
GREAT LAKES RESTORATION CROSSCUT

REPORT TO CONGRESS

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

MARCH 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Map of Great Lakes Basin	ii
Executive Summary	iii
Introduction	1
Federal Data	3
State Data	22
Conclusion	28



The Great Lakes Basin

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report represents an accounting of Federal, and to the extent available, State, funding for Great Lakes restoration activities. This report is provided to Congress in response to Section 744 of Public Law 110-161, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008 (the "Omnibus").

The FY 2009 President's Budget continues the Administration's strong support for Great Lakes environmental restoration by requesting over \$550 million for high priority programs and projects. This substantial funding, along with the President's 2004 signing of Executive Order 13340, demonstrates the Administration's commitment to the Great Lakes region.

This crosscut budget provides information on Federal funding for Great Lakes restoration work in the following formats:

- Total Federal funding by agency, which includes national and Great Lakes programs, as well as Great Lakes projects, FYs 2004 enacted-2009 President's Budget;
- Agency funding—national programs, FYs 2004 enacted-2009 President's Budget;
- Agency funding—Great Lakes programs, FYs 2004 enacted-2009 President's Budget; and
- Agency funding—Great Lakes projects, FYs 2004 enacted-2009 President's Budget.

As directed in the 2008 Omnibus, OMB requested Great Lakes restoration funding data from the Great Lakes States--New York, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Michigan, Indiana, Illinois, Wisconsin, and Minnesota. By February 15, 2008, OMB had received data only from Illinois, Michigan, and Indiana.

This report includes the data (or links to the data) as submitted by these States. OMB cannot verify the accuracy or completeness of the data.

The Administration looks forward to working with Congress to implement the President's FY 2009 request for the Great Lakes basin and continue these important efforts to protect human health and the environment in the region.

INTRODUCTION

This report represents an accounting of Federal, and to the extent available, State, funding for Great Lakes restoration activities. This report is provided to Congress in response to Section 744 of Public Law 110-161, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008 (the "Omnibus").

The Great Lakes comprise the largest surface freshwater system in the world and provide drinking water, food, recreation, and transportation to more than 35 million Americans. In recognition of the Great Lakes' significance, President Bush signed Executive Order (E.O.) 13340 in 2004 that established a Federal Interagency Task Force (IATF) and promoted collaboration between Federal, State, local, and tribal governments to more effectively address environmental issues in the region. The IATF brings together Federal department and cabinet officers to provide strategic direction on Great Lakes policy.

Since the signing of E.O. 13340, Federal agencies have worked closely with State, Local, and tribal governments to collaborate on activities and shared goals in the Great Lakes region. This has resulted in an unprecedented and ongoing dialogue between Federal agencies and their non-Federal partners, as well as important accomplishments that will help protect and restore the Great Lakes ecosystem. These accomplishments include:

- Remediation of over 1.5 million pounds of contaminated sediment at six Areas of Concern (AOC) through EPA's Great Lakes Legacy Act. This work, funded by nearly \$97 million in Federal and non-Federal contributions, includes cleanup of over 25,000 pounds of PCBs, over one million pounds of chromium, approximately 400 pounds of mercury, and 171 pounds of lead.
- Delisting of the Oswego River (NY) AOC in 2007, the first U.S. AOC delisting since the U.S. and Canada jointly established AOCs in 1987.
- Restoration, protection, or improvement of approximately 65,000 acres of wetlands by IATF agencies, in support of the IATF's 100,000 acre near-term goal.
- Establishment by the IATF of the Federal Aquatic Invasive Species Rapid Response Subcommittee to coordinate Federal invasive species efforts. The Subcommittee has been working with partners to develop a Communication Protocol that will assist in coordinating efforts to ensure appropriate resources and expertise are available when needed.

- Listing of Asian Silver Carp, Largescale Silver Carp, and Black Carp as injurious under the Lacey Act.
- Completion of the electric carp barrier in Illinois to prevent the spread of these harmful species into the Great Lakes.

As stated in E.O. 13340, the Great Lakes are a national treasure, and protecting them requires the combined efforts of Federal, State, local, and tribal governments. It is only through systemic coordination at all levels of government that we will be able to continue to make progress in restoring the Great Lakes ecosystem.

