

1 **PLANNED FUTURE STUDIES/ACTIVITIES FOR *IN VITRO* CYTOTOXICITY TEST**
2 **METHODS FOR ESTIMATING STARTING DOSES FOR ACUTE ORAL TOXICITY**
3 **TESTING**

4 **1.0 BACKGROUND/INTRODUCTION**

5 In January 2005, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) Interagency Center for the
6 Evaluation of Alternative Toxicological Methods (NICEATM) and the European Centre for
7 the Evaluation of Alternative Methods (ECVAM) completed a multi-laboratory validation
8 study to evaluate animal reduction when using two mammalian cell types for *in vitro* basal
9 cytotoxicity test methods with a neutral red uptake (NRU) cell viability endpoint to determine
10 starting doses for acute oral systemic toxicity test methods. This validation study tested 72
11 reference chemicals to evaluate the *in vitro* NRU test methods and to determine the accuracy
12 of the *in vitro* NRU test methods for estimating rat oral LD₅₀ values (i.e., median lethal dose)
13 across the five United Nations (UN) Globally Harmonized System of Classification and
14 Labelling of Chemicals (GHS) (UN 2005) categories of acute oral toxicity as well as
15 unclassified toxicities (ICCVAM 2006a). While the use of these *in vitro* methods was shown
16 to be helpful in identifying starting doses that would reduce animal use, the use of a single
17 cytotoxicity test method was not found to be sufficiently predictive to replace the animal-based
18 regulatory tests for hazard classification purposes. The accuracy of the *in vitro* test methods
19 for predicting the correct acute toxicity regulatory hazard classification category (i.e., GHS
20 category) was ~30%.

21 NICEATM, in conjunction with the Acute Toxicity Working Group (ATWG), prepared a draft
22 background review document (BRD), which described the validation study results for the *in*
23 *vitro* NRU test methods (ICCVAM 2006a). ICCVAM convened a Peer Review Panel (Panel)
24 to peer review the BRD for errors and omissions, to assess the validation status of the
25 methods, and to determine whether Draft ICCVAM Recommendations for Test Method Uses
26 and Future Studies were supported by the BRD. The Panel agreed with these Draft ICCVAM
27 Recommendations for Future Studies, which included the following:

- 28 • Additional efforts should be conducted to identify and study *in vitro* tests and
29 other methods necessary to achieve accurate acute oral hazard classification.

- 30
- Standardized procedures to collect information pertinent to an understanding of
31 the mechanisms of lethality should be included in future *in vivo* rat acute oral
32 toxicity testing, and an expert group should be convened to identify the most
33 appropriate *in vivo* endpoints for measurement.
 - The *in vivo* database of reference substances used in this validation study
34 should be used to evaluate the utility of other non-animal approaches to
35 estimate starting doses for acute oral systemic toxicity tests (e.g., widely
36 available software that uses quantitative structure-activity relationships
37 [QSAR]).
 - Additional high quality comparative *in vitro* basal cytotoxicity data should be
39 collected in tandem with *in vivo* rat acute oral toxicity data to supplement the
40 high quality validation database started by this study.
 - High quality reference values to expand the chemical database for acute oral
42 toxicity should be collected.
 - Additional data should be collected using the 3T3 NRU methods to evaluate its
44 usefulness for predicting the *in vivo* acute oral toxicity of chemical mixtures.
45

46 The following sections provide additional details regarding a majority of these proposed
47 studies/activities, with an overall description of each project and their associated primary
48 objectives. Because the final activity listed above (i.e., additional data collection with chemical
49 mixtures) entails a future validation study, it will be addressed in a separate document as an
50 ICCVAM nomination for future study.

51 **2.0 PROPOSED FUTURE STUDIES/ACTIVITIES TO BE CONDUCTED BY**
52 **NICEATM**

53 **2.1 Identification of *In Vitro* Tests and Other Alternative Methods to Achieve**
54 **Accurate Acute Oral Hazard Classification**

55 2.1.1 Description of Project

56 The proposed activity is to identify *in vitro* tests and other methods necessary to achieve
57 accurate acute oral hazard classification.

58 2.1.2 Objective

59 To identify *in vitro* tests and other alternative methods necessary to achieve accurate acute oral
60 hazard classification and thus assist the development of testing schemes that reduce, refine¹, or
61 replace the use of animals for *in vivo* acute toxicity testing.

