# Part A – Peer Review of the Background Document on Aristolochic Acid-Related Exposures: (1) Aristolochic Acid and (2) Botanical Products Containing Aristolochic Acid

The Report on Carcinogens (RoC) expert panel for aristolochic acid-related exposures met at the Sheraton Chapel Hill Hotel, Chapel Hill, North Carolina on January 24-25, 2007, to peer review the draft background document on aristolochic acid-related exposures and make a recommendation for the listing status of (1) aristolochic acid and (2) botanical products containing aristolochic acid in the 12<sup>th</sup> Edition of the RoC. Members of the expert panel are as follows:

Arthur P. Grollman, M.D. (Chair)
Department of Pharmacological Sciences
Stony Brook University

A. Morrie Craig, Ph.D.
College of Veterinary Medicine
Oregon State University

Patricia E. Ganey, Ph.D.
Department of Pharmacology and
Toxicology
Michigan State University

Yanze Liu, Ph.D.
Bio-organic and Natural Products
Research Laboratory
McLean Hospital
(a Harvard Medical School Affiliate)

Albert B. Lowenfels, M.D.
Department of Community and Preventive
Medicine
New York Medical College

Joëlle L. Nortier, M.D. Department of Nephrology Erasme Hospital Université Libre de Bruxelles

Brian T. Schaneberg, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup> Technical Services ChromaDex, Inc. Boulder, CO

Bryan L. Stegelmeier, D.V.M., Ph.D. Poisonous Plant Research Laboratory U.S. Department of Agriculture Agriculture Research Service Logan, UT

One of the charges to this panel was to determine whether the information in the draft background document on aristolochic acid-related exposures is presented in a clear and objective manner, to identify any missing information from the body of knowledge presented in the document, and to determine the utility of the body of knowledge in the background document for drawing conclusions about the carcinogenicity of a candidate substance and for applying the RoC criteria for listing. Following the discussion of all sections of the draft background document the expert panel reached a consensus concerning the critique of the draft background document, including its adequacy and any proposed revisions and voted 6 yes/0 no to accept the draft background document (with the proposed changes suggested by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>nonmember, technical expert

the expert panel). Therefore, the expert panel agreed that the background document is adequate for drawing conclusions about the carcinogenicity of aristolochic acid-related exposures and for applying the RoC listing criteria.

The expert panel proposed revisions for each section of the aristolochic acid-related exposures background document are appended.

### General comments

Define carefully in the glossary the terms contaminant or adulterant, avoiding the use of "intent" and use the two words consistent with these meanings throughout the document.

### **Abbreviations**

 Page xvi: MeOH – This abbreviation is used for methanol, whereas methoxyl groups in molecules are usually referred to or denoted as MeO or OMe.

## **Glossary**

Define "adulterant," as "being made impure by mixing in a foreign or inferior substance" per Webster's dictionary and remove all reference to "intent." Define "contaminant" as "a substance inappropriately present in the environment that might cause harmful effects." A third category consists of products like guan mu tong which are properly labeled and contain botanicals containing AA. NTP should review document and make sure that these concepts are used consistently throughout.

### **Section 1: Introduction**

### 1. General Introduction

- Suggest renaming the document to the plural ("Aristolochic Acids"). Then revise
  document for consistency; i.e., when chemical species information is not available, use
  the plural.
- Change Section 1 title from "Introduction" to "Introduction and Chemistry."
- Page 1, line 14 Add A. clematitis, A. indica and A. serpentaria to list of Aristolochia species.
- Page 1, line 16 Add obstetrics (to facilitate childbirth) and wound healing to the herbal medicine uses cited here.

