

NIH State-of-the-Science Conference Statement on Multivitamin/Mineral Supplements and Chronic Disease Prevention

Multivitamin/ Mineral Supplements

and Chronic Disease Prevention

NIH State-of-the-Science Conference

Date & Venue

May 15-17

2006

National Institutes of Health

Natcher Conference Center

The National Institutes of Health Foundation for Advanced Education in the Sciences (NIHFAES) is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

The NIHFAES designates this educational activity for a maximum of 13.00 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits.[™] Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Information/Registration

Online	consensus.nih.gov
E-mail	consensus@mail.nih.gov
Telephone	1-888-644-2667

Sponsored By

ODS	Office of Dietary Supplements
OMAR	Office of Medical Applications of Research
NIH	National Institutes of Health



NIH Consensus and State-of-the-Science Statements

Volume 23, Number 2
May 15-17, 2006

NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH
Office of the Director



About the NIH Consensus Development Program

National Institutes of Health (NIH) consensus and state-of-the-science statements are prepared by independent panels of health professionals and public representatives on the basis of 1) the results of a systematic literature review prepared under contract with the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), 2) presentations by investigators working in areas relevant to the conference questions during a 2-day public session, 3) questions and statements from conference attendees during open discussion periods that are part of the public session, and 4) closed deliberations by the panel during the remainder of the second day and the morning of the third. This statement is an independent report of the panel and is not a policy statement of the NIH or the federal government.

The statement reflects the panel's assessment of medical knowledge available at the time the statement was written. Thus, it provides a "snapshot in time" of the state of knowledge on the conference topic. When reading the statement, keep in mind that new knowledge is inevitably accumulating through medical research, and that the information provided is not a substitute for professional medical care or advice.

Reference Information

For making bibliographic reference to this statement, it is recommended that the following format be used, with or without source abbreviations, but without authorship attribution:

NIH State-of-the-Science Conference Statement on Multivitamin/Mineral Supplements and Chronic Disease Prevention.
Ann Intern Med. 2006; 145:364–371.

Publications Ordering Information

NIH Consensus Statements, State-of-the-Science Statements, and Technology Assessment Statements and related materials are available by writing to the NIH Consensus Development Program Information Center, P.O. Box 2577, Kensington, MD 20891; by calling toll free **1-888-NIH-CONSENSUS (888-644-2667)**; or by visiting the NIH Consensus Development Program home page at **<http://consensus.nih.gov>** on the World Wide Web. When ordering copies of this statement, please reference item number **2006-00028-STMT**.

The Evidence Report prepared for this conference by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality is available on the Web via **<http://www.ahrq.gov/clinic/tp/multivittp.htm>**. Printed copies may be ordered from the AHRQ Publications Clearinghouse by calling 1-800-358-9295. Requestors should ask for AHRQ Publication No. 06-E012.

NIH State-of-the-Science Conference Statement on Multivitamin/Mineral Supplements and Chronic Disease Prevention

NIH Consensus and State-of-the-Science Statements

Volume 23, Number 2
May 15–17, 2006

NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH
Office of the Director



Disclosure Statement

All of the panelists who participated in this conference and contributed to the writing of this statement were identified as having no financial or scientific conflict of interest, and all signed forms attesting to this fact. Unlike the expert speakers who present scientific data at the conference, the individuals invited to participate on NIH Consensus and State-of-the-Science panels are reviewed prior to selection to assure that they are not proponents of an advocacy position with regard to the topic and are not identified with research that could be used to answer the conference questions.

For more information about conference procedures, please see <http://consensus.nih.gov/aboutcdp.htm>.

Archived Conference Webcast

The NIH State-of-the-Science Conference on Multivitamin/Mineral Supplements for Chronic Disease Prevention was webcast live May 15–17, 2006. The webcast is archived and available for viewing free of charge at <http://consensus.nih.gov/previousstatements.htm>.

Abstract

Objective

To provide health care providers, patients, and the general public with a responsible assessment of currently available data on multivitamin/mineral supplements and chronic disease prevention.

Participants

A non-DHHS, non-advocate 13-member panel included experts in the fields of food science and human nutrition, biostatistics, biochemistry, toxicology, geriatric medicine, family medicine, pediatrics and pediatric endocrinology, cancer prevention, epidemiology, disease prevention and health promotion, and consumer protection. In addition, 19 experts from pertinent fields presented data to the panel and conference audience.

Evidence

Presentations by experts and a systematic review of the literature prepared by The Johns Hopkins University Evidence-based Practice Center, through the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Scientific evidence was given precedence over anecdotal experience.

Conference Process

The panel drafted its statement based on scientific evidence presented in open forum and on published scientific literature. The draft statement was presented on the final day of the conference and circulated to the audience for comment. The panel released a revised statement later that day at <http://consensus.nih.gov>. This statement is an independent report of the panel and is not a policy statement of the NIH or the Federal Government.

Conclusions

Use of multivitamins/minerals (MVMs) has grown rapidly over the past several decades, and dietary supplements are now used by more than half of the adult population in the United States. In general, MVMs are used by individuals who practice healthier lifestyles, thus making observational studies of the overall relationship between MVM use and general health outcomes difficult to interpret. Despite the widespread use of MVMs, we still have insufficient knowledge about the actual amount of total nutrients that Americans consume from diet and supplements. This is at least in part due to the fortification of foods with these nutrients, which adds to the effects of MVMs or single-vitamin or single-mineral supplements. Historically, fortification of foods has led to the remediation of vitamin and mineral deficits, but the cumulative effects of supplementation and fortification have also raised safety concerns about exceeding upper levels. Thus, there is a national need to improve the methods of obtaining accurate and current data on the public's total intake of these nutrients in foods and dietary supplements.

In systematically evaluating the effectiveness and safety of MVMs in relation to chronic disease prevention, we found few rigorous studies on which to base clear conclusions and recommendations. Most of the studies we examined do not provide strong evidence for beneficial health-related effects of supplements taken singly, in pairs, or in combinations of three or more. Within some studies or subgroups of the study populations, there is encouraging evidence of health benefits, such as increased bone mineral density and decreased fractures in postmenopausal women who use calcium and vitamin D supplements. However, several other studies also provide disturbing evidence of risk, such as increased lung cancer risk with β -carotene use among smokers.

The current level of public assurance of the safety and quality of MVMs is inadequate, given the fact that manufacturers of these products are not required to report adverse events and the FDA has no regulatory authority to require labeling changes or to help inform the public of these issues and concerns. It is important that the FDA's purview over these products be authorized and implemented.

