

Funding Patient-Reported Outcomes (PROs) Assessment in Cancer Clinical Trials

Carol M. Moinpour, PhD

Southwest Oncology Group Statistical Center

National Cancer Institute/PROACT Meeting

September 20/21, 2006

Costs of Quality-of-Life Research in Southwest Oncology Group Trials

Workshop on Quality of Life in Clinical Cancer
Trials

2nd NCI-Sponsored Meeting for Cooperative
Groups: Progress and Future Expectations

Bethesda, MD

March 1-2, 1995

Moinpour CM. Mon J Natl Cancer Inst
1996;20:11-16

Determination of Effort/Cost

- For 1 month in January 1995, key personnel were asked to keep a log of effort on trials with PROs
 - Studies could be in development, open, or closed but analyses occurring
 - Protocol development, monitoring of forms submission, and data analysis were emphasized

Table Footnotes

***Costs in 1994 dollars**

****Salaries include either a 22.5, 25, or 28 percent fringe benefit rate depending on position**

*****The PRO percentage (6%) of monthly operating costs for the Statistical Center includes secretarial and administrative staff salaries, two supply categories, postage, phone, and photocopying. PRO-related travel by the psychologist represents average monthly travel and does not involve the 6% calculation.**

Basis for 6%: There were 5174 Phase I,II,III and other (e.g., cancer control) patient registrations for 1994, of which 331 (SWOG trials + non-SWOG trials) had PRO registrations [(331/12)/(5174/12) = .06].

Estimated PRO Personnel and Operating Costs/Month

COST ITEM	COST/MONTH
PERSONNEL**	\$5494
Operations Office Staff (15%)	\$ 516
Statisticians/Psychologist (10 & 13%/25% = 48%)	\$3089
Programmers (17%)	\$ 968
Data Technicians (24%)	\$ 514
Data Coordinator (12%)	\$ 407
OPERATING EXPENSES***	\$1810
DIRECT COSTS/MONTH	\$7304

Estimated total costs of PRO data per PRO patient* (averaged over life of current and closed studies)

Direct PRO costs per month	\$ 7,304
No. of PRO registrations/month	\$ 28
Direct costs per PRO registration (\$7304/28)	\$ 261
Total PRO costs per month	\$12,417 [†]
Total costs per PRO registration (\$12,417/28)	\$ 443

* Costs in 1994 dollars.

† Total cost = 1.7 (direct cost).

Overestimate or Underestimate of Costs?

- **Minimized data analysis effort because newly activated trials**
- **Did not overestimate protocol development because this is a continuing yearly effort with slight variations from year to year**
- **Overestimate because mostly companion studies requiring full protocol development**
 - **Subsequent trials were integrated into main protocol**
- **Underestimate overall because CRA time not included in estimates**
- **Does not include “donated” time of PRO Study Coordinators**
- **Variable costs: Each trial different: ⇒ need for different PROs & assessment schedules**
 - **Length of questionnaires and number of assessments**

Bruner Group Survey: Open CTEP trials w/ PROS

Group	Number	As a % of all open CTEP trials
ACOSOG	2	26-50%
ACRIN	7	26-50%
CALGB	2	1-5%
COG	5	1-5%
ECOG	8	6-10%
EORTC	40	26-50%
GOG	5	11-15%
NCCTG	18	51-75%
NCIC	23	51-75%
NSABP	5	51-75%
RTOG	18	51-75%
SWOG	6	6-10%

Summary: All Interviewed Groups

- **Challenge: Spread limited resources across a number of trial responsibilities**
- **PRO effort is “bundled” with effort required for all clinical trial data**
 - **Only limited Group data on % effort required for including PRO assessments**
 - **Bruner Cooperative Group Survey: 92% said no estimate of the cost to conduct PRO research**
- **Variation in extent and types of outside funding used**
- **Extent to which CTEP and CCOP grants used to fund PROs varied by Group**

Cooperative Group A

- **CCOP** grant
 - Statistician effort for cancer control trials including those with PRO data
 - Data management for cancer control trials including those with PRO data
 - Supports statistical effort for cancer control trials with or without a PRO
- **Summary:** CCOP grant primary funding source for statistical and data management for cancer control studies including PRO data

Cooperative Group A

- **CTEP Grant:**
 - **Data Management (Operations Office)**
 - “Bundles” data entry/scanning, quality control, effort for treatment trials: clinical & PRO data
 - Programming for PRO or clinical outcomes not funded
 - **Statistical support (Statistical Center)**
 - For **treatment** trials, all statistical effort “bundled”: clinical & PRO data
 - **CTEP funding for Committees**
 - 5% Vice Chair for Outcomes & Health Services Committee
 - Covers treatment and cancer control research
- **Summary:** 2 CTEP grants fund data management & statistical effort for treatment trials

