

NOTICE OF OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET ACTION

Madeleine Clayton 08/28/2001
Departmental Forms Clearance Officer
Office of the Chief Information Officer
14th and Constitution Ave. NW.
Room 6086
Washington, DC 20230

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, OMB has taken the following action on your request for approval of a new information collection received on 07/06/2001.

TITLE: Observer Workshop/Conference Survey

AGENCY FORM NUMBER(S): None

ACTION : APPROVED

OMB NO.: 0648-0442

EXPIRATION DATE: 08/31/2004

BURDEN	RESPONSES	BURDEN HOURS	BURDEN COSTS
Previous	0	0	0
New	175	44	0
Difference	175	44	0
Program Change		44	0
Adjustment		0	0

TERMS OF CLEARANCE: None

NOTE: The agency is required to display the OMB control number and inform respondents of its legal significance (see 5 CFR 1320.5(b)).

OMB Authorizing Official Title

Donald R. Arbuckle Deputy Administrator, Office of
Information and Regulatory Affairs

PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION

Please read the instructions before completing this form. For additional forms or assistance in completing this form, contact your agency's Paperwork Clearance Officer. Send two copies of this form, the collection instrument to be reviewed, the supporting statement, and any additional documentation to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Docket Library, Room 10102, 725 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20503.

1. Agency/Subagency originating request	2. OMB control number b. <input type="checkbox"/> None a. _____ - _____
3. Type of information collection (<i>check one</i>) a. <input type="checkbox"/> New Collection b. <input type="checkbox"/> Revision of a currently approved collection c. <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of a currently approved collection d. <input type="checkbox"/> Reinstatement, without change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired e. <input type="checkbox"/> Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired f. <input type="checkbox"/> Existing collection in use without an OMB control number For b-f, note Item A2 of Supporting Statement instructions	4. Type of review requested (<i>check one</i>) a. <input type="checkbox"/> Regular submission b. <input type="checkbox"/> Emergency - Approval requested by _____ / _____ / _____ c. <input type="checkbox"/> Delegated
7. Title	5. Small entities Will this information collection have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
8. Agency form number(s) (<i>if applicable</i>)	6. Requested expiration date a. <input type="checkbox"/> Three years from approval date b. <input type="checkbox"/> Other Specify: _____ / _____
9. Keywords	10. Abstract
11. Affected public (<i>Mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "x"</i>) a. ___ Individuals or households d. ___ Farms b. ___ Business or other for-profit e. ___ Federal Government c. ___ Not-for-profit institutions f. ___ State, Local or Tribal Government	12. Obligation to respond (<i>check one</i>) a. <input type="checkbox"/> Voluntary b. <input type="checkbox"/> Required to obtain or retain benefits c. <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory
13. Annual recordkeeping and reporting burden a. Number of respondents _____ b. Total annual responses _____ 1. Percentage of these responses collected electronically _____ % c. Total annual hours requested _____ d. Current OMB inventory _____ e. Difference _____ f. Explanation of difference 1. Program change _____ 2. Adjustment _____	14. Annual reporting and recordkeeping cost burden (<i>in thousands of dollars</i>) a. Total annualized capital/startup costs _____ b. Total annual costs (O&M) _____ c. Total annualized cost requested _____ d. Current OMB inventory _____ e. Difference _____ f. Explanation of difference 1. Program change _____ 2. Adjustment _____
15. Purpose of information collection (<i>Mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "X"</i>) a. ___ Application for benefits e. ___ Program planning or management b. ___ Program evaluation f. ___ Research c. ___ General purpose statistics g. ___ Regulatory or compliance d. ___ Audit	16. Frequency of recordkeeping or reporting (<i>check all that apply</i>) a. <input type="checkbox"/> Recordkeeping b. <input type="checkbox"/> Third party disclosure c. <input type="checkbox"/> Reporting 1. <input type="checkbox"/> On occasion 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Semi-annually 6. <input type="checkbox"/> Annually 7. <input type="checkbox"/> Biennially 8. <input type="checkbox"/> Other (describe) _____
17. Statistical methods Does this information collection employ statistical methods <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	18. Agency Contact (person who can best answer questions regarding the content of this submission) Name: _____ Phone: _____

19. Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions

On behalf of this Federal Agency, I certify that the collection of information encompassed by this request complies with 5 CFR 1320.9

NOTE: The text of 5 CFR 1320.9, and the related provisions of 5 CFR 1320.8(b)(3), appear at the end of the instructions. *The certification is to be made with reference to those regulatory provisions as set forth in the instructions.*

The following is a summary of the topics, regarding the proposed collection of information, that the certification covers:

- (a) It is necessary for the proper performance of agency functions;
- (b) It avoids unnecessary duplication;
- (c) It reduces burden on small entities;
- (d) It used plain, coherent, and unambiguous terminology that is understandable to respondents;
- (e) Its implementation will be consistent and compatible with current reporting and recordkeeping practices;
- (f) It indicates the retention period for recordkeeping requirements;
- (g) It informs respondents of the information called for under 5 CFR 1320.8(b)(3):
 - (i) Why the information is being collected;
 - (ii) Use of information;
 - (iii) Burden estimate;
 - (iv) Nature of response (voluntary, required for a benefit, mandatory);
 - (v) Nature and extent of confidentiality; and
 - (vi) Need to display currently valid OMB control number;
- (h) It was developed by an office that has planned and allocated resources for the efficient and effective management and use of the information to be collected (see note in Item 19 of instructions);
- (i) It uses effective and efficient statistical survey methodology; and
- (j) It makes appropriate use of information technology.

If you are unable to certify compliance with any of the provisions, identify the item below and explain the reason in Item 18 of the Supporting Statement.

Signature of Senior Official or designee

Date

Agency Certification (signature of Assistant Administrator or head of MB staff for L.O.s, or of the Director of a Program or Staff Office)	
Signature	Date
Signature of NOAA Clearance Officer	
Signature	Date

**SUPPORTING STATEMENT
OBSERVER WORKSHOP/CONFERENCE SURVEY**

A. JUSTIFICATION

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary.

NOAA Fisheries coordinated and sponsored two very successful observer workshops which were held in March of 1998 and June of 2000. The workshops brought together NOAA Fisheries managers and scientists; fishing industry representatives; representatives from Canada's Department of Fisheries and Oceans; and participants from the U.S., Canada and other nations. The interaction and discussions among the participants at these workshops provided ideas for enhancing the operations of observer programs and created awareness of trends and issues in observer programs.

NOAA Fisheries is again sponsoring this event in the Fall of 2002, hereafter referred to as the 3rd Biennial Observer Conference. In order to improve the performance and effectiveness of the proceedings of the 3rd Biennial Observer Conference, the NOAA Fisheries Observer Program needs to conduct this survey. More effective proceedings of the 3rd Biennial Observer Conference will help the NOAA Fisheries Observer Program staff to improve the design, efficiency and operation of their programs, and will help the NOAA Fisheries Observer Program maintain its current status as a leader in observer programs worldwide.

2. Explain how, by whom, how frequently, and for what purpose the information will be used.

The information from this survey will be used by the NOAA members of the Observer Conference Steering Committee to evaluate the effectiveness of the format and the timeliness and relevance of the content of the two previous observer workshops. The information from this survey will also be used in planning so that the third observer conference will better meet the needs of the NOAA Fisheries Observer Program. We propose that this information would be collected every two years, approximately one year before the Observer Program Conferences are held. Information collection every two years is important because certain essential aspects of fisheries and observer programs can change as a result of legislation or law suits which have an impact on how the observer programs are operated.