Finally, the present evidence is insufficient to recommend either for or against the use of MVMs by the American public to prevent chronic disease. The resolution of this important issue will require advances in research and improved communication and collaboration among scientists, health care providers, patients, the pharmaceutical and supplement industries, and the public.

Introduction

At least half of American adults take a dietary supplement, the majority of which are multivitamin/multimineral (MVM) supplements. As more and more Americans seek strategies for maintaining good health and preventing disease, and as the marketplace offers an increasing number of products to fulfill that desire, it is important that consumers have the best possible information to make their choices. Assessing the available scientific evidence on the benefits of MVM supplement use for chronic disease prevention, identifying the gaps in the evidence, and recommending an appropriate research agenda to meet the shortfalls are subjects considered in this report.

The word *vitamine* was coined in 1912, as an abbreviated term meant to capture the notion of important factors in the diet, or “vital amines.” This was preceded more than 150 years earlier by British navy physician James Lind’s discovery—in the first recorded controlled trial—that citrus juice, a good source of what was found two centuries later to be vitamin C, could prevent scurvy in sailors. In 1913, the first vitamin was isolated: thiamin, the deficiency of which caused beriberi. Thirteen vitamins and 15 essential minerals have now been identified as important to human nutrition.

Large-scale fortification of diets began in the United States with the addition of iodine to table salt in 1924 to prevent goiter, followed by the addition of vitamin D to milk in 1933 to prevent rickets and the addition of thiamin, riboflavin, niacin, and iron to flour in 1941. Multivitamin/multimineral products providing more than vitamins A and D became available in pharmacies and grocery stores in the mid-1930s. In the early 1940s, the first MVM tablet was introduced.

Although clinical deficiency of vitamins or minerals, other than iron, is now uncommon in the United States, growth in supplement use has accelerated rapidly with marketing spurred by claims—some based on scientific studies—that chronic conditions could be prevented or treated

by supplement use. Annual sales of supplements to Americans are now reported at about \$23 billion, a substantial share of which is spent on vitamins and minerals.

With such widespread use of MVM, increasing public and medical confusion over apparently contradictory results from studies, and reports of possible adverse effects from overuse in certain circumstances, the Office of Dietary Supplements and the Office of Medical Applications of Research of the NIH convened a State-of-the-Science Conference on Multivitamin/Mineral Supplements and Chronic Disease Prevention, held on May 15–17, 2006, in Bethesda, Maryland. The goal of the conference was to assess the evidence available on MVM use and outcomes for chronic disease prevention in the generally healthy population of adults and to make recommendations for future research. The conference focused on vitamins and minerals and did not deal with botanicals, hormones, or other supplements. It also did not address the treatment of vitamin or mineral deficiencies. Except for considerations of safety, the conference also did not review issues of primary relevance to pregnant women or children.

Specifically, the conference explored the following key questions:

- What are the current patterns and prevalence of the public's use of MVM supplements?
- What is known about the dietary nutrient intake of MVM users versus nonusers?
- What is the efficacy of single vitamin/mineral supplement use in chronic disease prevention?
- What is the efficacy of MVM in chronic disease prevention in the general population of adults?
- What is known about the safety of MVM for the generally healthy population?
- What are the major knowledge gaps and research opportunities regarding MVM use?

During the first two days of the conference, experts presented information on each of the key questions. After weighing the scientific evidence, including the data presented by the speakers and a formal evidence report commissioned through the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), an independent panel prepared and presented a draft of this state-of-the-science statement addressing the conference questions. The evidence report prepared for the conference is available at www.ahrq.gov/clinic/tp/multivittp.htm.

For the purpose of this statement, the term *MVM* refers to any supplement containing three or more vitamins and minerals but no herbs, hormones, or drugs, with each component at a dose less than the tolerable upper level determined by the Food and Nutrition Board—the maximum daily intake likely to pose no risk for adverse health effects. Our review also included studies of the relationship of single-nutrient supplements and two-nutrient supplements to certain disease outcomes. The term *primary prevention* refers to preventing the development of disease in a person who does not have the disease in question. The chronic conditions assessed include cancer; age-related sensory loss; and cardiovascular, endocrine, neurologic, musculoskeletal, gastroenterologic, renal, and pulmonary diseases.

A word is warranted about the nature of the evidence base considered by the panel. The range of vitamins and minerals of possible interest was so broad that the conference planning committee chose to focus the evidence report on nutrients for which the potential for impact had been most strongly suggested and on conditions for which supplements were thought to have the most potential influence.

The planning committee also limited the scope of the evidence report to consideration of randomized, controlled trials (RCTs), which are generally considered the gold standard for evidence-based decision making. These are studies in which participants are allocated by chance alone to receive or not receive one of two or more clinical interventions. For example, while folate

supplementation was initially shown to decrease the risk for neural tube defects in animal studies, outcome data in nonhuman models were not considered sufficient evidence on which to base policy recommendations. At the next level of evidence, observational studies in humans suggested efficacy of folate supplementation to prevent such defects. However, these were criticized because they were not randomized and were potentially subject to bias. Not until these findings were confirmed by RCTs in humans was public policy implemented, including fortification of cereal grains with folate.

An observational study is one in which the exposure or treatment of interest is not assigned to the participant by the investigator. Such studies suggested that β -carotene intake might protect against the development of some types of cancer. However, RCTs of β -carotene supplementation not only showed no benefit, they also found an increased risk for lung cancer in persons who smoked cigarettes or who were exposed to asbestos. These examples illustrate both the risk of relying only on observational studies and the advantage of RCTs in identifying both benefits and risks of MVM supplementation.

Limiting the focus of our statement to RCTs has some inherent limitations, given the potential of other types of studies to provide important insights. Observational studies, for example, are particularly useful for generating hypotheses, defining adverse effects, and documenting long-term treatment consequences. They are often essential precursors to the well-conducted RCTs important for policy formation.

Our principal recommendations focus on the compelling research activities that must be supported to better inform the decisions that millions of Americans are making each day to use or not to use MVM supplements to prevent chronic disease. At the same time, mindful of the constraints of the available evidence base, we have also taken care not to make premature recommendations about whether generally healthy Americans should or should not take MVM supplements. Because of the need for more

reliable information on MVMs, we have made strong recommendations for research and for increased U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) oversight of the MVM industry.