Cooperative Group A

- **40 active trials: 19 include a PRO (48%)**
- **External funding (non-CCOP/CTEP)**
 - **Grants (1 trial with PRO)**
 - **Foundation**
 - Supports FTE for trials but PRO not specified
 - **Disease Funders Collaborative**
 - PROs and institutional reimbursements supported but not specific FTE
 - **Industry (1 trial)**

Cooperative Group A

- Institutional reimbursement for trials with PRO
 - **CCOP** grant if CC credits
 - CCOP institutions receive CC credits
 - Non-CCOP institutions receive \$1000/full CC credit
 - If no CC credits, no payments

Cooperative Group B:

- **CCOP** grant
 - CCOP grant: Data Center
 - Data Control Tech (primarily scanning/verification)
 - Data Coordinator (quality control)
 - Budgets “bundle” data entry/scanning, quality control, programming effort
 - Perception that PRO data takes more time, especially when there are every-cycle assessments
 - **Summary:** CCOP grant support for PRO/cancer control data management FTE is small but primary source

Cooperative Group B

- **CCOP** grant (cont.)
 - 100% of the Statistical Center CCOP budget is for cancer control/PRO FTE
 - Designated %FTE for 3 statisticians: cancer control
 - Contribute additional FTE to CTEP treatment trial effort
 - Behavioral scientist PRO FTE “bundled” with cancer control
 - Current time allocation: 35% cancer control
 - **Summary**: CCOP grant primary funding source for PRO/cancer control statistical/behavioral science FTE

Cooperative Group B

- **CTEP** grant
 - Major funding source for treatment trial data operations
 - Statistical support requested for treatment trials
 - Statisticians analyze treatment trial PRO data with assistance from cancer control statisticians
 - Behavioral scientist FTE: None

Cooperative Group B

- **Use of external funding (non-CCOP/CTEP) for PROs**
 - **Grants**
 - DOD grant (Telephone counseling intervention)
 - U10 grant (3 elderly treatment trials with baseline PROs & pharmacokinetic studies): 1 currently open
 - R01 funding for molecular epi trial with epi risk factors questionnaire: open
 - Supplemental funds to CTEP & CCOP grants (\$\$ for institutions to cover a long fu period for PROs): closed
 - Small foundation grants for special PRO data projects (closed), and to fund CRA time in a limited institution study (open)

Cooperative Group B

- **Pharmaceutical company funding**
 - **Of 9 active trials with a PRO:**
 - **2 funded with industry \$\$**
 - 1 open and accruing
 - 1 closed to accrual, analytic effort ongoing
 - **In general, attempt to obtain pharmaceutical \$\$ to supplement data operations, data analysis, and institutional reimbursements**

Cooperative Group B

- **Institutional Reimbursements for PROs**
 - **CCOP grant if CC credits**
 - CCOP institutions receive CC credits
 - Non-CCOP institutions receive \$2000/full CC credit; \$200/.1 CC credit
 - **If no CC credits, no institutional reimbursement for either CCOPs or non-CCOPs**
 - **CTEP grant does not fund institutions beyond treatment reimbursement/patient**
 - **Seek pharmaceutical \$\$ to support institutional PRO effort**
 - 1 hour for Nurse/CRA time for each PRO assessment

Cooperative Group C

- **Cancer Control and Health Outcomes Committee has 4 subcommittees**
 - **Quality of Life Subcommittee Chair funded by CTEP U10 grant**
 - Funds Chair of QOL Comm (25%FTE)
 - Funds “cadre” member of QOL Comm
 - Performs services for “QOL Centralized Service” for Group
 - **Health Services Subcommittee Chair funded by CTEP and Foundation \$\$**
 - **100% FTE PRO data manager/interviewer funded by CTEP grant**

Cooperative Group C

- **CCOP** grant does not provide funding for PROs except through
 - Cancer control credits [see below]
 - Some support for Symptom Intervention Subcommittee and Cancer Control and Health Outcomes Committee Chairs

Cooperative Group C

- **80 – 100 studies open at any time**
- **Successful grant funding effort**
 - **At least 3 trials funded by foundation or R01 support**
 - **3 funded with pharmaceutical support**
 - **3 Symptom Intervention studies with foundation or industry \$\$ (1 study has both types)**
 - **PROs included even if no outside funding but constant effort to obtain outside funding**

Cooperative Group C

- Institutional support for PRO effort
- Funded by both CTEP and CCOP grants
 - If CC credits, CCOPs receive credits; non-CCOPs receive per case \$\$ reimbursement from **CCOP** grant
 - If no CCOP credits, non-CCOPs paid from **CTEP** grant; CCOPs don't receive credits or \$\$
 - Try to obtain industry funding to pay CCOPs when no CC credits
 - CTSU pays \$250/case for PRO companion studies for non-CCOPs

