EOIR Table 1.1-1. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal By Nationality and Application Decision, Atlanta Immigration Court, Pre-FY 2000^a

Source: Immigration Court

		Completed Cases							
			Application for Asylum						
Nationality Name	Asylum	CAT Withholding or	or	Ordered	Adjustment of Status				
	Granted	Deferral Granted	CAT Relief Withdrawn	Removedn	Granted				
GUATEMALA	-	-	-	*	-				
HONDURAS	-	-	-	*	-				
TOTAL	-	-	-	*	-				

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

EOIR Table 1.1-2. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal By Nationality and Application Decision, Atlanta Immigration Court, FY 2000^a

	Completed Cases						
Nationality Name	Asylum	CAT Withholding or	Application for Asylum or	Ordered	Adjustment of Status		
	Granted	Deferral Granted	CAT Relief Withdrawn	Removedn	Granted		
BURUNDI	-	-	-	*	-		
COLOMBIA	-	-	-	*	-		
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-		
GHANA	-	-	-	*	-		
GUINEA	-	-	-	*	-		
INDIA	-	-	-	*	-		
KENYA	-	-	-	*	-		
LEBANON	-	-	-	*	-		
LIBERIA	-	-	-	*	-		
MACEDONIA	-	-	-	*	-		
NIGERIA	-	-	-	6	-		
PAKISTAN	-	-	*	*	-		
PERU	-	-	-	*	-		
RWANDA	*	-	-	ı	-		
SENEGAL	-	-	-	*	-		
SOMALIA	*	-	-	*	-		
SRI LANKA	*	-	-	10	-		
SUDAN	-	-	*	*	-		
TOTAL	*	-	*	36	-		

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for

relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

EOIR Table 1.1-3. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal By Nationality and Application Decision, Atlanta Immigration Court, FY 2001^a

	Completed Cases					
Nationality Name	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted	
ALBANIA	-	-	-	*	-	
BRAZIL	-	1	-	*	-	
BULGARIA	-	-	-	*	-	
CHINA	*	-	*	15	-	
COLOMBIA	-	1	*	7	-	
CONGO	-	-	-	*	-	
CUBA	_	-	*	*	-	
EL SALVADOR	-	-	1	*	-	
ETHIOPIA	*	-	-	1	-	
GAZA STRIP	_	-	-	*	-	
GHANA	-	-	1	*	-	
GUATEMALA	-	-	-	*	-	
HAITI	_	-	-	*	-	
IRAN	-	-	1	*	-	
IRAQ	*	=	-	-	-	
JAMAICA	-	-	*	*	-	
LITHUANIA	-	-	-	*	-	
MEXICO	-	-	-	*	-	
NIGERIA	-	-	-	*	-	
PAKISTAN	-	-	-	*	-	
PERU	-	-	-	*	-	
RWANDA	-	1	-	*	-	
SIERRA LEONE	-	-	-	*	-	
SOMALIA	*	-	-	*	-	
SRI LANKA	*	-	-	33	-	

TURKEY	-	-	-	*	-
UKRAINE	-	-	*	-	-
TOTAL	12	-	*	98	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

EOIR Table 1.1-4. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal By Nationality and Application Decision, Atlanta Immigration Court, FY 2002^a

	Completed Cases					
			Application for Asylum			
Nationality Name	Asylum	CAT Withholding or	or	Ordered	Adjustment of Status	
	Granted	Deferral Granted	CAT Relief Withdrawn	Removedn	Granted	
ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA	-	-	-	*	-	
BANGLADESH	-	-	-	*	-	
BHUTAN	-	-	*	*	-	
BOLIVIA	-	-	-	*	-	
BRAZIL	-	-	-	*	-	
BULGARIA	-	-	-	*	-	
CAMEROON	*	-	-	-	-	
CHINA	-	-	*	27	-	
COLOMBIA	-	-	*	16	-	
CONGO	-	-	-	*	-	
COSTA RICA	-	-	-	*	-	
EL SALVADOR	-	-	*	12	-	
ETHIOPIA	-	-	1	*	-	
GAMBIA	-	-	-	*	-	
GEORGIA	-	-	-	*	-	
GHANA	-	-	*	*	-	
GUATEMALA	-	-	*	*	-	
INDIA	-	-	-	7	-	
INDONESIA	-	-	1	*	-	
IRAN	*	-	1	-	ı	
JORDAN	-	-	-	*	-	
LIBERIA	-	-	-	*	-	
MALAWI	-	-	-	*	-	
MEXICO	-	-	-	*	-	
NEPAL	-	-	-	*	-	