1. What are the current patterns and prevalence of the public's use of MVM supplements?

More than half of American adults take dietary supplements in the belief that they will make them feel better, give them greater energy, improve their health, and prevent and treat disease. The use of supplements has been steadily increasing, and growth appears likely to continue. Currently, users spend more than \$23 billion per year on supplements, and among this supplement-using population, MVM is the major category of supplements, used by about one third of Americans. Uncertainty remains in estimating prevalence of use because of problems defining these products; increasing complexity in the formulation of supplements, including more non-MVM components and specialized formulas; and varying frequency of use.

It appears that use is higher among women and among the children of women who use supplements; in elderly persons; among people with more education, higher income, healthier lifestyles and diets, and lower body mass indices; and among residents of the western United States. Individuals with chronic illnesses or who are seeking to prevent recurrence of a serious disease (for example, cancer) also tend to be more frequent users. Many dietary supplement users perceive their health as better. Conversely, MVM use is lower among smokers and certain ethnic and racial groups, such as African American persons, Hispanic persons, and Native Americans, while certain Asian ethnic groups appear to have higher MVM use. Ironically, populations at highest risk for nutritional inadequacy who might benefit the most from MVM are the least likely to use such products.

2. What is known about the dietary nutrient intake of MVM users versus nonusers?

According to several studies, MVM supplement users (for example, adults, infants, toddlers age 12 to 24 months, adolescents, and elderly persons) also tend to have higher micronutrient intakes from their diet than nonusers. Consequently, MVM users have an increased intake but are also more likely to exceed the upper level. The trend to “fortify” certain foods not required by law to be fortified with vitamins and minerals makes calculation of total intake more difficult. A recent industry report estimates that, in 2005, 65 percent of Americans used such fortified foods or beverages, worth \$36 billion, and that these sales are increasing rapidly.

The measurement of dietary vitamin/mineral intake and intake from supplements is uncertain, which undermines our ability to accurately assess the distribution of vitamin/mineral intake in the population, as well as our ability to use observational studies to detect effects of vitamin/mineral intake on chronic disease. In part, these uncertainties stem from individuals’ difficulty in identifying correctly what supplements they are actually taking and their frequency of consumption (for example, many products look alike but are very different in their composition). Moreover, the lack of databases of MVM composition limits the ability to translate supplement intake into amounts of various vitamins and minerals actually consumed. There are thousands of product labels, vast differences in the amounts of vitamins/minerals delivered by various products, and major variability within even the same product over time and across batches.

These methodologic difficulties should be resolved by two actions. The quality of self-report data of MVM use should be improved to enhance accuracy and specificity of reported MVM intake, and new databases that detail the exact composition of MVM supplements need to be built and updated on a continuous basis.

3. What is the efficacy of single vitamin/mineral supplement use in chronic disease prevention?

Few high-quality clinical trials have been conducted to determine whether single-use or paired vitamins/minerals prevent chronic diseases, and even fewer are generalizable to the U.S. population. In addition, much of the evidence derives from post hoc analyses for outcomes not originally chosen as study end points. These studies are reviewed in the evidence report.

Findings by Vitamin/Mineral

β-Carotene

Two large trials (1, 2) designed to test lung cancer prevention with β-carotene found a surprising increase in lung cancer incidence and deaths in smokers and male asbestos workers. There was no effect in preventing a number of other types of cancer, including gastric, pancreatic, breast, bladder, colorectal, and prostate cancer as well as leukemia, mesothelioma, and lymphoma. The overall mortality rate was elevated in women, but not men, treated with β-carotene throughout the intervention and postintervention period. A third large trial (3), in healthy American men, found no effect of β-carotene on cancer except an increased risk for thyroid and bladder cancer. Two other β-carotene trials (4, 5) to prevent nonmelanoma skin cancer found no effect on subsequent skin cancer incidence. A large study of healthy American women also found no effect of β-carotene on cancer incidence (6). Four of these β-carotene trials (2, 3, 5, 7) also evaluated cardiovascular disease (CVD) and found no benefits. In healthy women, there was a suggestion of increased stroke risk in one study (6) and an increased risk for CVD in women smokers in the Carotene and Retinol Efficacy Trial (CARET) (8).

Vitamin A

No trials were found for vitamin A supplementation alone. When vitamin A was paired with β-carotene in one trial

(2), lung cancer and CVD deaths were increased. When vitamin A was combined with zinc in another trial, there was no impact on esophageal or gastric cardia cancer, although noncardia stomach cancer decreased (9).

Vitamin E

Four trials tested vitamin E. One large study of healthy women, the Women's Health Study (WHS), recorded decreased cardiovascular deaths, although there was no effect on incidence of CVD events (10). Another trial found a decreased risk for prostate cancer (and a suggestion of decreased colorectal cancer risk) in male smokers, as well as a decreased risk for angina and thrombotic stroke (7, 11–14). No other effects were found on other types of cancer. There was a trend toward increased bleeding, subarachnoid hemorrhage, and hemorrhagic stroke among male smokers in this study (7), but in the WHS, no increase in hemorrhagic stroke was seen among women (10). Another trial (15) yielded inconclusive results for main cardiovascular end points because of small numbers and because the trial was stopped prematurely. Two trials examined development of age-related cataract (16) and lens opacity (14), respectively, and reported no effect of vitamin E supplementation.

Vitamin B₂ and Niacin

One large Chinese trial of vitamin B₂ and niacin found a decreased risk for nuclear cataracts (17). No effects were found on cortical cataracts, mortality rates, stroke, upper gastrointestinal dysplasia, or cancer.

Vitamin B₆

Two small, short-duration studies of vitamin B₆ to prevent cognitive decline in elderly men and women showed no effects (18).

Folic Acid with or without Vitamin B₁₂

Multiple studies have shown the effectiveness of folic acid use by women of childbearing age to prevent neural

tube defects in offspring. Four small, short-duration studies of folic acid, with or without vitamin B₁₂, to prevent cognitive decline in older adults found no effects (19).

Selenium

Three trials tested selenium supplementation to prevent cancer. In two Chinese trials, selenium decreased liver cancer incidence in patients at high risk because of either a family history of liver cancer or hepatitis B exposure status (20). The reports of these trials, however, lack many important details. The third selenium trial was conducted in men and women who had a history of skin cancer (21). It found no decrease in skin cancer but reported reductions in total deaths from cancer and in the incidence of lung, prostate, and colorectal cancer (outcomes the study was not designed to investigate).

