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# SECTION 1. MARRIAGES- ANALYSIS AND SUMMARY 

Carl E. Ortmeyer, Ph. D.

## Highlights

There were $1,548,000$ marriages in the United States in 1961; the rate per 1,000 population of 8.5 equalled the rate for 1959 and 1960. The rate for the marriage-registration area (MRA), excluding New York, was 7.8 in 1961 as compared with 7.2 in 1960. Additions to the MRA in 1961 were the District of Columbia, Indiana, and Massachusetts with rates of $11.7,9.0$, and 6.5 .

Marriage rates per 1,000 population for three of the four regions showed little or no variation from those of 1960, but for one (the Northeast Region) the rate declined slightly from 7.0 in 1960 to 6.8 in 1961.

Most of the monthly variation in marriage totals and rates (as analyzed for the period since 1953) is seasonal, the average percent change in the unadjusted data being only slightly larger than that for seasonal variations. Moderate cycles of more than 1 year in length were marked by declines during the economic recessions in 1954 and 1958, and there was also a downward trend during the entire period since 1953.

In a group of 17 reporting States the percent of all brides and grooms under 20 years of age increased from 33.0 and 9.9 in 1952 to 41.7 and 14.6 in 1961. Percents of brides and grooms who married for the first time ( 78 to 80 ) showed no upward or downward trend from 1952 to 1961.

While the peak month for marriages was June in all geographic divisions, August, September, and December were peak months in one or more individual States. December was either a primary or secondary peak month in several States in the South, in the southern part of the West, and in Alaska. The low month for marriages fell in the first quarter except in two States.

Larger percents of first marriages occurred during the summer (June-August) and of remarriages during the winter (December, January-March). Fifty-two percent of the marriages in the MRA occurred on Saturday, the peak day of the week for marriages in every State except Utah. Marriages occurring on Friday through Sunday constituted 77 percent of all marriages.

Eighty percent of the couples married in the MRA in 1961 were both residents of the States in which they married. More first-married brides and grooms ( 81 percent) than remarried ( 76 percent) were included in these couples. Larger percents of brides and grooms under 25 years were married in their counties of residence than was the case for brides and grooms of these ages who married outside their criunties of residence. Couples that were nonresidents of the States in which they married included 10 percent of firstmarried brides and grooms and 16 percent of remarried brides and grooms.

The mean age of all brides in the MRA in 1961 was 25.2 years; for first-married brides it was 21.5 years. The mean age of all grooms was 28.3 and for first-married grooms it was 24.3 years. Brides under age 20 most frequently married grooms 2-3 years older; those 20-24 most often married grooms of the same age or 1 year older; those in the age groups $25-29$ through $40-44$ most often married grooms in the same 5 -year age groups; older brides, especially those 55-59 years and older, most often married grooms older than themselves. Marriages of older brides to grooms older than themselves were more frequent among nonwhite than among white brides.

An estimated 4,806 marriages in the MRA in 1961, or 0.6 percent of couples for which color was reported, were marriages of white persons to nonwhite; the groom was nonwhite in 2,665 of these marriages and white in 2,141 .

Mean ages of grooms by age of bride for first-married couples ranged from 20.6 for brides under 18 years to 69.6 for brides 65 years and over; mean ages of brides ranged from 18.3 for those with grooms under 20 to 56.7 for those with grooms 65 years and older. The mean age for grooms of the brides in each age group exceeds that for brides of the grooms in the same age group. This difference is greater for the older than for the younger age groups.

During 1961 in the MRA, 77.0 percent of all brides and 77.3 percent of all grooms married for the first time. Peak age at first marriage was 18 years for brides and 21 years for grooms; the peak 5 -year age group was 25-29 for remarried brides and 30-34 for remarried grooms. Peak ages at first marriage were equal for white and nonwhite persons, but age distributions of white persons had much higher peaks than did those for nonwhite. Among the remarried population, relatively more nonwhite couples married at older ages ( 30 years and over) than did white couples as indicated by the peak 5 -year age groups of $30-34$ for nonwhite brides and grooms compared with 25-29 for white brides and grooms.

