In the above example, the allowable depreciation on the 1954 acquisitions totals \$11,200. This amount when increased by salvage realized in the amount of \$800, equals the entire cost or other basis of the 1954 acquisitions (\$12,000).

(c) Change in estimated useful life. In the declining balance method when a change is justified in the useful life estimated for an account, subsequent computations shall be made as though the revised useful life had been originally estimated. For example, assume that an account has an estimated useful life of ten years and that a declining balance rate of 20 percent is applicable. If, at the end of the sixth year, it is determined that the remaining useful life of the account is six years, computations shall be made as though the estimated useful life was originally determined as twelve years. Accordingly, the applicable depreciation rate will be 16% percent. This rate is thereafter applied to the unrecovered cost or other basis.

 $[\mathrm{T.D.}\ 6500,\ 25\ \mathrm{FR}\ 11402,\ \mathrm{Nov.}\ 26,\ 1960,\ \mathrm{as}$ amended by T.D. 6712, 29 FR 3653, Mar. 24, 1964]

§1.167(b)-3 Sum of the years-digits method.

(a) Applied to a single asset—(1) General rule. Under the sum of the yearsdigits method annual allowances for depreciation are computed by applying changing fractions to the cost or other basis of the property reduced by estimated salvage. The numerator of the fraction changes each year to a number which corresponds to the remaining useful life of the asset (including the year for which the allowance is being computed), and the denominator which remains constant is the sum of all the years digits corresponding to the estimated useful life of the asset. See section 167(c) and §1.167(c)-1 for restrictions on the use of the sum of the years-digits method.

(i) Illustrations. Computation of depreciation allowances on a single asset under the sum of the years-digits method is illustrated by the following examples:

Example (1). A new asset having an estimated useful life of five years was acquired on January 1, 1954, for \$1,750. The estimated salvage is \$250. For a taxpayer filing his re-

turns on a calendar year basis, the annual depreciation allowances are as follows:

Year	Cost or other basis less sal- vage	Frac- tion ¹	Allow- able de- precia- tion	Depre- ciation reserve
1954	\$1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	5/15 4/15 3/15 2/15 1/15	\$500 400 300 200 100	\$500 900 1,200 1,400 1,500
Unrecovered value (salvage)				\$250

¹The denominator of the fraction is the sum of the digits representing the years of useful life, i.e., 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1, or 15

Example (2). Assume in connection with an asset acquired in 1954 that three-fourths of a year's depreciation is allowable in that year. The following illustrates a reasonable method of allocating depreciation:

	Depre- ciation	Allowable depreciation					
	for 12 months	1954	1955	1956			
1st year 2d year 3d year	\$500 400 300	(3/4) \$375	(½) \$125 (¾) 300	(½) \$100 (¾) 225			
Total		375	425	325			

(ii) Change in useful life. Where in the case of a single asset, a change is justified in the useful life, subsequent computations shall be made as though the remaining useful life at the beginning of the taxable year of change were the useful life of a new asset acquired at such time and with a basis equal to the unrecovered cost or other basis of the asset at that time. For example, assume that a new asset with an estimated useful life of ten years is purchased in 1954. At the time of making out his return for 1959, the taxpayer finds that the asset has a remaining useful life of seven years from January 1, 1959. Depreciation for 1959 should then be computed as though 1959 were the first year of the life of an asset estimated to have a useful life of seven vears, and the allowance for 1959 would be 1/28 of the unrecovered cost or other basis of the asset after adjustment for salvage.

(2) Remaining life—(i) Application. Under the sum of the years-digits method, annual allowances for depreciation may also be computed by applying changing fractions to the unrecovered cost or other basis of the asset

equivalent

.0204

Internal Revenue Service, Treasury

reduced by estimated salvage. The numerator of the fraction changes each year to a number which corresponds to the remaining useful life of the asset (including the year for which the allowance is being computed), and the denominator changes each year to a number which represents the sum of the digits corresponding to the years of estimated remaining useful life of the asset. For decimal equivalents of such fractions, see Table I of subdivision (ii) of this subparagraph. For example, a new asset with an estimated useful life of 10 years is purchased January 1, 1954, for \$6,000. Assuming a salvage value of \$500, the depreciation allowance for $1954 \text{ is } \$1,000 \text{ } (\$5,500\times0.1818, \text{ the applica-}$ ble rate from Table I). For 1955, the unrecovered balance is \$4,500, and the remaining life is 9 years. The depreciation allowance for 1955 would then be 900 ($4,500\times0.2000$, the applicable rate from Table I).

