

**EOIR Table 1.7-1. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Los Angeles Immigration Court, Pre - FY 2000^a**

Source: Immigration Court

| Nationality Name | Completed Cases | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| ALGERIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| BRAZIL | - | - | - | * | - |
| EL SALVADOR | - | - | - | 8 | - |
| GUATEMALA | - | - | - | * | - |
| HONDURAS | - | - | * | * | - |
| ISRAEL | - | - | - | * | - |
| MEXICO | - | - | - | * | - |
| NICARAGUA | - | - | - | * | - |
| SRI LANKA | - | - | - | * | - |
| TOTAL | - | - | * | 24 | - |

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

EOIR Table 1.7-2. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Los Angeles Immigration Court, FY 2000^a
Source: Immigration Court

| Nationality Name | Completed Cases | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| ARMENIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| AZERBAIJAN | - | - | - | * | - |
| CHINA | * | - | - | 8 | - |
| EGYPT | - | - | - | * | - |
| EL SALVADOR | - | - | - | * | - |
| HONDURAS | - | - | - | * | - |
| JORDAN | - | - | - | * | - |
| MEXICO | - | - | - | * | - |
| PERU | - | - | - | * | - |
| SRI LANKA | - | - | - | 51 | - |
| UKRAINE | - | - | - | * | - |
| TOTAL | * | - | - | 69 | - |

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.7-3. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Los Angeles Immigration Court, FY 2001^a**

Source: Immigration Court

| Nationality Name | Completed Cases | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| ARMENIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| AZERBAIJAN | * | - | - | - | - |
| BANGLADESH | - | - | - | * | - |
| BURMA (MYANMAR) | * | * | - | - | - |
| CHINA | 13 | * | * | 16 | - |
| COLOMBIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| COSTA RICA | - | - | - | * | - |
| CUBA | - | - | - | * | - |
| EL SALVADOR | - | - | - | * | - |
| ERITREA | - | - | - | * | - |
| ETHIOPIA | * | - | - | * | - |
| GUATEMALA | - | - | * | * | - |
| HONDURAS | - | - | - | * | - |
| INDIA | - | - | - | 8 | - |
| INDONESIA | - | - | * | - | * |
| IRAN | * | - | * | * | - |
| IRAQ | * | - | - | - | - |
| JORDAN | - | - | - | * | - |
| KENYA | * | - | - | - | - |
| LATVIA | * | - | - | - | - |
| MEXICO | - | - | - | * | - |
| NIGERIA | * | - | - | * | - |
| PERU | - | - | - | * | - |
| RUSSIA | * | - | - | * | - |
| SIERRA LEONE | * | - | - | - | - |

| | | | | | |
|----------------|----|---|---|-----|---|
| SOMALIA | * | - | * | * | - |
| SRI LANKA | * | - | - | 67 | - |
| SYRIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| UKRAINE | - | - | - | 12 | - |
| UNITED KINGDOM | - | - | - | * | - |
| VIETNAM | - | - | - | * | - |
| TOTAL | 34 | * | * | 138 | * |

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.7-4 Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Los Angeles Immigration Court, FY 2002^a**

Source: Immigration Court

| Nationality Name | Completed Cases | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| AFGHANISTAN | * | - | - | - | - |
| ALGERIA | - | * | - | * | - |
| ARMENIA | * | * | * | 23 | - |
| AZERBAIJAN | * | - | - | - | - |
| BANGLADESH | - | - | - | * | - |
| BRAZIL | - | - | - | * | - |
| BULGARIA | * | - | - | - | - |
| CHINA | 6 | - | * | 19 | - |
| COLOMBIA | - | - | * | 13 | - |
| CONGO | * | - | - | - | - |
| CUBA | - | - | * | * | 14 |
| EGYPT | - | - | - | * | - |
| EL SALVADOR | - | - | - | 9 | - |
| ERITREA | * | - | * | * | - |
| ETHIOPIA | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| GUATEMALA | * | - | - | * | - |
| GUYANA | - | - | - | * | - |
| INDIA | * | - | - | * | * |
| IRAN | * | - | - | * | - |
| IRAQ | * | - | - | * | - |
| ISRAEL | - | - | - | * | - |
| LEBANON | * | - | - | * | - |
| NIGERIA | * | - | - | * | - |
| PAKISTAN | - | - | - | * | - |
| PERU | - | - | - | * | - |

| | | | | | |
|--------------|----|---|---|-----|----|
| ROMANIA | * | - | - | * | - |
| RUSSIA | * | - | - | * | - |
| SIERRA LEONE | * | - | - | - | - |
| SOMALIA | * | - | - | * | - |
| SRI LANKA | - | - | * | 22 | - |
| SUDAN | - | - | - | * | - |
| TURKMENISTAN | - | - | - | * | - |
| UKRAINE | * | - | - | * | - |
| TOTAL | 46 | * | 6 | 125 | 15 |