Of the brides reporting previous marital status in the MRA 80 percent were single, 5 percent widowed, and 15 percent divorced; for grooms the percents were approximately the same- 80 percent single, 4 percent widowed, and 15 percent divorced. For the remarried, widowed brides and grooms were relatively more frequent among nonwhite couples and divorced brides and grooms among white couples. In the case of both brides and grooms, higher proportions of the widowed nonwhite persons were in the younger age groups (35-39 for brides and 40-44 for grooms) than were the widowed white. Peak age intervals for remarriage of divorced persons, however, were younger among the white than among the nonwhite.

In general, among white couples as compared with nonwhite couples, persons of like marital status married each
other relatively more often than they married persons of another marital status. That is, the ratio of white to nonwhite couples for single marrying single (almost 8 to 1 ) was higher than all but one of the corresponding ratios for single marrying widowed and single marrying divorced; the exception was a ratio of 9 to 1 for single grooms with divorced brides. The ratio of white to nonwhite couples for widowed marrying widowed ( 7 to 1 ) was higher than all but one of such ratios for widowed marrying partners in either of the other two marital status groups; the exception was a ratio of 9 to 1 for widowed brides marrying divorced grooms. The ratio for divorced marrying divorced ( 11 to 1 ) was higher than any such ratio for divorced marrying either widowed or single.

Seventy-eight percent of all brides and grooms in the MRA reporting number of this marriage were entering their first marriage; 19 percent of the brides and 18 percent of the grooms were entering their second marriage, and for 4 percent of the brides and grooms the number of this marriage was three or more.

For the MRA in 1961, 17 percent of all first-married brides and grooms, 41 percent of all remarried brides, and 39 percent of all remarried grooms were married in civil rather than religious ceremonies. Percents of civil ceremonies were slightly above average for first-married teenage brides and grooms. These declined for brides and grooms in their 20's and 30 's, increased to their highest peaks at ages $45-54$ for brides and 55-64 for grooms, and then dropped sharply for those of the older ages.

## Introduction

There were 1,548,000 marriages reported for the United States in 1961, a figure approximately equal to that of $1,523,000$ for 1960 . The marriage rate per 1,000 population was 8.5 for 1961 and for the 2 preceeding years. The marriage rate for each year since 1957 has been comparable to the rates recorded for the years 1931-33 and lower than those for 1934-56. The rate for the marriage-registration area (excluding the State of New York) was 7.8-up somewhat from the 7.2 for 1960. Massachusetts, Indiana, and the District of Columbia were included in the MRA for the first time in 1961. (A complete list of MRA States is shown on page 2-2 in Section 2.) The rate for the District of Columbia (11.7) and that for Indiana (9.0) contributed to the increase in the 1961 rate for the MRA as a whole; the exclusion of the number of marriages from New York when computing the 1961 rate for the MRA also contributed to the increase. The rate for Massachusetts (6.5), however, had the opposite effect of lowering the MRA rate. Rates for the four regions maintained the same relative sizes in 1961 as in 1960. The Northeast, with the lowest rate, showed a slight decline from 7.0 in 1960 to 6.8 in 1961. The South and the West had the highest rates in 1961 ( 9.8 in each region) as well as in 1960 (9.7 and 9.8, respectively). Intermediate rates in the North Central were 7.7 in 1961 and 7.8 in 1960.

