

NOTICE OF OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET ACTION

Diana Hynek  
Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer  
Office of the Chief Information Officer  
14th and Constitution Ave. NW.  
Room 6625  
Washington, DC 20230

03/28/2005

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, OMB has taken the following action on your request for approval of a new information collection received on 03/21/2005.

TITLE: Southeast Region Charter Vessel/Headboat Permit  
Data Collection

AGENCY FORM NUMBER(S): None

ACTION : APPROVED WITHOUT CHANGE  
OMB NO.: 0648-0520  
EXPIRATION DATE: 09/30/2005

| BURDEN:        | RESPONSES | HOURS | COSTS(\$ ,000) |
|----------------|-----------|-------|----------------|
| Previous       | 0         | 0     | 0              |
| New            | 810       | 1,209 | 41             |
| Difference     | 810       | 1,209 | 41             |
| Program Change |           | 1,209 | 41             |
| Adjustment     |           | 0     | 0              |

TERMS OF CLEARANCE:

SEE PAGE 2 FOR TERMS OF CLEARANCE

NOTICE OF OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET ACTION

PAGE 2 OF 2

OMB NO.: 0648-0520

03/28/2005

TERMS OF CLEARANCE:

The agency must take greater care in completing the Form 83-I. In general, a permit or license is a benefit provided by the Federal government, so this collection should be considered required to obtain a benefit rather than mandatory and its primary purpose is as a application for benefit. In addition, the agency should not request a three year approval for emergency reviews; the PRA authorizes a maximum of six months for emergency reviews.

---

| OMB Authorizing Official | Title |
|--------------------------|-------|
|--------------------------|-------|

|                    |   |
|--------------------|---|
| Donald R. Arbuckle | Deputy Administrator, Office of<br>Information and Regulatory Affairs |
|--------------------|---|

---

# PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION

**Please read the instructions before completing this form. For additional forms or assistance in completing this form, contact your agency's Paperwork Clearance Officer. Send two copies of this form, the collection instrument to be reviewed, the supporting statement, and any additional documentation to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Docket Library, Room 10102, 725 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20503.**

|  |   |
|--|---|
| 1. Agency/Subagency originating request  | 2. OMB control number <span style="float: right;">b. <input type="checkbox"/> None</span><br>a. _____ - _____   |
| 3. Type of information collection ( <i>check one</i> )<br>a. <input type="checkbox"/> New Collection<br>b. <input type="checkbox"/> Revision of a currently approved collection<br>c. <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of a currently approved collection<br>d. <input type="checkbox"/> Reinstatement, without change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired<br>e. <input type="checkbox"/> Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired<br>f. <input type="checkbox"/> Existing collection in use without an OMB control number<br>For b-f, note Item A2 of Supporting Statement instructions | 4. Type of review requested ( <i>check one</i> )<br>a. <input type="checkbox"/> Regular submission<br>b. <input type="checkbox"/> Emergency - Approval requested by _____ / _____ / _____<br>c. <input type="checkbox"/> Delegated  |
| 7. Title   | 5. Small entities<br>Will this information collection have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No  |
| 8. Agency form number(s) ( <i>if applicable</i> )  | 6. Requested expiration date<br>a. <input type="checkbox"/> Three years from approval date b. <input type="checkbox"/> Other Specify: _____ / _____   |
| 9. Keywords  |   |
| 10. Abstract   |   |
| 11. Affected public ( <i>Mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "x"</i> )<br>a. ___ Individuals or households d. ___ Farms<br>b. ___ Business or other for-profit e. ___ Federal Government<br>c. ___ Not-for-profit institutions f. ___ State, Local or Tribal Government   | 12. Obligation to respond ( <i>check one</i> )<br>a. <input type="checkbox"/> Voluntary<br>b. <input type="checkbox"/> Required to obtain or retain benefits<br>c. <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory   |
| 13. Annual recordkeeping and reporting burden<br>a. Number of respondents _____<br>b. Total annual responses _____<br>1. Percentage of these responses collected electronically _____ %<br>c. Total annual hours requested _____<br>d. Current OMB inventory _____<br>e. Difference _____<br>f. Explanation of difference<br>1. Program change _____<br>2. Adjustment _____  | 14. Annual reporting and recordkeeping cost burden ( <i>in thousands of dollars</i> )<br>a. Total annualized capital/startup costs _____<br>b. Total annual costs (O&M) _____<br>c. Total annualized cost requested _____<br>d. Current OMB inventory _____<br>e. Difference _____<br>f. Explanation of difference<br>1. Program change _____<br>2. Adjustment _____  |
| 15. Purpose of information collection ( <i>Mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "X"</i> )<br>a. ___ Application for benefits e. ___ Program planning or management<br>b. ___ Program evaluation f. ___ Research<br>c. ___ General purpose statistics g. ___ Regulatory or compliance<br>d. ___ Audit   | 16. Frequency of recordkeeping or reporting ( <i>check all that apply</i> )<br>a. <input type="checkbox"/> Recordkeeping b. <input type="checkbox"/> Third party disclosure<br>c. <input type="checkbox"/> Reporting<br>1. <input type="checkbox"/> On occasion 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly<br>4. <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Semi-annually 6. <input type="checkbox"/> Annually<br>7. <input type="checkbox"/> Biennially 8. <input type="checkbox"/> Other (describe) _____ |
| 17. Statistical methods<br>Does this information collection employ statistical methods<br><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No   | 18. Agency Contact (person who can best answer questions regarding the content of this submission)<br><br>Name: _____<br>Phone: _____   |