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.7-5. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Los Angeles Immigration Court, FY 2003^a**

Source: Immigration Court

| Nationality Name | Completed Cases | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| ARMENIA | * | * | - | 24 | - |
| BANGLADESH | * | - | * | * | - |
| BELARUS | * | - | - | - | - |
| BOLIVIA | * | - | - | - | - |
| BRAZIL | - | - | - | * | - |
| BULGARIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| CAMBODIA | * | - | - | - | - |
| CAMEROON | * | - | - | - | - |
| CHINA | 21 | - | * | 24 | - |
| COLOMBIA | 14 | - | - | 8 | - |
| CUBA | * | - | 6 | * | 13 |
| EGYPT | * | - | - | * | - |
| EL SALVADOR | - | - | * | 12 | - |
| ERITREA | * | - | - | * | - |
| ETHIOPIA | * | - | - | * | - |
| GUATEMALA | * | - | * | 10 | - |
| HONDURAS | - | * | - | * | - |
| INDIA | * | * | - | * | - |
| INDONESIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| IRAN | * | - | - | * | - |
| IRAQ | * | - | - | * | - |
| JAMAICA | * | - | - | - | - |
| JORDAN | * | - | - | - | - |
| MEXICO | - | - | - | * | - |
| NIGERIA | - | - | - | * | - |

| | | | | | |
|----------------------|----|---|----|-----|----|
| PAKISTAN | * | - | - | * | - |
| PERU | * | - | - | * | - |
| POLAND | - | - | - | * | - |
| PORTUGAL | - | - | - | * | - |
| RUSSIA | * | - | - | * | - |
| SOMALIA | * | - | - | - | - |
| SOUTH KOREA | - | - | - | * | - |
| SOVIET UNION | - | - | - | * | - |
| SRI LANKA | - | - | - | * | - |
| SUDAN | - | - | - | * | - |
| SYRIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| TAJIKISTAN (TADZHIK) | * | - | - | - | - |
| THAILAND | - | - | - | * | - |
| UKRAINE | * | - | - | * | - |
| TOTAL | 82 | * | 13 | 131 | 13 |

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.7-6. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Los Angeles Immigration Court, FY 2004^a**

Source: Immigration Court

| Nationality Name | Completed Cases | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| ALBANIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| ARMENIA | * | - | * | 15 | - |
| BANGLADESH | * | - | - | - | - |
| CHILE | * | - | - | * | - |
| CHINA | 7 | - | * | * | - |
| COLOMBIA | 6 | * | - | 6 | - |
| CUBA | - | - | - | * | 10 |
| ECUADOR | - | - | - | * | - |
| EGYPT | * | - | - | - | - |
| EL SALVADOR | * | - | - | 6 | - |
| ERITREA | * | - | - | - | - |
| ETHIOPIA | * | - | - | - | - |
| GEORGIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| GUATEMALA | * | - | * | * | - |
| INDONESIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| INDIA | * | - | - | - | - |
| IRAN | * | - | * | * | - |
| IRAQ | * | - | - | * | - |
| KAZAKHSTAN | * | - | - | - | - |
| KENYA | - | - | - | * | - |
| KUWAIT | - | - | - | * | - |
| LEBANON | - | - | - | * | - |
| MEXICO | * | - | - | * | - |
| PAKISTAN | - | - | - | * | - |
| PHILIPPINES | - | - | - | * | - |

| | | | | | |
|--------------|----|---|---|----|----|
| RUSSIA | * | - | - | - | - |
| SIERRA LEONE | - | - | - | * | - |
| SUDAN | * | - | - | - | - |
| UKRAINE | * | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | 38 | * | * | 61 | 10 |

