

# Improvement in Screening Radiologists' Performance in an Organized Screening Program

Nancy A. T. Wadden, MD, FRCPC

Gregory Doyle, BSc, MBA

Breast Screening Program for  
Newfoundland and Labrador

Canada

# Background

- Breast Screening Program for Newfoundland and Labrador (BSPNL) began in 1996
- Screens women 50 to 74 with mammography and clinical breast exam
- Screens are biennial, annual with significant risk factors

# Background

- Core indicators and targets for the evaluation of performance and quality of Canadian organized screening programs have been developed in 2002
- The radiologist specific indicators include:
  - abnormal call rate (<5% 1<sup>st</sup> screen, <10% rescn)
  - invasive cancer detection rate (> 5 1<sup>st</sup>, >3 rescn)
  - positive predictive value (>= 5 1<sup>st</sup>, >= 6 rescreen)
  - benign to malignant open biopsy ratio
  - benign to malignant core biopsy ratio
  - invasive cancer tumour size
  - node negative rate of invasive cancer

# Methods

- In 1998, a Radiology Review process was instituted for all screening radiologists involved with the BSPNL
- All abnormal mammograms were reviewed along with work-up films
- Pathology was reviewed when applicable
- Screen detected cancers and post screen cancers were reviewed in relation to previous examinations if available
- Beginning in 2002, on going confidential feedback was given to each screening radiologist regarding relevant indicators with objectives for improvement if necessary
- Progress was reviewed quarterly

# Objective

- To improve the performance of screening radiologists in an organized screening program as measured by screening program indicators
- All radiologists participating in screening were experienced in diagnostic mammography
  - Avg 13 years experience
  - Range 7 - 22 years

# Results

- At the time of the intervention, the average abnormal call rate was almost 9%
- Three years after the intervention, the average abnormal call rate was less than 6%
  - $p > 0.0001$
- Sensitivity and specificity rates also increased and interval cancer rates decreased

# Radiology Referral Rates (%)

RAD	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
1	7.69	6.76	5.98	8.66	6.32	6.61
2	6.98	12.31	15.67	8.15	5.65	5.30
3		12.81	9.60	9.12	7.45	6.17
4	7.46	7.12	5.82	5.76	4.93	5.10
5	6.45	10.28	10.21	9.89	8.77	5.81
6					8.37	5.30
7					9.35	8.31
<b>Average</b>	8.03	8.33	<b>8.69</b>	7.76	6.69	<b>5.96</b>

# Breast Screening Program for Newfoundland and Labrador

Radiology Indicators (January 31, 1996 - July 31, 2002)

R_RADID	Scrn	CADetect	Complete	Reads	Referrals	CDR/1000	RefRate	PPV	Interval	Missed DbIRD	Sensitivity	Specificity
A1001	Initial											
A1001	Subsequent											
	Initial											
	Subsequent											
A1004	Initial											
A1004	Subsequent											
A1005	Initial											
A1005	Subsequent											
A1007	Initial											
A1007	Subsequent											
A1008	Initial											
A1008	Subsequent											
C1001	Initial											
C1001	Subsequent											
C1002	Initial											
C1002	Subsequent											
	<b>Total</b>											
	<b>Initial</b>											
	<b>Subsequent</b>											

Standards	CDR	RefRate	PPV
Initial	> 5 per 1000	< 10%	>= 5%
Subsequent	> 3 per 1000	< 5%	>= 6%



# *Core Performance Indicators*

*How can this be improved?*

- **Abnormal call rate (<5%, <10%)**
  - Feedback!
  - Review abnormal cases
  - More feedback!
  - Review abnormal cases
  - More feedback!
  - Etc!

# *Core Performance Indicators*

*How can this be improved?*

- **Abnormal call rate (<5%, <10%)**
  - Feedback!
  - Review abnormal cases
  - More feedback!
  - Review abnormal cases
  - More feedback!
  - Etc!



# Improving Screening Radiologists' Performance in an Organized Screening Program

- Case review rounds
- Radiology/pathology review rounds
- Regular review of personal and program stats every 6 months
- Cross reference with Cancer Registry to detect missed and interval cancers
- Ongoing CME
- Intradisciplinary consultation