

**EOIR Table 1.10-1. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, New York Immigration Court, Pre-FY 2000^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	-	-	-	*	-
ECUADOR	-	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-
GUATEMALA	-	-	-	*	-
PAKISTAN	-	-	-	*	-
RUSSIA	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	-	-	-	10	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.10-2. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, New York Immigration Court, FY 2000^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
AFGHANISTAN	*	-	-	*	-
CHINA	25	-	*	124	-
COLOMBIA	-	-	-	*	-
ECUADOR	-	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-
HAITI	-	-	-	*	-
PAKISTAN	-	-	-	6	-
RUSSIA	-	-	-	*	-
SRI LANKA	-	-	-	56	-
TURKEY	-	-	-	*	-
UKRAINE	-	-	-	*	-
UZBEKISTAN	-	-	-	*	-
VENEZUELA	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	26	-	*	204	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

*Removal Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.10-3. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, New York Immigration Court, FY 2001^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
AFGHANISTAN	*	-	-	*	-
ALBANIA	11	-	-	*	-
ANGOLA	-	-	-	*	-
BANGLADESH	-	-	-	*	-
BRAZIL	*	-	*	-	-
BULGARIA	-	-	-	*	-
CHINA	272	*	58	1028	-
COLOMBIA	*	-	-	15	-
CONGO	*	*	-	-	-
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	*	-	-	*	-
ECUADOR	-	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-
GHANA	-	-	-	*	-
GUINEA	-	-	-	*	-
GUYANA	-	-	-	*	-
HAITI	-	-	-	12	-
HONDURAS	*	-	-	-	-
INDIA	-	-	-	*	-
IRAN	*	-	-	-	-
LEBANON	*	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	*	-	-	*	-
PAKISTAN	*	-	-	13	-
PERU	-	-	-	*	-
RUSSIA	-	-	-	*	-
RWANDA	*	-	-	-	-

SERBIA MONTENEGRO	*	*	-	*	-
SIERRA LEONE	-	-	-	*	-
SINGAPORE	-	-	-	*	-
SRI LANKA	*	*	*	94	-
SUDAN	-	-	-	*	-
TURKEY	-	-	-	*	-
TURKS AND CAICOS ISLANDS	-	-	-	*	-
UKRAINE	-	-	-	*	-
VENEZUELA	*	-	-	-	-
YUGOSLAVIA	*	-	-	*	-
ZAIRE	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	315	11	61	1210	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.10-4. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, New York Immigration Court, FY 2002^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
AFGHANISTAN	*	-	-	*	-
ALBANIA	12	-	-	24	-
BANGLADESH	*	-	-	*	-
BOLIVIA	-	-	-	*	-
BOSNIA-HERZEGOVINA	*	-	-	-	-
BRAZIL	-	-	-	*	-
BULGARIA	-	-	-	*	-
BURUNDI	*	-	-	-	-
CAYMAN ISLANDS	-	-	-	*	-
CHINA	543	14	134	1514	-
COLOMBIA	*	-	-	47	-
CONGO	-	-	-	*	-
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	-	-	-	7	-
ECUADOR	-	-	-	13	-
EGYPT	-	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-
ETHIOPIA	*	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA	-	-	-	*	-
GERMANY	-	-	*	-	-
GHANA	-	-	-	*	-
GUATEMALA	*	-	-	*	-
GUINEA	*	-	-	-	-
GUINEA BISSAU	-	-	-	*	-
GUYANA	-	-	-	19	-
HAITI	*	-	*	20	-

INDIA	-	-	-	10	-
LEBANON	*	-	-	*	-
MACEDONIA	*	-	-	-	-
MADAGASCAR	-	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	-	-	-	10	-
PAKISTAN	*	-	-	17	-
PERU	-	-	-	*	-
RUSSIA	*	-	-	*	-
SERBIA MONTENEGRO	7	-	-	6	-
SIERRA LEONE	*	-	-	*	-
SRI LANKA	*		*	47	-
SUDAN	*		-	-	-
TURKEY	*	-	-	*	-
TURKMENISTAN	-	-	-	*	-
UKRAINE	*	-	-	8	-
VENEZUELA	*	-	-	-	-
YUGOSLAVIA	16	-		*	11
TOTAL	614		15	137	1793