The rates cited above are based on reports from State and local registration offices of annual counts of marriages or marriage licenses. Probability samples of marriage records, first secured for the 1960 data year, were again obtained by and processed in NVSD for 1961. However, such
samples were secured in 1961 for only the States in the marriage-registration area; the sampling procedures were the same as those for the 1960 returns from these States. For sample specifications and sizes, see Section 7 of this volume. Pretabulated totals for 1961 of marriages by month and by county are shown for all States and the District of Columbia as well as for Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands, the same areas for which such totals were obtained in 1960. For each State and area participating in the marriage-registration area, totals estimated from samples were checked for consistency with the pretabulated totals. In all cases except one (Kentucky) differences between each pair of totals were no greater than 1 percent. The policy followed in publishing the statistics for both 1961 and 1960 has been to publish pretabulated totals in those tables showing only totals or counts by month or by county and not to include statistics on other characteristics of the marriages and the brides and grooms. Since sample records were secured only for the MRA, all 1961 statistics shown for the United States, the four regions, and the nine geographic divisions are based entirely upon pretabulated figures. Based on pretabulated reports are all entries shown in tables $1-\mathrm{A}, 1-\mathrm{B}, 1-\mathrm{C}$, and $1-\mathrm{D}$ and $2-1$ in Section 2 and the county totals shown in Section 5 of this volume. Incompleteness of sample records received from one State (Kentucky) along with expected differences between counts of marriage licenses and marriages performed and minor differences in rounding figures account for the divergence between pretabulated estimates and sample estimates. The pretabulated total for the mar-riage-registration area (table 2-1) is 968,000 , but the sample estimate of this total is 964,000 , most of this discrepancy being accounted for by an estimated 3,700 marriages in Kentucky for which no sample transcripts were reported.

## Marriage trends

The 1961 rate of 8.5 per 1,000 population is a continuation of the low rates prevailing since 1958. The only year for which an appreciably lower rate-an accompaniment of a serious economic depression-was recorded was 1932 (table 1-A and fig. 1-1). During the 1930's a decline in the birth rates indicated small cohorts of infants-infants who, by 1961 , were in their $20^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, the age at which 42 percent of all brides and 61 percent of all grooms in the MRA were married in 1961 (table 1-E). Thus, it seems likely that the low marriage rates for the years 1958-61 are in part a next-generation sequence to the low birth rates of the 1930's.

These low birth rates have resulted in relatively small numbers of persons between 14 and 40 years of age, particularly of persons now aged 20 to 30 years. These persons now in the marriageable ages have been a declining proportion of the denominators for the rates per 1,000 total population. When the population in the denominator is restricted to females $15-44$ years, the rate of 145.4 for 1961 (table 1-B) and rates which have remained below 150.0 since 1958 are lower than the corresponding rates for the years since World War II, but they are markedly higher than those for the years immediately preceding that war (1940 and 1941) and for the years 1943-45. Year-to-year changes in the rates shown in tables 1-A and 1-B also
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MARRIAGE RATES: UNITED STATES, 1920-61

appear to be correlated with declines in the levels of economic activity (downward trends in the early 1930's and dips in 1949, 1954, and 1958) and with military mobilization and war (increases in 1941-42, declines during the peak war years of 1943-44, rapid increases immediately after World War II, and a lesser rise in 1950 during the Korean conflict). (See table 1-A.)

Additional information has been secured about the components of marriage trends from a time series analysis of monthly marriage totals. Results of this analysis are shown in table 1-C and figure 1-2. The monthly totals analyzed in the time series are estimates based upon monthly reports from State and local offices. Even though the monthly tables are secured from counts of records received in the reporting offices during the reporting month, rather than from actual marriages performed in that month, they are used in this analysis since their coverage has been complete enough to yield nationwide estimates of marriages since January 1953. Rates analyzed were computed using these monthly totals.

The most notable feature of the original or unadjusted totals and rates is the seasonal variations, as noted in the description of these time series analyses in Section 1 of Volume IIl of Vital Statistics of United States 1960). The seasonal peak occurs in June, with a secondary peak in August, and seasonal lows in January and March. These seasonal variations and their scope relative to irregular and longer term cyclical-trend variations may be summarized by a statistic termed average monthly amplitude, or average percentage change in each monthly figure of a series from the preceding monthly figure. The time series analysis of
marriage totals and rates for January 1953-September 1961 yielded estimates of these average amplitudes for the original or unadjusted figures, the seasonal variations, the figures adjusted to remove seasonal variation, cycle and. trend variations longer than 1 year in duration, and irregular variations of less than 1 year's duration. These monthly percentage changes are:


MARRIAGE RATES PER 1,000 FEMALE POPULATION 15-44 YEARS OF AGE BY MONTH, UNADJUSTED, SEASONALLY ADJUSTED, AND ADJUSTED TO SHOW CYCLE-TREND: UNITED STATES, 1953-61


These summary statistics indicate that the seasonal amplitude very nearly equalled the amplitude in the unadjusted data and that there were almost no cyclical or trend changes during these years.