NIGER	_	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	*	-	*	*	-
PAKISTAN	-	-	-	*	-
PANAMA	-	-	-	*	-
PERU	-	-	-	*	-
PHILIPPINES	-	*	-	-	-
RUSSIA	-	-	-	*	-
SIERRA LEONE	-	-	*	*	-
SLOVAK REPUBLIC	*	-	-	-	-
SOMALIA	*	-	-	*	-
SRI LANKA	*	-	*	24	-
SUDAN	*	-	-	-	-
UKRAINE	-	-	-	*	-
YUGOSLAVIA	-	-	-	*	-
ZIMBABWE	-	-	-	*	-
UNKNOWN	*	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	16	*	14	144	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS

EOIR Table 1.1-5. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal By Nationality and Application Decision, Atlanta Immigration Court, FY 2003^a

	Completed Cases					
Nationality Name	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted	
AFGHANISTAN	-	-	-	*	-	
ALBANIA	-	-	-	*	-	
ARMENIA	-	-	-	*	-	
BULGARIA	-	-	-	*	-	
CAMEROON	-	-	*	*	-	
CHILE	-	-	-	*	-	
CHINA	*	-	8	56	-	
COLOMBIA	-	-	6	26	-	
CONGO	-	-	-	*	-	
CZECH REPUBLIC	-	-	-	*	-	
DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF CONGO	_	-	_	*	-	
ECUADOR	-	-	-	*	-	
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	8	-	
ETHIOPIA	*	-	*	*	-	
GHANA	-	-	*	*	-	
GUATEMALA	-	-	-	*	-	
GUYANA	-	-	-	*	-	
HAITI	-	-	-	*	-	
HONDURAS	-	-	-	*	-	
INDIA	-	-	*	6	-	
JAMAICA	-	-	-	*	-	
JORDAN	-	-	*	*	-	
LEBANON	-	-	*	*	-	
LIBERIA	-	-	-	*	-	

NIGERIA	_	-	*	*	-
PAKISTAN	-	-	-	*	-
PERU	-	-	-	*	-
RUSSIA	-	-	-	*	-
SIERRA LEONE	-	-	-	*	-
SOMALIA	-	-	-	*	-
SRI LANKA	_	-	-	*	-
VENEZUELA	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	*	-	22	146	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

EOIR Table 1.1-6. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal By Nationality and Application Decision, Atlanta Immigration Court, FY 2004^a

	Completed Cases						
Nationality Name	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted		
ALBANIA	-	-	*	*	-		
CHINA	-	-	*	42	-		
COLOMBIA	-	-	-	*	-		
CONGO	-	-	-	*	-		
CUBA	-	-	-	-	*		
GUATEMALA	-	-	-	*	-		
GUYANA	-	-	-	*	-		
HAITI	*	-	*	28	-		
IVORY COAST (COTE D'IVOIRE)	-	-	-	*	-		
JAMAICA	-	-	-	*	-		
NIGERIA	*	-	-	*	-		
PERU	-	-	*	*	-		
SOMALIA	-	-	-	*	-		
SRI LANKA	-	-	-	*	-		
SUDAN	-	-	-	*			
TOTAL	*	-	10	90	*		

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

EOIR Table 1.1-7. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal by Immigration Judge and Application Decision, Atlanta Immigration Court, FY 2000 - FY 2003^a

	Completed Cases						
Immigration Judge	Asylum	CAT Withholding or	Application for Asylum or	Ordered	Adjustment of Status		
	Granted	Deferral Granted	CAT Relief Withdrawn	Removedn	Granted		
JUDGE 1	2	-	2	1	-		
JUDGE 2	4	-	1	6	-		
JUDGE 3	9	1	7	146	-		
JUDGE 4	1	-	-	1	_		
JUDGE 5	-	-	-	1	-		
JUDGE 6	1	-	-	4	-		
JUDGE 7	3	-	-	12	-		
JUDGE 8	1	-	-	_	-		
JUDGE 9	-	-	-	5	-		
JUDGE 10	5	-	4	67	2		
JUDGE 11	-	_	-	1	-		
JUDGE 12	11	-	39	272	-		
TOTAL	37	1	53	516	2		

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

EOIR Table 1.2-1. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal by Nationality and Application Decision, Chicago Immigration Court, Pre- FY 2000^a