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.10-5. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, New York Immigration Court, FY 2003^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
AFGHANISTAN	10	-	-	*	-
ALBANIA	26	*	-	11	-
BANGLADESH	*	-	-	7	-
BELIZE	-	-	-	*	-
BULGARIA	-	-	-	*	-
CHINA	553	*	126	1437	-
COLOMBIA	43	-	-	58	-
CONGO	*	-	-	-	-
CUBA	-	-	-	*	*
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	-	-	-	*	-
ECUADOR	*	-	-	14	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-
GEORGIA	*	-	*	*	-
GERMANY	-	-	-	*	-
GUATEMALA	*	-	-	*	-
GUINEA	*	-	-	*	-
GUYANA	-	-	*	28	-
HAITI	*	-	-	23	-
INDIA	*	-	*	14	-
IVORY COAST (COTE D'IVOIRE)	*	-	-	*	-
JORDAN	*	-	-	-	-
LEBANON	-	-	-	*	-
LIBERIA	*	-	-	-	-
MACEDONIA	*	-	-	-	-
NIGERIA	-	-	-	*	-

PAKISTAN	*	-		*	18	-
PARAGUAY	*	-	-	-	*	-
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF BENIN	-	-	-	*	*	-
POLAND	-	-	-	-	*	-
RUSSIA	*	-	-	-	-	-
RWANDA	*	-	-	-	-	-
SERBIA MONTENEGRO	*	-	-	-	-	-
SOMALIA	-	-	-	-	*	-
SOUTH KOREA	-	-	-	-	*	-
SRI LANKA	*	-	-	-	*	-
SUDAN	6	-	-	-	*	-
TOGO	*	-	-	-	-	-
TURKEY	*	-	-	*	8	-
TURKMENISTAN	-	-	-	-	*	-
TURKS AND CAICOS ISLANDS	-	-	-	-	*	-
UKRAINE	*	-	-	-	*	-
YUGOSLAVIA	*	-	-	*	7	-
ZAIRE	-	-	-	-	*	-
UNKNOWN	-	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	672	6	6	133	1670	*

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.10-6. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, New York Immigration Court, FY 2004^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
AFGHANISTAN	*	-	*	-	-
ALBANIA	27	-	*	8	-
ARGENTINA	-	-	-	*	-
BANGLADESH	-	-	*	*	-
CHINA	232	*	47	419	-
COLOMBIA	14	-	*	14	-
CUBA	-	-	-	-	6
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	-	-	-	*	-
ECUADOR	*	-	-	-	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-
GEORGIA	*	-	-	*	-
GUINEA	*	-	-	-	-
GUYANA	*	-	*	19	-
HAITI	*	-	*	6	-
INDIA	*	-	-	*	-
ITALY	-	-	-	*	-
JAMAICA	-	-	-	*	-
LEBANON	-	-	-	*	-
MEXICO	*	-	-	-	-
PAKISTAN	*	-	-	8	-
PERU	-	-	-	*	-
SIERRA LEONE	*	-	-	-	-
SRI LANKA	-	-	-	*	-
SUDAN	*	-	-	*	-
TURKEY	-	-	-	*	-
YUGOSLAVIA	*	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	298	*	59	499	6

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.10-7. Outcomes of Cases for Asylum Seekers Originally Subject to Expedited Removal
By Immigration Judge and Application Decision, New York Immigration Court, FY 2000 - FY 2003^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Immigration Judge	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
JUDGE 1	67	1	20	398	-
JUDGE 2	41	-	26	70	-
JUDGE 3	9	-	-	10	-
JUDGE 4	33	-	12	241	-
JUDGE 5	111	-	14	167	-
JUDGE 6	-	-	-	1	-
JUDGE 7	5	-	3	14	-
JUDGE 8	2	-	-	7	-
JUDGE 9	1	-	-	3	-
JUDGE 10	1	-	-	1	-
JUDGE 11	122	-	9	170	2
JUDGE 12	-	-	-	18	-
JUDGE 13	5	-	2	24	-
JUDGE 14	2	-	-	1	-
JUDGE 15	96	-	4	126	-
JUDGE 16	-	-	-	1	-
JUDGE 17	25	-	25	192	-
JUDGE 18	86	-	4	117	-
JUDGE 19	19	-	3	64	-
JUDGE 20	-	-	-	4	-
JUDGE 21	11	2	6	94	-
JUDGE 22	1	-	-	-	-
JUDGE 23	33	-	15	128	-
JUDGE 24	4	-	3	17	-
JUDGE 25	-	-	1	5	-