Even though the cycle-trend variations have been small in average monthly amplitude, these variations for the rate per 1,000 females aged $15-44$ show four distinct cycles of varying durations and an overall downward trend (fig. 1-2).

Beginning with rates at the end of the Korean conflict of $46.2,46.1$, and 45.9 for the first 3 months of 1953 (levels higher than any attained through 1961), the first cycle dipped to a low of 42.4 for July-August 1954 and then rose to a high of 45.8 in September 1956; the second cycle brought the rate lower than the first-to 40.4 early in 1958 during a period of recession. The second high of 42.9 for June 1960 was followed in about a year by a low of 41.8 for January-

April and then an increase to 43.0 for September 1961. Periods of economic recession in 1954 and in late 1957 and early 1958 were marked by dips in this marriage rate. The lowering of the long-term trend of the rate since about mid1957 is signalized by the fact that the August 1957 rate of 43.2, while lower than those for preceding years in the series (except those of the April-December 1954 low), was higher than any subsequent rates. All of the figures were analytically adjusted to show long-term cycles and trends.
ln each of the four regions and nine geographic divisions as well as in the United States as a whole, the marriage rate per 1,000 population for 1961 remained at almost the same level as that for 1960 (table 1-D). With a few exceptions, changes in rates for the regions and divisions have been in the same direction and of about the same amplitude as those in the national rate. From 1957 to 1958, rates de-
clined in the four regions and in six of the nine geographic divisions, increased in the West South Central Division, and remained unchanged in the West North Central and Pacific Divisions. Since 1958 the rate in each division has remained at about the same level except for a few shifts which probably result to an important degree from changes in reporting. procedures in specific States. In New England, improvements beginning in 1960 in the reporting of marriage statistics for Massachusetts to exclude duplicate records reported from two or more local offices brought about a decline in 1960 from the estimated figures for that State for 1959. The decline in the 1959 rate for the East South Central Division reflects a low 1959 reported total from Kentucky which was based on a procedure which yielded an incomplete count in 1960. For the Mountain Division, the unusually low rate for 1958 reflects in parta very low and incomplete total
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reported by New Mexico, with higher rates since 1959 resulting from more complete reporting from this State.

Trends in age at marriage and marriage order are shown in tables 1-P and 1-Q for the 17 States which reported these data for the 10 -year period 1952-61. Alabama has been added to the group of 16 States which reported for the period 1951-60 (tables 1-T and 1-U, Section 1, Volume III, Vital Statistics of the United States 1960). The 17 States are distributed among the four regions-5 of the 9 States in the Northeast are included but only 4 of 12 in the North Central, 5 of 16 in the South, and 3 of 13 in the West.

The predominant trend in age at marriage in the 17 States for 1952-61 (as in the 16States for 1951-60) has been the increase in the percent of brides and grooms who married at ages under 20 (fig. 1-3). The percent of all brides marrying under 20 years of age increased in each successive year from 33.0 in 1952 to 41.7 in 1961, the 1961 percent being over one-fourth greater than that for 1952. The percent of grooms in this age category increased by almost one-half, from 9.9 in 1952 to 14.6 in 1961, and the percent aged $20-24$ years increased by almost one-tenth, from 41.6 in 1952 to 45.4 in 1961. These increases in relative frequency of marriage at ages under 20 are reflected in trends toward lower median ages at marriagefrom 22.5 in 1952 to 21.3 in 1961 for brides, and from 24.8 in 1952 to 23.9 in 1961 for grooms. The percent of all first-married brides under age 20 at marriage increased from 41.5 in 1952 to 51.8 in 1961, while the median age at marriage declined from 21.1 in 1952 to 19.8 in 1961. The proportion of first-married grooms under age 20 increased from 12.5 percent in 1952 to 18.2 in 1961; the percent aged $20-24$ increased from 50.8 in 1952 to 55.3 in 1961; median age at marriage of first-married grooms declined from 23.7 in 1952 to 22.9 in 1961.