Calcium and Vitamin D

Multiple studies demonstrate that calcium increases bone mineral density in postmenopausal women but by itself does not decrease fracture risk. Vitamin D alone does not increase bone mineral density or decrease fracture risk, but it does work in combination with calcium to decrease the risk for hip and nonvertebral fractures in postmenopausal women. Vitamin D and calcium may increase the risk for kidney stones. The single trial that tested the effect of calcium supplementation and vitamin D on colorectal cancer risk found no effect, but the doses may have been inappropriately low (22).

Summary

Few trials of individual or paired vitamins and minerals for the prevention of chronic disease produced beneficial effects. We found no evidence to recommend β -carotene supplements for the general population and strong evidence to recommend that smokers avoid β -carotene supplementation. In combination, calcium and vitamin D have a beneficial effect on bone mineral density and fracture risk in postmenopausal women. On the basis

of single studies and analysis of secondary outcomes, there is a suggestion that selenium may reduce risk for prostate, lung, and colorectal cancer; that vitamin E may decrease cardiovascular deaths in women and prostate cancer incidence in male smokers; and that vitamin A paired with zinc may decrease the risk for noncardia stomach cancer in rural China. Trials of niacin; folate; and vitamins B₂, B₆, and B₁₂ produced no positive effect on chronic disease occurrence in the general population.

4. What is the efficacy of MVM in chronic disease prevention in the general population of adults?

Five RCTs conducted in the United States, the United Kingdom, China, and France studied the efficacy of MVM supplements in the primary prevention of cancer and CVD and in delaying the development or progression of cataract and age-related macular degeneration (9, 23–27). The five studies used combinations of three to seven vitamins, minerals, or both in one or more intervention arms.

We noted some limitations in these studies. In the Chinese study, while the body mass index of study participants was within the normal range, there were indications of inadequate intake of some micronutrients, thus limiting the generalizability of this study's findings to the U.S. population (9). Three of these studies addressed eye disease, and all were performed in patients who had existing eye disease and were seen in ophthalmology clinics (25–27). One of these studies had only 71 patients and included several supplements other than vitamins and minerals in the intervention (27). A binational study of cataracts had different entry criteria in each country (25).

Findings by Disease

Cancer

Both trials that examined cancer end points found a reduction in cancer incidence, mortality, or both. In China, overall cancer incidence and mortality rates were

significantly reduced, as were incidence and mortality rates for the two leading types of cancer, esophageal and gastric, in an arm of the study that included vitamin E, β -carotene, and selenium (9). The decrease in esophageal cancer emerged as a statistically significant finding only after many years of follow-up. Another arm of the study, on zinc and vitamin A, was associated with a reduction in noncardia gastric cancer, although other gastric cancer and esophageal cancer were not reduced. In France, an intervention consisting of vitamin E, selenium, vitamin C, β -carotene, and zinc was associated with a reduction in overall cancer incidence in men only, but no individual cancer was clearly reduced (23). Overall mortality rates in men were also lower in the intervention group. No effect was seen in women. In China, younger persons in the intervention group had a lower incidence of esophageal cancer, but older persons had a higher incidence associated with treatment. Among men in the French study with normal prostate-specific antigen levels, the intervention was associated with a lower incidence of prostate cancer, but prostate cancer incidence was higher among men with high prostate-specific antigen levels at baseline (24).

CVD

None of the reviewed studies showed any benefits or harm related to CVD resulting from MVM use in the studied populations.

Cataract

Mixed results emerged from studies in which cataract progression was the targeted outcome. Only modest and inconsistent effects were found in the two studies that reported any benefit (25, 26).

Age-Related Macular Degeneration

One study showed less progression of intermediate-stage age-related macular degeneration in persons receiving vitamins C and E, β -carotene, and zinc (26).

Summary

The uncertainty resulting from these trials suggests that multivitamin trials are unlikely to lead to generalizable knowledge. They cannot distinguish between the effects of individual components; they are likely to be contaminated by MVM use in the placebo group; they have a weaker biological basis than single-vitamin or single-mineral studies; they require very large sample sizes; and they will become outdated from a public health perspective because of the changing composition of commonly used MVMs.

There is evidence from one well-designed trial to consider use of antioxidants and zinc in adults with intermediate-stage age-related macular degeneration. Some suggestive evidence points to possible benefit of selenium, vitamin E, or both in cancer prevention, especially in men. However, studies have also identified subgroups of the population whose cancer risk might increase with such supplementation. Trials currently in progress (for example, the Selenium and Vitamin E Cancer Prevention Trial [SELECT] and the Physicians' Health Study II) should help determine the actual benefits and harms of such supplementation.

5. What is known about the safety of MVM for the generally healthy population?

Most people assume that the ingredients in MVM supplements are safe. There is evidence, however, that certain ingredients in MVM supplements can produce adverse effects, including reports from RCTs that noted excess lung cancer occurring in asbestos workers and smokers consuming β -carotene. In addition, esophageal cancer excess was found with long-term follow-up of older Chinese patients treated with selenium, β -carotene, and vitamin E supplements (9). There was also evidence for gender difference in patterns of lung cancer and CVD risk related to β -carotene. In another study, patients with elevated prostate-specific antigen levels at baseline who were receiving an MVM intervention had higher incidence of prostate cancer (24).

Vitamin D and calcium may increase the risk for kidney stones for certain people. These data raise safety questions both in general and in special populations. Although these studies are not definitive, they do suggest possible safety concerns that should be monitored for primary components of multivitamins.

The RCTs and observational studies on vitamin and mineral supplements have provided little information on the safety of single-vitamin, single-mineral, or MVM dietary supplements. Safety assessments were often limited to adverse reports from patients who dropped out of trials. The RCTs did not include assessments of well-known potential adverse end points. Issues that have not been adequately addressed include but are not limited to reproducibility of the MVM manufacturing process, characterization of the vitamin mix, demonstration of the absence of contaminants, stability, and interactions with other nutrients or drugs.

There is potential for adverse effects in individuals consuming dietary supplements that are above the upper level. This can occur not only in individuals consuming high-potency single-nutrient supplements but also in individuals who consume a healthy diet rich in fortified foods in combination with MVM supplements. Furthermore, by law, the listing of ingredient amounts on nutrient supplement labels is the minimum content; thus, higher intakes are probable. Data from prospective studies have shown that individuals taking MVM dietary supplements improved their nutritional adequacy with respect to several nutrients but also increased the proportion of their intakes above the upper level for several of the supplemented nutrients. With the strong trends of increasing MVM and other dietary supplement consumption, and the increasing fortification of the U.S. diet, we are concerned that a growing proportion of the population may be consuming levels considerably above the upper level, thus increasing the possibility of adverse effects.

