, recreational, and charter fishing in the fishery, including, but not limited to, information regarding the type and quantity of fishing gear used, catch by species in numbers of fish or weight thereof, areas in which fishing was engaged in, time of fishing, number of hauls, and the estimated processing capacity of, and the actual processing capacity utilized by, United States fish processors;
- (6) consider and provide for temporary adjustments, after consultation with the Coast Guard and persons utilizing the fishery, regarding access to the fishery for vessels otherwise prevented from harvesting because of weather or other ocean conditions affecting the safe conduct of the fishery; except that the adjustment shall not adversely affect conservation efforts in other fisheries or discriminate among participants in the affected fishery;
- (7) describe and identify essential fish habitat for the fishery based on the guidelines established by the Secretary under section 305(b)(1)(A), minimize to the extent practicable adverse effects on such habitat caused by fishing, and identify other actions to encourage the conservation and enhancement of such habitat;
- (8) in the case of a fishery management plan that, after January 1, 1991, is submitted to the Secretary for review under section 304(a) (including any plan for which an amendment is submitted to the Secretary for such review) or is prepared by the Secretary, assess and specify the nature and extent of scientific data which is needed for effective implementation of the plan;
- (9) include a fishery impact statement for the plan or amendment (in the case of a plan or amendment thereto submitted to or prepared by the Secretary after October 1, 1990) which shall assess, specify, and describe the likely effects, if any, of the conservation and management measures on--
 - (A) participants in the fisheries and fishing communities affected by the plan or amendment; and
 - (B) participants in the fisheries conducted in adjacent areas under the authority of another Council, after consultation with such Council and representatives of those participants;
- (10) specify objective and measurable criteria for identifying when the fishery to which the plan applies is overfished (with an analysis of how the criteria were determined and the relationship of the criteria to the reproductive potential of stocks of fish in that fishery) and, in the case of a fishery which the Council or the Secretary has determined is approaching an overfished condition or is overfished, contain conservation and management measures to prevent overfishing or end overfishing and rebuild the fishery;
- (11) establish a standardized reporting methodology to assess the amount and type of bycatch occurring in the fishery, and include conservation and management measures that, to the extent practicable and in the following priority--
 - (A) minimize bycatch; and
 - (B) minimize the mortality of bycatch which cannot be avoided;

- (12) assess the type and amount of fish caught and released alive during recreational fishing under catch and release fishery management programs and the mortality of such fish, and include conservation and management measures that, to the extent practicable, minimize mortality and ensure the extended survival of such fish;
- (13) include a description of the commercial, recreational, and charter fishing sectors which participate in the fishery and, to the extent practicable, quantify trends in landings of the managed fishery resource by the commercial, recreational, and charter fishing sectors; and
- (14) to the extent that rebuilding plans or other conservation and management measures which reduce the overall harvest in a fishery are necessary, allocate any harvest restrictions or recovery benefits fairly and equitably among the commercial, recreational, and charter fishing sectors in the fishery.

97-453, 99-659, 101-627, 102-251, 104-297

- **(b) DISCRETIONARY PROVISIONS.**--Any fishery management plan which is prepared by any Council, or by the Secretary, with respect to any fishery, may--
- (1) require a permit to be obtained from, and fees to be paid to, the Secretary, with respect to--
 - (A) any fishing vessel of the United States fishing, or wishing to fish, in the exclusive economic zone [or special areas,]* or for anadromous species or Continental Shelf fishery resources beyond such zone [or areas]*;
 - (B) the operator of any such vessel; or
 - (C) any United States fish processor who first receives fish that are subject to the plan;
- (2) designate zones where, and periods when, fishing shall be limited, or shall not be permitted, or shall be permitted only by specified types of fishing vessels or with specified types and quantities of fishing gear;
- (3) establish specified limitations which are necessary and appropriate for the conservation and management of the fishery on the--
 - (A) catch of fish (based on area, species, size, number, weight, sex, bycatch, total biomass, or other factors);
 - (B) sale of fish caught during commercial, recreational, or charter fishing, consistent with any applicable Federal and State safety and quality requirements; and
 - (C) transshipment or transportation of fish or fish products under permits issued pursuant to section 204;
- (4) prohibit, limit, condition, or require the use of specified types and quantities of fishing gear, fishing vessels, or equipment for such vessels, including devices which may be required to facilitate enforcement of the provisions of this Act;

- (5) incorporate (consistent with the national standards, the other provisions of this Act, and any other applicable law) the relevant fishery conservation and management measures of the coastal States nearest to the fishery;
- (6) establish a limited access system for the fishery in order to achieve optimum yield if, in developing such system, the Council and the Secretary take into account--
 - (A) present participation in the fishery,
 - (B) historical fishing practices in, and dependence on, the fishery,
 - (C) the economics of the fishery,
 - (D) the capability of fishing vessels used in the fishery to engage in other fisheries,
 - (E) the cultural and social framework relevant to the fishery and any affected fishing communities, and
 - (F) any other relevant considerations;
- (7) require fish processors who first receive fish that are subject to the plan to submit data (other than economic data) which are necessary for the conservation and management of the fishery;
- (8) require that one or more observers be carried on board a vessel of the United States engaged in fishing for species that are subject to the plan, for the purpose of collecting data necessary for the conservation and management of the fishery; except that such a vessel shall not be required to carry an observer on board if the facilities of the vessel for the quartering of an observer, or for carrying out observer functions, are so inadequate or unsafe that the health or safety of the observer or the safe operation of the vessel would be jeopardized;
- (9) assess and specify the effect which the conservation and management measures of the plan will have on the stocks of naturally spawning anadromous fish in the region;
- (10) include, consistent with the other provisions of this Act, conservation and management measures that provide harvest incentives for participants within each gear group to employ fishing practices that result in lower levels of bycatch or in lower levels of the mortality of bycatch;
- (11) reserve a portion of the allowable biological catch of the fishery for use in scientific research; and
- (12) prescribe such other measures, requirements, or conditions and restrictions as are determined to be necessary and appropriate for the conservation and management of the fishery.

97-453, 104-297

- **(c) PROPOSED REGULATIONS.**--Proposed regulations which the Council deems necessary or appropriate for the purposes of--
- (1) implementing a fishery management plan or plan amendment shall be submitted to the Secretary simultaneously with the plan or amendment under section 304; and
- (2) making modifications to regulations implementing a fishery management plan or plan amendment may be submitted to the Secretary at any time after the plan or amendment is approved under section 304.