Proportions of all brides and grooms marrying for the first time in the 17 States for the period 1952-61 showed no trend but varied between 77.8 and 79.0 for brides and between 78.6 and 79.9 for grooms (table 1-Q). Thus, for these 17 States the increases in proportions of brides and grooms marrying at younger ages have not been accompanied by increases in proportions marrying for the first time.

## Marriages by month and day of occurrence

Monthly marriage totals shown in table 2-1 of Section 2 are based upon pretabulated counts from State and local offices and are the only figures available for the United States, regions, geographic divisions, and for States outside the MRA. Both pretabulated counts (table 2-1) and estimates secured from samples of marriage records (tables $1-E$, $1-F, 2-2$, and $2-3$ ) are shown for the MRA.

The percent of marriages occurring in June varies from 10.6 in the Mountain Division of the West Region to 14.3 in the West North Central Division of the North Central Region. Even though June is the seasonal peak month for marriages in all regions and geographic divisions (table 2-1), other seasonal highs and lows differ among these areas. The secondary peak month is September in the New England Division, with totals somewhat larger than those for June
in both Rhode Island and Connecticut. In the North Central Region, August and September constituted secondary peak months in both the East and West North Central Divisions. August was the secondary peak month only in ulinois in the East North Central but in all seven States in the West North Central except Minnesota. In the South Region December was the secondary peak month in the South Atlantic and the East South Central Divisions, with August in this position in the West South Central. The December total was above that for June in South Carolina and was the second highest in five other South Atlantic States, while September was second highest in Delaware and Maryland and August in the District of Columbia. December was also second highest in three of four States in the East South Central Division along with Louisiana in the West South Central; August was second highest in Kentucky and in the other three States in the West South Central. In the West Region, the secondary peak months included August and September in the Mountain Division and August in the Pacific Division. However, December was the peak month in New Mexico (with June second) and in Arizona (with March second); December shared the peak with August in Alaska and was second highest in California and Hawaii. August was peak month in Hawaii and second highest in Idaho, Wyoming, Colorado, and Washington. September was peak month in Nevada and second highest in Montana, Utah, and Oregon.

Low month for marriages, without adjustments for differing numbers of days, was February for the United States, two divisions in the Soath Region, and the Mountain Division in the West Region. March was low month for the MRA and the Northeast and North Central Regions; January was low month in the South Atlantic and Pacific Divisions. In all States except two the low month fell in the first quarter of the year; in New Mexico and Arizona the low month was October.

Comparison of the percent distributions of age at marriage of brides classified by month of marriage (table 1-E) did not yield any obvious relationships between thehighs and lows of the seasonal cycle and differences in relative age distributions. June is characterized by somewhat greater than average proportions of brides under 25 years of age and smaller percents of older brides. However, the highest percents of brides under age 18, 18-19, and 20-24 are found in March, November, and August, respectively. For grooms there is a decided overrepresentation of ages 20-24 among those married in June; all other percents in this month fell below corresponding proportions for the year as a whole. However, the month with the highest percent of grooms under 20 years was March, and for those 25-29 it was September. The older age categories of brides ( 25 years and older) and of grooms ( 30 years and older) are in most instances overrepresented among those who married in the first three and the last two months of the year. Both brides and grooms aged 20-24 are overrepresented in August and September marriages but only brides for July.