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.7-7. Outcomes of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Immigration Judge and Application Decision, Los Angeles Immigration Court, FY 2000 - FY 2003^a**

Source: Immigration Court

| Immigration Judge | Completed Cases | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| JUDGE 1 | 3 | - | - | 2 | - |
| JUDGE 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| JUDGE 3 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 16 | - |
| JUDGE 4 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| JUDGE 5 | - | - | - | 2 | - |
| JUDGE 6 | 7 | - | 2 | 36 | 1 |
| JUDGE 7 | - | - | - | 4 | - |
| JUDGE 8 | 13 | - | - | 25 | 3 |
| JUDGE 9 | 3 | - | 1 | 12 | 2 |
| JUDGE 10 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |
| JUDGE 11 | 2 | - | 1 | 7 | - |
| JUDGE 12 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 32 | 2 |
| JUDGE 13 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| JUDGE 14 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| JUDGE 15 | 5 | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| JUDGE 16 | 31 | 1 | - | 22 | 1 |
| JUDGE 17 | 13 | - | - | 4 | - |
| JUDGE 18 | 8 | - | - | 17 | 2 |
| JUDGE 19 | 5 | - | - | 13 | 4 |
| JUDGE 20 | - | - | - | 20 | 3 |
| JUDGE 21 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| JUDGE 22 | 3 | - | 2 | 36 | - |
| JUDGE 23 | - | - | 1 | 20 | 3 |
| JUDGE 24 | 1 | - | - | 18 | 1 |
| JUDGE 25 | - | - | 5 | 15 | 10 |

| | | | | | |
|----------|-----|----|----|-----|----|
| JUDGE 26 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| JUDGE 27 | 4 | - | 1 | 16 | 2 |
| JUDGE 28 | 19 | 1 | - | 41 | 2 |
| JUDGE 29 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| JUDGE 30 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| JUDGE 31 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| JUDGE 32 | - | - | - | 2 | - |
| JUDGE 33 | 12 | - | - | 30 | - |
| JUDGE 34 | 3 | 1 | - | 12 | 1 |
| JUDGE 35 | - | - | - | 6 | - |
| JUDGE 36 | - | - | - | 2 | - |
| JUDGE 37 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| JUDGE 38 | 8 | 1 | - | 10 | - |
| JUDGE 39 | 4 | - | 2 | 29 | - |
| JUDGE 40 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| JUDGE 41 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| JUDGE 42 | - | - | - | 10 | - |
| JUDGE 43 | - | - | - | 4 | - |
| JUDGE 44 | 3 | - | - | 12 | - |
| JUDGE 45 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| JUDGE 46 | - | - | - | 2 | - |
| JUDGE 47 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 2 |
| JUDGE 48 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| JUDGE 49 | 3 | - | - | 19 | - |
| JUDGE 50 | - | - | - | 4 | - |
| JUDGE 51 | 11 | - | 6 | 23 | - |
| JUDGE 52 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| JUDGE 53 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | 202 | 11 | 29 | 548 | 39 |

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for

relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.8-1. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Miami Immigration Court, Pre-FY 2000^a**

Source: Immigration Court

| Nationality Name | Completed Cases | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| COLOMBIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| GUATEMALA | - | - | - | * | - |
| HONDURAS | - | - | - | * | - |
| JAMAICA | - | - | - | * | - |
| NICARAGUA | - | - | - | * | - |
| TOTAL | - | - | - | 6 | - |

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.8-2. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Miami Immigration Court, FY 2000^a**

Source: Immigration Court

| Nationality Name | Completed Cases | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| BANGLADESH | - | - | - | * | - |
| BOLIVIA | - | - | - | 7 | - |
| BRAZIL | - | - | - | * | - |
| CHINA | - | - | - | 24 | - |
| COLOMBIA | * | - | - | 23 | - |
| ECUADOR | - | - | - | 21 | - |
| GHANA | - | - | - | * | - |
| GUATEMALA | - | - | - | * | - |
| GUYANA | - | - | - | 10 | - |
| HAITI | * | - | - | 53 | - |
| HONDURAS | - | - | - | * | - |
| INDIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| JAMAICA | - | - | - | * | - |
| LEBANON | * | - | - | 15 | - |
| PERU | - | - | * | * | - |
| SIERRA LEONE | - | - | - | * | - |
| SLOVAK REPUBLIC | - | - | - | * | - |
| SOMALIA | * | - | - | - | - |
| SRI LANKA | - | - | - | 115 | - |
| TURKEY | * | - | - | 18 | - |
| VENEZUELA | - | - | - | * | - |
| YUGOSLAVIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| TOTAL | 10 | - | * | 309 | - |

