

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

**Draft ICCVAM Test Method Recommendations:
Non-Radioactive LLNA: BrdU-ELISA**

January 7, 2008

13 **1.0 Draft Recommendations: Test Method Uses and Limitations**

14 *Background:* ICCVAM is currently evaluating the validation status of the LLNA: BrdU-
15 ELISA as a non-radioactive alternative to the traditional LLNA (i.e., ICCVAM 1999,
16 Dean et al. 2001, EPA 2003) to identify substances that may cause allergic contact
17 dermatitis (ACD). The LLNA: BrdU-ELISA differs from the traditional LLNA only in
18 that it assesses cell proliferation by measuring the incorporation of bromodeoxyuridine
19 (BrdU), instead of radiolabeled thymidine or iodine, into the DNA of dividing
20 lymphocytes. The incorporation of BrdU is measured using an enzyme-linked
21 immunosorbent assay. A comprehensive evaluation of this test method, including its
22 accuracy and reliability compared to the traditional LLNA, is provided in the draft
23 ICCVAM LLNA: BrdU-ELISA Background Review Document (BRD).

24 *Draft Recommendations:*

25 Based on the available database of 23 substances (16 sensitizers and 7 nonsensitizers as
26 determined by the traditional LLNA) and its performance (accuracy of 83% [19/23]) to
27 91% [21/23] depending on whether the traditional LLNA stimulation index decision
28 criteria of 3.0 or a revised one of 1.3 was used) compared to the traditional LLNA, the
29 LLNA: BrdU ELISA may be useful for identifying substances as potential skin
30 sensitizers and nonsensitizers. However, at this time, more information and data are
31 needed before a recommended use of the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA can be made.

32 Specifically:

- 33 • A sufficiently detailed protocol of this test method, including a defined and
34 adequately justified decision criteria for distinguishing between sensitizers
35 and non-sensitizers, is required.
- 36 • Quantitative results are needed for all of the studies included in this
37 evaluation. This is critical because there appear to be inconsistencies in test
38 results among multiple reports that need to be reconciled.
- 39 • A formal evaluation of interlaboratory reproducibility needs to be conducted.
40 Two interlaboratory validation studies have reportedly been completed for

41 the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA, but information about the study designs, the
42 protocol used, and the results are not yet available.

- 43 • Eight of the 18 required substances in the draft ICCVAM performance
44 standards (seven sensitizers and one nonsensitizer) have been tested in the
45 LLNA: BrdU-ELISA. EC3 values are available for only four of the seven
46 sensitizers tested. While all eight substances were correctly identified based
47 on a “yes/no” decision, all four of the reported EC3 values were outside of
48 the proposed acceptability range of 0.5x to 2.0x the historical EC3 values
49 obtained in the traditional LLNA, as prescribed in the draft ICCVAM
50 performance standards.

51 **2.0 Draft Recommendations: Test Method Protocol for the LLNA: BRDU-** 52 **ELISA**

53 All aspects of the recommended ICCVAM LLNA test method protocol (ICCVAM 1999,
54 Dean et al. 2001, EPA 2003) should be followed with the exception of the method used to
55 assess lymphocyte proliferation. Measurement of the amount of BrdU incorporated into
56 cells of the auricular lymph nodes using an ELISA is described in **Appendix A** of the
57 ICCVAM BRD. However, a detailed protocol for this test method is not yet available.

58 **3.0 Draft Recommendations: Future Studies**

- 59 • To allow for a more comprehensive evaluation of the performance of the
60 LLNA: BrdU-ELISA compared to the traditional LLNA, more nonsensitizers
61 should be evaluated within and across laboratories.
- 62 • The ICCVAM recommended reference substances (HCA and DCNB) in the
63 ICCVAM draft performance standards for intra- and inter-laboratory
64 reliability assessments should be tested.
- 65 • The applicability of the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA to testing metals, mixtures, and
66 aqueous solutions (current limitations of the traditional LLNA) should be
67 evaluated to determine if this method can be used to assess the ACD
68 potential of these types of substances.

- 69 • Additional studies should be designed to determine the most appropriate
70 threshold value for the decision criteria used to identify sensitizers.

71 **4.0 Draft Performance Standards**

72 Performance standards for the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA are not proposed at this time
73 although ICCVAM is currently developing performance standards for the traditional
74 LLNA (http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/immunotox/llna_PerfStds.htm). These draft
75 test method performance standards are proposed to evaluate the performance of LLNA
76 test methods that incorporate specific protocol modifications to measure lymphocyte
77 proliferation compared to the traditional LLNA. ICCVAM does not anticipate the need to
78 develop separate performance standards for the LLNA: BrdU-ELISA.