Source: Immigration Court

		Completed Cases							
			Application for Asylum						
Nationality Name	Asylum	CAT Withholding or	or	Ordered	Adjustment of Status				
	Granted	Deferral Granted	CAT Relief Withdrawn	Removedn	Granted				
HONDURAS	_	-	-	*	-				
MEXICO	-	-	-	*	-				
NIGERIA	-	-	-	*	-				
POLAND	-	-	-	*	-				
YUGOSLAVIA	_	-	-	*	-				
TOTAL	-	-	-	*	-				

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

EOIR Table 1.2 - 2 Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal by Nationality and Application Decision, Chicago Immigration Court, FY 2000^a

Source: Immigration Court

		Completed Cases						
Nationality Name	Asylum	CAT Withholding or	Application for Asylum or	Ordered	Adjustment of Status			
	Granted	Deferral Granted	CAT Relief Withdrawn	Removedn	Granted			
ALBANIA	-	-	-	*	-			
BURMA (MYANMAR)	-	-	*	*	-			
CHINA	-	-	-	*	-			
GHANA	-	-	-	*	-			
HONDURAS	-	-	*	ı	-			
INDONESIA	-	-	-	*	-			
IRAN	*	-	-	1	-			
MEXICO	-	-	-	*	-			
ROMANIA	-	-	-	*	-			
SRI LANKA	-	-	-	*	-			
UKRAINE	-	-	-	*	-			
YUGOSLAVIA	-	-	-	*	-			
TOTAL	*	-	*	19	-			

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of

these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS

Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

EOIR Table 1.2 - 3. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal by Nationality and Application Decision, Chicago Immigration Court, FY 2001^a

	Completed Cases						
Nationality Name	Asylum	CAT Withholding or	Application for Asylum or	Ordered	Adjustment of Status		
	Granted	Deferral Granted	CAT Relief Withdrawn	Removedn	Granted		
ALBANIA	6	1	-	*	-		
ARGENTINA	*	1	-	-	-		
ARMENIA	*	1	-	-	-		
BRAZIL	_	1	-	*	-		
BULGARIA	-	1	-	*	-		
CHINA	7	1	*	25	-		
COLOMBIA	*	-	-	*	-		
CUBA	_	1	-	*	-		
CZECH REPUBLIC	_	1	*	*	-		
ECUADOR	_	1	-	*	-		
ERITREA	*	1	-	-	-		
GUATEMALA	-	1	-	*	-		
GUYANA	-	1	-	*	-		
INDIA	_	1	-	*	-		
IRAN	*	1	-	-	-		
IRAQ	*	1	-	*	-		
LEBANON	*	1	-	*	-		
NIGERIA	*	1	-	*	-		
PAKISTAN	*	1	*	12	-		
POLAND	_	1	-	*	-		
SOMALIA	*	1	-	*	-		
SRI LANKA	_	1	-	16	-		
UKRAINE	-	1	-	15	-		
UNITED KINGDOM	_	-	-	*	-		
YUGOSLAVIA	*	-	-	_	-		
TOTAL	33	-	*	96	-		

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

EOIR Table 1.2 - 4. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal by Nationality and Application Decision, Chicago Immigration Court, FY 2002^a

	Completed Cases							
Nationality Name	Asylum	CAT Withholding or	Application for Asylum or	Ordered	Adjustment of Status			
	Granted	Deferral Granted	CAT Relief Withdrawn	Removedn	Granted			
ALBANIA	*	-	-	*	-			
BOLIVIA	-	-	-	*	-			
BULGARIA	-	1	*	6	-			
CAMEROON	*	-	-	1	-			
CHINA	*	1	*	34	*			
COLOMBIA	-	1	-	*	-			
CONGO	*	-	-	1	-			
ECUADOR	-	1	-	*	-			
EL SALVADOR	-	1	-	*	-			
ERITREA	*	-	-	1	-			
ETHIOPIA	*	-	-	*	-			
GUATEMALA	*	1	-	*	-			
GUINEA	-	-	-	*	-			
HAITI	-	1	-	*	-			
INDIA	-	1	-	22	-			
IRAQ	11	-	-	1	-			
JAMAICA	-	1	-	*	-			
JORDAN	-	1	-	*	-			
LEBANON	-	-	-	*	-			
MONGOLIA	-	1	-	*	-			
NIGERIA	-	-	-	*	-			
PAKISTAN	*	-	-	*	-			
POLAND	-	-	-	*	-			
PORTUGAL	-	=	-	ı	*			
ROMANIA	-	-	-	*	-			
RUSSIA	-	-	-	*	-			