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.8-3. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Miami Immigration Court, FY 2001^a**

Source: Immigration Court

| Nationality Name | Completed Cases | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| ALBANIA | - | - | - | 11 | - |
| BAHAMAS | - | - | - | * | - |
| BANGLADESH | - | - | - | * | - |
| BOLIVIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| BOSNIA-HERZEGOVINA | - | - | - | * | - |
| BRAZIL | - | - | - | 8 | - |
| BULGARIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| BURKINA FASO | - | - | - | * | - |
| BURUNDI | * | - | - | - | - |
| CAMEROON | - | - | - | * | - |
| CANADA | - | - | - | * | - |
| CHINA | * | - | - | 24 | - |
| COLOMBIA | 20 | - | 6 | 171 | - |
| CUBA | * | * | 78 | 9 | 176 |
| DOMINICAN REPUBLIC | - | - | - | * | - |
| ECUADOR | - | - | - | 36 | - |
| EL SALVADOR | - | - | - | * | - |
| ETHIOPIA | * | - | - | - | - |
| GUATEMALA | - | - | - | 12 | - |
| GUYANA | - | - | - | 21 | - |
| HAITI | 40 | * | 11 | 382 | - |
| HONDURAS | - | - | - | * | - |
| INDIA | - | - | - | 30 | - |
| IRAN | * | - | - | - | - |
| JAMAICA | - | - | - | 7 | - |

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----|---|----|-----|-----|
| JORDAN | - | - | - | * | - |
| KOSOVO | - | - | - | * | - |
| LEBANON | 12 | - | * | 6 | - |
| MACEDONIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| MOROCCO | - | - | - | * | - |
| NICARAGUA | - | - | - | * | - |
| NIGERIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| PAKISTAN | - | - | - | * | - |
| PARAGUAY | - | - | - | * | - |
| PERU | - | - | * | 8 | - |
| RUSSIA | * | - | - | - | - |
| SIERRA LEONE | - | - | - | * | - |
| SOMALIA | 14 | - | - | * | - |
| SRI LANKA | - | - | - | 130 | - |
| SUDAN | 7 | - | - | * | - |
| SURINAME | - | - | - | * | - |
| TURKEY | * | - | - | 69 | - |
| TURKS AND CAICOS ISLANDS | - | - | - | * | - |
| UKRAINE | - | - | - | * | - |
| VENEZUELA | - | - | - | * | - |
| YUGOSLAVIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| ZAIRE | * | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | 108 | * | 99 | 974 | 176 |

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

Removal Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.8-4. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Miami Immigration Court, FY 2002^a**

Source: Immigration Court

| Nationality Name | Completed Cases | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| ALBANIA | * | - | - | 18 | - |
| ANGOLA | - | - | - | * | - |
| BAHRAIN | - | - | - | * | - |
| BOLIVIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| BRAZIL | * | - | - | 13 | - |
| BULGARIA | * | - | - | - | - |
| CAMEROON | * | - | * | - | - |
| CANADA | - | - | - | * | - |
| CHILE | - | - | - | * | - |
| CHINA | 8 | - | * | 18 | - |
| COLOMBIA | 55 | - | 26 | 455 | * |
| CUBA | * | - | 82 | 12 | 398 |
| DOMINICAN REPUBLIC | - | - | - | 19 | - |
| ECUADOR | - | - | - | 23 | - |
| EL SALVADOR | - | - | - | 17 | - |
| ERITREA | * | - | - | - | - |
| ETHIOPIA | * | - | - | * | - |
| GEORGIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| GUADELOUPE | - | - | * | * | - |
| GUATEMALA | * | - | - | * | - |
| GUINEA | - | - | - | * | - |
| GUYANA | * | - | - | 17 | - |
| HAITI | 78 | - | 12 | 413 | - |
| HONDURAS | - | - | - | * | - |
| INDIA | - | - | - | 31 | - |
| IRAN | - | - | - | * | - |