Cooperative Group D

- **CCOP** grant does not fund PRO effort at central office
- **CTEP** grant funds Behavioral & Health Outcomes or PRO research
 - 3 statisticians
 - Lead statistician budgeted 30% FTE for all Behavioral & Health Outcomes (BAHO) Comm studies
 - E.g., Functional measurements (arm function), breast cosmesis studies, menses records, cardiac
 - PRO effort ranges from 30-70%
 - 10% effort for BAHO Chair

Cooperative Group D

- PRO “Compliance Officer”: 40% FTE
- Time spent by regulatory, nurse (protocol development, telephone resource for institution staff), and MDs at Operations Office

Cooperative Group D

- **CCOP** credits fund institution staff time supplemented by industry funding if available
- When no industry funding, most trials with PRO conducted only in CCOP institutions
- Industry funding used to supplement about 30% of trials with PROs

Other Funding Contexts

Cross-Group Harmonization Effort: Group Payments for Ancillary Studies

- Payment amount varies as well as which type of institution paid for cancer control/PRO activities
 - Mostly based on cancer control credits
 - Some Groups also pay non-CCOPs from CTEP grant
 - Not clear whether includes CCOP + non-CCOP institutions or CCOPs only
- Similar effort beginning for Group/industry funding guidelines

The Current Status of Clinical Research in the U.S.

ASCO Survey

Emanuel EJ, Schnipper LE, Kamin DY,
Levinson J, Lichter AS. The costs of
conducting clinical research. *J Clin
Oncol* 2003;21:4145-4150.

ASCO Survey on Costs of Conducting a Clinical Trial

- **21 clinical sites surveyed re: time spent doing 13 activities involved in clinical research**
 - Hypothetical Ph III trial for HRPC comparing placebo vs. new drug
 - 11 weeks of treatment; 12 months of follow-up
 - Total of 17 office visits
 - PROs included but # of assessments not clear & no specific time/cost reported
 - Data reported as estimates

ASCO Survey

- To examine accuracy of estimates, staff at 4 sites were “shadowed” to observe actual time
 - Conclusion: Staff underestimated time
 - But not “real time” because observing how staff spent time doing research BUT protocol of interest was hypothetical
- Average non-treatment cost was \$2000/pt
 - 32% of hours spent on clinical trial activities
 - Substantial variability within & across practice sites and government vs. industry sponsorship

Costs of Doing Clinical Research

- **Survey data: in general, only 22% of oncologists have calculated the costs associated with doing clinical research**
 - Bruner data above: only 8% (1 group) have attempted to see how much PROs cost
- **Those who have either directly calculated the costs of doing clinical research or recently negotiated contracts for clinical research provide significantly higher estimates of costs:**
 - Calculated costs: \$3,400
 - Not calculated costs: \$2,150

Roche et al., 2002: CRA Time Spent in Clinical Trials Research

- **Prospective study**
 - 83 CRAs from 24 NCIC CTG sites
 - Tracked time for 30 consecutive days over 3 month period
 - 41 tasks with 156 subtasks
 - Examined 4 stages of trial activity
 - Protocol management
 - Eligibility & trial entry
 - Treatment
 - Follow-up
 - Included PRO assessments (questionnaire & diary)

Roche et al., 2002

- **Reported substantial variability site to site (same trials) and within sites (different trials)**
- **Industry trials required more time**
 - Not found in ASCO survey
 - Roche et al.: Industry trials increased workload at every stage and don't reflect supplemental funding
 - Rather "...that more money is rightly being paid for more work".

Roche et al.

- Mean time for PROs usually one of the lowest reported
 - Across tasks, usually $\sim 1/4$ hour
 - Depending on stage of trial, much less than Special Procedures such as blood draws
 - Eg, during the treatment phase: 14.6 min vs. 35.9 min
 - PRO effort not commented on in paper

Funding PROs: 2006

PROACT Meeting: Conclusions

- **Goal was to survey 6 cooperative groups: “Data” reported for 4**
 - **Very little detail re: specific costs associated with including PROs in clinical trials**
 - **Most data operations “bundle” FTE for traditional clinical and PRO effort**
 - **More specification re: statistician time but if so, usually “bundled” with cancer control effort**

Funding PROs: 2006

PROACT Meeting: Conclusions

- Roche et al. data indicate that at the institution level, PROs not as time-consuming as other clinical outcomes such as special procedures (blood draws)
- ASCO survey indicates that don't really know what it costs to do a clinical trial
 - Under-estimates from respondents who had not based estimates on real-time calculations
- Similarly, no solid basis for cost of PROs
 - SWOG 1995 estimate reflects preliminary work