to the request for proposals (e.g., relationship of application to research program area). Proposals that do not fall within the guidelines as stated in the annual request for proposals will be eliminated from competition and will be returned to the applicant. Proposals whose budgets exceed the maximum allowable amount for a particular program area as announced in the request for proposals may be considered as lying outside the guidelines.

- (b) All applications will be reviewed carefully by the Administrator, qualified officers or employees of the Department, the respective merit review panel, and ad hoc reviewers, as required. Written comments will be solicited from ad hoc reviewers, when required, and individual written comments and in-depth discussions will be provided by peer review group members prior to recommending applications for funding. Applications will be ranked and support levels recommended within the limitation of total available funding for each research program area as announced in the applicable request for proposals.
- (c) Except to the extent otherwise provided by law, such recommendations are advisory only and are not binding on program officers or on the awarding official.

§ 3401.17 Review criteria.

- (a) Federally funded research supported under these provisions shall be designed to, among other things, accomplish one or more of the following purposes:
- (1) Improve management of rangelands as an integrated system and/or watershed;
- (2) Remedy unstable or unsatisfactory rangeland conditions;
- (3) Increase revegetation and/or rehabilitation of rangelands;
- (4) Examine the health of rangelands; and
- (5) Define economic parameters associated with rangelands.
- (b) In carrying out its review under §3401.16, the peer review panel will use the following form upon which the evaluation criteria to be used are enumerated, unless, pursuant to §3401.7(a), different evaluation criteria are speci-

fied in the annual solicitation of proposals for a particular program:

Peer Panel Scoring Form

Proposal Identification No. _ Institution and Project Title

I. Basic Requirement:

Proposal falls within guidelines?
Yes No. If no, explain why proposal does not meet guidelines under comment section of this form.

II. Selection Criteria:

	Score 1–10	Weight factor	Score X weight factor	Com- ments
Overall scientific and technical quality of proposal		10		
Scientific and technical quality of the approach		10		
Relevance and importance of proposed research to solution of specific areas of inquiry Feasibility of attaining		6		
objectives; adequacy of professional training and experience, facili- ties and equipment		5		

Score

Summary Comments

(c) Proposals satisfactorily meeting the guidelines will be evaluated and scored by the peer review panel for each criterion utilizing a scale of 1 through 10. A score of one (1) will be considered low and a score of ten (10) will be considered high for each selection criterion. A weighted factor is used for each criterion.

PART 3402—FOOD AND AGRICUL-TURAL SCIENCES NATIONAL NEEDS GRADUATE FELLOWSHIP GRANTS PROGRAM

Subpart A—General Introduction

Sec.

3402.1 Applicability of regulations.

3402.2 Definitions.

3402.3 Institutional eligibility.

Subpart B—Program Description

3402.4 Food and agricultural sciences areas targeted for national needs graduate fellowship grants support.