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----|---|-----|------|-----|
| IVORY COAST (COTE D'IVOIRE) | - | - | - | * | - |
| JAMAICA | - | - | * | 7 | - |
| JORDAN | - | - | - | * | - |
| LEBANON | 15 | - | * | * | - |
| MACEDONIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| NICARAGUA | - | - | - | * | - |
| NIGERIA | * | - | - | * | - |
| PAKISTAN | * | - | * | * | - |
| PALESTINE | - | - | - | * | - |
| PERU | - | - | * | 14 | * |
| ROMANIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| RUSSIA | * | - | - | - | - |
| SERBIA MONTENEGRO | * | - | - | - | - |
| SIERRA LEONE | * | - | - | * | - |
| SLOVAK REPUBLIC | - | - | - | * | - |
| SOMALIA | 9 | - | * | 11 | - |
| SRI LANKA | - | - | - | 15 | - |
| SUDAN | * | - | - | * | - |
| TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO | * | - | * | * | - |
| TURKEY | * | - | - | 18 | - |
| TURKS AND CAICOS ISLANDS | - | - | - | * | - |
| UKRAINE | - | - | - | * | - |
| URUGUAY | - | - | - | * | - |
| VENEZUELA | - | - | - | * | - |
| YUGOSLAVIA | * | - | - | * | - |
| UNKNOWN | - | - | - | * | - |
| TOTAL | 199 | - | 134 | 1158 | 401 |

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not

mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.8-5. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Miami Immigration Court, FY 2003^a**

Source: Immigration Court

| Nationality Name | Completed Cases | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| ALBANIA | 7 | - | * | 26 | - |
| ANDORRA | * | - | - | - | - |
| ARGENTINA | - | - | - | * | - |
| BAHAMAS | - | - | - | * | - |
| BANGLADESH | * | - | - | * | - |
| BOLIVIA | - | - | - | 19 | - |
| BRAZIL | - | - | - | 24 | - |
| BURKINA FASO | - | - | - | * | - |
| CAMEROON | - | - | - | * | - |
| CHINA | 9 | - | * | 50 | - |
| COLOMBIA | 96 | - | 43 | 535 | * |
| CUBA | * | * | 23 | 67 | 674 |
| DOMINICAN REPUBLIC | - | - | - | 50 | - |
| ECUADOR | * | - | - | 63 | - |
| EL SALVADOR | - | - | - | 50 | - |
| ETHIOPIA | * | - | - | * | - |
| GAMBIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| GEORGIA | - | - | * | 37 | - |
| GHANA | - | - | - | * | - |
| GUATEMALA | - | - | - | * | - |
| GUINEA | - | - | - | * | - |
| GUYANA | * | - | * | 144 | - |
| HAITI | 48 | * | 14 | 402 | - |
| HONDURAS | - | - | - | * | - |
| INDIA | - | - | * | 21 | - |
| IRAN | - | - | - | * | - |

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----|---|----|------|-----|
| IRAQ | - | - | - | * | - |
| JAMAICA | - | - | - | 6 | - |
| LEBANON | * | - | * | - | - |
| MADAGASCAR | - | - | - | * | - |
| MEXICO | - | - | - | - | * |
| NICARAGUA | - | - | - | * | - |
| NIGERIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| PAKISTAN | - | - | - | * | - |
| PARAGUAY | - | - | - | * | - |
| PERU | * | - | - | 20 | - |
| ROMANIA | * | - | - | - | - |
| RUSSIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| RWANDA | - | - | - | * | - |
| SIERRA LEONE | - | - | - | * | - |
| SOMALIA | * | - | * | * | - |
| SOVIET UNION | - | - | - | * | - |
| SRI LANKA | - | - | - | 28 | - |
| SUDAN | * | - | * | * | - |
| TOGO | - | - | - | * | - |
| TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO | - | - | - | * | - |
| TURKEY | * | - | * | 27 | - |
| TURKS AND CAICOS ISLANDS | - | - | - | * | - |
| UKRAINE | - | - | - | * | - |
| UNITED KINGDOM | - | - | - | * | - |
| URUGUAY | - | - | - | * | - |
| VENEZUELA | * | - | * | * | * |
| YUGOSLAVIA | * | - | - | * | - |
| ZAIRE | * | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | 186 | * | 95 | 1631 | 680 |

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.8-6. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Miami Immigration Court, FY 2004^a**