The FDA has insufficient resources and legislative authority to require specific safety data from dietary supplement manufacturers or distributors before or after their products are made available to the public. This lack of regulation exists despite the reality that many of the ingredients of MVMs would be subject to premarket approval if they were marketed as food additives and that in some cases the ingredients possess biological activities similar, if not identical, to those found in medications. The 1994 Dietary Supplement Health and Education Act (DSHEA) assumed that history of use of a given supplement was evidence for safety, thus grandfathering in all supplements on the market before the legislation. However, use of nutrients in foods and supplements in the United States is changing, and we are concerned that public safety cannot be assured. Adverse events from MVMs appear with some frequency in both the reports of the American Association of Poison Control Centers and the FDA's MedWatch system.

We found the primary recommendation of the 2005 Institute of Medicine committee report on dietary supplements compelling: “[T]he regulatory mechanisms for monitoring the safety of dietary supplements, as currently defined by DSHEA, [should] be revised. The constraints imposed on FDA with regard to ensuring the absence of unreasonable risk associated with the use of dietary supplements make it difficult for the health of the American public to be adequately protected.” The FDA should have the authority to better inform consumers and health professionals regarding the existence of upper levels as well as the possible risks of exceeding those levels; develop a formal, mandatory adverse event reporting system for dietary supplements; and mandate provision of a MedWatch toll-free telephone number or Web site on product labels to facilitate reporting of adverse events. Furthermore, we recommend that health care professionals, consumers, and manufacturers use the FDA MedWatch adverse event reporting system to report adverse events associated with the use of dietary supplements. Finally, we recommend that Congress revise and update the law to reflect current knowledge.

6. What are the major knowledge gaps and research opportunities regarding MVM use?

This review of the state of the science has identified important gaps in knowledge about the relationship between MVM use and chronic disease prevention in generally healthy adults. These deficiencies are attributable to shortcomings in data quality and a paucity of rigorously designed and conducted studies, especially RCTs. Hence, this report emphasizes the need and rationale for rigorous, state-of-the-art, methodologically and technologically forward-looking research to bridge these gaps. We strongly recommend the following actions.

- Elicit more accurate information from individuals to improve the quality of self-reported data on MVM use. Capitalize on new electronic technologies, design and employ improved questionnaires, and develop new dietary and MVM recall methods, all to enhance accuracy and specificity of reported MVM intake.
- Build new MVM databases that detail the exact composition of supplements, update them on a continuous basis, and assure their constant availability to the research community. A national database, such as that developed and maintained by the U.S. Department of Agriculture for food composition, will be a major improvement for determining potential impact, benefits, and harms of MVM.
- Determine the most effective means to translate scientific information and improve communication about dietary supplements among consumers, health care providers, industry, scientists, and policymakers.
- Develop a strategy to support the study of possible interactions of MVMs with nutrients or prescribed and over-the-counter medications.
- Study populations that reflect the diversity of the United States ethnically, economically, and by age and sex. Focus on population segments previously underrepresented and also on individuals at increased risk for chronic disease.

- Capitalize on the rapidly progressing state of biomedical science to develop and apply techniques for assessing the basic biological mechanisms by which supplements may modify disease risks, for example, nutritional genomics, molecular imaging, and systems biology network approaches. The resulting knowledge may identify important new biomarkers, early in the disease process, that may inform observational studies and RCTs.
- Design and conduct rigorous RCTs of the impact of individual supplements (or paired supplements, when biologically plausible) to test their efficacy and safety in prevention of chronic disease, using well-validated measures. Select the vitamins and minerals to be studied on the basis of their biological plausibility and outcomes of appropriate observational and pilot studies. Include in trials the most modern and validated biomarkers of exposure, bioavailability intermediary metabolism, and early disease. When possible, incorporate relevant genetic polymorphisms and other indices of individual physiologic characteristics into trial design. Randomized, controlled trials should employ such cost-effective and innovative methods as fractional factorial designs, which will permit the simultaneous evolution of multiple single supplements and their low-order interactions. Assure sufficient trial duration of both observational studies and RCTs during intervention and follow-up to determine important outcomes that may inform public policy decisions.

Conclusions

Use of MVMs has grown rapidly over the past several decades, and dietary supplements are now used by more than half of the adult population in the United States. In general, MVMs are used by individuals who practice healthier lifestyles, thus making observational studies of the overall relationship between MVM use and general health outcomes difficult to interpret. Despite the widespread use of MVMs, we still have insufficient knowledge about the actual amount of total nutrients

that Americans consume from diet and supplements. This is at least in part due to the fortification of foods with these nutrients, which adds to the effects of MVMs or single-vitamin or single-mineral supplements. Historically, fortification of foods has led to the remediation of vitamin and mineral deficits, but the cumulative effects of supplementation and fortification have also raised safety concerns about exceeding upper levels. Thus, there is a national need to improve the methods of obtaining accurate and current data on the public's total intake of these nutrients in foods and dietary supplements.

In systematically evaluating the effectiveness and safety of MVMs in relation to chronic disease prevention, we found few rigorous studies on which to base clear conclusions and recommendations. Most of the studies we examined do not provide strong evidence for beneficial health-related effects of supplements taken singly, in pairs, or in combinations of three or more. Within some studies or subgroups of the study populations, there is encouraging evidence of health benefits, such as increased bone mineral density and decreased fractures in postmenopausal women who use calcium and vitamin D supplements. However, several other studies also provide disturbing evidence of risk, such as increased lung cancer risk with β -carotene use among smokers.

The current level of public assurance of the safety and quality of MVMs is inadequate, given the fact that manufacturers of these products are not required to report adverse events and the FDA has no regulatory authority to require labeling changes or to help inform the public of these issues and concerns. It is important that the FDA's purview over these products be authorized and implemented.

Finally, the present evidence is insufficient to recommend either for or against the use of MVMs by the American public to prevent chronic disease. The resolution of this important issue will require advances in research and improved communication and collaboration among

scientists, health care providers, patients, the pharmaceutical and supplement industries, and the public.

