Subject: FR Notice Comments - 72FR23832: Draft Five-Year Plan

Date: Wednesday, June 6, 2007 3:21 PM

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by () on Wednesday, June 6, 2007 at 15:21:16

Comment date: 6/06/06

Prefix: Mr.

FirstName: Stanley

LastName: Prouser

Degree: Juris Doctor

onBehalfOf: no

Title:

Department:

Company:

Country: USA

Phone:

EMail:

Comments: I am deeply concerned and disappointed learning that the respected Interagency Coordinating Committee for the Validation of Alternative Methods (ICCVAM), the United States agency overseeing the development of non-animal test methods, has approved just one non-animal test method, while its European counter, ECVAM, has to its credit developed dozens. Are our European counterparts that much smarter or better organized for obtaining results? Am I correct to guess that a comparison of salaries between the European organization and ours, the ICCVAM is higher paid? If such a postulate is correct the result would become even more shameful based on the results obtained?

I would appreciate the answer to my inquiry so that I formulate a decision as to whether I need to contact my

Congressmen and Senators with my concerns.	
	_