The proposed use of the information from the first section of the survey, "The Seattle Workshop," is to evaluate the effectiveness of the format and the timeliness and relevance of the content of the Seattle Observer Workshop held in March of 1998. The information from the survey will also be used to help plan the format and content of the next observer conference, as well as planning other social functions associated with the conference.

The proposed use of the information from the second section of the survey, "The St. John's Workshop," is to evaluate the effectiveness of the format, and the timeliness and relevance of the

content of the St. John's Observer Workshop held in June of 2000. The information from the survey will also be used to help plan the format and content of the next observer conference. Another use for the survey information will be to plan networking opportunities during the time outside of the formal conference proceedings.

The proposed use of the information from the third section of the survey, "For Planning Future Conferences," is to help plan the format and content of the next observer conference and to plan networking opportunities during the time outside of the formal conference proceedings. In particular, the Conference Steering Committee is seeking input on issues to be included in the proceedings of the next conference that most participants feel are most relevant and timely to help improve the performance of NOAA Fisheries observer programs.

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological techniques or other forms of information technology.

We are proposing to collect the information by alerting the intended surveyees by email that the survey form is available on a NOAA web site. We are further proposing that the completed surveys be returned to a NOAA employee via an email address linked to the web site. Replies will then be transferred into a database for sorting and analysis. Using electronic means to transfer the responses will reduce the burden on the public since they will not have to take the time to mail a paper form in order to respond. The form will be available for printing off the Internet.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication.

The requirement to collect this information is unique to the NOAA members of the Observer Conference Steering Committee.

5. If the collection of information involves small businesses or other small entities, describe the methods used to minimize burden.

The collection of this information will not have a significant impact on small entities such as small businesses, organizations, or government bodies since the estimated time to complete the survey is 15 minutes per person.

6. Describe the consequences to the Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently.

The collection of this information is essential to planning an observer conference that will optimally meet the needs of the NOAA Fisheries Observer Programs so that participants can learn about new technologies available to increase productivity and become better informed on issues that effect observer programs.

7. Explain any special circumstances that require the collection to be conducted in a manner inconsistent with OMB guidelines.

The information would be collected in a manner consistent with the OMB guidelines.

8. Provide a copy of the PRA Federal Register notice that solicited public comments on the information collection prior to this submission. Summarize the public comments received in response to that notice and describe the actions taken by the agency in response to those comments. Describe the efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and recordkeeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

A Federal Register Notice (copy attached) solicited public comments; none were received. We consulted with three individuals with the Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) regarding the availability of data, the frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions, the amount of burden to be imposed, and ways to minimize the burden. However, because of the unique nature of this data collection requirement, no data were available.

9. Explain any decisions to provide payments or gifts to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.

There are no plans to provide any payment or gift to respondents.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.

None.

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private.

There are no questions of a sensitive nature included in the survey.

12. Provide an estimate in hours of the burden of the collection of information.

We anticipate up to 350 respondents who would respond only once every two years, so annualized this would be 175 respondents. We estimate the average response time at 15 minutes per respondent. We arrived at this estimate by having several co-workers who were not familiar with the survey fill it out. We estimate that the annualized total response time would be 44 hours. Because of the short amount of time estimated to respond (15 minutes per respondent), the annualized cost to respondents for the hour burden for the collection is not estimated.

13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to the respondents or record-keepers resulting from the collection.

The collection would be conducted with existing computers and software, so no additional cost burden would be incurred in purchasing equipment.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government.

There would be no additional cost to the Federal government for this information collection since it would use existing equipment and use the time of staff whose job responsibilities would include planning and organizing the observer conference. The record keepers for this information collection will be NOAA observer program staff who are members of the Observer Conference Steering Committee. Their regular work responsibilities would include assisting in planning for the next observer conference, so no additional cost burden would be incurred by this collection.

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments reported in Items 13 or 14 of the OMB 83-I.

This is a program change resulting from a new survey.