FEDERAL DATA

This crosscut budget provides information on Federal funding for Great Lakes restoration work in the following formats:

- Total Federal funding by agency, which includes national and Great Lakes programs, as well as Great Lakes projects, FYs 2004 enacted-2009 President's Budget;
- Agency funding—national programs, FYs 2004 enacted-2009 President's Budget;
- Agency funding—Great Lakes programs, FYs 2004 enacted-2009 President's Budget; and
- Agency funding—Great Lakes projects, FYs 2004 enacted-2009 President's Budget.

This crosscut excludes Federal programs and projects that have never received at least \$500,000 in a fiscal year during the reporting period.

The FY 2009 President's Budget requests over \$550 million for Federal Great Lakes ecosystem restoration activities and programs. This proposal includes funding to:

- Provide \$35 million for EPA's Great Lakes Legacy Act projects with non-Federal partners to clean up over 350,000 cubic yards of contaminated sediment, removing toxic substances from waterways and protecting habitat and human health;
- Continue the Army Corps of Engineers' work on the McCook and Thornton Reservoirs in Illinois. This flood damage reduction project will limit backflows of raw sewage and other stormwater related pollutants from the city of Chicago into nearby water bodies and Lake Michigan; and
- Provide an estimated \$15 million increase in financial and technical assistance to farmers to help them implement conservation practices through USDA's Environmental Quality Incentives Program.

While the FY 2009 President's Budget proposed funding level is lower than that enacted in previous years, much of the decrease can be attributed to a few specific items. For example:

- The FY 2009 President's Budget request for the Great Lakes basin's share of EPA's Clean Water State Revolving Fund (SRF) capitalization funding is an estimated \$15 million less than the FY 2008 enacted level. However, the FY 2009 request fully supports the President's commitment to provide \$6.8 billion in total capitalization nationally for the Clean Water SRF between FYs 2004-2011 to help communities finance water infrastructure.
 - Due to the revolving nature of the program, funds available to communities through the Clean Water SRF continue to increase. As of early 2008, \$26 billion in Federal capitalization has resulted in \$65 billion in available funds nationally since the program's inception.
- The FY 2009 President's Budget for the Army Corps of Engineers does not fund earmarks for construction projects. Funds available for construction are allocated to give priority to the highest-performing ongoing projects in the Corps' main mission areas (commercial navigation, flood control, and aquatic ecosystem restoration). Environmental infrastructure projects such as wastewater treatment projects are outside the Corps' main mission areas and are not included in the President's Budget, providing savings of \$35 million in FY 2009.

It is important to note that for national programs, in many cases the numbers shown for FY 2009 are extrapolated either from past funding provided to the region, or by using the percentage of land in the Great Lakes basin relative to the rest of the State. The funding ultimately provided to the Great Lakes basin through national programs may differ from these estimates.

Table 1--Federal Agency Totals

(Budget authority in millions)	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Enacted	FY 2008 Enacted	FY 2009 Pres. Bud.
Department of Agriculture	228	221	231	198	202	189
Department of the Army	97	116	111	136	150	95
Department of Commerce	38	35	47	42	43	37
Department of Health and Human Services	1	1	1	1	1	1
Department of Homeland Security	5	8	3	4	5	4
Department of the Interior	52	55	57	63	62	63
Department of State	13	14	16	15	18	14
Department of Transportation	3	4	4	4	4	4
Environmental Protection Agency	236	223	196	201	158	144
Total, All Agencies	674	677	666	664	643	551

Table 2--Department of Agriculture, National Programs

(Budget authority in millions)	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Enacted	FY 2008 Enacted	FY 2009 Pres. Bud.
Natural Resources Conservation Service						
Conservation Operations	38	38	33	17	20	18
Conservation Security Program	3	10	12	11	14	13
Environmental Quality Incentives Program *	38	45	44	31	31	46
Farm and Ranch Lands Protection Program	4	6	3	2	3	3
Grasslands Reserve Program	1	0	0	2	0	0
Resource Conservation and Development	1	1	1	2	2	0
Watershed and Flood Prevention Operations	4	1	2	1	1	0
Wetlands Reserve Program **	19	14	7	13	24	22
Farm Service Agency						
Conservation Reserve Program	64	66	68	68	71	68
Rural Development						
Water / Wastewater Loans and Grants	34	25	27	38	20	10
Forest Service						
Capital Improvement and Maintenance	6	5	8	3	4	3
Environmental Compliance & Pollution Abatement	0	0	1	0	0	0
Forest Legacy	3	2	8	6	9	3
Forest Stewardship	6	0	4	0	0	0
Knutsen-Vandenberg Fund	1	1	2	2	1	1
Land and Water Conservation Fund	1	2	6	0	0	0
National Forest System	1	1	2	1	1	1
Roads and Trails Fund	1	1	1	1	0	1
Total, Department of Agriculture	225	218	228	198	201	189

Notes:

* FY 2009 Environmental Quality Incentives Program estimate includes \$15 million to support the Administration's Farm Bill proposal.