JUDGE 26	45	-	36	502	-
JUDGE 27	9	-	2	71	1
JUDGE 28	5	-	2	18	-
JUDGE 29	-	-	-	1	-
JUDGE 30	-	-	-	1	-
JUDGE 31	-	-	2	4	-
JUDGE 32	152	2	3	32	-
JUDGE 33	4	-	1	22	-
JUDGE 34	3	-	-	4	-
JUDGE 35	25	3	12	65	-
JUDGE 36	3	-	-	2	-
JUDGE 37	6	-	-	5	-
JUDGE 38	11	-	16	85	-
JUDGE 39	6	-	5	13	-
JUDGE 40	113	1	9	117	-
JUDGE 41	-	-	-	1	-
JUDGE 42	45	4	8	227	2
JUDGE 43	28	1	10	162	-
JUDGE 44	1	-	-	6	-
JUDGE 45	107	-	6	224	-
JUDGE 46	-	-	-	1	-
JUDGE 47	81	-	15	211	-
JUDGE 48	-	-	-	2	-
JUDGE 49	112	-	21	535	-
JUDGE 50	5	-	-	3	-
JUDGE 51	4	-	7	261	-
JUDGE 52	80	14	14	148	3
JUDGE 53	3	-	-	2	-
JUDGE 54	9	-	3	9	-
JUDGE 55	-	-	-	2	-
JUDGE 56	123	-	3	77	-
JUDGE 57	123	-	11	131	-
JUDGE 58	37	-	7	146	-
JUDGE 59	56	-	20	43	-

JUDGE 60	-	-	-	1	-
JUDGE 61	25	4	2	150	-
JUDGE 62	3	1	32	209	-
JUDGE 63	1	-	-	1	-
JUDGE 64	26	-	1	19	-
TOTAL	1925	33	395	5386	8

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.11-1. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, San Diego Immigration Court, Pre-FY 2000^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
COLOMBIA	-	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-
GUATEMALA	-	-	-	*	-
HONDURAS	-	-	-	*	-
MEXICO	-	-	-	8	-
TOTAL	-	-	-	14	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.11-2. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, San Diego Immigration Court, FY 2000^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
AFGHANISTAN	-	-	-	*	-
BELARUS	-	-	-	*	-
BRAZIL	-	-	-	*	-
BULGARIA	-	-	-	*	-
CHINA	-	-	-	8	-
COLOMBIA	-	-	-	*	-
CUBA	-	-	-	*	-
EGYPT	-	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	8	-
ETHIOPIA	*	-	-	*	-
GUATEMALA	-	-	-	*	-
GUYANA	-	-	-	*	-
HONDURAS	-	-	-	*	-
IRAN	-	-	-	*	-
IRAQ	11	-	-	*	-
JAMAICA	-	-	-	*	-
MEXICO	-	-	-	*	-
PANAMA	-	-	-	*	-
RUSSIA	-	-	-	8	-
SOMALIA	-	-	-	*	-
SRI LANKA	-	-	-	*	-
UKRAINE	-	-	-	24	-
UNITED KINGDOM	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	12	-	-	82	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.11-3. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, San Diego Immigration Court, FY 2001^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ARMENIA	*	-	-	*	-
BELARUS	-	-	-	*	-
BELIZE	-	-	-	*	-
BOLIVIA	-	-	-	*	-
BRAZIL	-	-	-	20	-
BULGARIA	-	-	-	*	-
BYELORUSSIA (BELARUS)	-	-	-	*	-
CHILE	*	-	-	-	-
CHINA	*	-	-	9	-
COLOMBIA	-	-	*	*	-
COSTA RICA	-	-	-	*	-
CUBA	-	-	-	*	-
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	-	-	-	*	-
ECUADOR	-	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	10	-
GUATEMALA	-	-	-	10	-
HAITI	-	-	-	*	-
HONDURAS	-	-	-	*	-
IRAN	*	*	-	*	-
IRAQ	52	*	*	11	-
IRELAND	-	-	-	*	-
ISRAEL	-	-	-	*	-
ITALY	-	-	-	*	-
JAMAICA	-	-	-	*	-
LEBANON	*	-	-	7	-