## 19. Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions

On behalf of this Federal Agency, I certify that the collection of information encompassed by this request complies with 5 CFR 1320.9

**NOTE:** The text of 5 CFR 1320.9, and the related provisions of 5 CFR 1320.8(b)(3), appear at the end of the instructions. *The certification is to be made with reference to those regulatory provisions as set forth in the instructions.*

The following is a summary of the topics, regarding the proposed collection of information, that the certification covers:

- (a) It is necessary for the proper performance of agency functions;
- (b) It avoids unnecessary duplication;
- (c) It reduces burden on small entities;
- (d) It used plain, coherent, and unambiguous terminology that is understandable to respondents;
- (e) Its implementation will be consistent and compatible with current reporting and recordkeeping practices;
- (f) It indicates the retention period for recordkeeping requirements;
- (g) It informs respondents of the information called for under 5 CFR 1320.8(b)(3):
  - (i) Why the information is being collected;
  - (ii) Use of information;
  - (iii) Burden estimate;
  - (iv) Nature of response (voluntary, required for a benefit, mandatory);
  - (v) Nature and extent of confidentiality; and
  - (vi) Need to display currently valid OMB control number;
- (h) It was developed by an office that has planned and allocated resources for the efficient and effective management and use of the information to be collected (see note in Item 19 of instructions);
- (i) It uses effective and efficient statistical survey methodology; and
- (j) It makes appropriate use of information technology.

If you are unable to certify compliance with any of the provisions, identify the item below and explain the reason in Item 18 of the Supporting Statement.

Signature of Senior Official or designee

Date

Agency Certification (signature of Assistant Administrator, Deputy Assistant Administrator, Line Office Chief Information Officer, head of MB staff for L.O.s, or of the Director of a Program or StaffOffice)

Signature

Date

Signature of NOAA Clearance Officer

Signature

Date

**SUPPORTING STATEMENT  
SOUTHEAST REGION CHARTER VESSEL/HEADBOAT  
PERMIT DATA COLLECTION  
OMB CONTROL NO. 0648-**

**INTRODUCTION**

This emergency submission is intended to eventually be part of the Permits Family of Forms but is being submitted separately because another 0648-0205 submission is currently at OMB. It is our intent to merge these requirements into 0648-0205 as soon as possible.

**A. JUSTIFICATION**

**1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary.**

It is extremely important to manage the fisheries in the Southeast because most are fully utilized if not over utilized. A major component of fisheries management in the Region is the permit system. The permit/endorsement system has the following uses:

- a. Registration of actual and/or potential fishing vessels/dealers.
- b. Collection of data relevant to the characteristics of both vessels and (potential) fishermen.
- c. Securing of compliance (e.g., holding permit until unpaid penalties have been collected and reporting requirements are fulfilled).
- d. completion of a mailing list for the dissemination of regulatory information.
- e. Registration of participants for fisheries with special restrictions/limited access.
- f. Completion of sample frames for data collection.
- g. Collection of permit purchase information for fleet economic analyses.

The legislative authority to collect data from the various sectors of the economy that harvest marine resources in the exclusive economic zone is the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act). Under this authority the Secretary of Commerce has promulgated separate rules that require specific types of data submissions for the application process to obtain fishing permits.

