

Internet Assisted Review CDR

Friday, August 2, 2002
 NGIT Large Conference Room

Attendees

Roberta Binder, NIAID	Scarlett Gibb, OER	Neal Musto, NIDDK
Eileen Bradley, CSR	Vanessa Grandy, Z-Tech	Tracy Soto, OER
Glenn Cooley, Mitretek	Dan Hall, Z-Tech	Mikhail Sukhenko, NGIT
Tracey David, CSR	Vish Kaliappan, Global	William Williford, OER
Silvia Dutcher, Mitretek	Solutions	Brain Wojcik, NCI
Alex Efendiev, NGIT	Adam Levy, NGIT	Sherry Zucker, OER
Daniel Fox, NGIT	JJ Maurer, Ekagra	

The table below summarizes comments about the artifacts reviewed at the meeting:

Artifact	Issues	Assigned To
Scope Document	Add reference to IAR integration with PPF, SPO and eRA Registration	Tracy Soto
IAR Process Flow	Correct Spelling	Daniel Fox
List of Meetings UC	User Support Access to List of Meetings: We need an Additional Role for IAR User—“Help Desk” or “NIH Admin Role” of some kind. If user has that role, then list of meetings screen displays all meetings that are open in IAR and User has SRA Privileges. This means we need to enhance the List of Meetings use case to reflect that. Also, we need to write up Log In Use Case	Daniel Fox
	Page 5. Data spec for SRA First and Last names is reversed.	Tracy Soto
	Page 7. Algorithm for SRA Name. Phone, Email should have a where condition for preferred address = ‘Y’.	Daniel Fox
	MLG vs. HOM address as brought up by Dan Hall. HOM address is what Commons currently uses, this doesn’t meet IAR user’s needs. IAR users need MLG for Reviewers.	Sherry Zucker Dan Hall
	Add a link on screen to Persons Module, so that Reviewers can correct their own Profile Information. We still need to get a wording for the link	Tracy Soto
View Control Center	Page 5, Data Specification, change (Phone) to (T)	Tracy Soto

Artifact	Issues	Assigned To
UC	Page 7, Screen should say “Update MLG” instead of Update MLG in CM	Tracy Soto
	Page 7, on screen “(phone)” should be “[T]” next to Telephone Reviewer’s name	Tracy Soto
	Page 7, on screen add hint “(T) indicates Reviewer is Telephone Reviewer.”	Tracy Soto
Enable/Disable Reviewers UC Allow/Disallow Reviewers UC Block/Unblock Reviewers Use Case	The logic for verifying the Reviewers options when Enabling/Disabling needs to be reworked. Right Now, there is much checking being done to disable reviewer if he is already disabled. What if we just Disable anyway?	Daniel Fox Tracy Soto
Set IAR Phase Dates UC	For Reviewers, if the Purge Assignments date hasn’t occurred, should the meeting phase revert back to READ when the EDIT phase is over? It is not clear how testers will verify what phase the meeting is actually in after the end of the last phase (EDIT or READ if EDIT was not set).	Tracy Soto
View List of Applications UC	Add to special requirements that Mail reviewers should not have access to the View Score Matrix link.	Tracy Soto
	Sections 6.4.5, 6.4.6, and 6.4.7 are missing agenda_seq_num = agenda_seq_num_p condition at the end of the select statements.	Daniel Fox
	Need an Algorithm that explains how the system knows that the Critique was submitted.	Daniel Fox
	The COI Applications for Reviewers should always be displayed and marked as COI with all actions blanked out for all phases of the meeting in IAR (update Reviewer Submit Phase Activity Diagram and Textual Flow)	Daniel Fox
View SRA/GTA Contract Info UC	Page 5. Data spec for SRA First and Last names is reversed.	Tracy Soto
	The Algorithm in Section 6.2 should have a condition for Preferred Address	Daniel Fox
Submit Critiques and Scores Use Case	Page 12 change “clean” to “remove” on Virus Detected screen	Daniel Fox

Artifact	Issues	Assigned To
	Users agreed to add a technique on the screen, which will enable People submitting Scores to type first digit of the score and the screen will automatically tab them over to the second digit of the screen	Daniel Fox
Delete Critiques UC	Section 6.2 The parameters in the delete_critique_score_proc should be followed by “_p”	Daniel Fox
View Score Matrix UC	Add to textual flow and algorithm that telephone reviewers should only see their assignments on the matrix.	Tracy Soto
Create Pre SS UC	The Alternative Flow should detail System Actions when creating of the pre-ss doc file fails	Daniel Fox
IAR Database Design	The new column in the meeting_agendas_t – assignment_purge_date is misspelled on page 4.	Daniel Fox
	The constraints on some tables should point to meeting_appls_t table instead of appls_t or meeting_agendas_t tables	Daniel Fox
	IAR_ prefix for table names should not be used.	Daniel Fox
	Critiques_t table should have a user_id column to store the user_id of the person submitting critique.	Daniel Fox

Additional Comments, Requirements, Action Items

1. Dall Hall—validate whether person_id is required in commons. 8/5/02 Response: Person_id shouldn't be null but there is no database constraint.
2. Eileen Bradley—confirm Purge Assignment Date and Closure Date recommendations with RPC.
3. Daniel Fox—Create Log In Use Case
4. Daniel Fox—Estimate Sizing Requirements for new NT Generators and existing hardware that is going to store Critiques and Pre-SS Bodies.
5. Tracy Soto/Daniel Fox—After all changes to Database and replication Documents are completed, conduct meeting with Operations team to address ALL issues, as well as Hardware Requirements issues.