References

- 1 The effect of vitamin E and beta carotene on the incidence of lung cancer and other cancers in male smokers. The Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta Carotene Cancer Prevention Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1994;330:1029-35. [PMID: 8127329]
- 2 Omenn GS, Goodman GE, Thornquist MD, Balmes J, Cullen MR, Glass A, et al. Effects of a combination of beta carotene and vitamin A on lung cancer and cardiovascular disease. *N Engl J Med*. 1996;334:1150-5. [PMID: 8602180]
- 3 Hennekens CH, Buring JE, Manson JE, Stampfer M, Rosner B, Cook NR, et al. Lack of effect of long-term supplementation with beta carotene on the incidence of malignant neoplasms and cardiovascular disease. *N Engl J Med*. 1996;334:1145-9. [PMID: 8602179]
- 4 Green A, Williams G, Neale R, Hart V, Leslie D, Parsons P, et al. Daily sunscreen application and betacarotene supplementation in prevention of basal-cell and squamous-cell carcinomas of the skin: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 1999;354:723-9. [PMID: 10475183]
- 5 Greenberg ER, Baron JA, Karagas MR, Stukel TA, Nierenberg DW, Stevens MM, et al. Mortality associated with low plasma concentration of beta carotene and the effect of oral supplementation. *JAMA*. 1996;275:699-703. [PMID: 8594267]
- 6 Lee IM, Cook NR, Manson JE, Buring JE, Hennekens CH. Beta-carotene supplementation and incidence of cancer and cardiovascular disease: the Women's Health Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1999;91:2102-6. [PMID: 10601381]
- 7 Leppala JM, Virtamo J, Fogelholm R, Huttunen JK, Albanes D, Taylor PR, et al. Controlled trial of alpha-tocopherol and beta-carotene supplements on stroke incidence and mortality in male smokers. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2000;20:230-5. [PMID: 10634823]
- 8 Goodman GE, Thornquist MD, Balmes J, Cullen MR, Meyskens FL Jr, Omenn GS, et al. The Beta-Carotene and Retinol Efficacy Trial: incidence of lung cancer and cardiovascular disease mortality during 6-year follow-up after stopping beta-carotene and retinol supplements. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2004;96:1743-50. [PMID: 15572756]

- 9 Blot WJ, Li JY, Taylor PR, Guo W, Dawsey S, Wang GQ, et al. Nutrition intervention trials in Linxian, China: supplementation with specific vitamin/mineral combinations, cancer incidence, and disease-specific mortality in the general population. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1993;85:1483-92. [PMID: 8360931]
- 10 Lee IM, Cook NR, Gaziano JM, Gordon D, Ridker PM, Manson JE, et al. Vitamin E in the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease and cancer: the Women's Health Study: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2005;294:56-65. [PMID: 15998891]
- 11 Heinonen OP, Albanes D, Virtamo J, Taylor PR, Huttunen JK, Hartman AM, et al. Prostate cancer and supplementation with alpha-tocopherol and beta-carotene: incidence and mortality in a controlled trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1998;90:440-6. [PMID: 9521168]
- 12 Albanes D, Malila N, Taylor PR, Huttunen JK, Virtamo J, Edwards BK, et al. Effects of supplemental alpha-tocopherol and beta-carotene on colorectal cancer: results from a controlled trial (Finland). *Cancer Causes Control.* 2000; 11:197-205. [PMID: 10782653]
- 13 Rapola JM, Virtamo J, Haukka JK, Heinonen OP, Albanes D, Taylor PR, et al. Effect of vitamin E and beta carotene on the incidence of angina pectoris. A randomized, double-blind, controlled trial. *JAMA.* 1996;275:693-8. [PMID: 8594266]
- 14 Teikari JM, Laatikainen L, Virtamo J, Haukka J, Rautalahti M, Liesio K, et al. Six-year supplementation with alpha-tocopherol and beta-carotene and age-related maculopathy. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand.* 1998;76:224-9. [PMID: 9591958]
- 15 de Gaetano G. Low-dose aspirin and vitamin E in people at cardiovascular risk: a randomised trial in general practice. Collaborative Group of the Primary Prevention Project. *Lancet.* 2001;357:89-95. [PMID: 11197445]
- 16 McNeil JJ, Robman L, Tikellis G, Sinclair MI, McCarty CA, Taylor HR. Vitamin E supplementation and cataract: randomized controlled trial. *Ophthalmology.* 2004;111:75-84. [PMID: 14711717]
- 17 Sperduto RD, Hu TS, Milton RC, Zhao JL, Everett DF, Cheng QF, et al. The Linxian cataract studies. Two nutrition intervention trials. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 1993;111:1246-53. [PMID: 8363468]
- 18 Malouf R, Grimley Evans J. The effect of vitamin B6 on cognition. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2003;CD004393. [PMID: 14584010]

- 19 Malouf M, Grimley EJ, Areosa SA. Folic acid with or without vitamin B12 for cognition and dementia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2003;CD004514. [PMID: 14584018]
- 20 Yu SY, Zhu YJ, Li WG, Huang QS, Huang CZ, Zhang QN, et al. A preliminary report on the intervention trials of primary liver cancer in high-risk populations with nutritional supplementation of selenium in China. *Biol Trace Elem Res*. 1991;29:289-94. [PMID: 1726411]
- 21 Clark LC, Combs GF Jr, Turnbull BW, Slate EH, Chalker DK, Chow J, et al. Effects of selenium supplementation for cancer prevention in patients with carcinoma of the skin. A randomized controlled trial. Nutritional Prevention of Cancer Study Group. *JAMA*. 1996;276:1957-63. [PMID: 8971064]
- 22 Wactawski-Wende J, Kotchen JM, Anderson GL, Assaf AR, Brunner RL, O'Sullivan MJ, et al. Calcium plus vitamin D supplementation and the risk of colorectal cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;354:684-96. [PMID: 16481636]
- 23 Hercberg S, Galan P, Preziosi P, Bertrais S, Mennen L, Malvy D, et al. The SU.VI.MAX Study: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial of the health effects of antioxidant vitamins and minerals. *Arch Intern Med*. 2004;164:2335-42. [PMID: 15557412]
- 24 Meyer F, Galan P, Douville P, Bairati I, Kegle P, Bertrais S, et al. Antioxidant vitamin and mineral supplementation and prostate cancer prevention in the SU.VI.MAX trial. *Int J Cancer*. 2005;116:182-6. [PMID: 15800922]
- 25 Chylack LT Jr, Brown NP, Bron A, Hurst M, Kopcke W, Thien U, et al. The Roche European American Cataract Trial (REACT): a randomized clinical trial to investigate the efficacy of an oral antioxidant micronutrient mixture to slow progression of age-related cataract. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol*. 2002;9:49-80. [PMID: 11815895]
- 26 Age-Related Disease Study Research Group. A randomized, placebo-controlled, clinical trial of high-dose supplementation with vitamins C and E and beta carotene for age-related cataract and vision loss: AREDS report no. 9. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2001;119:1439-52. [PMID: 11594943]
- 27 Richer S. Multicenter ophthalmic and nutritional age-related macular degeneration study—part 2: antioxidant intervention and conclusions. *J Am Optom Assoc*. 1996;67:30-49. [PMID: 8825017]