Vessel owners must submit applications for federal fishing permits and provide relevant information on those applications. Under a rule promulgated on May 15, 2003, all for-hire operators in the Gulf of Mexico (Gulf) exclusive economic zone (EEZ) are required to have a valid limited access "moratorium permit." The objective of capping the number of for-hire vessels at current levels was to be achieved via restrictive permit eligibility criteria based on permit history, participation as an historical captain, or vessel under construction parameters. The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) promulgated the charter vessel/headboat permit moratorium regulations to implement the amendments.

Soon after implementation of the charter vessel/headboat permit moratorium, NMFS and the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (Council) determined that some entities that were eligible for the moratorium permit inadvertently failed to obtain the permit by an application deadline of September 15, 2003. As a consequence, these entities have been prevented from

legally operating in the for-hire fishery in the EEZ and conducting their business operation in their normal and customary manner. The Council requested that NMFS implement an emergency rule to reopen the application period for persons who were eligible to receive a moratorium permit but failed to do so and thereby suffered a tangible loss (i.e., economic harm).

The emergency rule contains collection-of-information requirements, namely the charter vessel/headboat permit application, submission of information on vessel construction, submission of information on historical captain eligibility, and submission of documentation of economic harm, that allow issuance of moratorium permits to persons who missed the September 15, 2003, deadline and suffered economic harm. Under the first part of this collection, 34 persons who have already demonstrated economic harm by holding a coastal migratory pelagics open-access charter vessel permit and a reef fish open-access charter vessel permit at some time between March 29, 2000, through March 29, 2001, and by not obtaining a moratorium permit by applying by the previous September 15, 2003, deadline are expected to submit only a moratorium permit application. Under the second part of this collection, 510 persons who held a coastal migratory pelagics open-access charter vessel permit but not a reef fish open-access charter vessel permit at some time between March 29, 2000, through March 29, 2001, but did not obtain a moratorium permit by applying by the previous September 15, 2003, deadline, are expected to submit: 1) a moratorium permit application, and 2) written documentation of economic harm in the Gulf of Mexico with their application. Under the third part of this collection, 136 persons and 130 persons are expected to apply under the historical captain criterion and vessel-under-construction criterion, respectively are expected to submit: 1) a moratorium permit application and supplement, 2) documentation of meeting that respective criterion, and 3) written documentation of economic harm in the Gulf with their application. All applicants will need to submit the application already approved under 0648-0205, and the application supplement providing specific information on economic activity in the Gulf of Mexico, and compliance with one of the moratorium eligibility criteria. These are the only new data collections proposed herein. No other data collections are proposed. An emergency review of this information collection request is needed to comply with the Council's request and to prevent further economic hardships on participants who qualified under the permit moratorium but failed to apply in a timely manner under the previous rulemaking. The regulatory citations for this collection of data are contained in the emergency rule.

**2. Explain how, by whom, how frequently, and for what purpose the information will be used. If the information collected will be disseminated to the public or used to support information that will be disseminated to the public, then explain how the collection complies with all applicable Information Quality Guidelines.**

NMFS will use the vessel/ownership and related information collected on the application and the application supplement to help identify and manage the Gulf charter vessel/headboat fishery via collection of economic documentation. The application, supplement, and accompanying documentation will need to be submitted one time only.

It is anticipated that the information collected will be disseminated to the public or used to support publicly disseminated information. As explained in the preceding paragraphs, the information gathered has utility. NMFS will retain control over the information and safeguard it from improper access, modification, and destruction, consistent with NOAA standards for

confidentiality, privacy, and electronic information. See response #10 of this Supporting Statement for more information on confidentiality and privacy. The information collection is designed to yield data that meet all applicable information quality guidelines. Prior to dissemination, the information will be subjected to quality control measures and a pre-dissemination review pursuant to Section 515 of Public Law 106-554.

**3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological techniques or other forms of information technology.**

The Southeast Region currently has no resource or technological capability for electronic (i.e., Web site) collection of this data. Regarding the permitting data collection, the Southeast Region's Web site allows the public to obtain a fillable copy of the permit application via downloading to their printer. Otherwise, the Southeast Region currently has no resource or technological capability for electronic (i.e., Web site) permit application submittal and permit issuance. This capability cannot be accomplished in the Southeast Region in the next two years without significant changes to the permit issuance criteria and our permit issuance processes. Also, the Southeast Region Permits Team will be switching from a non-Web database (Rbase) to a Web-based database (Oracle) in the next four years. The Southeast Region also has completed a survey of permitted vessel owners to determine the feasibility of Web site application and associated costs/benefits. The survey results will be used to evaluate the feasibility of electronic permit transactions for possible inclusion in future permitting system changes and resource/technology allocations. Such changes could support NOAA's proposed initiative for One-Stop Shopping (to improve customer service through coordination of NOAA consultation and permitting activities).