(ii) *Table I*. This table shows decimal equivalents of sum of the years-digits fractions corresponding to remaining lives from 1 to 100 years.

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE

Remaining life (years)	Decimal equiva- lent
100.0	0.0198
99.9	.0198
99.8	.0198
99.7	.0199
99.6	.0199
99.5	.0199
99.4	.0199
99.3	.0199
99.2	.0200
99.1	.0200
99.0	.0200
98.9	.0200
98.8	.0200
98.7	.0201
98.6	.0201
98.5	.0201
98.4	.0201
98.3	.0201
98.2	.0202
98.1	.0202
98.0	.0202
97.9	.0202
97.8	.0202
97.7	.0203
97.6	.0203
97.5	.0203
97.4	.0203
97.3	.0203
97.2	.0204
97.1	.0204

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

Remaining life (years)

96.9

96.9	 .0204
96.8	 .0204
96.7	 .0205
96.6	 .0205
96.5	 .0205
96.4	 .0205
96.3	.0206
96.2	.0206
96.1	 .0206
96.0	.0206
95.9	.0206
95.8	 .0207
95.7	 .0207
95.6	.0207
95.5	.0207
95.3	.0207
95.3	.0207
95.3	 .0208
95.2	.0208
95.1	
94.9	 .0208 .0209
94.9	 .0209
94.8	
	 .0209
	 .0209
94.5	 .0209
	 .0210
94.3	 .0210
94.2	 .0210
94.1	 .0210
94.0	 .0211
	 .0211
	 .0211
93.7	 .0211
93.6	 .0211
93.5	 .0212
93.4	 .0212
	 .0212
	 .0212
93.1	 .0213
93.0	 .0213
92.9	 .0213
	 .0213
92.7	 .0213
	 .0214
92.5	 .0214
92.4	 .0214
	 .0214
	 .0215
92.1	.0215
	 .0215
91.9	 .0215
91.8	 .0216
91.7	 .0216
91.6	 .0216
91.5	 .0216
91.4	 .0216
91.3 91.2	 .0217 .0217
91.1	 .0217
91.0	 .0217 .0218
90.9	
90.8	 .0218
90.7	 .0218
90.6	 .0218
90.5	 .0219
90.4	 .0219
90.3	 .0219
90.2	 .0219

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

		Decimal	5	Deci
	Remaining life (years)	equiva- lent	Remaining life (years)	equi ler
).1		.0220	83.3	.0
		.0220	83.2	.0
		.0220	83.1	.0
		.0220	83.0	.0
		.0221	82.9	.0
		.0221	82.8	.0
		.0221	82.7	.0
1		.0221	82.6	.0
		.0221		
			82.5	
		.0222	82.4	.0
		.0222	82.3	.0
		.0222	82.2	.0
		.0222	82.1	.0
8		.0223	82.0	.0
7		.0223	81.9	.0
6		.0223	81.8	.0
5		.0223	81.7	.0
4		.0224	81.6	
		.0224	81.5	
		.0224	81.4	
		.0224	81.3	
		.0225	81.2	
		.0225	81.1	
		.0225	81.0	
		.0225	80.9	
		.0226	80.8	
		.0226	80.7).
		.0226	80.6	.0
		.0226	80.5	.0
		.0227	80.4	.0
		.0227	80.3	.0
0		.0227	80.2	.0
9		.0228	80.1	.(
8		.0228	80.0	.0
7		.0228	79.9	.0
6		.0228	79.8	.0
5		.0229	79.7	l .c
4		.0229	79.6	.0
		.0229	79.5	
2		.0229	79.4	l .c
_		.0230	79.3	
		.0230	79.2	
		.0230	79.1	
		.0230	79.0	
		.0230	78.9).).
		.0231	78.8	
). ا
		.0231	78.7).
		.0231	78.6).
		.0232	78.5	.(
		.0232	78.4).
		.0232	78.3	.0
0		.0233	78.2	.0
9		.0233	78.1	.0
8		.0233	78.0	.(
		.0233	77.9	
		.0234	77.8	
		.0234	77.7	
		.0234	77.6	
		.0234	77.5	
		.0235	77.4).
		.0235	77.3).
U		.0235	77.2	.(
		.0236	77.1	.(
		.0236	77.0	
8				
8		.0236	76.9	.0
8				.c .c