** FY 2009 Wetlands Reserve Program estimate includes \$13 million to support the Administration's Farm Bill proposal.

Table 3--Department of Agriculture, Great Lakes Programs

(Budget authority in millions)	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Enacted	FY 2008 Enacted	FY 2009 Pres. Bud.
Natural Resources Conservation Service						
Great Lakes Basin Program	3	3	3	0	1	0
Total, Department of Agriculture	3	3	3	0	1	0

Table 4--Department of the Army, National Programs

(Budget authority in millions)	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Enacted	FY 2008 Enacted	FY 2009 Pres. Bud.
Army Corps of Engineers						
Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration *	4	2	4	2	1	0
Beneficial Use of Dredged Material **	0	0	0	1	0	0
Environmental Dredging	1	1	0	1	1	0
Environmental Infrastructure ***	8	15	19	21	35	0
Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program	41	46	36	31	42	32
Planning Assistance to States **	1	1	0	0	1	0
Restoration of Environmental Quality **	2	1	2	0	1	0
Tribal Partnerships	0	1	0	0	0	0
Wetlands Permitting	11	11	11	12	12	12
Total, Department of the Army	67	77	73	70	92	45

Notes:

* Amount reflects only those projects identified in FY 2009 Budget (~\$100k).

** Great Lakes funding unknown at this time; specific projects not identified in 2009 Budget.

*** Congressionally-directed funding; the FY 2009 President's Budget does not request funding for these projects.

Table 5--Department of the Army, Great Lakes Programs

(Budget authority in millions)	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Enacted	FY 2008 Enacted	FY 2009 Pres. Bud.
Army Corps of Engineers						
Great Lakes Fishery and Ecosystem Restoration	1	1	0	0	1	0
Great Lakes Habitat Initiative	0	0	1	0	0	0
Remedial Action Plan Assistance	1	0	0	0	0	0
Sediment Transport Models	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total, Department of the Army	3	2	3	1	2	1

Table 6--Department of the Army, Great Lakes Projects

(Budget authority in millions)	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Enacted	FY 2008 Enacted	FY 2009 Pres. Bud.
Army Corps of Engineers						
Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal Dispersal Barriers	1	2	0	1	9	6
Indiana Harbor Confined Disposal Facility	7	6	8	20	18	8
McCook and Thornton Reservoirs	20	29	28	45	29	34
Total, Department of the Army	27	37	36	66	56	49

Table 7--Department of the Army, Great Lakes Projects--Detail

(Budget authority in millions)	FY 2009 Pres. Bud.	Description	Status	Authorized Funding Level
Army Corps of Engineers				
Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal Dispersal Barriers	6	System of electrical barriers to prevent interbasin transfer of aquatic invasive species between Mississippi River and Great Lakes basins.	Continued construction of electrical barrier system and operate barriers.	Such sums as necessary
Indiana Harbor Confined Disposal Facility (CDF)	8	Navigation project to remove and confine 4.8 million cubic yards of contaminated sediments from the Indiana Harbor and Canal.	Continued dredging of the navigation channel.	Such sums as necessary
McCook and Thornton Reservoirs	34	Flood damage reduction project to limit backflows of raw sewage from the city of Chicago into Lake Michigan.	Continued construction of the project.	419 (Total)
Total, Department of the Army	49			

Table 8--Department of Commerce, National Programs

(Budget authority in millions)	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Enacted	FY 2008 Enacted	FY 2009 Pres. Bud.
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration						
Aquatic Invasive Species Program	0	0	1	0	0	0
Ballast Water Demonstrations *	1	1	2	0	0	0
Coastal and Estuarine Land Conservation Program	0	0	7	3	0	0
Coastal Zone Management Grants	14	13	13	13	14	13
National Center for Coastal Ocean Science	0	0	2	1	1	1
National Estuarine Research Reserves	1	1	1	1	1	1
Marine and Aviation Ops charter vessel for Algal Bloom projects	0	0	1	2	4	0
Marine and Aviation Ops charter vessel for Sea Grant projects	0	0	0	1	1	1
Nonpoint Pollution Control Implementation Grants	1	1	1	0	0	0
Oceans and Human Health--NOAA Center of Excellence	2	0	1	1	0	0
Sea Grant	11	11	10	10	10	10
National Marine Sanctuaries	0	0	0	1	2	1
Total, Department of Commerce	30	27	37	33	34	27

Note:

* Shown in Aquatic Invasive Species Program in FY 2008 and 2009.