LIBERIA	-	-	*	*	-
LITHUANIA	*	-	-	-	-
MEXICO	*	-	*	10	-
MOLDAVIA (MOLDOVA)	-	-	-	*	-
MOROCCO	-	-	-	*	-
NICARAGUA	-	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	-	-	-	*	-
PERU	-	-	-	*	-
PHILIPPINES	-	-	-	*	-
POLAND	-	-	-	6	-
PORTUGAL	-	-	*	*	-
ROMANIA	-	-	-	*	-
RUSSIA	-	*	-	*	-
SIERRA LEONE	*	-	-	*	-
SOMALIA	-	-	-	*	-
SRI LANKA	-	-	-	9	-
UKRAINE	*	*	-	70	-
UNITED KINGDOM	*	-	-	*	-
YUGOSLAVIA	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	69	*	*	231	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.11-4. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, San Diego Immigration Court, FY 2002^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ALBANIA	-	-	-	*	-
ARMENIA	*	-	-	*	-
BELIZE	-	-	-	*	-
BOLIVIA	-	-	-	*	-
BRAZIL	-	-	-	9	-
BULGARIA	*	-	-	*	-
CHILE	-	-	-	*	-
CHINA	-	-	-	15	-
COLOMBIA	-	-	-	*	-
CUBA	-	-	*	*	6
CZECH REPUBLIC	-	-	-	*	-
ECUADOR	-	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	*	16	-
ERITREA	-	*	-	*	-
GEORGIA	-	-	-	*	-
GERMANY	-	-	-	*	-
GUATEMALA	-	*	*	19	-
GUYANA	-	-	-	*	-
HAITI	*	-	-	-	-
HONDURAS	-	-	*	*	-
INDIA	-	-	-	*	-
INDONESIA	-	-	-	*	-
IRAN	-	-	-	*	-
IRAQ	100	7	-	11	-
JAMAICA	*	-	-	*	-

KAZAKHSTAN	-	-	-	*	-
LEBANON	-	-	-	*	-
LITHUANIA	-	-	-	*	-
MEXICO	*	-	-	11	-
MOROCCO	-	-	-	*	-
NICARAGUA	-	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	-	-	-	*	-
NORTH KOREA	*	-	-	*	-
PALESTINE	-	-	-	*	-
PANAMA	-	-	-	*	-
PARAGUAY	-	-	-	*	-
PERU	-	-	-	*	-
POLAND	*	-	-	11	-
ROMANIA	-	-	-	*	-
RUSSIA	-	-	*	*	-
SIERRA LEONE	*	-	-	6	-
SOMALIA	-	-	-	*	-
SOUTH KOREA	-	-	-	*	-
SRI LANKA	-	-	-	*	-
STATELESS - ALIEN UNABLE TO NAME A COUNTRY	-	-	-	*	-
SYRIA	-	-	-	*	-
THAILAND	-	-	-	*	-
UKRAINE	*	-	*	19	-
UNITED KINGDOM	*	-	-	*	-
VENEZUELA	-	-	-	*	-
VIETNAM	-	-	-	*	-
UNKNOWN	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	112	9	12	201	6