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued		ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued			
Remaining life (years)	Decimal equiva- lent	Remaining life (years)	Decimal equiva- lent		
76.5	.0258	69.7	.0283		
76.4	.0258	69.6	.0283		
76.2	.0259 .0259	69.5 69.4	.0284		
76.1	.0259	69.3	.0284		
76.0	.0260	69.2	.0285		
75.9	.0260	69.1	.0285		
75.8	.0260	69.0	.0286		
75.7	.0261	68.9	.0286		
75.6	.0261	68.8	.0287		
75.5 75.4	.0261 .0262	68.6	.028		
75.3	.0262	68.5	.028		
75.2	.0262	68.4	.028		
75.1	.0263	68.3	.028		
75.0	.0263	68.2	.028		
74.9	.0264	68.1	.028		
74.8	.0264	68.0	.029		
74.774.6	.0264 .0265	67.9 67.8	.029		
74.5	.0265	67.7	.029		
74.4	.0265	67.6	.029		
74.3	.0266	67.5	.029		
74.2	.0266	67.4	.029		
74.1	.0266	67.3	.029		
73.9	.0267 .0267	67.2 67.1	.029 .029		
73.8	.0267	67.0	.029		
3.7	.0268	66.9	.029		
73.6	.0268	66.8	.029		
73.5	.0268	66.7	.029		
'3.4	.0269	66.6	.029		
73.2	.0269 .0270	66.5	.029		
73.1	.0270	66.3	.029		
73.0	.0270	66.2	.029		
72.9	.0271	66.1	.029		
72.8	.0271	66.0	.029		
72.7	.0271 .0272	65.9 65.8	.029 .029		
72.5	.0272	65.7	.030		
72.4	.0272	65.6	.030		
72.3	.0273	65.5	.030		
72.2	.0273	65.4	.030		
72.1	.0274	65.3	.030		
72.071.9	.0274 .0274	65.2 65.1	.030		
71.8	.0274	65.0	.030		
71.7	.0275	64.9	.030		
1.6	.0275	64.8	.030		
1.5	.0276	64.7	.030		
71.4	.0276	64.6	.030		
71.3	.0277	64.5	.030		
'1.2' '1.1	.0277 .0277	64.4	.030		
71.0	.0277	64.2	.030		
70.9	.0278	64.1	.030		
70.8	.0279	64.0	.030		
0.7	.0279	63.9	.030		
70.6	.0279	63.8	.030		
0.5	.0280	63.7	.030		
70.3	.0280 .0280	63.6 63.5	.031		
70.2	.0280	63.4	.031		
70.1	.0281	63.3	.031		
70.0	.0282	63.2	.031		
59.9	.0282	63.1	.031		
69.8	.0282	63.0	.031		

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

Remaining life (years)	Decimal equiva- lent	Remaining life (years)	Decir equiv
.9	.0313	56.1	.0
.8	.0313	56.0	.0
.7	.0314	55.9	.0
.6	.0314	55.8	.0
.5	.0315	55.7	.0.
.4	.0315 .0316	55.6	0.
.3	.0316	55.5	0.0
2	.0316		.0
.0	.0317	55.3 55.2	.0
9	.0317	55.1	.0
.8	.0318	55.0	.0
7	.0319	54.9	
.6	.0319	54.8	.0
5	.0320	54.7	.0
.4	.0320	54.6	.0
.3	.0321	54.5	l .d
2	.0322	54.4	.0
1	.0322	54.3	.0
.0	.0323	54.2	
9	.0323	54.1	.0
8	.0324	54.0	.0
.7	.0324	53.9	.0
.6	.0325	53.8	.0
.5	.0325	53.7	.0
.4	.0326	53.6	.0
3	.0326	53.5	.0
.2	.0327	53.4	.0
1	.0327	53.3	.0
.0	.0328	53.2	.0
9	.0328	53.1	.0
8	.0329 .0329	53.0).).
.7	.0329	52.9 52.8	٠. ا
5	.0330	52.7	
.4	.0331	52.6	
3	.0332	52.5	
2	.0332	52.4	
.1	.0333	52.3	
.0	.0333	52.2	c
9	.0334	52.1	
.8	.0334	52.0	
.7	.0335	51.9	
6	.0336	51.8	
5	.0336	51.7	.0
.4	.0337	51.6	.0
.3	.0337	51.5	.0
2	.0338	51.4	.0
1	.0338	51.3	.0
.0	.0339	51.2).
9	.0340	51.1).
8	.0340	51.0	٥. ا
7	.0341	50.9).
6	.0341	50.8).
5	.0342	50.7	.0
4	.0342 .0343	50.6).
3	.0343	50.5 50.4).
1	.0344	50.3	.0
	.0344		.(
9	.0345	50.2 50.1	
8	.0345	50.0	.0
7	.0346	49.9	
.6	.0347	49.8	
.5	.0347	49.7	.0
.4	.0348	49.6	
3	.0349	49.5	.0
			,