**4. Describe efforts to identify duplication.**

The Magnuson-Stevens Act's operational guidelines require each FMP to evaluate existing state and federal laws that govern the fisheries in question, and the findings are made part of each FMP. Each Fishery Management Council membership is comprised of state and federal officials responsible for resource management in their area. These two circumstances identify other collections that may be gathering the same or similar information. In addition, each FMP undergoes extensive public comment periods where potential applicants review the proposed permit application requirements. Therefore, NMFS is confident it is aware of similar collections if they exist. The information proposed to be collected is not being collected elsewhere; therefore, this data collection would not cause duplication.

**5. If the collection of information involves small businesses or other small entities, describe the methods used to minimize burden.**

Because all applicants are considered small businesses, separate requirements based on size of business have not been developed. Only the minimum data to meet the current and future needs of NMFS' fisheries management and permitting programs are requested from certain applicants.



**6. Describe the consequences to the Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently.**

If we did not identify and control the participants in the Gulf charter vessel/headboat fishery, through the proposed data collections, it would critically reduce the management of our fishery resources. Since that information may change over time, periodic collection is needed. The charter vessel/headboat moratorium permits are issued on an annual basis, but require an application for renewal on a biennial basis (every two years.)

**7. Explain any special circumstances that require the collection to be conducted in a manner inconsistent with OMB guidelines.**

There are no special circumstances that require the collection to be conducted in a manner inconsistent with OMB guidelines.

**8. Provide a copy of the PRA Federal Register notice that solicited public comments on the information collection prior to this submission. Summarize the public comments received in response to that notice and describe the actions taken by the agency in response to those comments. Describe the efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instructions and recordkeeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.**

A Federal Register notice (copy attached) of the emergency rule describes the emergency conditions that require immediate implementation without the opportunity for public comment.

Because these data collection programs are part of fishery management plans, all aspects of the programs have been reviewed by both statistical and constituent advisory committees. Furthermore, comments and suggestions from fishermen are routinely submitted, reviewed, and considered. Experience with the various programs, some of which have been operating for many years, provides a continual feedback mechanism to NMFS on issues and concerns to the applicants. This emergency rule did not raise an unusual amount of controversy during the Council review process. There are no major problems that have not been resolved.

**9. Explain any decisions to provide payments or gifts to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees.**

There are no payments or other remunerations to respondents.

**10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy.**

Based on recent court decisions, the Freedom of Information Act serves as the basis for release of confidential information (i.e., name, street address, city, state, zipcode, effective date of Permit, permit types, vessel Name, vessel identification number, and passenger capacity in the case of a "for hire" vessel) for individual, corporate and lease holders of permits will be released via a NOAA Internet website for informational purposes. All other data submitted will be handled as confidential material in accordance with NOAA Administrative Order 216-100, Protection of Confidential Fishery Statistics as previously approved under 0648-0205. Release of the confidential name and address information is not expected to create any controversy or create any new precedents since the fishing community already uses the NOAA Internet website information for permit purchase transactions. and this data collection will be merged into 0648-0205 as soon as possible.

**11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private.**

No questions of a sensitive nature are asked.

**12. Provide an estimate in hours of the burden of the collection of information.**

The burden hours are shown in the following table. Since all applications are expected to be received during the first year, the calculations are not annualized. The form to be used is the multiple fishery vessel application, which has already been approved under 0648-0205.

Under the first part of this collection (see Row A of the following table), the burden is expected be 11 hours due to the 20 additional minutes for each of the 34 persons who are expected to submit only a moratorium permit application.

Under the second part of this collection (see Row B of the following table), the burden is expected to be 425 hours due to the 50 additional minutes for each of the 510 persons who are expected to submit a moratorium permit application (20 minutes), and written documentation of economic harm in the Gulf with their application (30 minutes).

Under the third part of this collection (see Row C of the following table), the burden is expected to be 773 hours due to the 170 additional minutes for each of the 266 persons that are expected to submit a moratorium permit application (20 minutes), documentation of meeting the historical captain criterion (136 persons at 120 minutes each) or vessel-under-construction (130 persons at 120 minutes each), and written documentation of economic harm in the Gulf with their application (30 minutes).