62 2.1.3 Method/Proposed Activity

63 A concerted effort is underway in Europe known as the A-Cute-Tox Project. Under this
64 project, ECVAM is investigating *in vitro* tests and other methods necessary to achieve
65 accurate acute oral hazard classification. Studies will be conducted to investigate the potential
66 use of *in vitro* cell-based test methods that incorporate mechanisms of action and evaluations
67 of ADME (absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion) to provide improved estimates of
68 acute toxicity hazard categories. At this stage, NICEATM and the ATWG will stay informed
69 of ECVAM's progress to determine if any parallel and/or collaborative efforts might be
70 beneficial to the objective outlined above.

¹ A reduction alternative is a new or modified test method that reduces the number of animals required. A refinement alternative is a new or modified test method that refines procedures to lessen or eliminate pain or distress in animals or enhances animal well-being (ICCVAM 2003).

71 2.1.4 Draft ICCVAM Recommended Priority: High

72 **2.2 International Workshop to Identify Endpoints Appropriate for Elucidating the**
73 **Mechanisms of Acute Oral Chemical Toxicity in Rats**

74 2.2.1 Description of Project

75 The proposed activity is the organization of an international workshop to explore biomarkers
76 (e.g., physiological, biochemical, etc.) that could be added to standardized rat acute oral
77 toxicity tests either to predict lethality or to elucidate the mechanisms of acute chemical
78 toxicity. Identifying the mechanisms of acute toxicity will guide the development of *in vitro*
79 methods that can better predict acute oral toxicity, while identifying biomarkers that provide
80 for earlier prediction of lethality can serve as earlier more humane endpoints for *in vivo*
81 studies.

82 2.2.2 Objective

83 To reduce and potentially replace the use of animals for acute systemic toxicity testing by
84 establishing the mechanisms of acute toxicity to guide the development of *in vitro* methods
85 that can be used to make *in vitro* determinations of *in vivo* mechanisms of acute toxicity.

86 2.2.3 Method/Proposed Activity

87 NICEATM, in conjunction with the ATWG, ICCVAM, ECVAM, the Japanese Center for the
88 Validation of Alternative Methods (JaCVAM), and various stakeholders will organize an
89 international workshop to identify and standardize procedures for collecting information
90 pertinent to an understanding of mechanisms of lethality in rats and identifying earlier more
91 humane endpoints. The conclusions and recommendations of the workshop will be published
92 in a workshop report, and the report made widely available for consideration by relevant
93 stakeholders.

94 2.2.4 Draft ICCVAM Recommended Priority: High

95 **2.3 Evaluation of the Utility of Other Non-Animal Approaches to Estimate**
96 **Starting Doses for Acute Oral Systemic Toxicity Tests**

97 2.3.1 Description of Project

98 The proposed activity is to evaluate the utility of other non-animal approaches to estimate
99 starting doses for acute oral systemic toxicity tests by using the established *in vivo* database
100 constructed for the NICEATM/ECVAM validation study.

101 2.3.2 Objective

102 To evaluate available *in silico* methods to estimate LD₅₀ values for use in predicting starting
103 doses for acute oral toxicity testing thereby assisting the development of non-animal methods
104 that reduce, refine², or replace the use of animals for *in vivo* acute toxicity testing.

105 2.3.3 Method/Proposed Activity

106 NICEATM will use available quantitative structure-activity relationship [QSAR] software
107 (e.g., TOPKAT, DEREK, MCASE) and compare with the reference LD₅₀ values determined
108 for the validation study reference substances to estimate starting doses for acute oral toxicity
109 testing. Results will be used in computer simulations to predict both animal use/reduction in
110 the GHS acute oral toxicity classification system.

111 2.3.4 Draft ICCVAM Recommended Priority: Medium

112 **2.4 Collection of High Quality Comparative *In Vitro* Basal Cytotoxicity Data in**
113 **Tandem with *In Vivo* Rat Acute Oral Toxicity Testing**

114 2.4.1 Description of Project

115 The proposed activity is to supplement the high quality validation database started by the
116 NICEATM/ECVAM validation study by collecting additional high quality comparative *in*
117 *vitro* basal cytotoxicity data in tandem with *in vivo* rat acute oral toxicity testing.

² A reduction alternative is a new or modified test method that reduces the number of animals required. A refinement alternative is a new or modified test method that refines procedures to lessen or eliminate pain or distress in animals or enhances animal well-being (ICCVAM 2003).

118 2.4.2 Objective

119 To supplement the *in vitro/in vivo* database to further characterize the usefulness and
120 limitations of using *in vitro* basal cytotoxicity data as part of a weight-of-evidence approach to
121 estimating doses for *in vivo* testing thereby assisting the development of *in vitro* methods that
122 reduce, refine, or replace the use of animals for *in vivo* acute toxicity testing.