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

	Remaining life (years)	Decimal equiva- lent	Remaining life (years)	Decima equiva lent
		.0398	42.5	.046
		.0398	42.4	.046
		.0399 .0400	42.3 42.2	.046
		.0400	42.1	.046
		.0401	42.0	.046
		.0402	41.9	.046
		.0403	41.8	.046
8.5		.0404	41.7	.046
8.4		.0405	41.6	.04
		.0406	41.5	.04
		.0406	41.4	.04
		.0407	41.3	.04
		.0408 .0409	41.1	.04
		.0410	41.0	.04
		.0411	40.9	.04
		.0411	40.8	.04
7.5		.0412	40.7	.04
		.0413	40.6	.04
		.0414	40.5	.04
		.0415	40.4	.04
		.0416	40.3	.04
		.0417 .0418	40.2 40.1	.04
		.0418	40.0	.04
		.0419	39.9	.04
		.0420	39.8	.04
		.0421	39.7	.04
		.0422	39.6	.04
3.3		.0423	39.5	.04
		.0424	39.4	.04
		.0425	39.3	.04
		.0426	39.2	.04
		.0426 .0427	39.1	.04
		.0427	38.9	.05
		.0429	38.8	.0
		.0430	38.7	.05
		.0431	38.6	.05
5.3		.0432	38.5	.0
		.0433	38.4	.0
		.0434	38.3	.0:
		.0435	38.2	.0
		.0436	38.1	.0:
		.0437 .0438	38.0	.0:
		.0436	37.9 37.8	.0:
		.0439	37.7	.0.
		.0440	37.6	.0:
		.0441	37.5	.0:
		.0442	37.4	.0
		.0443	37.3	.0
		.0444	37.2	.0:
		.0445	37.1	.0
		.0446	37.0	.0:
		.0447	36.9	.0
		.0448	36.8	.0
		.0449	36.7	.0:
		.0450 .0451	36.5	.0:
		.0451	36.4	.0:
		.0452	36.3	.0:
		.0455	36.2	.0.
		.0456	36.1	.0
		.0457	36.0	.0:
		.0458	35.9	.05
		.0459	35.8	.0

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

	Decimal		Decir
Remaining life (years)	equiva- lent	Remaining life (years)	equiv
5.7	.0545	28.9	.0
5.6	.0546	28.8	.0
5.5	.0548	28.7	.0
5.4	.0549	28.6	.0
5.3	.0551	28.5	.0
5.2	.0552 .0554	28.4	.0
5.0	.0554	28.2	.0
4.9	.0557	28.1	.0
4.8	.0559	28.0	.0
4.7	.0560	27.9	.0
4.6	.0562	27.8	.0
1.5	.0563	27.7	.0
l.4	.0565	27.6	.0
1.3	.0566	27.5	.0
1.2	.0566	27.4	.0
l.1	.0570	27.3	.0
l.0	.0571 .0573	27.2 27.1	.0 .0
3.8	.0575	27.0	.0
.7	.0576	26.9	.0
.6	.0578	26.8	.0
.5	.0580	26.7	.0
.4	.0581	26.6	.0
.3	.0583	26.5	.(
2	.0585	26.4	
1	.0586	26.3).
0	.0588	26.2).
9 8	.0590 .0592	26.1 26.0).).
7	.0592	25.9	
6	.0595	25.8	
5	.0597	25.7	
4	.0599	25.6	
.3	.0600	25.5	
2	.0602	25.4	.(
1	.0604	25.3	
.0	.0606	25.2	
9	.0608	25.1).
.8	.0610 .0611	25.0 24.9).).
.6	.0613	24.8	. (
5	.0615	24.7	
4	.0617	24.6	
3	.0619	24.5	
2	.0621	24.4	.(
1	.0623	24.3	. (
.0	.0625	24.2	.0
9	.0627	24.1	
	.0629	24.0).
7	.0631	23.9).
.6	.0633	23.7).
4	.0635 .0637	23.6).).
3	.0637	23.5	. (
2	.0641	23.4	. (
1	.0643	23.3	
0	.0645	23.2	
9	.0647	23.1	. (
8	.0649	23.0	
7	.0651	22.9	
6	.0653	22.8	.0
.5	.0656	22.7	.0
.4	.0658	22.6	٥. ا
.3	.0660	22.5).
.2	.0662 .0664	22.4).).
.1	.0667	22.2	۱ .۰