The total number of respondents (810), number of responses (810) and burden time (1209), is shown in Row D of the following table.

| Type of Response   | Total Number Of Respondents   | Annual Number of Responses    | Time Per Response  | Total Time Hours               |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| A) Multiple Fishery Vessel Application   | 34                            | 34                            | 20 min<br>.33 hr   | 11                             |
| B) Multiple Fishery Vessel Application, Economic Harm Documentation  | 510                           | 510                           | 50 min<br>.83 hr   | 425                            |
| C) Multiple Fishery Vessel Application, Economic Harm Documentation, Historical Captain Documentation or Vessel-under-Construction Documentation | 266                           | 266                           | 170 min<br>2.83 hr | 688                            |
| <b>D) Totals</b>   | 810<br>(i.e., 34 + 510 + 266) | 810<br>(i.e., 34 + 510 + 266) |                    | 1209<br>(i.e., 11 + 425 + 773) |

**13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to the respondents or record-keepers resulting from the collection (excluding the value of the burden hours in #12 above).**

A fee is charged for each permit application/request submitted (regardless of whether or not the application meets the criteria and is approved). The amount of each fee is calculated in accordance with the procedures of the NOAA Finance Handbook for determining the administrative cost of each special product or service. Under that schedule, a person filling out an application is charged \$50 for the first permit category. The fees total \$40,500 per year since, based on NMFS' permits business practice, the 810 respondents will each need to pay \$50 for the application processing fee.

In addition, the public pays mailing costs for the various responses. Those mailing costs are estimated at \$.37 average per each of the 810 responses, or \$299.70 total per year.

No copying costs are associated with the 34 respondents who submit only an application. The 510 applications with economic harm documentation are expected to require copying (duplicating) of five pages in the first year. At \$0.10 per page, these duplication costs are estimated at a total of \$255.00. The 266 applications with economic harm documentation, and historical captain documentation or vessel-under-construction documentation, are expected to require copying (duplicating) of 10 pages in the first year. At \$0.10 per page, these duplication costs are estimated at a total of \$266.00. The sub-total of mailing and duplication costs is \$820.70. The sub-total of permitting, mailing, and duplication costs is \$41,320.70. Since the application period is only for six months and all applications are expected to be received during that time period, and all of the costs are expected to be incurred in the first year, annualization is inappropriate. The grand total, therefore, is \$41,320.70 for the first year. No other cost burdens are expected from the other

components of this collection.

**14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government.**

The administrative costs for the program are paid by the fishermen. As a result, there is no cost to the Federal government.

**15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments reported in Items 13 or 14 of the OMB 83-I.**

Program changes are requested for the reasons stated in the emergency rule and this supporting statement. No adjustments are requested, as this is a new collection.

**16. For collections whose results will be published, outline the plans for tabulation and publication.**

The results from this collection are not planned for statistical publication.

**17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons why display would be inappropriate.**

The OMB number will be displayed.

**18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in Item 19 of the OMB 83-I.**

There are no exemptions to the certification statement identified in Item 19 of OMB 83-I.

**B. COLLECTIONS OF INFORMATION EMPLOYING STATISTICAL METHODS**

The collection does not employ statistical methods at present.

## SUPPLEMENT TO FEDERAL GULF OF MEXICO CHARTER VESSEL/HEADBOAT MORATORIUM PERMIT APPLICATION AND INSTRUCTIONS

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, NOAA  
NMFS PERMITS TEAM, F/SER22  
9721 EXECUTIVE CENTER DRIVE N.  
ST. PETERSBURG, FL 33702  
727/570-5326 (8am - 4:30pm EST)



In order to obtain a charter vessel/headboat permit for Gulf reef fish or coastal migratory pelagic fish under the emergency rule, you must meet the original moratorium permit eligibility criteria which include--

(A) An owner of a vessel that had a valid charter vessel/headboat permit for Gulf reef fish or coastal migratory pelagic fish on March 29, 2001, or held such a permit during the preceding year or whose application for such permit had been received by NMFS, by March 29, 2001, and was being processed or awaiting processing.

(B) Any person who can provide NMFS with documentation verifying that, prior to March 29, 2001, he/she had a charter vessel or headboat under construction and that the associated expenditures were at least \$5,000 as of that date. If the vessel owner was constructing the vessel, the vessel owner must provide NMFS with receipts for the required expenditures. If the vessel was being constructed by someone other than the owner, the owner must provide NMFS with a copy of the contract and/or receipts for the required expenditures.