State-of-the-Science Panel

J. Michael McGinnis, M.D., M.P.P.

*Panel and Conference
Chairperson
Senior Scholar
Institute of Medicine
The National Academies
Washington, DC*

Diane F. Birt, Ph.D.

*Distinguished Professor
Department of Food Science
and Human Nutrition
Director, Center for
Research on Botanical
Dietary Supplements
College of Agriculture and
College of Human Sciences
Iowa State University
Ames, Iowa*

Patsy M. Brannon, Ph.D., R.D.

*Professor
Division of Nutritional Sciences
Cornell University
Ithaca, New York*

Raymond J. Carroll, Ph.D.

*Distinguished Professor
of Statistics
Professor of Nutrition
and Toxicology
Department of Statistics
Texas A&M University
College Station, Texas*

Robert D. Gibbons, Ph.D.

*Director
Center for Health Statistics
Professor of Biostatistics
and Psychiatry
University of Illinois at Chicago
Chicago, Illinois*

William R. Hazzard, M.D.

*Professor
Department of Medicine
Division of Gerontology and
Geriatric Medicine
University of Washington
Chief, Geriatrics and
Extended Care
VA Puget Sound Health
Care System
Seattle, Washington*

Douglas B. Kamerow, M.D., M.P.H.

*U.S. Editor, BMJ
Professor of Clinical
Family Medicine,
Georgetown University
Chief Scientist, Health,
Social, and Economics
Research
RTI International
Washington, DC*

Bernard Levin, M.D.

*Professor of Medicine
Vice President for
Cancer Prevention and
Population Sciences
University of Texas M.D.
Anderson Cancer Center
Houston, Texas*

James M. Ntambi, Ph.D.

*Steenbock Professor
Departments of Biochemistry
and Nutritional Sciences
University of Wisconsin–Madison
Madison, Wisconsin*

Nigel Paneth, M.D., M.P.H.

Professor of Epidemiology
Pediatrics and Human
Development
College of Human Medicine
Michigan State University
East Lansing, Michigan

Audrey F. Saftlas, Ph.D., M.P.H.

Professor
Department of Epidemiology
The University of Iowa
College of Public Health
Iowa City, Iowa

Douglas Rogers, M.D.

*Head, Section of Pediatric and
Adolescent Endocrinology*
The Cleveland Clinic
Cleveland, Ohio

William Vaughan

Senior Policy Analyst
Consumer's Union
Washington, DC

Speakers

Anthony J. Alberg, Ph.D., M.P.H.

Associate Professor
Blatt Ness Endowed Chair
in Oncology
Department of Biostatistics,
Bioinformatics, and
Epidemiology
Hollings Cancer Center
Medical University of
South Carolina
Charleston, South Carolina

Benjamin Caballero, M.D., Ph.D.

Professor
Center for Human Nutrition
Johns Hopkins Bloomberg
School of Public Health
Baltimore, Maryland

Allen Dobson, Ph.D.

Senior Vice President
The Lewin Group
Falls Church, Virginia

Bruce N. Ames, Ph.D.

Professor of the
Graduate School
University of California, Berkeley
Senior Scientist
Nutrition and
Metabolism Center
Children's Hospital
Oakland Research Institute
Oakland, California

Peter Greenwald, M.D., Dr.P.H.

Director, Division of
Cancer Prevention
National Cancer Institute
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Robert P. Heaney, M.D.

John A. Creighton
University Professor
Professor of Medicine
Department of Medicine
Creighton University
Omaha, Nebraska

Diane Benford, Ph.D.

Chemical Safety Division
Food Standards Agency
London, United Kingdom

Han-Yao Huang, Ph.D., M.P.H.
Assistant Professor
Department of Epidemiology
Johns Hopkins Bloomberg
School of Public Health
Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive
Cancer Center
Johns Hopkins
School of Medicine
Baltimore, Maryland

Suzanne Murphy, Ph.D., R.D.
Research Professor
Cancer Research Center
of Hawaii
University of Hawaii
Honolulu, Hawaii

Roy M. Pitkin, M.D.
Professor Emeritus
University of California,
Los Angeles
La Quinta, California

Ross L. Prentice, Ph.D.
Biostatistician
Division of Public
Health Sciences
Fred Hutchinson Cancer
Research Center
Seattle, Washington

Cheryl L. Rock, Ph.D., R.D.
Professor
Family and Preventive Medicine
Cancer Prevention and
Control Program
University of California,
San Diego
La Jolla, California

Irwin H. Rosenberg, M.D.
*Senior Scientist and
University Professor*
Jean Mayer U.S. Department of
Agriculture Human Nutrition
Research Center on Aging
Tufts University
Boston, Massachusetts

Johanna M. Seddon, M.D., Sc.M.
Director, Epidemiology Unit
Department of Ophthalmology
Massachusetts Eye and
Ear Infirmary
Boston, Massachusetts

A. Elizabeth Sloan, Ph.D.
Editor/Columnist
Food Technology
*Functional Foods &
Nutraceuticals and Flavor
& The Menu Magazines*
Escondido, California

Meir J. Stampfer, M.D., Dr.P.H.
*Professor of Epidemiology
and Nutrition*
*Chair, Department of
Epidemiology*
Departments of Epidemiology
and Nutrition
Harvard School of Public Health
Professor of Medicine
Harvard Medical School
Boston, Massachusetts

Maret Traber, Ph.D.
Professor
Linus Pauling Institute
Oregon State University
Corvallis, Oregon

Jason J.Y. Woo, M.D., M.P.H., FACOG
*Team Leader for the
Clinical Group*
Division of Dietary
Supplement Programs
Office of Nutritional Products,
Labeling, and Dietary
Supplements
Center for Food Safety &
Applied Nutrition
U.S. Food and Drug
Administration
College Park, Maryland

Elizabeth Yetley, Ph.D.
Office of Dietary Supplements
Office of the Director
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Planning Committee

Johanna Dwyer, D.Sc., R.D.