For brides first marriages are relatively more frequent than remarriages in June, August, and September and remarriages in December, January, February, and March (table 1-F and fig. 1-4). Grooms marrying for the first time
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## PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF MARRIAGES OF WHITE AND NONWHITE BRIDES BY MARRIAGE ORDER AND BY MONTH: MARRIAGE-REGISTRATION AREA, 1961 PERCENT <br> 


do so more frequently in June and August, and those who remarry do so more frequently in December, January, and March. Among both brides and grooms, relatively more white persons than nonwhite favored June, July, and September, while more nonwhite chose March and December. For those marrying for the first time, proportionally more white persons married in June, July, September, and (only for grooms) August, and more nonwhite married in February, March, December, and (only for grooms) January. Months with relatively large percents of remarriages of white persons were September for brides and alsogrooms, March for grooms, and June for brides; for nonwhites these months were January, March, and April for brides and May, August, October, and December for grooms.

The three months in which the highest percents of all brides, as well as of all grooms, married for the first time
were June, September, and August in that order; the corresponding three months for remarrying brides were June, December, and July, but for remarrying grooms they were December, September, and June. Among the remarried, peak marriage months for percents of remarriages differed more for brides from those for grooms in the case of nonwhite brides and grooms than of white. These two contrastsbetween peak months for brides and grooms at first marriage and at remarriage and among the remarried between white and nonwhite brides and grooms-reflect differences in the tendency of persons of similar marital status to marry each other. Previously married brides marry previously married grooms proportionately less often than single brides marry single grooms; nonwhite previously married brides and grooms marry each other less often that do white previously married brides and grooms (table 2-17).

Estimates of the numbers of marriages performed in the marriage-registration area on each day of the week during 1961 indicate that 52 percent of all marriages occurred on Saturday. The next most popular day for weddings was Friday and then Sunday. Taken as a group, marriages performed on the three "weekend" days constituted 77 percent of all marriages in the MRA.

Saturday is the peak day for marriages in 34 of the registration States and the District of Columbia; in Utah the peak occurs on Friday and a secondary peak on Saturday. In 15 States and the District the order of first, second, and third days corresponds to that for the MRA as a wholeSaturday, Friday, Sunday. In Lowa, Kansas, and Nebraska in the North Central Region, Louisiana in the South, and Massachussetts, New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania in the Northeast the order is Saturday, Sunday, Friday. In 12 States one of the other five days in the week out-ranked Sunday in the top three for marriage: In seven States the day was Monday, in four it was Thursday, and in one it was Tuesday.

Statistically the pronounced weekly cycles are a factor in variation in totals for the same month in different years since the number of Saturdays (or of Fridays or Sundays) in any month of 29 days or more varies between four and five from year to year.

## Resident status of bride and of groom

 in place of marriageAbout 771,000 (or 80 percent) of the 963,000 marriages for which residence was reported were those of brides and grooms resident in the State in which they married. Eightyone percent of first-married brides and grooms were residents marrying resident spouses, as was the case for 76 percent of the brides and grooms who had been previously married (table 2-5). Next in order of numbers and proportions were couples in which both were nonresidents10 percent of first-married brides and grooms and 16 percent of previously married brides and grooms. Two percent of all first-married brides were nonresidents marrying resident grooms and 7 percent of the first-married grooms were nonresidents marrying resident brides; corresponding percents for brides and grooms who were remarrying were 3 and 5 percent.

The analysis of residence data was limited by problems in allocating county of residence when only city or town was reported, as was the case in 8 States and in those New England States for which the areas of all cities or towns (as reported) constitute the entire area of the county. In all records reporting only city or town, allocation was made to the county including the city or town or the largest proportion of the city or town population. Though the data on county of residence should be accepted with some caution, comparisons using 1960 data of proportions of brides and
grooms resident and not resident in county of marriage in 8 States outside New England reporting only city or town with those for other States in the MRA indicated that the variation in these distributions among the 8 States closely resembled the variation among the other 27 States.