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for

relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.11-5. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, San Diego Immigration Court, FY 2003^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ARMENIA	*	-	-	8	-
AZERBAIJAN	-	-	-	*	-
BAHAMAS	-	-	-	*	-
BANGLADESH	*	-	-	-	-
BELIZE	-	-	-	*	-
BOLIVIA	-	-	-	*	-
BRAZIL	-	-	-	*	-
BULGARIA	*	*	-	*	-
CAMEROON	-	-	*	-	-
CHILE	-	-	-	*	-
CHINA	*	-	*	38	-
COLOMBIA	*	-	-	9	-
CUBA	*	-	*	10	*
ECUADOR	-	-	-	*	-
EGYPT	-	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	*	*	-
ERITREA	-	-	-	*	-
ESTONIA	-	-	-	*	-
ETHIOPIA	-	-	-	*	-
GHANA	*	-	-	-	-
GUATEMALA	*	-	*	14	-
HAITI	-	-	-	*	-
HONDURAS	-	-	-	*	-
INDIA	-	-	-	*	-
IRAN	*	-	-	*	-
IRAQ	64	*	*	71	-

ISRAEL	-	-	-	*	-
KENYA	-	-	-	*	-
LATVIA	-	-	*	*	-
LEBANON	-	-	-	*	-
MEXICO	-	-	-	9	-
NEW ZEALAND	-	-	-	*	-
NICARAGUA	-	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	-	-	-	*	-
NORTH KOREA	-	-	-	*	-
PARAGUAY	-	-	-	*	-
PERU	*	-	*	*	-
POLAND	-	-	-	7	-
RUSSIA	*	-	*	*	-
SIERRA LEONE	*	-	-	*	-
SLOVAK REPUBLIC	-	-	-	*	-
SOMALIA	-	-	-	*	-
SOUTH AFRICA	-	-	-	*	-
SOUTH KOREA	-	-	*	-	-
SRI LANKA	-	*	*	17	-
SYRIA	-	-	-	*	-
UKRAINE	6	-	*	7	-
UNITED KINGDOM	*	-	-	-	-
UZBEKISTAN	-	-	-	*	-
VENEZUELA	-	-	-	*	-
VIETNAM	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	96	6	16	252	*

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.11-6. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, San Diego Immigration Court, FY 2004^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ALBANIA	*	-	-	-	-
ARMENIA	*	-	-	*	-
BELIZE	-	-	-	*	-
BOLIVIA	-	-	-	*	-
BULGARIA	*	-	-	*	-
BURUNDI	*	*	-	-	-
BYELORUSSIA (BELARUS)	*	-	-	-	-
CHINA	*	-	*	18	-
COLOMBIA	*	-	-	*	-
COSTA RICA	*	-	-	-	-
CUBA	*	-	*	-	*
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	-	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	*	*	-
ETHIOPIA	*	-	-	-	-
GUATEMALA	*	-	-	*	-
HAITI	*	-	-	-	-
HONDURAS	-	-	*	*	-
INDIA	*	-	-	*	-
IRAN	*	*	-	-	-
IRAQ	12	*	-	37	-
KIRGHIZIA (KYRGYZSTAN)	*	-	-	-	-
LEBANON	-	-	*	*	-
MEXICO	-	*	*	*	*
NIGERIA	*	-	-	-	-
POLAND	-	-	-	*	-

RUSSIA	*	-	-	-	-
SIERRA LEONE	-	-	-	*	-
SOMALIA	*	-	-	-	-
SUDAN	*	-	-	-	-
UKRAINE	*	*	*	6	-
VENEZUELA	-	-	*	-	-
YUGOSLAVIA	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	43	8	12	88	6

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.11-7. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Immigration Judge and Application Decision, San Diego (including East Mesa) Immigration Court, FY 2000 - FY 2003^a**
Source: Immigration Court

Immigration Judge	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
JUDGE 1	46	3	3	128	-
JUDGE 2	-	-	-	7	-
JUDGE 3	27	1	3	67	1
JUDGE 4	38	2	1	109	-
JUDGE 5	44	-	2	70	1
JUDGE 6	17	1	7	82	-
JUDGE 7	25	5	3	131	5
JUDGE 8	19	-	-	59	-
JUDGE 9	31	9	6	71	5
JUDGE 10	79	3	15	104	4
JUDGE 11	6	4	5	40	-
TOTAL	332	28	45	868	16