Table 9--Department of Commerce, Great Lakes Programs

(Budget authority in millions)	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Enacted	FY 2008 Enacted	FY 2009 Pres. Bud.
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration						
Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory	9	9	9	9	9	9
Great Lakes Habitat Restoration	0	0	2	0	0	2
Total, Department of Commerce	9	9	10	9	9	11

Table 10--Department of Health and Human Services, Great Lakes Programs

(Budget authority in millions)	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Enacted	FY 2008 Enacted	FY 2009 Pres. Bud.
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry						
Great Lakes Human Health Effects Research Program	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total, Department of Health and Human Services	1	1	1	1	1	1

Table 11--Department of Homeland Security, National Programs

(Budget authority in millions)	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Enacted	FY 2008 Enacted	FY 2009 Pres. Bud.
Coast Guard						
Marine Environmental Protection--Ballast Water/Invasive Species	4	4	3	4	5	4
Oil Spill Response and Claims	1	4	0	0	0	0
Total, Department of Homeland Security	5	8	3	4	5	4

Table 12--Department of the Interior, National Programs

(Budget authority in millions)	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Enacted	FY 2008 Enacted	FY 2009 Pres. Bud.
U.S. Geological Survey						
Bioinformatics	1	0	0	0	0	0
Coastal and Marine Geology	0	0	0	0	1	1
Cooperative Water Program	4	3	3	3	3	3
Ecosystem Program	1	1	1	1	1	1
Fisheries Program	2	3	4	4	3	3
Ground Water Resources Program	0	1	1	1	1	1
National Water Quality Assessment Program	2	2	2	3	2	2
Status and Trends of Biological Resources Program	3	3	4	4	3	3
Fish and Wildlife Service *						
Clean Vessel Grant Program	0	0	0	1	1	1
Coastal Wetlands Grant Program	0	4	2	3	3	3
Endangered Species	2	2	2	2	2	2
Fire Management/Roads	3	3	4	4	4	4
Fish & Wildlife Management	4	4	3	3	3	3
Fish Hatcheries	4	4	5	5	5	5
Habitat Conservation	7	7	6	7	7	7
Habitat Restoration Projects	1	1	1	1	1	1
Law Enforcement	1	1	1	1	1	1
National Wildlife Refuge System	10	9	12	12	12	12
National Park Service						
Competitive Park Projects (Water Management Plans)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Operating Program	6	6	6	6	6	7
Vital Sign Water Quality Monitoring	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total, Department of the Interior	50	53	55	61	60	61

Note:

* The Great Lakes Fishery Commission transfers approximately \$6-7 million annually to the Fish and Wildlife Service for sea lamprey control activities. These funds are displayed in the Department of State, Great Lakes Programs table in the Great Lakes Fishery Commission line.

Table 13--Department of the Interior, Great Lakes Programs

(Budget authority in millions)	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Enacted	FY 2008 Enacted	FY 2009 Pres. Bud.
Fish and Wildlife Service						
Great Lakes Consent Decree	1	1	1	1	1	1
Great Lakes Fish and Wildlife Restoration	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total, Department of the Interior	2	2	2	2	2	2

Table 14--Department of State, Great Lakes Programs

(Budget authority in millions)	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Enacted	FY 2008 Enacted	FY 2009 Pres. Bud.
Great Lakes Fishery Commission *	12	13	15	14	17	13
International Joint Commission	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total, Department of State	13	14	16	15	18	14

Note:

* From the funds displayed above, the Great Lakes Fishery Commission transfers approximately \$6-7 million annually to the Department of the Interior's Fish and Wildlife Service for sea lamprey control activities.