SIERRA LEONE	*	-	-	-	-
SOMALIA	6	-	-	*	-
SRI LANKA	ı	-	-	38	-
SUDAN	ı	-	-	*	-
UGANDA	ı	1	-	*	-
UKRAINE	ı	1	-	9	-
UNITED KINGDOM	*	-	-	-	-
YUGOSLAVIA	-	1	-	*	-
TOTAL	33	-	*	154	*

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

EOIR Table 1.2-5. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal by Nationality and Application Decision, Chicago Immigration Court, FY 2003^a

	Completed Cases					
		CAT Withholding	Application for Asylum			
Nationality Name	Asylum	or	or	Ordered	Adjustment of Status	
	Granted	Deferral Granted	CAT Relief Withdrawn	Removedn	Granted	
ALBANIA	*	-	-	9	*	
ANGOLA	-	-	-	*	-	
BAHAMAS	-	-	*	-	-	
BANGLADESH	-	-	-	*	-	
BULGARIA	-	-	-	*	-	
CAMEROON	-	-	-	*	-	
CHINA	*	-	*	42	ı	
COLOMBIA	*	-	-	9	-	
CUBA	-	-	*	*	*	
ECUADOR	-	-	-	*	-	
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	1	
ERITREA	*	-	-	*	-	
ETHIOPIA	*	-	-	-	1	
GHANA	-	-	-	*	1	
GUATEMALA	*	-	-	*	1	
HAITI	-	-	-	*	1	
HONDURAS	-	-	-	*	ı	
INDIA	-	-	-	*	1	
IRAQ	*	-	*	*	1	
JORDAN	-	-	*	*	ı	
KENYA	*	-	-	=	1	
LEBANON	*	-	-	-	-	
LIBERIA	-	*	-	*	-	
MACEDONIA	-	-	-	*	-	
MAURITANIA	_	-	-	*	-	

MEXICO	-	-	*	*	-
NIGERIA	*	-	-	*	-
PAKISTAN	1	-	-	9	-
POLAND	1	-	-	*	-
RUSSIA	ı	-	ı	*	-
SOMALIA	*	-	-	1	-
SRI LANKA	-	*	-	14	-
TOGO	ı	-	ı	*	-
UGANDA	-	-	-	*	-
UKRAINE	ı	-	ı	8	1
YUGOSLAVIA	*	-	*	6	-
TOTAL	28	*	8	154	*

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

EOIR Table 1.2-6. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal by Nationality and Application Decision, Chicago Immigration Court, FY 2004^a

	Completed Cases						
Nationality Name	Asylum	CAT Withholding or	Application for Asylum or	Ordered	Adjustment of Status		
_	Granted	Deferral Granted	CAT Relief Withdrawn	Removedn	Granted		
ALBANIA	*	-	-	*	-		
CAMEROON	-	-	-	*	-		
CHINA	*	-	-	26	-		
COLOMBIA	-	-	-	*	-		
CUBA	-	-	-	*	8		
ERITREA	*	-	-	ı	-		
GEORGIA	-	-	-	*	-		
GHANA	-	-	-	*	-		
HAITI	*	-	-	*	-		
INDIA	-	-	-	*	-		
IRAQ	-	-	-	*	-		
LEBANON	-	-	-	*	-		
MACEDONIA	-	-	-	*	-		
NIGERIA	-	-	*	*	-		
PAKISTAN	-	-	-	*	-		
POLAND	-	-	*	*	-		
SIERRA LEONE	-	-	-	*	-		
SRI LANKA	-	-	-	*	-		
TOGO	-	-	-	*	-		
UKRAINE	-	-	-	*	-		
TOTAL	8	-	*	66	8		

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not

mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of

these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

EOIR Table 1.2-7. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal By Immigration Judge and Application Decision, Chicago Immigration Court, FY 2000 - FY 2003^a

	Completed Cases					
		CAT Withholding	·		Adjustment of	
Immigration Judge	Asylum	or	Application for Asylum or	Ordered	Status	
	Granted	Deferral Granted	CAT Relief Withdrawn	Removedn	Granted	
JUDGE 1	18	1	4	79	8	
JUDGE 2	23	-	3	86	-	
JUDGE 3	6	-	2	53	2	
JUDGE 4	11	-	-	26	-	
JUDGE 5	13	-	5	82	1	
JUDGE 6	4	-	4	16	-	
JUDGE 7	12	1	1	75	1	
JUDGE 8	16	-	1	75	1	
JUDGE 9	-	-	-	2	-	
TOTAL	103	2	19	494	13	