### 2. Section 1.1 Chemical identification

- Page 2, line 15 After "Aristolochic acid I," add, "(also called aristolochic acid A)." After "AA II," add, "(also called aristolochic acid B)."
- Page 2, lines 15-16 "However, aristolochic acid I and its demethoxylated derivative, aristolochic acid II, are the predominant compounds and the most widely studied; ..."
   Delete "the predominant compounds and."
- Page 2, line 20 After the Kumar et al. 2003 reference, insert a new sentence: "AA I and II are the most common marker compounds used to evaluate the presence of the family of aristolochic acids in plant samples."
- Page 2, line 21 Aristolochic acids are found in plants of both the Aristolochia and Asarum genera of the family Aristolochiaceae. Replace "are found" with "have been detected."
- Page 3, Table 1-1
  - MeO should be substituted for MeOH each place where it appears in the table and the footnotes.
  - For the entry for A. contorta, E (or aristolochic acid E) refers to the same molecule as 7-MeO-8-OH-AA; therefore, one of these terms should be deleted. Also, check the accuracy for 6-MeO-AA methyl ester.
  - AAII and and VIIa should also be included in the components entry for A. contorta.
  - For the A. debilis entry, 7-methyl-AA I should be confirmed, it could possibly be 7-methoxy-AA I.
  - For the A. clematitis (Europe) entry, clematitis contains AAI, II, III, IV, IIIa and
    IVa. These acids should be included because they are important components of
    the AA mixture of the plant and also because they were reported for the first time.
- Page 4, Table 1-3

   Additional synonyms for AAII are 3,4-methylenedioxy-10-nitrophenanthrene-1-carboxylic acid, 3,4-methylenedioxy-10-nitro-1-phenanthrenecarboxylic acid, 3,4-methylenedioxy-10-nitro-1-phenanthroic acid (not 6-nitrophenanthro...).

## 3. Section 1.4 Aristolochic acid analogues: Page 8, Table 1-6

- The structure for AA V is not correct (it is currently identical to the structure for aristolochic acid IVa). Aristolochic acid V was first reported for *Aristolochia argentina*. Its formula is 6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-methylenedioxy-10-nitro-1-phenanthroic acid. It was erroneously denoted as structure 105 in Kumar's review.
- AA V is the O-methyl derivative of AA Va, and its MW is 371.
- After Aristolochic acid IVa add "(aristolochic acid D)" and after Aristolochic acid V delete "(aristolochic acid D)."
- For aristolochic acid VII change COOCH<sub>3</sub> to COOH; its MW is 371.

•	The aristolactams (in Aristolochia spp.) are much more abundant than dioxoaporphines
	and show by far greater diversity than the dioxoaporphines and aristolochic acids,
	consequently a row for aristolactams (inserted previous to that for the dioxoaporphines)
	should be included, indicating variable structures depending on R.