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

EOIR Table 1.12-1. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal By Nationality and Application Decision, San Francisco Immigration Court, Pre-FY 2000^a

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-
GUATEMALA	-	-	-	*	-
MEXICO	-	-	-	*	-
VIETNAM	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	-	-	-	7	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.12-2. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, San Francisco Immigration Court, FY 2000^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
AFGHANISTAN	*	-	-	-	-
ALGERIA	*	-	-	-	-
CAMEROON	*	-	-	-	-
CHINA	*	*	-	*	-
COLOMBIA	*	-	-	-	-
CONGO	*	-	-	-	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-
GUATEMALA	-	*	-	-	-
HUNGARY	-	-	-	*	-
INDIA	-	-	-	*	-
IRAQ	*	-	-	-	-
LEBANON	*	-	-	-	-
PAKISTAN	*	-	-	*	-
SOMALIA	*	-	-	-	-
SRI LANKA	13	-	-	27	-
SUDAN	*	-	-	-	-
UKRAINE	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	30	*	-	40	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of

these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.12-3. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, San Francisco Immigration Court, FY 2001^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
AFGHANISTAN	18	*	-	*	-
ALBANIA	*	-	-	*	-
BRAZIL	*	-	-	-	-
BULGARIA	*	-	-	*	-
CHINA	28	-	*	22	-
COLOMBIA	*	-	-	-	-
ECUADOR	*	*	-	-	-
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-
ETHIOPIA	*	-	-	-	-
GUATEMALA	*	-	*	*	-
HONG KONG	*	-	-	-	-
INDIA	10	-	-	*	-
INDONESIA	-	-	-	*	-
IRAN	*	-	-	-	-
MEXICO	-	-	-	*	-
PAKISTAN	*	-	-	*	-
PERU	*	-	-	*	-
PHILIPPINES	-	-	*	*	-
ROMANIA	-	-	-	*	-
RUSSIA	*	-	-	*	-
SIERRA LEONE	*	-	-	-	-
SOMALIA	6	-	-	-	-
SRI LANKA	42	*	*	23	-
UKRAINE	*	-	-	-	-
VIETNAM	*	-	-	-	-

YEMEN	-	-	-	*	-
YUGOSLAVIA	*	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	130	*	*	66	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.12-4. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, San Francisco Immigration Court, FY 2002^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
AFGHANISTAN	10	-	*	*	-
ALBANIA	*	-	-	*	-
ARMENIA	*	-	-	-	-
BANGLADESH	*	-	-	-	-
BRAZIL	*	-	-	*	-
BULGARIA	*	-	-	*	-
BURMA (MYANMAR)	-	-	-	*	-
CHINA	*	-	-	7	-
COLOMBIA	*	-	-	*	-
EL SALVADOR	-	*	*	16	-
ERITREA	*	-	-	*	-
ETHIOPIA	*	-	-	*	-
FIJI	*	-	-	*	-
GEORGIA	-	-	-	*	-
GUATEMALA	-	-	-	*	-
GUYANA	-	-	-	*	-
HONDURAS	-	-	*	*	-
INDIA	9	-	*	10	-
INDONESIA	*	-	-	*	-
IRAN	*	-	-	-	-
IRAQ	-	-	-	*	-
JORDAN	-	-	-	*	-
KUWAIT	*	-	-	-	-
MEXICO	-	-	-	*	-
MOROCCO	-	-	-	*	-

