

## Summary Statements for Program Project Applications - P01s

The Program Project mechanism is designed to support research in which the funding of several projects as a group offers significant scientific advantages over support of these same projects as individual regular research grants.

The Summary Statement should reflect the level of enthusiasm of the Study Section.

- All narratives must be consistent with the score.
- NIH wants especially to know about the importance of the problem, its timeliness, whether the participants can do the work, and what value derives from supporting this joint effort. In short - **Is this the right time to do this project with this group?**

*Summary statement template:*

**1 P01 IC XXXXX-XX            PI LAST NAME, FIRST INITIAL**

**RESUME AND SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION:**

**DESCRIPTION (provided by applicant):**

**CRITIQUE: OVERALL**

**PROJECT 1: TITLE**

**Project Leader: Name**

**Priority Score:**

**RESUME AND SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION (written by SRO):**

**DESCRIPTION (provided by applicant):**

**CRITIQUE 1:**

**Significance:**

**Approach:**

**Innovation:**

**Investigators:**

**Environment:**

**Interdependence:**

**Biohazards:**

**Overall Evaluation:**

**CRITIQUE 2:**

**Significance:**

**Approach:**

**Innovation:**

**Investigators:**

**Environment:**

**Interdependence:**

**Biohazards:**

**Overall Evaluation:**

**PROJECT 2: TITLE**

**Project Leader: Name**

**Priority Score:**

**RESUME AND SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION (written by SRO):**

**DESCRIPTION (provided by applicant):**

**CRITIQUE 1:**

**Significance:**

**Approach:**

**Innovation:**

**Investigators:**

**Environment:**

**Interdependence:**

**Biohazards:**

**Overall Evaluation:**

**CRITIQUE 2:**

**Significance:**

**Approach:**

**Innovation:**

**Investigators:**

**Environment:**

**Interdependence:**

**Biohazards:**

**Overall Evaluation:**

**CORE A: TITLE**

**Core Leaders: Name(s)**

**CRITIQUE:**

**QUALITY OF SERVICES AND FACILITIES:**

**VALUE AND RELEVANCE TO THE PROPOSED PROGRAM:**

**EXTENT TO WHICH TWO OR MORE RESEARCH PROJECTS BENEFIT:**

**BUDGET:**

**CORE B: TITLE**

**Core Leaders: Name(s)**

**CRITIQUE:**

**QUALITY OF SERVICES AND FACILITIES:**

**VALUE AND RELEVANCE TO THE PROPOSED PROGRAM:**

**EXTENT TO WHICH TWO OR MORE RESEARCH PROJECTS BENEFIT:**

**BUDGET:**

**THE FOLLOWING RESUME SECTIONS WERE PREPARED BY THE SCIENTIFIC REVIEW ADMINISTRATOR TO SUMMARIZE THE OUTCOME OF DISCUSSIONS OF THE REVIEW COMMITTEE ON THE FOLLOWING ISSUES:**

**COMMITTEE BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS:**

**SCIENTIFIC REVIEW ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTE:**

12/29/08