Source: Immigration Court

| Nationality Name | Completed Cases | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| ALBANIA | * | - | * | 8 | - |
| BANGLADESH | - | - | - | * | - |
| BOLIVIA | - | - | - | 6 | - |
| BRAZIL | - | - | - | 6 | - |
| CAMEROON | * | - | - | - | - |
| CANADA | * | - | - | - | - |
| CHINA | 6 | - | * | 30 | - |
| COLOMBIA | 21 | - | 6 | 174 | * |
| CUBA | * | - | 37 | 26 | 907 |
| DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF CONGO | - | - | - | * | - |
| DOMINICAN REPUBLIC | - | - | - | * | - |
| ECUADOR | - | - | - | * | - |
| EL SALVADOR | - | - | - | 15 | - |
| ETHIOPIA | * | - | - | - | - |
| GEORGIA | - | - | - | 6 | - |
| GUATEMALA | - | - | * | * | - |
| GUYANA | * | - | * | 64 | - |
| HAITI | 23 | - | * | 222 | * |
| HONDURAS | - | - | - | * | - |
| INDIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| IRAN | * | - | - | - | - |
| ISRAEL | - | - | - | - | * |
| JAMAICA | - | - | - | * | - |
| KENYA | * | - | - | - | - |
| KOSOVO | - | - | - | * | - |

| | | | | | |
|------------|----|---|----|-----|-----|
| LEBANON | * | - | * | * | - |
| MEXICO | - | - | - | * | - |
| NICARAGUA | - | - | - | * | - |
| NIGERIA | * | - | - | * | - |
| PALESTINE | - | - | - | * | - |
| PERU | * | - | - | * | - |
| ROMANIA | - | - | * | * | - |
| SOMALIA | * | - | - | - | - |
| SRI LANKA | - | - | - | * | - |
| SUDAN | - | - | - | * | - |
| SYRIA | - | - | - | - | * |
| TURKEY | - | * | - | - | - |
| UKRAINE | - | - | - | * | - |
| VENEZUELA | - | - | - | * | * |
| YUGOSLAVIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| TOTAL | 75 | * | 52 | 598 | 919 |

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.8-7. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Immigration Judge and Application Decision, Miami Immigration Court, FY 2000 - FY 2003^a**

Source: Immigration Court

| Immigration Judge | Completed Cases | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| JUDGE 1 | 41 | 1 | 13 | 117 | 42 |
| JUDGE 2 | 3 | - | 7 | 118 | 76 |
| JUDGE 3 | 8 | - | 10 | 195 | 82 |
| JUDGE 4 | 32 | - | 11 | 177 | 78 |
| JUDGE 5 | 61 | 2 | 13 | 115 | 107 |
| JUDGE 6 | 18 | - | 10 | 195 | 57 |
| JUDGE 7 | 8 | - | - | 73 | 17 |
| JUDGE 8 | - | - | 1 | 18 | 8 |
| JUDGE 9 | 19 | - | 18 | 359 | 207 |
| JUDGE 10 | 1 | - | 3 | 45 | 62 |
| JUDGE 11 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| JUDGE 12 | 7 | - | 18 | 188 | 52 |
| JUDGE 13 | 12 | - | 9 | 272 | 139 |
| JUDGE 14 | 26 | 1 | 10 | 155 | 108 |
| JUDGE 15 | 3 | - | 30 | 285 | 64 |
| JUDGE 16 | 13 | - | 10 | 178 | 50 |
| JUDGE 17 | 51 | - | 29 | 285 | 157 |
| JUDGE 18 | 18 | - | 9 | 201 | 123 |
| JUDGE 19 | 18 | - | 6 | 458 | 184 |
| JUDGE 20 | 12 | - | 30 | 240 | 75 |
| JUDGE 21 | 99 | - | 47 | 113 | 76 |
| JUDGE 22 | 28 | - | 6 | 283 | 169 |
| JUDGE 23 | 43 | - | 34 | 217 | 40 |
| JUDGE 24 | 41 | 1 | 52 | 181 | 155 |
| JUDGE 25 | 16 | - | 5 | 207 | 48 |

| | | | | | |
|-------|-----|---|-----|------|------|
| TOTAL | 578 | 5 | 381 | 4676 | 2176 |
|-------|-----|---|-----|------|------|