## **Section 2: Human Exposure**

#### 1. Section 2.1 Use

- Page 11, line 19 "...in the early 1960s, it was tested for antitumor effects in mice (Kupchan and Doskovitch 1962) and in clinical trials, but the trials were discontinued when aristolochic acid was shown to be clinically ineffective and nephrotoxic (Jackson *et al.* 1964, Pezzuto *et al.* 1988)." Delete "clinically ineffective and" at the end of this line.
- Page 11, line 20 Delete the Pezzuto et al. 1988 reference.
- Page 12 Add two additional reports of cases of aristolochic acid nephropathy (1) de Jonge and Vanrenterghem 2008, (2) Grollman and Jelakovic 2007 – to the paragraph beginning, "Over 100 cases..."
- Page 12, line 5 Insert "Aristolochic acid nephropathy (AAN) became recognized as a worldwide disease and," before, "after additional reports were reported . . ."
- Page 12, line 7 "After additional cases of aristolochic acid—associated nephropathy and carcinoma were reported in the United States, Europe, and Asia, the FDA (2000, 2001a, 2001c) issued warnings to healthcare professionals, industry associations, and consumers regarding the safety of botanical products and dietary supplements containing aristolochic acid." Add a period after "Asia" and start a new sentence with "In the early 2000s the FDA . . ."
- Page 12, line 13 "Nevertheless, plants containing aristolochic acid continue to be used in traditional and folk medicines for a number of indications and are still commercially available on the Internet (Gold and Slone 2003a, 2003b)." Change "are still occasionally," to, "have subsequently been shown to be." Also, add Schaneberg and Khan 2004 [already cited in background document] and Appendix A to references.
- Page 12 Add at end of paragraph: "Aristolochic acid-containing products, including Aristolochia species and products for which substitutions of other plants appeared to have occurred have been reported on the Dutch market (Martena et al. 2007)."
- After the above add a new paragraph: "As described above, aristolochic acids (AA) were mainly found from Aristolochiaceous plants, especially the genera of Aristolochia and Asarum. The fruits of Aristolochia contorta and A. debilis are traditionally used as Ma Dou Ling for the treatment of cough, hemorrhoids, and other conditions related with lung in Chinese medicine. While their roots were used as Qing Mu Xiang for distention and pain of the chest and abdomen, diarrhea, hypertension, and snakebite, etc., their stem with leaves were used as Tian Xian Teng for the treatment of stomach pain, hernia pain, pregnancy edema, and rheumatic, etc. But some other Aristolochiaceous plants, such as Aristolochia manshuriensis (Mu Tong Ma Dou Ling), A. kaempferi (Da Ye Ma Dou Ling, crude drug name: Zhu Sha Lian), A. moupinensis (Huai Tong Ma Dou Ling, crude drug name: Huai Tong) are used legally as Akebia (Mu Tong) or its complementary and alternative in different places of China, although Akebia is mainly used for the treatment of urethritis, amenorrhea, and galactostasis. Some Aristolochiaceous plants such as the roots of A. fangchi (Fang Ji Ma Dou Ling, crude drug name: Guang Fang Ji) and *A. heterophylla* (Yi Ye Ma Dou Ling, crude drug name: Han Zhong Fang Ji) are also used as source plants of Fang Ji, which was originally obtained from the Menispermaceous plant Stephania tetrandra used for the treatment of edema and hand-foot convulsion. The overlapping use of different plants as one crude drug or one plant used as different crude drugs increases the risk caused by inadvertent consumption of aristolochic acids."

## 2. Section 2.3.1 Analysis methods

- Page 15, line 2 Add "fluorescence detection" to the list of detection methods (after "laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) detection") and add "(Chan et al. 2007)" to the end of the sentence after "publications."
- Page 15, line 19 2 mg/g (2 ppm or 5.9 x  $10^{-9}$  mol/g) should be revised to read 2  $\mu g/g$  (2 ppm or 5.9 x  $10^{-9}$  mol/g).
- Page 15, line 24 Change 11 laboratories to 10 laboratories [description of the number of laboratories that were part of the collaborative study by Sorenson and Sullivan 2007].
- Page 15, line 28 Add Sorenson and Sullivan 2007 to cited references.

# 3. Section 2.3.2 Biological indices of exposure

- Page 17, line 5 "Aristolochic acid–DNA (AA-DNA) adducts have been identified in renal tissues of patients with Chinese herb nephropathy (Arlt et al. 2001b, Arlt et al. 2001a, Cosyns 2003, Gillerot et al. 2001)." After "Chinese herb nephropathy," add, "using <sup>32</sup>P-post-labeling analysis."
- The NTP should add a new sentence to describe the Grollman *et al.* (2007) [already cited in background document] mass spectroscopy method.

## 4. Section 2.4.3 Occurrence and concentrations in botanical products

- Page 23, line 9 Add a paragraph after MCA 2002. Add to the beginning of the new paragraph the following sentences: "Two herbal remedies prepared from *Aristolochia debilis* or *A. contorta*, known, respectively, as Tian-Xian-Teng and Ma-Dou-Ling, appear in the official 2005 Chinese pharmacopeia. The use of these two remedies in China has been extensively recorded for over 1000 years." Continue the new paragraph with the remainder of the previous paragraph, beginning with "The complexity of herbal nomenclature systems used in traditional medicines. . ."
- Page 23, line 22 –Replace current language, "A multiple-to-one category describes multiple plant parts from the same species serving as different herbs." with the following: "A multiple-to-one category describes multiple herbs derived from different part of same species of plant."
- Page 25, line 3 "Plant substitutions such as those described above can be detrimental..." Replace "be detrimental" with "cause serious disease or death."
- Page 30 Delete footnote concerning the second case reported by Consumer Reports
  which is not currently reported in Section 3 because no publication in the peer-reviewed
  literature was found; this case has now been reported in the peer-reviewed literature.
- Page 30, line 20 –Add a description of the 4 cases reported by the British equivalent of the FDA. [Also, add descriptions of any case reports that are identified in the literature until such time as the final background document is published.]