*Planning Committee
Co-Chairperson
Senior Nutrition Scientist
Office of Dietary Supplements
Office of the Director
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland*

Paul M. Coates, Ph.D.

*Planning Committee
Co-Chairperson
Director
Office of Dietary Supplements
Office of the Director
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland*

Mayada Akil, M.D.

*Office of Science Policy
and Program Planning
National Institute of
Mental Health
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland*

David Atkins, M.D., M.P.H.

*Chief Medical Officer
Center for Outcomes
and Evidence
Agency for Healthcare
Research and Quality
U.S. Department of Health
and Human Services
Rockville, Maryland*

Barbara A. Bowman

*Associate Director for Science
National Center for Chronic
Disease Prevention and
Health Promotion
Chronic Disease Prevention
Centers for Disease Control
and Prevention
Atlanta, Georgia*

Elsa A. Bray

*Senior Advisor for the Consensus
Development Program
Office of Medical Applications
of Research
Office of the Director
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland*

Emily Chew, M.D.

*Deputy Director
Division of Epidemiology
and Clinical Research
National Eye Institute
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland*

Gerald Combs, Jr., Ph.D.

*Center Director
Grand Forks Human Nutrition
Research Center
U.S. Department of Agriculture
Grand Forks, North Dakota*

Margaret Coopey, R.N., M.G.A., M.P.S.

*Health Policy Analyst
Center for Practice and
Technology Assessment
Agency for Healthcare
Research and Quality
U.S. Department of Health
and Human Services
Rockville, Maryland*

Cindy D. Davis, Ph.D.

*Nutritional Science Research
Group
National Cancer Institute
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland*

James Everhart, M.D., M.P.H.
Chief
Epidemiology and Clinical
Trials Branch
Division of Digestive Diseases
and Nutrition
National Institute of
Diabetes and Digestive
and Kidney Diseases
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Judith A. Finkelstein, Ph.D.
Health Scientist Administrator
Neuroscience and
Neuropsychology of
Aging Program
National Institute on Aging
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Gilman D. Grave, M.D.
Chief
Endocrinology, Nutrition,
and Growth Branch
National Institute of
Child Health and
Human Development
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Laura Kettel Khan, Ph.D.
Deputy Chief
Chronic Disease Nutrition
Division of Nutrition
and Physical Activity
Centers for Disease
Control and Prevention
Atlanta, Georgia

Marguerite A. Klein
National Center for
Complementary and
Alternative Medicine
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Barnett S. Kramer, M.D., M.P.H.
Director
Office of Medical Applications
of Research
Office of the Director
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Molly Kretsch, Ph.D.
*National Program Leader
for Human Nutrition*
Agriculture Research Service
U.S. Department of Agriculture
Beltsville, Maryland

Catherine Loria, Ph.D.
Epidemiologist
National Heart, Lung,
and Blood Institute
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Kelli K. Marciel, M.A.
Communications Director
Office of Medical Applications
of Research
Office of the Director
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

J. Michael McGinnis, M.D., M.P.P.
*Panel and Conference
Chairperson*
Counselor to the President
The Robert Wood
Johnson Foundation
Washington, DC

Joan A. McGowan, Ph.D.
Chief
Musculoskeletal
Diseases Branch
National Institute of Arthritis
and Musculoskeletal
and Skin Diseases
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Linda D. Meyers, Ph.D.

Director
Food and Nutrition Board
Institute of Medicine
The National Academies
Washington, DC

Lata S. Nerurkar, Ph.D.

*Senior Advisor for
the Consensus
Development Program*
Office of Medical Applications
of Research
Office of the Director
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Malden C. Nesheim, Ph.D.

*Professor of Nutritional
Sciences Emeritus
Provost Emeritus*
Division of Nutritional Sciences
College of Human Ecology
Cornell University
Ithaca, New York

Lester Packer, Ph.D.

*Professor
Adjunct Faculty*
Department of
Molecular Pharmacology
and Toxicology
University of Southern
California School
of Pharmacy
Los Angeles, California

Irwin H. Rosenberg, M.D.

*Senior Scientist and University
Professor*
Jean Mayer U.S. Department
of Agriculture Human
Nutrition Research Center
on Aging
Tufts University
Boston, Massachusetts

Susan Rossi, Ph.D., M.P.H.

Deputy Director
Office of Medical
Applications of Research
Office of the Director
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

John Paul SanGiovanni, Sc.D.

Staff Scientist
Division of Epidemiology
and Clinical Research
National Eye Institute
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Paul A. Sheehy, Ph.D.

National Institute of Neurological
Disorders and Stroke
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Pamela Starke-Reed, Ph.D.

Deputy Director
Division of Nutrition
Research Coordination
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Amy F. Subar, Ph.D., M.P.H., R.D.

Research Nutritionist
National Cancer Institute
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Anne Thurn, Ph.D.

Director
Evidence-Based Review Program
Office of Dietary Supplements
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland

Paula R. Trumbo, Ph.D.

Supervisory Nutritionist
Division of Nutrition
Programs and Labeling
U.S. Food and Drug
Administration
College Park, Maryland

Conference Sponsors

Office of Dietary Supplements

Paul M. Coates, Ph.D.
Director

Office of Medical Applications of Research

Barnett S. Kramer, M.D., M.P.H.
Director

Conference Cosponsors

National Cancer Institute

Andrew C. von Eschenbach, M.D.
Director

National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine

Stephen E. Straus, M.D.
Director

National Eye Institute

Paul A. Sieving, M.D., Ph.D.
Director

National Institute on Aging

Richard J. Hodes, M.D.
Director

National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases

Stephen I. Katz, M.D., Ph.D.
Director

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development

Duane Alexander, M.D.
Director

National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases

Griffin P. Rodgers, M.D., M.A.C.P.
Acting Director

Conference Partners

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Julie Louise Gerberding,
M.D., M.P.H.
Director

U.S. Department of Agriculture

Mike Johanns, J.D.
Secretary

U.S. Food and Drug Administration

Andrew C. von Eschenbach, M.D.
Acting Commissioner