Table 15--Department of Transportation, National Programs

(Budget authority in millions)	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Enacted	FY 2008 Enacted	FY 2009 Pres. Bud.
Federal Highway Administration						
Federal Aid Highways *	3	4	4	4	4	4
Total, Department of Transportation	3	4	4	4	4	4

Notes:

* Amounts shown for FYs 2006-2009 are estimates based on historical funding levels. Actuals may differ.

Table 16--Environmental Protection Agency, National Programs

(Budget authority in millions)	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Enacted	FY 2008 Enacted	FY 2009 Pres. Bud.
Clean Water State Revolving Fund *	154	120	102	124	79	64
Great Waters Program	1	1	0	0	0	0
Invasive Species Research	1	1	1	1	0	0
Section 105 Clean Air Grants *	1	1	1	1	1	0
Section 106 Clean Water Grants *	15	16	15	15	15	15
Section 319 Nonpoint Source Grants *	10	8	10	2	2	2
Superfund Remedial **	25	32	14	6	5	5
Targeted Watershed Grants	1	0	1	1	0	0
Wetlands State Grants *	1	1	2	0	0	0
Total, Environmental Protection Agency	208	180	145	149	102	86

Notes:

* FY 2009 funding an estimate based on past allocations.

** FY 2009 funding an estimate based on past allocations. Actual FY 2009 funding will be finalized once the Superfund program completes its risk-based site selection process.

Table 17--Environmental Protection Agency, Great Lakes Programs

(Budget authority in millions)	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Enacted	FY 2007 Enacted	FY 2008 Enacted	FY 2009 Pres. Bud.
Great Lakes Legacy Act	10	22	29	30	34	35
Great Lakes National Program Office	18	21	21	22	22	22
Total, Environmental Protection Agency	28	43	50	52	56	57

STATE DATA

As directed in the 2008 Omnibus, OMB requested the following information from the Great Lakes States (New York, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Michigan, Indiana, Illinois, Wisconsin, and Minnesota):

- Great Lakes restoration funding by State agency (e.g., Department of Environmental Quality, Department of Agriculture, Department of Natural Resources) and the respective program for FYs 2004-2009; and
- Federal funds used by the State for Great Lakes restoration activities in FYs 2007-2008.

OMB requested this data through letters to the governors of each State, and through followup email communications via EPA with the Governors' Priorities Task Force on the Great Lakes.

In response, the Council of Great Lakes Governors sent a letter to OMB that said "It is unclear precisely what information is being sought or what methodology is to be used for its collection in order to provide meaningful, consistent data from each state." The letter also said some States might follow up with additional information; however, by February 15, 2008, OMB had received data only from Illinois, Michigan, and Indiana.

This report includes the data (or links to the data) as submitted by these States. OMB does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of the data and, in general, believes the data submitted is incomplete or underreports the extent of States' contributions to Great Lakes restoration.

**Table 18--Illinois
Reported State Funding for Great Lakes Restoration Activities**

(Funding in actual dollars, not millions as with Federal programs)

State Agency	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Illinois Environmental Protection Agency						
Clean Water State Revolving Fund *	29,000,000	22,500,000	72,000,000	52,000,000	49,000,000	50,000,000
Nonpoint Source Program		204,000	236,158		260,432	
Water Pollution Control Program **	34,400	36,200	38,100	39,200	42,000	44,100
Total, Illinois	29,034,400	22,740,200	72,274,258	52,039,200	49,302,432	50,044,100

Notes:

* Reflects loan dollars given to the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago.

** Part of a Statewide program; land area in Great Lakes watershed used to extrapolate.

Table 19--Illinois
Reported Federal Funding Provided to State for Great Lakes Restoration Activities

(Funding in actual dollars, not millions as with Federal programs)

<u>State Agency</u>	<u>Federal Agency</u>	<u>Federal Program</u>	<u>FY 2007</u>	<u>FY 2008</u>
Illinois Environmental Protection Agency				
Water Pollution Control	EPA	Great Lakes National Program Office	75,000	75,000
Water Pollution Control	EPA	Section 106 Clean Water Grants *	8,500	8,500
Water Pollution Control	EPA	Section 319 Nonpoint Source Grants	3,400	394,048
Total, Illinois			86,900	477,548

Note:

* Part of a Statewide program; land area in Great Lakes watershed used to extrapolate.