# 5. Section 2.5 Regulations and Guidelines

- Page 31, line 17 Replace "Manufacturers are not required to record..." with "Manufacturers are required to record adverse events and to report to the FDA serious adverse events reported to them about their products."
- Page 32, line 15 Add to the paragraph language about new current good manufacturing practice (cGMP) regulations on dietary supplements and how that relates to plant species identification and testing.

## 6. Section 2.6 Summary

Page 32, line 24 – Replace first sentence ["Aristolochia and related plants have been used since ancient times in traditional medicines by the Chinese, Native Americans, and other cultures."] with: "The risk of human exposure to aristolochic acid remains a global problem. Native Aristolochia spp. have been used as herbal remedies for millennia in

virtually every country throughout the world, including Europe, Asia, Africa, North and South America."

#### **Section 3: Human Cancer Studies**

#### 1. Introduction

- Page 36, line 10 delete the word "possible" in this statement ("possible relationship with aristolochic acid").
- 2. Section 3.1.2 Worldwide cases of herbal medicine nephropathy or of AAN
  - Page 39, lines 18-24 "The review of the worldwide case reports has suggested that AAN has two clinical variants. Change "clinical variants" to "clinical presentations" in this text.
  - Page 39, line 28-29 "Fanconi syndrome is characterized by proximal tubular dysfunction and slowly progressive renal dysfunction, which often is reversible when herbal treatment is stopped (Lee et al. 2004)." Change to, "which was described by the authors as reversible when herbal treatment was stopped." and add, "see Section 5 for a discussion of Pozdzik et al. 2007." Then add the following bracketed comment: "[However, an acute, limited phase of intoxication does not mean that a chronic phase will ensue, recovery from which could be interpreted as true reversibility."
- 3. Section 3.1.2, Table 3.1 (pages 41-45)
  - Add to this table the following: (1) the case reported by Grollman et al. (2007), (2) the
    large clinical study of AAN by Dr. Xiaomei Li (if published before the background
    document is finalized, manuscript in preparation), and (3) 4 cases reported by the
    British equivalent of the FDA. The panel also recommended that Table 3.1 should be
    updated until such time as the final background document is published.
- 4. Section 3.2.2 Prevalence studies in the Belgian cases with AAN (beginning on page 47)
  - Add the expert panel-calculated estimated risk ratio [RR = 22] for the study reported by Nortier et al. 2000, [already cited in the background document] which used SEER data as the reference population. The assumptions for calculating the risk ratio are as follows: (1) approximately 25 cases with urothelial cancer, (2) estimated group exposed to AA =1500, (3) estimated duration of exposure = 3 years, (4) cancer rate in exposed group = 550/100,000 per year, (5) estimated background cancer rate (SEER) = 25, and (6) estimated risk ratio = 550/25= 22. [NTP should contact Dr. Albert Lowenfels for further details.]
    - Page 50, line 4 Add a description of a case series of renal transplanted patients from the Belgian center, who suffered from bladder carcinoma several years after cessation of any aristolochic acid exposure (Lemy et al. 2008).
- 5. Section 3.3 Urothelial cancer and consumption of Chinese herbs (page 57)
  - Rename this section "Urothelial cancer and consumption of aristolochic acids" since it
    now includes studies of exposure to all botanical plants containing aristolochic acid.
  - Add results from study by Chang et al. 2007. This report lists the relative hazard of
    exposure to Chinese herbs for the development of transitional-cell carcinoma (TCC) as
    6.2 (P < 0.01).</li>
  - Page 57 From the Li et al. 2005 paper (translation obtained by NTP), add the expert panel's calculated odds ratio (37) and confidence interval (11 to 216) as a bracketed comment.
  - Add a description of Li et al. 2008, which reports TCC development in patients requiring renal transplantation. An increased risk of TCC was observed in patients with a history of taking herbal remedies with aristolochic acid compared to unexposed patients. [RR (calculated by the expert panel) = 5.6.]