16. For collections whose results will be published, outline the plans for tabulation and publication.

The results of this collection will not be published and there are no complex analytical techniques that will be used. The results of the collection will be made available on a NOAA observer program web site.

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons why display would be inappropriate.

We are not seeking approval to not display the expiration data for OMB approval.

18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in Item 19 of the OMB 83-I.

There were no exceptions.

B. COLLECTIONS OF INFORMATION EMPLOYING STATISTICAL METHODS

This collection does not employ statistical methods.

3RD BIENNIAL OBSERVER PROGRAM WORKSHOP/CONFERENCE PLANNING SURVEY

NAME: _____

TITLE: _____

COMPANY/ORGANIZATION: _____

ADDRESS: _____

PHONE: _____ FAX: _____

E-MAIL: _____

AFFILIATION: ACADEMIC _____ CONSULTANT _____

FISHERMAN _____ FISHING INDUSTRY _____

GOVERNMENT _____ OTHER INDUSTRY _____

OBSERVER _____ OBSERVER PROVIDER _____

OTHER (please identify) _____

The intent of the following voluntary survey is to get feedback on the previous observer workshops and to plan for our next observer workshop/conference. Any information collected will be used to assist in planning for the next observer workshop/conference to ensure that it best meets the needs of the participants. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per person, including the time for reviewing instructions. Information submitted will be a public record.

THE SEATTLE WORKSHOP:

1. Did you attend the Seattle workshop? Yes _____ No _____
If yes, answer questions 2 through 4.
2. What issues from the workshop did you find relevant and to what extent? Please number your choices from the most relevant (1) in descending order to the least relevant:
 - Regional/country program overviews _____
 - Catch estimation methodologies _____
 - Observer program delivery issues _____
 - Observer program objectives _____
 - Mandates and legislative frameworks for observer programs _____
3. Did you find the format of the workshop of a series of panel presentations followed by audience participation productive? Yes _____ No _____ If no, please make suggestions below under question number 8.
4. Did you attend the social functions for the workshop?
 - Dinner at Seattle Aquarium Yes _____ No _____
 - Wine and Cheese Reception at the NMFS cafeteria Yes _____ No _____
5. Was the workshop (*check one*) too short _____, too long _____, or just the right number of days (2-and-2/3rds-days)?
6. Was the registration fee (\$100/\$125) a good value for the amenities provided? Yes _____ No _____

THE ST. JOHN'S, CANADA WORKSHOP

7. Did you attend the St. John's workshop? Yes _____ No _____ If yes, answer questions 8 through 17.
8. What issues from the workshop did you find relevant? Please number your choices from the most relevant (1) in descending order to the least relevant:
 - Determining appropriate observer coverage levels _____
 - General industry issues (coverage level determinations, data usage, fisher sector involvement in program design, program delivery, standardizing industry feedback mechanisms) _____
 - Implementing observer deployment strategies _____
 - Integration of observer data with other information sources _____
 - Maintaining an experienced observer corps _____
 - Observer Bill of Rights _____
 - Observer program funding _____
 - Observer safety _____
9. Did you find the brief presentation followed by the extensive question and answer session productive? Yes _____ No _____ If not, why not (please provide suggestions/comments.)

10. Did you spend time viewing the posters at the workshop? Yes _____ No _____ If not, why not (please provide suggestions/comments.) _____

11. Did you attend the social functions for the workshop? If so, which one(s)?

- Welcoming reception _____
- Western evening and raffle _____
- Formal dinner _____

12. For the workshop, was the technical equipment sufficient? Yes _____ No _____ If not, what problems did you encounter? _____

13. Was the workshop (*check one*) too short _____, too long _____, or just the right number _____ of days (2-and-2/3rds-days)?