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.9-1. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Newark Immigration Court, Pre-FY 2000^a**

Source: Immigration Court

| Nationality Name | Completed Cases | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| CHILE | - | - | - | * | - |
| COLOMBIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| DOMINICAN REPUBLIC | - | - | - | * | - |
| SRI LANKA | - | - | - | * | - |
| TOTAL | - | - | - | * | - |

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.9-2. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Newark Immigration Court, FY 2000^a**

Source: Immigration Court

| Nationality Name | Completed Cases | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| ALBANIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| ANGOLA | * | - | - | - | - |
| CHINA | - | - | * | 11 | - |
| COLOMBIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| HAITI | - | - | * | * | - |
| INDIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| PARAGUAY | - | - | - | * | - |
| RUSSIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| SIERRA LEONE | * | - | - | - | - |
| SRI LANKA | - | - | - | 16 | - |
| TOTAL | * | - | * | 37 | - |

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.9-3. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Newark Immigration Court, FY 2001^a**

Source: Immigration Court

| Nationality Name | Completed Cases | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| AFGHANISTAN | 6 | - | * | - | - |
| ALBANIA | * | - | * | * | - |
| ALGERIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| ANGOLA | * | - | - | * | - |
| BULGARIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| CANADA | - | - | - | * | - |
| CHINA | 14 | * | 12 | 48 | - |
| COLOMBIA | * | - | - | 11 | - |
| CONGO | - | - | * | * | - |
| DOMINICAN REPUBLIC | - | - | * | - | - |
| ECUADOR | - | - | * | * | - |
| ETHIOPIA | * | - | - | - | - |
| GEORGIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| GERMANY | * | - | - | - | - |
| GHANA | - | - | - | * | - |
| GUINEA | * | - | - | - | - |
| HAITI | * | - | - | 8 | - |
| INDIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| IRAQ | * | - | - | - | - |
| JAMAICA | - | - | - | * | - |
| MALAYSIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| MEXICO | - | - | - | * | - |
| NIGERIA | - | - | * | * | - |
| PAKISTAN | - | - | - | * | - |
| PALESTINE | * | * | - | - | - |

| | | | | | |
|-------------------|----|---|----|-----|---|
| PERU | - | - | * | * | - |
| SERBIA MONTENEGRO | * | - | - | * | - |
| SIERRA LEONE | * | - | - | - | - |
| SLOVAK REPUBLIC | - | - | - | * | - |
| SOMALIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| SRI LANKA | * | - | - | 17 | - |
| TURKEY | - | - | - | * | - |
| UGANDA | - | - | - | * | - |
| UKRAINE | - | - | - | * | - |
| UNITED KINGDOM | - | - | - | * | - |
| YUGOSLAVIA | - | - | * | * | - |
| ZAIRE | * | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | 44 | * | 21 | 128 | - |

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.9-4. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Newark Immigration Court, FY 2002^a**

Source: Immigration Court

| Nationality Name | Completed Cases | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| AFGHANISTAN | - | - | * | - | - |
| ALBANIA | * | - | * | * | - |
| BANGLADESH | - | - | - | * | - |
| BRAZIL | - | - | * | * | - |
| BULGARIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| CAMEROON | * | - | - | - | - |
| CHINA | 23 | * | 10 | 55 | - |
| COLOMBIA | 8 | - | 8 | 62 | - |
| CUBA | - | - | - | * | * |
| DOMINICAN REPUBLIC | - | - | - | * | - |
| ECUADOR | - | - | * | 6 | - |
| EL SALVADOR | - | - | - | * | - |
| ERITREA | - | - | - | * | - |
| GEORGIA | * | - | - | * | - |
| GHANA | - | - | - | * | - |
| GUATEMALA | - | - | - | * | - |
| GUINEA | * | - | - | - | - |
| GUYANA | - | - | - | 6 | - |
| HAITI | * | * | * | 15 | - |
| HONDURAS | - | - | - | * | - |
| INDIA | - | - | * | 12 | - |
| IRAQ | - | * | - | * | - |
| JAMAICA | - | - | - | * | - |
| LEBANON | - | - | - | * | - |
| MACEDONIA | - | - | * | * | - |

| | | | | | |
|-------------------|----|---|----|-----|---|
| NIGERIA | - | - | * | * | - |
| PAKISTAN | - | - | - | * | - |
| PERU | - | - | - | * | - |
| RWANDA | * | - | * | - | - |
| SERBIA MONTENEGRO | - | - | - | * | - |
| SIERRA LEONE | - | - | * | * | - |
| SRI LANKA | * | - | - | 9 | - |
| TOGO | * | - | - | - | - |
| TURKEY | 6 | - | * | * | - |
| UKRAINE | - | - | * | * | - |
| YUGOSLAVIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| TOTAL | 46 | * | 36 | 207 | * |