- 6. Section 3.4 Balkan endemic nephropathy (BEN) and associated urothelial cancer (beginning on page 57)
  - General Comments:
    - Expand this section by adding a brief review of the more than 2000 cases of endemic upper urothelial carcinoma (UUC) analyzed by Nikolic (2006), noting that exposure to AA in this setting was not quantified.
    - Refer to "putative OTA adducts" or "OTA-related adducts" as appropriate throughout the document instead of "OTA adducts."
    - Describe results from the case-control study on BEN (Hranjec et al. 2005). This study evaluated the frequency (for the categories of observed "always" plus "sometimes") of seeing A. clematitis in the fields 20 to 30 years ago. The authors reported that this observation was significantly more frequent in subjects with endemic nephropathy (78.2%) than in subjects with other renal disease (33.3%) or healthy controls of the endemic regions (38%).
    - Describe results reported by Grollman et al. (2007) paper with AA-DNA adducts (i.e., expand on the description in the draft background document). Grollman and co-workers detected AA adducts in tumor tissue of 3 patients with urothelial cancer.
    - Move the Stewart et al. 2003 paper (currently on page 63 of the background document) to this section of the report.
    - Describe the A:T—>T:A "fingerprint" mutations in the Grollman et al. (2007) paper (expand on the description in the draft background document). Grollman and co-workers detected A to T transversions in 78% of patients with UUC who had a p53 mutation.
  - Page 58, line 10 Delete statement that aristolochic acid was found in wheat flour, but retain the observation that weed seeds were mixed in wheat. Clarify per Ivic 1970 paper [already cited in draft background document].
  - Page 58, line 1 BEN is a "household," not a "familial" disease. The latter designation suggests a strong genetic inheritance, which does not apply to BEN.
  - Page 58, line 27 Delete the first sentence, "Consumption of *Aristolochia* is not the only risk factor associated with BEN, and it may be that there are multiple risk factors."
  - Page 59, lines 19-23 Use the exact language from the FAO/WHO 2006 report (published in EFSA Journal 2006) for their conclusions and review the primary literature from their report on OTA."
  - At the end of this section [page 59, line 23], add the information from The Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) review of OTA-related adducts and genotoxicity in conjunction with the discussion of the controversial data published by Pfohl-Leszkowicz and her collaborators. [This information is currently stated on page135 in the draft background document in Section 5.3.4]
- 7. Section 3.5.1 Association between botanical products containing aristolochic acid and nephropathy
  - Page 61, line 29 –" ...(1) exposure to aristolochic acid alone causes nephropathy in experimental animals." Add—as a "numbered" item, "intravenous administration of AA caused renal toxicity in humans (Jackson et al. 1964)" [reference already cited in background document].
- 8. Section 3.6 Summary (page 64)
  - Line 12, insert "upper" before the words "urothelial cancer"

Discuss the preference for aristolochic-acid induced tumors to localize in the <u>upper</u> urothelial tract of humans. The background document should provide important context by referring throughout the text to the unusual anatomical location of transitional cell (urothelial) carcinomas (TCC) associated with AAN. In the herb-induced TCC reported in Belgium and other countries, and in BEN-associated TCC, 90% of all tumors are located in the renal pelvis and/or upper ureter. In fact, the few bladder tumors observed occasionally in AAN might arise via "seeding" from the above-mentioned classical tumors. UUC represents only 5% of all detected TCC and have been associated with exposure to certain chemicals (e.g., phenacetin and arsenic (Genega and Porter, 2002)).

# **Section 4: Studies of Cancer in Experimental Animals**

- 1. Section 4.2.1 Rat: Acute exposure
  - Page 67, line 2 Change "nearly normal" to "lesions resolved."
- 2. Section 4.2.2 Rat: Subchronic to chronic exposures
  - Page 77 A. *fructus* does not appear to be a valid species name. NTP should investigate and correct if necessary.

#### Section 5: Other Relevant Data

#### 1. General comments

 Define carefully in the glossary the terms contaminant or adulterant, avoiding the use of "intent" and use the two words consistent with these meanings throughout the document.