123 2.4.3 Method/Proposed Activity

124 NICEATM will solicit data from industry from regulatorily mandated *in vivo* rat acute oral
125 toxicity studies that also include *in vitro* basal cytotoxicity data for the same test substances
126 obtained in tandem with the *in vivo* test (i.e, the *in vitro* test was conducted to estimate the
127 starting dose for the *in vivo* study). Literature searches and searches of publicly available
128 toxicity databases and other secondary sources will also be conducted to identify similar
129 information. *In vivo* testing will not be conducted solely to collect data to assess the usefulness
130 of the *in vitro* basal cytotoxicity test methods. NICEATM will evaluate the data and compare
131 the data to regression models developed in the validation study to further characterize the
132 usefulness and limitations of basal cytotoxicity and other *in vitro* methods for estimating acute
133 oral toxicity.

134 2.4.4 Draft ICCVAM Recommended Priority: High

135 **2.5 Collection of High Quality Reference Values to Expand Chemical Database for**
136 **Acute Oral Toxicity**

137 2.5.1 Additional Background

138 The NICEATM/ECVAM validation study tested 58 chemicals from the Registry of
139 Cytotoxicity (RC), a database that currently consists of *in vivo* acute toxicity data from rats
140 and mice and *in vitro* cytotoxicity data from multiple cell lines/toxic endpoints for 347
141 substances (Halle 2003). A regression model constructed from these data was used in the
142 validation study to determine starting doses for computer simulated acute oral systemic
143 toxicity tests (Halle 2003; Spielmann et al. 1999). The validation study effort included the
144 collection of LD₅₀ data from literature searches and the development of high quality reference
145 LD₅₀ values (i.e., median lethal dose) for the reference substances tested. Rat oral LD₅₀ values
146 were identified for 55 of the 58 RC chemicals. During the Panel Meeting referenced in **Section**

147 **1.0**, the Panel agreed with the Draft ICCVAM Recommendation that an expanded list of
148 reference substances with high quality rat LD₅₀ data should be developed for use in future *in*
149 *vitro* test method development and validation studies (ICCVAM 2006b).

150 2.5.2 Description of Project

151 The proposed activity is the collection and evaluation of rat acute oral lethality (i.e., LD₅₀) data
152 for 442 chemicals included in the Registry of Cytotoxicity (RC).

153 2.5.3 Objective

154 To improve the correlation of *in vivo* and *in vitro* data by using high quality *in vivo* data
155 thereby assisting the development of *in vitro* methods that reduce, refine³, or replace the use of
156 animals for *in vivo* acute toxicity testing.

157 2.5.4 Method/Proposed Activity

158 The RC database now has 500 chemicals. NICEATM will identify rat oral LD₅₀ data for 442
159 RC chemicals (500 – 58 chemicals with reference LD₅₀ values from the NICEATM/ECVAM
160 validation study). Rat oral LD₅₀ data will be identified through literature searches, searches of
161 publicly available toxicity databases, and from secondary sources. NICEATM will evaluate
162 the data retrieved to identify the appropriate LD₅₀ values (those using adult laboratory rats and
163 gavage administration in the absence of anesthesia) and calculate new reference values using a
164 geometric mean of the acceptable LD₅₀ values.

165 2.5.5 Draft ICCVAM Recommended Priority: Medium

166 **3.0 REFERENCES**

167 Halle W. 2003. The Registry of Cytotoxicity: Toxicity testing in cell cultures to predict acute
168 toxicity (LD50) and to reduce testing in animals. *Altern Lab Anim* 31:89-198.

169 ICCVAM. 2006a. *In Vitro* Acute Toxicity Test Methods Draft Background Review Document.
170 <http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/invidocs/brdvalstddy.htm>. (accessed October 1, 2006)

³ A reduction alternative is a new or modified test method that reduces the number of animals required. A refinement alternative is a new or modified test method that refines procedures to lessen or eliminate pain or distress in animals or enhances animal well-being (ICCVAM 2003).

- 171 ICCVAM. 2006b. PEER REVIEW PANEL REPORT: The Use of *In Vitro* Basal Cytotoxicity
172 Test Methods for Estimating Starting Doses for Acute Oral Systemic Toxicity Testing.
173 <http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/invidocs/panelrpt/ATpanelrpt.htm> (accessed October 1,
174 2006)
- 175 Spielmann H, Genschow E, Liebsch M, Halle W. 1999. Determination of the starting dose for
176 acute oral toxicity (LD₅₀) testing in the up and down procedure (UDP) from cytotoxicity data.
177 *Altern Lab Anim* 27:957-966.