(C) A historical captain, defined for the purposes of paragraph (r) of this section as a person who provides NMFS with documentation verifying that--

(1) Prior to March 29, 2001, he/she was issued either a USCG Operator of Uninspected Passenger Vessel license (commonly referred to as a 6-pack license) or a USCG Masters license; operated, as a captain, a federally permitted charter vessel or headboat in the Gulf reef fish and/or coastal migratory pelagic fisheries; but does not have a fishery permit issued in his/her name; and

(2) At least 25 percent of his/her earned income was derived from charter vessel or headboat fishing in one of the years, 1997, 1998, 1999, or 2000.

### **Information on Fishing Vessels Demonstrating Economic Harm**

In order to obtain a charter vessel/headboat permit for Gulf reef fish or coastal migratory pelagic fish under the emergency rule, you must ALSO demonstrate economic harm, which includes proof of:

(1) Issuance of an open access charter vessel/headboat permit for Gulf reef fish during the period March 29, 2000, through November 12, 2003; or

(2) Issuance of an open access charter vessel/headboat permit for coastal migratory pelagic fish during the period March 29, 2000, through November 12, 2003 and

(a) A documented homeport in the Gulf for the permitted vessel during that period;

(b) Appropriately dated logbooks, passenger manifests, or fuel receipts for the permitted vessel that clearly indicate operation within the Gulf; or

(c) Appropriately dated receipts for dock rental for the permitted vessel from a Gulf-based marina.

Documentation number or state registration number of permitted vessel

Permitted Vessel Owner Name \_\_\_\_\_

Permittee Name (or if Leased Vessel, Leasee Name)

### **Historical captain applicants *only* must fill out the following section**

Historical Captain Name \_\_\_\_\_

Historical Captain Address \_\_\_\_\_

Historical Captain City \_\_\_\_\_

Historical Captain State \_\_\_\_\_

Historical Captain Zip Code \_\_\_\_\_

Historical Captain Country \_\_\_\_\_

Historical Captain Date of Birth \_\_\_\_\_

Historical Captain Social Security Number \_\_\_\_\_

Historical Captain Phone \_\_\_\_\_

U.S. Coast Guard Documentation number or state registration number of captained vessel

Passenger capacity of captained vessel \_\_\_\_\_

Date Started as Captain \_\_\_\_\_

Date Ended as Captain \_\_\_\_\_

**Vessel-under-construction applicants *only* must fill out the following section**

Applicant Name \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant Address \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant City \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant State \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant Zip Code \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant Country \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant Phone \_\_\_\_\_

Date of birth or data of incorporation \_\_\_\_\_

U.S. Coast Guard Documentation number or state registration number of constructed vessel

\_\_\_\_\_

Constructed Vessel Owner Name \_\_\_\_\_

Constructed Vessel Completion date \_\_\_\_\_

Constructed Vessel Passenger Capacity \_\_\_\_\_

Date Construction Agreement Signed \_\_\_\_\_

Builder Name \_\_\_\_\_

Builder Address \_\_\_\_\_

Builder City \_\_\_\_\_

Builder State \_\_\_\_\_

Builder Zip Code \_\_\_\_\_

Builder Country \_\_\_\_\_

Builder Phone \_\_\_\_\_

Public reporting burdens for this collection of information (the charter vessel/headboat permit application, submission of information on vessel construction, submission of information on historical captain eligibility, and submission of documentation of economic harm) are estimated to average 20 minutes, 2 hours, 2 hours, and 30 minutes per response, respectively, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other suggestions for reducing this burden to Robert A. Sadler, National Marine Fisheries Service, F/SER22, 9721 Executive Center Drive N., St. Petersburg, FL 33702. The National Marine Fisheries Service requires this information for the conservation and management of marine fishery resources. The data reported will be used to develop, implement, and monitor fishery management activities for a variety of other uses. Responses to this collection are required to obtain or retain a fisheries permit under the Magnuson - Stevens Act. The following non-confidential information: Name, Street Address, City, State, Zip code, Effective Date of Permit, Permit Types, Vessel Name, Vessel Identification Number, and Passenger Capacity in the case of a "for hire" vessel for individual, corporate and lease holders of permits will be released via a NOAA Fisheries website. All other data submitted will be handled as confidential material in accordance with NOAA Administrative Order 216-100, Protection of Confidential Fishery Statistics. Notwithstanding any other provisions of the law, no person is required to respond to, nor shall any person be subjected to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act, unless that collection of information displays a currently valid OMB Control Number.