## 2. Section 5.1 Absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion

Page 85, line 24 — Change "In vitro metabolism studies suggest that aristolochic acid I is preferentially metabolized by an oxidative pathway" to "In vitro metabolism studies suggest that AA-I is metabolized by oxidative and reductive pathways", citing Shibutani et al. (2007).

# 3. Section 5.2.1 Renal toxicity in humans

- Page 90, line 15 Add references from BEN literature [Cosyns 2003].
- Page 90, line 17 Change "The etiology of BEN is currently unknown, but chronic dietary intoxication from bread made from wheat flour contaminated with seeds of *A. clematitis* has been implicated (Arlt et al. 2007, Grollman et al. 2007, Hranjec et al. 2005, Ivic 1970, Stiborová et al. 2007)." to "Chronic dietary intoxication from bread made by wheat flour contaminated with seeds of *A. clematitis* has been implicated in the etiology of BEN (Ivic, 1970 (Ref 84 in draft background document), Hranjec et al. 2005 (Ref 76 in draft background document), Grollman et al. 2007 (Ref 69 in draft background document)."

# 4. Section 5.2.2 Toxicity in experimental animals

- Add a description of the extensive studies on aristolochic acid toxicity in horses cited below, which provided the first clue to similarities between AAN and BEN: (1) Martincevic M. Toxic action of *Aristolochia clematitis* on the kidney of horses, *Veterinarski Arhiv* 27: 51-19 (1957); (2) Dumic A. Poisoning of horses by birthwort (*Aristolochia clematitis L.*) Belgrade Serbia, 1954 pp. 3-35.
- Include a discussion of the time course of phenotypic changes of the proximal tubular epithelial cells, especially proliferation and apoptosis, in the rat model of aristolochic acid nephropathy (Pozdzik *et al.* 2007).
- Page 91, line 17 Add Dong et al. (2006) [already cited in draft background document] and Shibutani et al. (2007) [already cited in draft background document] to the references cited for aristolochic acid toxicity in mice (Hu et al. 2004, Sato et al. 2004).

## 5. Section 5.2.3 Toxicity to kidney or urinary tract cells in vitro

Page 107, lines 12 and 13 – Revise sentence, "Aristolactam derivatives of aristolochic acids also form DNA adducts..." to "Although aristolactam derivatives of aristolochic acids also form DNA adducts (see Section 5.3.1, below) and cause mutations in Salmonella (see Section 5.3.2, below), most studies show aristolactams to be non-toxic to mammalian cells (Wen et al. 2006, Zhang et al. 2005) [both references already cited in draft background document]."

### 6. Section 5.3.1 DNA adduct formation

• Page 109, lines 8 to 16 – Insert the following new sentences beginning at the end of line 11: "There is a contrast between the *in vitro* data and the data in experimental animals and humans. Aristolactam-DNA adducts may persist for many years *in vivo* (add reference)." Delete bracketed comment on lines 12 to 16. "[Although there are several differences between these studies, particularly in the specific cell type used and in the doses of aristolochic acid tested (10 and 20 μM for Lebeau *et al.* and up to 5 μM for

Pfohl-Leszkowicz *et al.*), the reason for the contrast in the final conclusion of persistence of AA-DNA adducts in one study compared with the conclusion that these adducts do not persist in the other is unclear.]