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of

these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

EOIR Table 1.3-1. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal By Nationality and Application Decision, Elizabeth & Queens Immigration Courts, Pre-FY 2000^a

		Completed Cases							
		CAT Withholding	Application for Asylum		Adjustment of				
Nationality Name	Asylum	or	or	Ordered	Status				
	Granted	Deferral Granted	CAT Relief Withdrawn	Removedn	Granted				
CHINA	-	-	-	*	-				
PERU	-	-	-	*	-				
TOTAL	-	-	-	*	-				

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

EOIR Table 1.3-2. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal By Nationality and Application Decision, Elizabeth & Queens Immigration Courts, FY 2000^a

	Completed Cases						
Nationality Name	Asylum	CAT Withholding or	Application for Asylum or	Ordered	Adjustment of Status		
	Granted	Deferral Granted	CAT Relief Withdrawn	Removedn	Granted		
AFGHANISTAN	6	-	-	1	-		
ALBANIA	8	-	*	11	-		
ALGERIA	*	-	-	ı	-		
ANGOLA	-	-	-	*	-		
BANGLADESH	-	-	*	10	-		
BRAZIL	-	-	*	*	-		
BULGARIA	-	-	*	*	-		
BURKINA FASO	-	-	-	*	-		
BURUNDI	*	-	-	ı	-		
CAMEROON	*	-	-	1	-		
CHINA	13	-	*	17	-		
COLOMBIA	-	-	*	8	-		
CONGO	9	-	*	1	-		
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	-	-	-	*	-		
ECUADOR	-	-	*	*	-		
EGYPT	-	-	*	*	-		
ETHIOPIA	*	-	-	ı	-		
GAMBIA	*	-	*	*	-		
GHANA	6	-	*	25	-		
GUINEA	*	-	-	*	-		
GUYANA	-	-	=	*	-		
HAITI	-	-	*	*	-		
INDIA	-	-	-	*	-		
IRAN	*	-	-	ı	-		
IRAQ	*	-	-	-	-		
ISRAEL	-	-		*	-		

IVORY COAST (COTE D'IVOIRE)	*	-	-	*	_
JAMAICA	1	-	-	*	-
KENYA	*	-	-	-	-
LIBERIA	*	-	*	*	-
MEXICO	1	-	-	*	-
MAURITANIA	1	-	*	i	-
NIGERIA	*	-	*	14	-
PAKISTAN	*	-	*	7	-
ROMANIA	*	-	-	*	-
RUSSIA	-	-	1	*	-
RWANDA	*	-	-	*	-
SERBIA MONTENEGRO	1	-	-	*	-
SIERRA LEONE	*	-	1	*	-
SOMALIA	16	-	*	İ	-
SRI LANKA	72	-	30	24	-
SUDAN	*	-	1	*	-
SYRIA	-	-	-	*	-
TOGO	-	-	-	*	-
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	_	_	_	*	_
TURKEY	*		_	_	-
UGANDA	=	-	*	*	-
UKRAINE	-	-	-	*	-
UZBEKISTAN	-	-	-	*	-
YEMEN	*	-	-	-	-
YUGOSLAVIA	*	-	*	*	-
ZAIRE	*	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	176	-	58	171	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not

mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

EOIR Table 1.3-3. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal By Nationality and Application Decision, Elizabeth & Queens Immigration Courts, FY 2001^a

		Completed Cases					
		CAT Withholding	Application for Asylum		Adjustment of		
Nationality Name	Asylum	or	or	Ordered	Status		
	Granted	Deferral Granted	CAT Relief Withdrawn	Removedn	Granted		
AFGHANISTAN	9	*	-	-	<u> </u>		
ALBANIA	6	-	*	22	-		
ALGERIA		-	-	*			
ANGOLA	*	-	*	*	-		
ARGENTINA	-	-	*	-	-		
BANGLADESH	*	-	*	17	-		
BARBADOS	-	-	ı	*	-		
BELGIUM	-	*	*	-	-		
BELARUS	*	-	-	*	-		
BOSNIA-HERZEGOVINA	-	-	*	*	-		
BRAZIL	-	-	-	*	-		
BURKINA FASO	-	-	*	-	-		
BURUNDI	*	-	-	-	-		
CAMEROON	*	-	-	*	-		
CHINA	15	-	-	33	-		
COLOMBIA	*	-	11	36	-		
CONGO	13	*	-	*	-		
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	-	-	*	*	-		
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	-	-	*	8	-		
ECUADOR	-	-	*	8	-		
EGYPT	6	-	*	*	-		
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-		
ERITREA	*	-	-	*	-		
ETHIOPIA	10	-	*	*	-		
FINLAND	-	-	-	*	-		