**Table 20--Indiana
Reported State Funding for Great Lakes Restoration Activities**

(Funding in actual dollars, not millions as with Federal programs)

<u>State Agency</u>	<u>FY 2004</u>	<u>FY 2005</u>	<u>FY 2006</u>	<u>FY 2007</u>	<u>FY 2008</u>	<u>FY 2009</u>
Indiana Department of Environmental Management						
State Cleanup-Natural Res. Damage Assessment-Grand Calumet	-	71,047	65,218	74,554	37,734	-
Waste Tire Site Remediation	-	213,055	144,893	-	-	-
Excess Liability Trust Fund - Site Remediation (Storage Tanks)	12,969,381	6,449,081	6,667,106	5,556,253	6,000,000	6,000,000
Superfund Match - Douglas Road Landfill	36,162	30,179	39,649	40,758	40,000	40,000
Lake Sandy Jo	8,609	18,636	14,400	8,322	16,000	20,000
Auburn Foundry-Site Remediation	-	-	-	-	13,100	*
Emergency Response-Great Lakes	19,443	-	27,195	8,065	10,000	10,000
Voluntary Remediation Program (VRP) *	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000
Water Resources Development Act-Army Corps/Great Lakes	123,174	954,560	1,139,356	862,251	731,250	393,750
Great Lakes Legacy-EPA	101,500	231,643	102,173	132,910	5,232,500	2,817,500
Total, Indiana	13,290,269	8,000,201	8,231,990	6,715,113	12,112,584	9,313,250

Notes:

* VRP spending is a gross estimate based on overall annual expenditures divided by the percentage of sites in the Great Lakes basin.
Figures in bold are estimates.

**Table 21--Indiana
Reported Federal Funding Provided to State for Great Lakes Restoration Activities**

(Funding in actual dollars, not millions as with Federal programs)

<u>State Agency</u>	<u>Federal Agency</u>	<u>Federal Program</u>	<u>FY 2007</u>	<u>FY 2008</u>
Indiana Department of Environmental Management				
Cedar Creek Watershed Management Plan Implementation	EPA	319 Surface Water	99,448	154,900
Lake George Shoreline Stabilization	EPA	319 Surface Water	31,006	98,994
Prairie Grass/Tree Planting, Wetlands	EPA	319 Surface Water	25,557	50,000
Sediment, Pesticide, Nutrient Reduction	EPA	319 Surface Water	97,368	66,400
Dunes Creek Watershed Management Plan Implementation	EPA	319 Surface Water	22,784	106,300
Pigeon Creek Watershed Management Plan Implementation	EPA	319 Surface Water	24,785	70,000
Elkhart River Watershed Management Plan Implementation	EPA	319 Surface Water	36,850	100,000
LaGrange Water Quality Improvement	EPA	319 Surface Water	12,863	100,000
Little Elkhart River Watershed Management Plan Implementation *	EPA	319 Surface Water	-	100,000
Lakewide Management Plan (LAMP) *	EPA	LAMP Lake Michigan	-	50,000
Grand Calumet Watershed	EPA	Delisting/Gr. Calumet	-	30,000
Galen Myers Dump-Site Remediation	EPA	Superfund	50,000	50,000
Auburn Foundry-Site Remediation	EPA	Res. Cons. & Recovery	-	26,600
Water Resources Development Act (WRDA)	MOU/Army Corps of Engineers	WRDA	940,166	2,193,750
Great Lakes Legacy Act (GLLA)	EPA	GLLA	41,369	9,717,500
Total, Indiana			1,382,196	12,914,444

Notes:

* Begins in FY 2008.

Figures in bold are estimates.

MICHIGAN

The State of Michigan submitted the following:

- Michigan Department of Environmental Quality budgets for FYs 2004-2008; and
- Michigan Department of Natural Resources budgets for FYs 2004-2008.

Due to the length of the documents submitted, they are not included in this report. Please visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/reports/index.html to review the full Michigan documents.

CONCLUSION

The FY 2009 President's Budget continues the Administration's strong support for Great Lakes environmental restoration by requesting over \$550 million for high priority programs and projects. This substantial funding, combined with the Great Lakes Federal Interagency Task Force's (IATF) successful and ongoing outreach to State, local, and tribal governments, ensures that the region's highest priority restoration needs are addressed in a collaborative manner.

The Administration looks forward to working with Congress to implement the President's FY 2009 request for the Great Lakes basin and continue these important efforts to protect human health and the environment in the region.