- 7. Section 5.3.1: DNA adduct formation, Studies in humans with AAN or BEN
  - Page 115, lines 11 to 12 Delete the word "three" before "long term residents" and add "Three" before "Tumor tissues were analyzed for adducts. . ."
  - Page 115, line 13 Change "10<sup>7"</sup> to "10<sup>8"</sup> [adducts per nucleotides].
  - Page115, line 15 Clarify that the 60 renal tissues were formalin-fixed paraffinembedded samples.
  - Page 115, line 16 Change "patients with nephropathy," to, "patients reported to have nephropathy."
  - Page 115, line 22 Insert a statement noting that these patients did not have supporting clinical/pathology data.
  - Page 115, line 23 Insert "Interpretation of," at the beginning of the sentence beginning with, "The data on OTA-DNA..."
  - At the end of this section [page 115, line 24], add the information from The Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) review of OTA-related adducts and genotoxicity in conjunction with the discussion of the controversial data published by Pfohl-Leszkowicz and her collaborators. [This information is currently stated in the draft background document in Section 5.3.4]. After [line 24], "The data on OTA-DNA adduct formation is controversial (see Section 5.3.5, Mutational spectra in tumors from animals and humans)." add the following references: Mally et al. 2004, Gautier J-C et al. 2001, Turesky 2005 [in draft background document], Palma et al. 2007, Cavin et al. 2007. Then add the statement, "The C-C8-dGMP-OTA adduct used as a standard was synthesized by photo-irradiation."
- 8. Mutational spectra in tumors from animals or humans
  - Page 134, line 18 Change "DNA was isolated from fresh tumor tissues" to "DNA was isolated from fresh tumor tissue from 6 patients and from formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded tissues from 5 patients.
  - Page 134, line 22 Add a sentence to emphasize the mutational spectra from the upper urothelial tumors. "The p53 mutational spectra from patients with upper urothelial cancers associated with BEN are similar to those reported in the 2006 edition of the IARC p53 mutational database. The frequency and predominance of A:T to T:A transversions are suggestive of a mutational signature for human exposure to AA."
  - Page 134, line 23: Change the first paragraph beginning with "Arlt et al. (2007) recently proposed that..." to "Arlt et al. (2007) reviewed the use of mutational spectra as a means for studying the etiology of BEN-associated cancer. They discussed the mechanism of AA-induced carcinogenesis and the available data evaluating OTA and cancer. They noted that, although unequivocal...."
  - Page 135, lines 7 to 13 At the end of line 13, add the references stated in comment 6 [Mally et al. 2004, Gautier J-C et al. 2001], Turesky 2005 [in draft background document], Palma et al. 2007, Cavin et al. 2007]. [This text describes EFSA review of OTA-related adducts and genotoxicity and the discussion of the controversial data published by Pfohl-Leszkowicz and her collaborators.]
  - Page 135 Add a new paragraph at the end as a comment: "[Ochratoxin A (OTA) has never been demonstrated to be nephrotoxic (or carcinogenic) for humans despite its ubiquitous presence in common foodstuffs. There is no evidence that OTA is a directacting human carcinogen or that this mycotoxin plays a significant role as the cause of

endemic nephropathy or upper urothelial cancer Grollman and Jelakovic 2007) (de Jonge and Vanrenterghem (2008).]

- 9. Section 5.4.3 Metabolic activation and toxic effects in humans
  - Page 144, lines 4-5 "However, no mechanistic explanation for the unusual rapidity of
    the onset of urinary-tract carcinoma in humans following Aristolochia consumption has
    been found as yet, and there are no data concerning the cancer risk in individuals who
    have consumed Aristolochia without evidence of renal impairment." Delete "as yet, and
    there are no data concerning the cancer risk in individuals who have consumed
    Aristolochia without evidence of renal impairment."
- 10. Section 5.5 Summary
  - Page 149, Line 4 Delete the following sentence: "There is as yet no mechanistic
    explanation for the unusual rapidity of the onset of urinary-tract carcinoma in humans
    following Aristolochia consumption, nor are there data concerning the cancer risk in
    individuals who have consumed Aristolochia without evidence of renal impairment."

Report Approved	Redacted	Date	4/16/08
Report Approved	Arthur P. Grollman, M.D. (Chair)	Date _	