FRANCE	*	-	_	_	-
GERMANY	_	-	*	-	-
GAMBIA	*	-	-	*	-
GHANA	*	-	7	33	-
GUINEA	*	-	-	11	-
GUYANA	-	-	-	*	-
HAITI	*	-	*	10	-
INDIA	*	-	*	21	-
INDONESIA	*	-	-	*	-
IRAN	7	-	*	*	-
IRAQ	11	-	-	-	-
ISRAEL	-	-	-	*	-
IVORY COAST (COTE D'IVOIRE)	*	-	_	*	-
JAMAICA	_	-	*	*	-
KENYA	*	-	-	*	-
LEBANON	-	-	*	*	-
LIBERIA	*	-	-	-	-
LIBYA	*	-	-	*	-
MACEDONIA	-	-	*	*	-
MALI	*	-	-	*	-
MAURITANIA	*	-	-	*	-
MOROCCO	*	-	-	-	-
NEPAL	-	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	14	-	*	27	-
NO NATIONALITY	-	-	-	*	-
PAKISTAN	*	-	*	8	-
PALESTINE	-	-	-	*	-
ROMANIA	*	-	*	*	-
RUSSIA	*	-	-	-	-
RWANDA	*	-	-	*	_
SENEGAL	-	-	*	6	-
SERBIA MONTENEGRO	*	-	*	12	-
SIERRA LEONE	17	*	*	7	-
SLOVAK REPUBLIC	*	-	-	-	-

SOMALIA	8	-	*	-	-
SPAIN	-	-	-	*	-
SRI LANKA	81	*	34	15	-
SUDAN	*	*	*	6	-
SYRIA	*	-	-	-	-
TANZANIA	-	-	*	ı	-
TOGO	*	-	•	*	-
TURKEY	*	-	*	*	-
UGANDA	*	-	1	ı	-
UKRAINE	*	-	•	*	-
UZBEKISTAN	-	-	*	*	-
YEMEN	-	-	1	*	-
YUGOSLAVIA	*	-	*	6	-
ZAIRE	*	-	-	*	-
ZIMBABWE	-	-	-	*	-
TOTAL	267	7	105	350	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

EOIR Table 1.3-4. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal By Nationality and Application Decision, Elizabeth & Queens Immigration Courts, FY 2002^a

	Completed Cases					
		CAT Withholding	Application for Asylum		Adjustment of	
Nationality Name	Asylum	or	or	Ordered	Status	
	Granted	Deferral Granted	CAT Relief Withdrawn	Removedn	Granted	
AFGHANISTAN	*	-	-	*	-	
ALBANIA	6	-	*	21	-	
ALGERIA	*	-	*	*	-	
ANGOLA	-	-	-	*	-	
ARGENTINA	-	-	*	*	-	
BANGLADESH	-	-	*	13	-	
BELARUS	*	-	-	-	-	
BOLIVIA	-	-	*	*	-	
BRAZIL	-	-	-	*	-	
BURKINA FASO	*	-	-	*	-	
BURUNDI	-	-	1	*	-	
CAMBODIA	-	-	-	*	-	
CAMEROON	*	-	-	*	-	
CHAD	-	-	-	*	-	
CHINA	23	-	*	36	-	
COLOMBIA	*	*	17	99	ı	
CONGO	9	-	*	7	-	
DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF						
CONGO	*	-	-	*	-	
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	-	-	*	14	-	
ECUADOR	-	-	*	11	-	
EGYPT	-	-	-	*	-	
EL SALVADOR	-	-	-	*	-	
ERITREA	*	-	-	*	-	
ETHIOPIA	9	-	*	*	-	