14. Did you like having all of the meals provided? Yes _____ No _____

15. If you stayed at the Delta Hotel, how were the accommodations? _____

16. Did you use the web site to pay for your registration? Yes _____ No _____

17. Was the registration fee (\$200/\$250) a good value for the amenities provided? Yes _____ No _____

FOR PLANNING FUTURE WORKSHOPS/CONFERENCES:

18. Are you interested in attending the next observer workshop/conference?

19. Would you like to have overviews of each of the participating country's observer programs by region/country? Yes _____ No _____

20. Which of the following subject areas would you like for the agenda of the next workshop/conference? Please number your choices from the most relevant (1) in descending order to the least relevant.

- | | |
|---|--|
| Access to data _____ | Alternative observing technology systems _____ |
| Compliance monitoring _____ | Contracting standards _____ |
| Enforcement _____ | Insurance _____ |
| Observer support _____ | Safety _____ |
| Sampling design _____ | Training _____ |
| Use of observer data for Fishery Management _____ | |
| Other _____ | |
- _____

21. Would you like to see more time and space available for posters? Yes _____ No _____
Comments: _____

22. Would you like to see abstracts prepared and available to attendees in advance of the workshop? Yes _____ No _____ ...a full paper? Yes _____ No _____
23. What format would you find most effective? Please number your choices from the most effective (1) to the least effective.
Panels: Multiple presentations lasting 5 _____, 10 _____, 15 _____, 20 _____ or 30 _____ minutes on a related subject followed by an extensive Q & A session. _____
Seminars: Multiple presentations lasting 5 _____, 10 _____, 15 _____, 20 _____, or 30 _____ minutes on a related subject followed by a very limited (<5 min.) Q & A session. _____
Discussions: Brief introduction of topics followed by facilitated Q & A session lasting 15 _____, 20 _____, or 30 _____ minutes. _____
24. Number of days preferred for conference. Please number your choices from the most preferred (1) to the least preferred.
1 day _____ 2 days _____ 3 days _____
1 ½ days _____ 2 ½ days _____ 3 ½ days _____
25. Would you prefer that the facilitators were strict timekeepers or more flexible?
Strict _____ Flexible _____ In-between _____
26. Would a plenary session by noted professional be desirable? Yes _____ No _____ Please list any speakers you would suggest: _____

27. Would you like to have access to rooms for breakout sessions during the conference?
Yes _____ No _____
28. Would you use a website to pay for your registration for the next conference?
Yes _____ No _____
29. Do you prefer receiving information through email, mailings, or the web site? (*Check all that apply*) E-mail _____ Mailings _____ Web site _____
30. Would you prefer to have future conferences at the same site as the hotel? Yes _____ No _____
Within walking distance Yes _____ No _____
31. Would you prefer to have meals on your own? Yes _____ No _____ If no, what sort of arrangement for meals would you prefer? (Please describe): _____

32. Would you be interested in using the conference space for a pre- or post workshop meeting?
Yes _____ No _____

34. Are you aware of any meetings or conferences planned for the Fall of 2002 that may present a conflict to intended participants of the next observer conference? If so, please identify them by name and dates: _____

If you know of observer program personnel that did not attend the Seattle or St. John's workshops that may be interested in attending the next conference, please provide conference information below or contact us.

- | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. _____

_____ | 3. _____

_____ |
| 2. _____

_____ | 4. _____

_____ |

Thank you,

The Conference Steering Committee

Notwithstanding any other provision of the law, no person is required to respond to, nor shall any person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act, unless that collection of information displays a currently valid OMB Control Number.

(B) minimize the mortality of bycatch which cannot be avoided;

(12) assess the type and amount of fish caught and released alive during recreational fishing under catch and release fishery management programs and the mortality of such fish, and include conservation and management measures that, to the extent practicable, minimize mortality and ensure the extended survival of such fish;

(13) include a description of the commercial, recreational, and charter fishing sectors which participate in the fishery and, to the extent practicable, quantify trends in landings of the managed fishery resource by the commercial, recreational, and charter fishing sectors; and

(14) to the extent that rebuilding plans or other conservation and management measures which reduce the overall harvest in a fishery are necessary, allocate any harvest restrictions or recovery benefits fairly and equitably among the commercial, recreational, and charter fishing sectors in the fishery.