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.9-5. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Newark Immigration Court, FY 2003^a**

Source: Immigration Court

| Nationality Name | Completed Cases | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| ALBANIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| CAMEROON | * | * | - | - | - |
| CHINA | 28 | - | 14 | 32 | - |
| COLOMBIA | * | - | 10 | 45 | - |
| CUBA | * | - | * | * | * |
| DOMINICAN REPUBLIC | - | * | * | * | - |
| ECUADOR | - | - | * | * | - |
| EL SALVADOR | - | - | - | * | - |
| ERITREA | - | - | - | * | - |
| GEORGIA | - | - | * | 8 | - |
| GUINEA | - | - | - | * | - |
| GUYANA | - | - | * | * | - |
| HAITI | * | - | - | 19 | - |
| INDIA | - | - | * | * | - |
| IRAN | - | * | - | * | - |
| JAMAICA | * | - | - | * | - |
| JORDAN | - | - | - | * | - |
| LIBERIA | * | - | - | - | - |
| NIGERIA | - | - | * | * | - |
| PAKISTAN | - | - | - | * | - |
| PERU | - | - | - | * | - |
| POLAND | - | - | - | * | - |
| RWANDA | - | - | * | - | - |
| SRI LANKA | * | - | - | * | - |
| SUDAN | - | - | * | - | - |

| | | | | | |
|----------------|----|---|----|-----|---|
| TOGO | * | - | - | - | - |
| TURKEY | - | - | * | 10 | - |
| UGANDA | - | - | - | * | - |
| UNITED KINGDOM | * | - | - | - | - |
| YUGOSLAVIA | * | - | - | * | - |
| TOTAL | 41 | * | 39 | 143 | * |

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

^rRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.9-6. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, Newark Immigration Court, FY 2004^a**

Source: Immigration Court

| Nationality Name | Completed Cases | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| ALBANIA | * | - | * | * | - |
| CHINA | 12 | - | * | 19 | - |
| COLOMBIA | * | - | * | 16 | - |
| CONGO | * | - | * | - | - |
| COSTA RICA | - | - | - | * | - |
| DOMINICAN REPUBLIC | - | - | - | * | - |
| ECUADOR | - | - | * | - | - |
| GEORGIA | - | - | * | * | - |
| GUYANA | - | - | * | * | - |
| HAITI | * | - | * | 11 | - |
| INDONESIA | - | - | * | - | - |
| MEXICO | - | - | - | * | - |
| PALESTINE | - | - | * | * | - |
| ROMANIA | - | - | - | * | - |
| SOUTH AFRICA | - | - | - | * | - |
| TURKEY | - | - | - | * | - |
| UKRAINE | - | - | * | * | - |
| VENEZUELA | - | - | - | * | - |
| TOTAL | 20 | - | 17 | 65 | - |

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for

relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.9-7. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Immigration Judge and Application Decision, Newark Immigration Court, FY 2000 - FY 2003^a**

Source: Immigration Court

| Immigration Judge | Completed Cases | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Asylum Granted | CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted | Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn | Ordered Removed ⁿ | Adjustment of Status Granted |
| JUDGE 1 | 31 | - | 25 | 55 | 2 |
| JUDGE 2 | 29 | 5 | 11 | 82 | 3 |
| JUDGE 3 | 13 | - | 16 | 82 | - |
| JUDGE 4 | 12 | - | 17 | 33 | - |
| JUDGE 5 | 19 | 1 | 8 | 118 | 1 |
| JUDGE 6 | 19 | 2 | 26 | 126 | - |
| JUDGE 7 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| JUDGE 8 | 8 | 1 | 11 | 51 | 1 |
| JUDGE 9 | 24 | - | 1 | 37 | - |
| TOTAL | 155 | 9 | 115 | 585 | 7 |

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.