GAMBIA	_	-	_	*	-
GEORGIA	-	-	*	*	-
GHANA	-	-	*	9	-
GRENADA	-	-	*	-	-
GUATEMALA	-	-	-	*	-
GUINEA	*	-	-	8	-
GUYANA	-	-	*	9	-
HAITI	*	-	*	15	-
INDIA	-	-	*	15	-
INDONESIA	*	-	-	*	-
IRAN	*	-	*	*	-
IRAQ	*	-	-	*	-
IVORY COAST (COTE D'IVOIRE)	*	-	-	*	-
JAMAICA	-	-	*	*	-
KENYA	-	-	-	*	-
LEBANON	-	-	*	*	-
LIBERIA	*	-	-	*	-
MALI	-	-	*	*	-
MAURITANIA	*	-	-	1	-
MAURITIUS	-	-	-	*	-
MOROCCO	-	-	-	*	-
NEPAL	-	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	6	*	*	47	-
PAKISTAN	-	-	*	7	-
PERU	-	-	-	*	-
PHILIPPINES	-	-	-	*	-
POLAND	-	-	-	*	-
ROMANIA	-	-	8	*	-
RUSSIA	-	-	*	*	-
RWANDA	*	-	-	-	-
SENEGAL	-	-	*	*	-
SERBIA MONTENEGRO	*	-	-	-	-
SIERRA LEONE	*	-	*	15	-
SLOVAK REPUBLIC	*	-	-	-	-
SOMALIA	*	-	-	*	-

SRI LANKA	44	*	31	9	-
SUDAN	*	-	-	*	-
TANZANIA	ı	-	1	*	-
TOGO	ı	-	-	*	-
TURKEY	ı	-	*	*	-
UGANDA	*	-	1	*	-
UKRAINE	*	-	*	7	-
UNITED KINGDOM	ı	-		*	-
UZBEKISTAN	ı	-	*	*	-
YEMEN	ı	-	*	*	-
YUGOSLAVIA	*	-		*	-
ZIMBABWE	*	_	-	*	-
TOTAL	154	*	96	442	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a

few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

EOIR Table 1.3-5. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal By Nationality and Application Decision, Elizabeth & Queens Immigration Courts, FY 2003^a

	Completed Cases								
Nationality Name	Asylum Granted	CAT Withholding or Deferral Granted	Application for Asylum or CAT Relief Withdrawn	Ordered Removed ⁿ	Adjustment of Status Granted				
ALBANIA	*	-	-	12	-				
ARGENTINA	*	-	-	*	-				
ARMENIA	*	-	-	*	-				
BANGLADESH	*	-	-	-	-				
BOLIVIA	-	-	-	*	-				
BRAZIL	-	-	-	*	-				
BURUNDI	-	-	*	-	-				
BYELORUSSIA (BELARUS)	*	-	-	*	-				
CAMEROON	-	-	*	*	-				
CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC	-	-	*	*	-				
CHINA	9	-	*	50	-				
COLOMBIA	*	-	18	46	-				
CONGO	*	-	*	*	-				
DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF CONGO	*	-	_	-	-				
CZECH REPUBLIC	-	-	-	*	-				
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	-	-	-	*	-				
EGYPT	-	1	-	*	-				
EL SALVADOR	-	-	*	*	-				
ERITREA	*	-	-	*	-				
ETHIOPIA	*	-	-	*	-				
GAMBIA	-	-	-	*	-				
GEORGIA	*	-	*	13	-				
GHANA	-	-	*	10	-				

GUINEA	*	-	*	10	-
GUYANA	*	-	9	42	-
HAITI	*	-	9	25	-
HONDURAS	-	-	*	*	-
INDIA	*	-	*	14	-
INDONESIA	-	-	-	*	-
ISRAEL	-	-	*	*	-
IVORY COAST (COTE					
D'IVOIRE)	-	-	-	10	-
JAMAICA	-	-	-	*	-
KENYA	-	-	-	*	-
LEBANON	*	-	*	*	-
LIBERIA	*	-	*	*	-
MACEDONIA	-	-	-	*	-
MALI	-	-	*	*	-
MAURITANIA	*	-	-	*	-
MOROCCO	-	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	*	-	*	26	-
PAKISTAN	-	-	-	*	-
PERU	-	-	-	*	-
POLAND	-	-	*	1	-
PORTUGAL	-	-	-	*	-
ROMANIA	*	-	*	*	-
RUSSIA	-	-	*	6	-
RWANDA	*	-	-	-	-
SENEGAL	-	-	-	*	-
SIERRA LEONE	-	-	*	*	-
SRI LANKA	9	-	8	6	-
SUDAN	*	-	-	*	-
TANZANIA	-	-	*	-	-
TOGO	*	-	-	-	-
TURKEY	*	-	*	9	-
UGANDA	-	-	-	*	-
UKRAINE	*	-	*	9	-
UNITED KINGDOM	-	-	*	*	-

UZBEKISTAN	*	-	-	*	-
VENEZUELA	1	-	-	*	-
VIETNAM	*	-	-	-	-
YUGOSLAVIA	-	-	-	*	-
ZIMBABWE	*	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	63	-	91	359	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003.

EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

EOIR Table 1.3-6. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal By Nationality and Application Decision, Elizabeth & Queens Immigration Courts, FY 2004^a

	Completed Cases						
			Application for Asylum				
Nationality Name	Asylum	CAT Withholding or	or	Ordered	Adjustment of Status		
	Granted	Deferral Granted	CAT Relief Withdrawn	Removedn	Granted		
AFGHANISTAN	*	-	1	_	-		
ALBANIA	*	*	1	*	-		
ARMENIA	-	-	-	*	-		
BURKINA FASO	-	-	-	*	-		
BURMA (MYANMAR)	*	-	1	-	-		
BURUNDI	-	-	-	*	-		
CAMEROON	*	-	-	*	-		
CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC	-	-	1	*	-		
CHAD	_	-	-	*	-		
CHINA	6	-	*	17	-		
COLOMBIA	*	-	*	*	-		
DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF							
CONGO	*	-	-	-	-		
EGYPT	-	-	-	*	-		
ETHIOPIA	-	-	*	*	-		
GAMBIA	-	-	-	*	-		
GEORGIA	-	-	*	*	-		
GHANA	_	*	-	*	-		
GUINEA	*	-	-	*	-		
GUYANA	*	-	1	*	-		
HAITI	*	-	9	23	-		
INDONESIA	-	-	-	*	-		
IVORY COAST (COTE D'IVOIRE)	*	-	-	*	-		
JAMAICA	-	-	*	*	-		
JORDAN	-	-	-	*	-		

MAURITANIA	_	-	-	*	-
NEPAL	_	-	-	*	-
NIGERIA	*	-	•	*	-
ROMANIA	*	-	-	-	-
SENEGAL	-	-	-	*	-
SIERRA LEONE	-	-	•	*	-
SRI LANKA	*	-	*	*	-
SUDAN	*	-	-	-	-
TUNISIA	*	-	•	-	-
TURKEY	*	-		-	-
UGANDA	*	-	-	-	-
VIETNAM	-	-	*	-	-
TOTAL	29	*	16	83	-

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case. The fiscal year reflected in this chart indicates the year EOIR completed the case.

ⁿRemoval Orders include the decisions of: Deportation Order, Exclusion Order, Removal Order, Voluntary Departure Orders, and DHS Expedited Removal Orders affirmed by an Immigration Judge.

EOIR Table 1.3-7. Outcome of Cases for Asylum Seekers Subject to Expedited Removal By Immigration Judge and Application Decision, Elizabeth & Queens Immigration Courts, FY 2000 - FY 2003^a

	Completed Cases					
					Adjustment of	
Immigration Judge	Asylum	CAT Withholding or	Application for Asylum or	Ordered	Status	
	Granted	Deferral Granted	CAT Relief Withdrawn	Removedn	Granted	
JUDGE 1	1	-	-	-	-	
JUDGE 2	6	1	1	4	-	
JUDGE 3	1	-	-	1	-	
JUDGE 4	96	-	66	75	-	
JUDGE 5	2	1	-	_	-	
JUDGE 6	37	2	8	45	-	
JUDGE 7	2	-	1	8	-	
JUDGE 8	5	2	-	-	-	
JUDGE 9	63	-	88	286	-	
JUDGE 10	195	1	130	399	-	
JUDGE 11	3	-	1	4	-	
JUDGE 12	1	_	2	_	-	
JUDGE 13	1	1	1	13	-	
JUDGE 14	276	4	68	572	-	
TOTAL	689	12	366	1407	-	

Methodology: DHS provided EOIR with a file of 40,694 credible fear receipts for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30,2003. EOIR manipulated the file to eliminate duplicate records, and was left with a file of 40,206 records. Of these, EOIR was able to match 36,799 in its ANSIR system (91.5%). The categories shown above are the primary outcomes of these matched cases, but there were a few cases that did not fit into these categories; e.g., cases granted some other form of relief. Note also that these categories are not mutually exclusive; the same case may be counted in more than one category. For example an alien who withdraws an application for relief may subsequently file for another form of relief, or may be ordered removed by the Immigration Judge. For this reason some of these cases may be counted more than once in this table.

^aAlthough the source data from DHS was based on receipts, this data reflects EOIR's completion of the case.