97-453, 99-659, 101-627, 102-251, 104-297

(b) DISCRETIONARY PROVISIONS.--Any fishery management plan which is prepared by any Council, or by the Secretary, with respect to any fishery, may--

(1) require a permit to be obtained from, and fees to be paid to, the Secretary, with respect to--

(A) any fishing vessel of the United States fishing, or wishing to fish, in the exclusive economic zone [or special areas,]* or for anadromous species or Continental Shelf fishery resources beyond such zone [or areas]*;

(B) the operator of any such vessel; or

(C) any United States fish processor who first receives fish that are subject to the plan;

(2) designate zones where, and periods when, fishing shall be limited, or shall not be permitted, or shall be permitted only by specified types of fishing vessels or with specified types and quantities of fishing gear;

(3) establish specified limitations which are necessary and appropriate for the conservation and management of the fishery on the--

(A) catch of fish (based on area, species, size, number, weight, sex, bycatch, total biomass, or other factors);

(B) sale of fish caught during commercial, recreational, or charter fishing, consistent with any applicable Federal and State safety and quality requirements; and

(C) transshipment or transportation of fish or fish products under permits issued pursuant to section 204;

(4) prohibit, limit, condition, or require the use of specified types and quantities of fishing gear, fishing vessels, or equipment for such vessels, including devices which may be required to facilitate enforcement of the provisions of this Act;

(5) incorporate (consistent with the national standards, the other provisions of this Act, and any other applicable law) the relevant fishery conservation and management measures of the coastal States nearest to the fishery;

(6) establish a limited access system for the fishery in order to achieve optimum yield if, in developing such system, the Council and the Secretary take into account--

(A) present participation in the fishery,

(B) historical fishing practices in, and dependence on, the fishery,

(C) the economics of the fishery,

(D) the capability of fishing vessels used in the fishery to engage in other fisheries,

(E) the cultural and social framework relevant to the fishery and any affected fishing communities, and

(F) any other relevant considerations;

(7) require fish processors who first receive fish that are subject to the plan to submit data (other than economic data) which are necessary for the conservation and management of the fishery;

(8) require that one or more observers be carried on board a vessel of the United States engaged in fishing for species that are subject to the plan, for the purpose of collecting data necessary for the conservation and management of the fishery; except that such a vessel shall not be required to carry an observer on board if the facilities of the vessel for the quartering of an observer, or for carrying out observer functions, are so inadequate or unsafe that the health or safety of the observer or the safe operation of the vessel would be jeopardized;

(9) assess and specify the effect which the conservation and management measures of the plan will have on the stocks of naturally spawning anadromous fish in the region;

(10) include, consistent with the other provisions of this Act, conservation and management measures that provide harvest incentives for participants within each gear group to employ fishing practices that result in lower levels of bycatch or in lower levels of the mortality of bycatch;

16 U.S.C. 1853

(11) reserve a portion of the allowable biological catch of the fishery for use in scientific research; and

(12) prescribe such other measures, requirements, or conditions and restrictions as are determined to be necessary and appropriate for the conservation and management of the fishery.

97-453, 104-297

can no longer change, except pursuant to a request for a review of that company. *See Federal-Mogul Corporation and The Torrington Company v. United States*, 822 F.Supp. 782 (CIT 1993) and *Floral Trade Council v. United States*, 822 F.Supp. 766 (CIT 1993) (interpreting 19 CFR 353.22(e), the prior antidumping regulation on automatic assessment, which was identical to 19 CFR 355.22(g)). Therefore, the cash deposit rates for all companies except those covered by these reviews will be unchanged by the results of these reviews.