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

Remaining life (years)	Decimal equiva- lent	Remaining life (years)	Decin equiv len
2.1	.0866	15.3	.12
2.0	.0870	15.2	.12
1.9	.0873	15.1	.12
1.8 1.7	.0877 .0881	15.0 14.9	.12
1.6	.0885	14.8	.12
1.5	.0888	14.7	.12
1.4	.0892	14.6	.12
1.3	.0896	14.5	.12
.2	.0901	14.4	.1:
.1	.0905	14.3	.1
.0	.0909	14.2	.1
.9	.0913	14.1	.1
.8	.0917	14.0	.1
.7	.0921 .0925	13.9 13.8	.1
.6	.0925	13.7	1 .1
.4	.0934	13.6	.1
.3	.0939	13.5	.1
2	.0943	13.4	1 .1
.1	.0948	13.3	.1
.0	.0952	13.2	.1
.9	.0957	13.1	.1
.8	.0961	13.0	.1
.7	.0966	12.9	.1
.6	.0970	12.8	.1
.5	.0975	12.7	.1
4	.0980	12.6	1 .1
.2	.0985 .0990	12.5 12.4	1. 1.
.1	.0995	12.3	1 .1
.0	.1000	12.2	1 .1
.9	.1005	12.1	.1
.8	.1010	12.0	.1
.7	.1015	11.9	.1
.6	.1020	11.8	.1
.5	.1025	11.7	.1
.4	.1030	11.6	.1
.3	.1036	11.5	1 .1
.1	.1041 .1047	11.4	.1
.0	.1047	11.2	1. 1.
.9	.1058	11.1	1 .1
.8	.1063	11.0	1 .1
.7	.1069	10.9	.1
.6	.1074	10.8	.1
.5	.1080	10.7	.1
.4	.1086	10.6	.1
.3	.1092	10.5	.1
.2	.1098	10.4	.1
.1	.1105	10.3	.1
.0	.1111	10.2	1 .1
.9	.1117	10.1	.1
.8	.1123 .1129	10.0 9.9	.1
.6	.1129	9.8	1 .1
5	.1142	9.7	1 .1
.4	.1142	9.6	1 .1
3	.1155	9.5	1 .1
.2	.1162	9.4	.1
.1	.1169	9.3	.1
.0	.1176	9.2	.1
.9	.1183	9.1	.1
.8	.1190	9.0	.2
.7	.1197	8.9	.2
.6	.1204	8.8	.2
.5	.1211	8.7	.2

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

Remaining life (years)	Decimal equiva- lent
8.5	2099
8.4	2121
8.3	2145
8.2	2169
8.1	2195
8.0 7.9	2222 2244
7.8	2244
7.7	2292
7.6	2317
7.5	2344
7.4	2372
7.3	2401
7.2	2432
7.1	2465 2500
6.9	2527
6.8	2556
6.7	2587
6.6	2619
6.5	2653
6.4	
6.3	2727
6.2 6.1	2768 2811
6.0	2857
5.9	2892
5.8	2929
5.7	2969
5.6	3011
5.5	3056
5.4 5.3	3103
5.3 5.2	3155 3210
5.1	3210
5.0	3333
4.9	3379
4.8	3429
4.7	3481
4.6	3538
4.5 4.4	3600
4.3	3667 3739
4.2	3818
4.1	3905
4.0	4000
3.9	4063
3.8	4130
3.7	4205
3.6	4286 4375
3.4	4375
3.3	4583
3.2	4706
3.1	4844
3.0	5000
2.9	5088
2.8	5185
2.7	5294
2.6	5417 5556
2.4	5556
2.3	5897
2.2	6111
2.1	6364
2.0	6667
1.9	6786
1.8	6923