# Bibliography<sup>1</sup>

- 1. Cavin C, Delatour T, Marin-Kuan M, Holzhauser D, Higgins L, Bezencon C, Guignard G, Junod S, Richoz-Payot J, Gremaud E, Hayes JD, Nestler S, Mantle P, Schilter B. 2007. Reduction in antioxidant defenses may contribute to ochratoxin A toxicity and carcinogenicity. *Toxicol Sci* 96(1): 30-9.
- 2. Chan W, Lee KC, Liu N, Cai Z. 2007b. A sensitivity enhanced high-performance liquid chromatography fluorescence method for the detection of nephrotoxic and carcinogenic aristolochic acid in herbal medicines. *J Chromatogr A* 1164(1-2): 113-9.
- 3. Chang CH, Yang CM, Yang AH. 2007. Renal diagnosis of chronic hemodialysis patients with urinary tract transitional cell carcinoma in Taiwan. *Cancer* 109(8): 1487-92.
- 4. de Jonge H, Vanrenterghem Y. 2008. Aristolochic acid: the common culprit of Chinese herbs nephropathy and Balkan endemic nephropathy. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 23(1): 39-41.
- 5. Dumic A. 1954. *Poisoning of horses by birthwort (Aristolochia clematitis L.)*, Belgrade, Serbia: p. 3-35.
- Faucet V, Pfohl-Leszkowicz A, Dai J, Castegnaro M, Manderville RA. 2004. Evidence for covalent DNA adduction by ochratoxin A following chronic exposure to rat and subacute exposure to pig. *Chem Res Toxicol* 17(9): 1289-96.
- 7. Gautier J, Richoz J, Welti DH, Markovic J, Gremaud E, Guengerich FP, Turesky RJ. 2001. Metabolism of ochratoxin A: absence of formation of genotoxic derivatives by human and rat enzymes. *Chem Res Toxicol* 14(1): 34-45.
- 8. Genega EM, Porter CR. 2002. Urothelial neoplasms of the kidney and ureter. An epidemiologic, pathologic, and clinical review. *Am J Clin Pathol* 117 Suppl: S36-48.
- 9. Grollman AP, Jelakovic B. 2007. Role of environmental toxins in endemic (Balkan) nephropathy. October 2006, Zagreb, Croatia. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 18(11): 2817-23.
- 10. Lemy A, Wissing KM, Rorive S, Zlotta A, Roumeguere T, Muniz Martinez MC, Decaestecker C, Salmon I, Abramowicz D, Vanherweghem JL, Nortier J. 2008. Late onset of bladder urothelial carcinoma after kidney transplantation for end-stage aristolochic acid nephropathy: a case series with 15-year follow-up. *Am J Kidney Dis* 51(3): 471-7.
- 11. Li XB, Xing NZ, Wang Y, Hu XP, Yin H, Zhang XD. 2008. Transitional cell carcinoma in renal transplant recipients: A single center experience. *Int J Urol* 15(1): 53-7.
- 12. Mally A, Zepnik H, Wanek P, Eder E, Dingley K, Ihmels H, Volkel W, Dekant W. 2004. Ochratoxin A: lack of formation of covalent DNA adducts. *Chem Res Toxicol* 17(2): 234-42.
- 13. Martena MJ, van der Wielen JC, van de Laak LF, Konings EJ, de Groot HN, Rietjens IM. 2007. Enforcement of the ban on aristolochic acids in Chinese traditional herbal preparations on the Dutch market. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 389(1): 263-75.
- 14. Martincevic M. 1957. Toxic action of Aristolochia clematitis on the kidney of horses. *Veterinarski Arhiv* 27: 51-19 [sic].
- 15. Nikolic J. 2006. *Epidemic Nephropathy and Upper Urothelial Tumors*, Belgrade, Serbia: Izdavacko Preduzece Beograd, A.D.

16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> New literature identified by the expert panel AA Expert Panel Report A

- 16. Palma N, Cinelli S, Sapora O, Wilson SH, Dogliotti E. 2007. Ochratoxin A-induced mutagenesis in mammalian cells is consistent with the production of oxidative stress. *Chem Res Toxicol* 20(7): 1031-7.
- 17. Pozdzik AA, Salmon IJ, Debelle FD, Decaestecker C, Van den Branden C, Verbeelen D, Deschodt-Lanckman MM, Vanherweghem JL, Nortier JL. 2008. Aristolochic acid induces proximal tubule apoptosis and epithelial to mesenchymal transformation. *Kidney Int.* 73(5): 595-607.
- 18. Sorenson WR, Sullivan D. 2007. Determination of aristolochic acid I in botanicals and dietary supplements potentially contaminated with aristolochic acid I using LC-UV with confirmation by LC/MS: collaborative study. *J AOAC Int* 90(4): 925-33.