NICARAGUA	-	*	-	*	-
NIGERIA	*	-	-	*	-
PAKISTAN	*	-	*	*	-
PERU	*	-	-	*	-
PHILIPPINES	*	-	*	*	-
ROMANIA	*	-	-	-	-
RUSSIA	-	-	-	*	-
SIERRA LEONE	-	-	-	*	-
SOMALIA	*	-	-	-	-
SRI LANKA	27	*	39	46	-
STATELESS - ALIEN UNABLE TO NAME A COUNTRY	*	-	-	-	-
SUDAN	*	-	*	-	-
ZIMBABWE	*	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	85	7	46	123	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.12-5. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, San Francisco Immigration Court, FY 2003^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ARMENIA	*	-	*	7	-
BANGLADESH	-	-	-	*	-
BELARUS	*	-	-	-	-
BOLIVIA	-	-	-	*	-
BRAZIL	*	-	-	-	-
BULGARIA	-	-	-	*	-
CHINA	8	-	*	24	-
COLOMBIA	*	-	*	6	-
CUBA	-	-	*	-	*
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	11	-
ERITREA	*	*	-	*	-
ETHIOPIA	*	-	-	*	-
GUATEMALA	*	-	-	6	-
HONDURAS	-	*	-	*	-
INDIA	13	*	-	14	-
INDONESIA	*	-	-	*	-
IRAN	*	*	-	*	-
IRAQ	*	*	-	-	-
MEXICO	*	-	-	*	-
MONGOLIA	*	-	-	-	-
NICARAGUA	-	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	-	-	-	*	-
PAKISTAN	*	-	*	*	-
PERU	*	-	*	*	-
RUSSIA	*	-	-	*	-

SRI LANKA	*	*	9	10	-
SYRIA	*	-	-	-	-
UKRAINE	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	52	8	16	104	*

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.12-6. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Nationality and Application Decision, San Francisco Immigration Court, FY 2004^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Nationality Name	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
ARMENIA	*	-	-	*	-
BRAZIL	-	-	-	*	-
BULGARIA	*	-	-	-	-
CHINA	21	-	-	12	-
COLOMBIA	*	-	-	-	-
CUBA	-	-	-	-	*
EL SALVADOR	-	-	*	*	*
ETHIOPIA	*	-	-	-	-
GUATEMALA	-	-	-	*	-
INDIA	-	-	*	*	*
MEXICO	-	-	-	*	-
NICARAGUA	-	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	*	-	-	-	-
PAKISTAN	-	-	*	-	-
PERU	*	-	-	-	-
PHILIPPINES	-	-	*	*	-
ROMANIA	-	-	-	*	-
RUSSIA	-	*	-	*	-
SENEGAL	*	-	-	-	-
SIERRA LEONE	-	*	-	*	-
SRI LANKA	*	-	*	*	-
TURKEY	*	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	36	*	8	34	*

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September

30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

**EOIR Table 1.12-7. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal
By Immigration Judge and Application Decision, San Francisco Immigration Court, FY 2000 - FY 2003^a**

Source: Immigration Court

Immigration Judge	Completed Cases				
	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted
JUDGE 1	-	-	-	2	-
JUDGE 2	12	1	1	5	1
JUDGE 3	-	-	-	4	-
JUDGE 4	-	-	-	1	-
JUDGE 5	14	-	-	9	-
JUDGE 6	-	-	-	1	-
JUDGE 7	-	-	-	1	-
JUDGE 8	7	-	1	18	-
JUDGE 9	10	-	-	16	-
JUDGE 10	6	-	-	12	-
JUDGE 11	10	-	-	9	-
JUDGE 12	3	-	3	3	-
JUDGE 13	6	-	-	8	3
JUDGE 14	-	-	-	1	-
JUDGE 15	2	-	-	3	-
JUDGE 16	1	-	-	-	-
JUDGE 17	10	1	1	14	-
JUDGE 18	1	-	-	-	-
JUDGE 19	-	-	-	1	-
JUDGE 20	-	-	-	1	-
JUDGE 21	24	1	2	13	3
JUDGE 22	14	-	-	12	-
JUDGE 23	-	-	-	2	-
JUDGE 24	5	1	-	12	-
JUDGE 25	1	-	-	-	-

JUDGE 26	102	14	30	77	-
JUDGE 27	1	-	-	2	-
JUDGE 28	2	-	-	5	-
JUDGE 29	23	1	1	10	-
JUDGE 30	-	-	1	1	-
JUDGE 31	53	4	32	98	-
JUDGE 32	12	-	-	16	-
JUDGE 33	1	-	-	-	-
JUDGE 34	1	-	-	3	-
JUDGE 35	10	-	1	10	-
JUDGE 36	1	-	1	3	1
JUDGE 37	1	-	-	1	-
TOTAL	333	23	74	374	8

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.