TABLE I—DECIMAL EQUIVALENTS FOR USE OF SUM OF THE YEARS-DIGITS METHOD, BASED ON REMAINING LIFE—Continued

Remaining life (years)	Decimal equiva- lent
1.7	.7083
1.6	.7273
1.5	.7500
1.4	.7778
1.3	.8125
1.2	.8571
1.1	.9167
1.0	1.0000

NOTE: For determination of decimal equivalents of remaining lives falling between those shown in the above table, the taxpayer may use the next longest life shown in the table, interpolate from the table, or use the following formula from which the table was derived.

D=2R/(W+2F)(W+1)

where:

D = Decimal equivalent.

R=Remaining life.

W=Whole number of years in remaining life. F=Fractional part of a year in remaining life.

If the taxpayer desires to carry his calculations of decimal equivalents to a greater number of decimal places than is provided in the table, he may use the formula. The procedure adopted must be consistently followed thereafter.

- (b) Applied to group, classified, or composite accounts—(1) General rule. The sum of the years-digits method may be applied to group, classified, or composite accounts in accordance with the plan described in subparagraph (2) of this paragraph or in accordance with other plans as explained in subparagraph (3) of this paragraph.
- (2) Remaining life plan. The remaining life plan as applied to a single asset is described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section. This plan may also be applied to group, classified, or composite accounts. Under this plan the allowance for depreciation is computed by applying changing fractions to the unrecovered cost or other basis of the account reduced by estimated salvage. The numerator of the fraction changes each year to a number which corresponds to the remaining useful life of the account (including the year for which the allowance is being computed), and the denominator changes

each year to a number which represents the sum of the years digits corresponding to the years of estimated remaining useful life of the account. Decimal equivalents of such fractions can be obtained by use of Table I under paragraph (a)(2)(ii) of this section. The proper application of this methodrequires that the estimated remaining useful life of the account be determined each year. This determination, of course, may be made each year by analysis, i.e., by determining the remaining lives for each of the components in the account, and averaging them. The estimated remaining life of any account, however, may also be determined arithmetically. For example, it may be computed by dividing the unrecovered cost or other basis of the account, as computed by straight line depreciation, by the gross cost or other basis of the account, and multiplying

the result by the average life of the assets in the account. Salvage value is not a factor for the purpose of determining remaining life. Thus, if a group account with an average life of ten years had at January 1, 1958, a gross asset balance of \$12,600 and a depreciation reserve computed on the straight line method of \$9,450, the remaining life of the account at January 1, 1958, would be computed as follows:

 $12,600 - 9,450 + 12,600 \times 10$ years equals 2.50 years.

Example. The use of the sum of the years-digits method with group, classified, or composite accounts under the remaining life plan is illustrated by the following example: A calendar year taxpayer maintains a group account to which a five-year life is applicable. Original investment, additions, retirements, and salvage recoveries are the same as those set forth in example (3) of paragraph (b) of §1.167(b)-1.