We will instruct Customs to continue to collect cash deposits for non-reviewed companies at the most recent company-specific or country-wide rate applicable to the company. Accordingly, the cash deposit rates that will be applied to non-reviewed companies covered by this order will be the rate for that company established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding conducted under the URAA. If such a review has not been conducted, the rate established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding pursuant to the statutory provisions that were in effect prior to the URAA amendments is applicable. *See Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determinations: Certain Steel Products from Germany*, 58 FR 37315 (July 9, 1993). This rate shall apply to the non-reviewed companies until a review of a company assigned these rates is requested. In addition, for the periods calendar year 1997 and calendar year 1998, the assessment rates applicable to all non-reviewed companies covered by this order are the cash deposit rates in effect at the time of entry.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These administrative reviews and this notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: January 8, 2001.

Troy H. Cribb,
Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.

APPENDIX 1—Issues Discussed in Decision Memorandum

Analysis of Comments

1. Upstream Subsidy Allegations
2. Need to Conduct Verification
3. Attribution of Subsidies

[FR Doc. 01-1382 Filed 1-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 011001A]

Small-craft Facility Questionnaire

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

ACTION: Proposed information collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before March 19, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6086, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230 (or via Internet at MClayton@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Lyn Preston, Chief, Nautical Data Branch, Marine Chart Division, N/CS26, Room 7350, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282 (phone 301-713-2737, ext. 123 or e-mail Lyn.Preston@noaa.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

NOAA's National Ocean Services produces nautical charts to ensure safe navigation. Small-craft charts are designed for recreational boaters and include information on local marine facilities and the services they provide (fuel, repairs, etc.). Information must be

gathered from marinas to update the information provided to the public.

II. Method of Collection

Forms are sent to marinas when the relevant chart is to be updated. Forms are also made available at boat shows.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0648-0021.
Form Number: NOAA Form 77-1.
Type of Review: Regular submission.
Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 1,600.

Estimated Time Per Response: 8 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 213.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: January 9, 2001

Gwellnar Banks,
Management Analyst, Office of the Chief
Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 01-1370 Filed 1-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-JT-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 011001B]

Observer Workshop Survey

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

ACTION: Proposed information collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing

effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before March 19, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6086, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230 (or via Internet at MClayton@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Vicki Cornish or Margaret Toner, NMFS, F/ST1, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910 (phone 301-713-2328, ext. 163).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

NOAA Fisheries hosted two observer workshops, in 1998 and 2000, which brought together managers and scientists from the United States, Canada and other countries to share ideas and resolve key issues of common interest regarding fishery observer programs. In 2002, NOAA Fisheries will be hosting another observer workshop. The purpose of the collection is to gather information from participants in the previous workshops and from new potential participants in order to plan the format and content for the next observer workshop such that it will provide the greatest benefit to the performance of the NOAA Fisheries observer program.

II. Method of Collection

The information will be collected by having a survey form available on a NOAA web site.

III. Data

OMB Number: None.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Regular submission.

Affected Public: State, local, or tribal government; business and other for-profit organizations; not-for-profit institutions, individuals.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 350 (every two years).

Estimated Time Per Response: 15 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 44.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: January 9, 2001.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 01-1371 Filed 1-16-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 011001E]

Coast Pilot Report

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

ACTION: Proposed information collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before March 19, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6086, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington DC 20230 (or via Internet at MClayton@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Oren Stembel, N/CS51, Room 7532, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282 (phone 301-713-2750, ext. 204; e-mail Oren.Stembel@noaa.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

NOAA produces the U.S. Coast Pilot, a series of nine books that supplement marine nautical charts. The Coast Pilot contains information essential to navigators in U.S. coastal and intra-coastal waters but that cannot be shown graphically on charts. The Coast Pilot Report form is offered to the public as a means for recommending changes to the publication.

II. Method of Collection

A paper form is used.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0648-0007.

Form Number: NOAA Form 77-6.

Type of Review: Regular submission.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 100.

Estimated Time Per Response: 30 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 50.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.