DEPRECIATION COMPUTATIONS ON A GROUP ACCOUNT UNDER REMAINING LIFE PLAN

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
					Straight	Straight line re-	Remain- ing life	Asset balance	Current addi-	Salvage realized	Sum of the years		digits depreciation	
Year	Asset balance Jan. 1	Current addi- tions	Current retire- ments	Average asset balance	amount serve	90	reduced by sal-	tions re- duced	Accumu- lated re-			Allow- able de-		
					Col. (4)÷ life	Col. (5) – Col. (3) accumu- lated	[Col. (1) – Col. (6)÷ Col. (1)]× av- erage service	vage	by sal- vage		serve Jan. 1	Unre- covered Jan. 1	Rate based on Col. (7) from Table 1	precia- tion
								Col. (1)× (100% – 6.67%)	Col (2)× (100% – 6.67%)		Prior reserve+			Col.
											Col. (14)+ Col.	Col.	Table 1	(12)× Col. (13)+ ½
						Jan. 1	life	ŕ	0.07 %)		(10) – Col. (3)	(8) – Col. (11)		Col. (9)×F ²
1954		\$12,000		\$6,000	1\$1,200		5.00		\$11,200				0.3333	\$1,866
1955	\$12,000			12,000	2,400	\$1,200	4.50	\$11,200			\$1,866	\$9,334	.3600	3,360
1956	12,000			12,000	2,400	3,600	3.50	11,200			5,226	5,974	.4375	2,614
1957	12,000		\$2,000	11,000	2,200	6,000	2.50	11,200		\$200	7,840	3,360	.5556	1,867
1958	10,000		2,000	9,000	1,800	6,200	1.90	9,333		200	7,907	1,426	.6786	968
1959	8,000	10,000	4,000	11,000	2,200	6,000	1.25	7,466	9,333	400	7,075	391	.8125	1,874
1960	14,000		2,000	13,000	2,600	4,200	3.50	13,066			5,349	7,717	.4375	3,376
1961	12,000		2,000	11,000	2,200	4,800	3.00	11,200			6,725	4,475	.5000	2,238
1962						5,000					6,963			

 $^{^{-1}\}ensuremath{\mathcal{V}}_2$ year's amount. 2 F=Rate based on average service life (0.3333 in this example).

(3) Other plans for application of the sum of the years-digits method. Taxpayers who wish to use the sum of the years-digits method in computing depreciation for group, classified, or composite accounts in accordance with a sum of the years digits plan other than the remaining life plan described herein may do so only with the consent of the Commissioner. Request for permission to use plans other than that described shall be addressed to the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Washington, D.C. 20224.

§1.167(b)-4 Other methods.

(a) Under section 167(b)(4) a taxpayer may use any consistent method of computing depreciation, such as the sinking fund method, provided depreciation allowances computed in accordance with such method do not result in accumulated allowances at the end of any taxable year greater than the total of the accumulated allowances which could have resulted from the use of the declining balance method described in section 167(b)(2). This limitation applies only during the first two-thirds of the useful life of the property. For example, an asset costing \$1,000 having a useful life of six years may be depreciated under the declining balance method in accordance with §1.167(b)-2, at a rate of 331/3 percent. During the first four years or \(^2\)3 of its useful life, maximum depreciation allowances under the declining balance method would be as follows:

	Current deprecia- tion	Accumu- lated de- preciation	Balance
Cost of asset	\$333 222 148 99	\$333 555 703 802	\$1,000 667 445 297 198

An annual allowance computed by any other method under section 167(b)(4) could not exceed \$333 for the first year, and at the end of the second year the total allowances for the two years could not exceed \$555. Likewise, the total allowances for the three years could not exceed \$703 and for the four years could not exceed \$802. This limitation would not apply in the fifth and sixth years. See section 167(c) and

1.167(c)—1 for restriction on the use of certain methods.

(b) It shall be the responsibility of the taxpayer to establish to the satisfaction of the Commissioner that a method of depreciation under section 167(b)(4) is both a reasonable and consistent method and that it does not produce depreciation allowances in excess of the amount permitted under the limitations provided in such section.

§1.167(c)-1 Limitations on methods of computing depreciation under section 167(b) (2), (3), and (4).

(a) In general. (1) Section 167(c) provides limitations on the use of the declining balance method described in section 167(b)(2), the sum of the yearsdigits method described in section 167(b)(3), and certain other methods authorized by section 167(b)(4). These methods are applicable only to tangible property having a useful life of three years or more. If construction, reconstruction, or erection by the taxpayer began before January 1, 1954, and was completed after December 31, 1953, these methods apply only to that portion of the basis of the property which is properly attributable to such construction, reconstruction, or erection after December 31, 1953. Property is considered as constructed, reconstructed, or erected by the taxpayer if the work is done for him in accordance with his specifications. The portion of the basis of such property attributable to construction, reconstruction, or erection after December 31, 1953, consists of all costs of the property allocable to the period after December 31, 1953, including the cost or other basis of materials entering into such work. It is not necessary that such materials be acquired after December 31, 1953, or that they be new in use. If construction or erection by the taxpayer began after December 31, 1953, the entire cost or other basis of such construction or erection qualifies for these methods of depreciation. In the case of reconstruction of property, these methods do not apply to any part of the adjusted basis of such property on December 31, 1953. For purposes of this section, construction, reconstruction, or erection by the taxpayer begins when physical work is