Among brides marrying in their counties of residence, 39 percent were under 20 years of age, but among brides marrying outside their regions of residence only 33 percent were under 20 (table 1-G). Corresponding percents for grooms were 15 and 10 . The percent of brides aged 25 to 64
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PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF MARRIAGES BY RESIDENT STATUS OF BRIDE AND OF GROOM
IN STATE WHERE MARRIED: MARRIAGE-REGISTRATION AREA AND EACH REGISTRATION STATE, 1961

and of grooms aged 30 to 64 was least among those resident in the county where they married. Residents included relatively large numbers of young brides and grooms and, correspondingly, somewhat smaller proportions of older brides and grooms. Brides and grooms in their counties of residence included larger percents of youths (under 25 for brides and under 35 for grooms). On the other hand, brides and grooms who were nonresidents of the counties and States in which they remarried were more often older ( $20-64$, especially 25-44, for brides and 35-64 for grooms). However, the brides and grooms remarrying in their counties of residence included more persons 65 years and over than did those remarrying outside their counties of residence. In other words, brides and grooms who remarried in their home counties included relatively more of those in the youngest and oldest ages at marriage, whereas the ages in between were overrepresented among the nonresidents.

For the MRA as a whole, about 59 percent of all couples were both residents of the county in which they married (table $1-\mathrm{H}$ ), 9 percent were both living in other counties in the same State, and 11 percent were outside the State where married. The proportion of couples in which the bride resided in the county of marriage but the groom did not ( 15 percent) was about three times as large as the proportion in which the groom resided in the county of marriage but the bride did not ( 5 percent).

The proportion of couples both of whom were residents of the State in which they married exceeded 90 percent in Alaska, California, Hawaii, Louisiana, Ohio, and Wisconsin but was less than 50 percent in Idaho, Maryland, and New Hampshire and also the District of Columbia (table 1-J and fig. 1-5). Areas in which the proportion of couples who were both nonresidents was more than twice the 11 percent for the MRA as a whole were the District of Columbia, Georgia, Idaho, Maryland, New Hampshire, South Dakota, and Virginia.

In addition to the residence statistics, data for the MRA as well as for each registration State show residence of bride by that of groom; residence of each is classified according to the place of marriage (tables 2-7 and 2-8). Many reliable comparisons among the States can be made, but a few would be questionable because of the small numbers involved. (Any number which is less than the minimum useful frequency for each State listed on page 2-2 should be regarded as "small" rather than as a specific number.)

## Age at marriage, marriage order, and color of bride and of groom

The interrelationships of the key demographic variables discussed below are shown as frequency distributions in five tables in Section 2 (tables 2-9 through 2-13). All data are for the MRA and participating States and other areas.

The mean age for all brides in 1961 was 25.2 years and that 'for grooms was 28.3 years. Mean age at marriage of first-married brides was 21.5 years and of first-married grooms 24.3 years.

When ages of all brides were classified by ages of their grooms (table 2-9), the modal age of grooms with brides under 17 years of age was 18 years; for those with brides aged 17 it was 19; and for those with brides $18-20$ it was 21 years. Brides 21 and 22 years of age mostoften married
grooms who were 22 years of age, and brides 23 and 24 most often married grooms 1 year older than themselves. Brides in the age groups $25-29,30-34,35-39$, and $40-44$ most often married grooms in the same 5 -year age groups, but brides of ages 45-49 and 50-54 most often married grooms aged 50-54, while brides in each of the age groups 55-59, 60-64 and 65 and over most often married grooms aged 65 years and over. The modal age group of grooms for each age group of brides was similar for nonwhite to that for white couples (table 2-10). Brides aged under 20 and $20-24$ most often married grooms 20-24; brides aged 25-29 and 30-34 most often married grooms in the same age groups; brides aged $45-49$ most frequently married grooms aged 50-54; and brides aged 50 years and over most often married grooms 60 years and over. The age distributions of grooms with younger brides are sharply peaked (over half of the grooms with brides under 25 are in the age group 20-24).

Of the 862,637 marriages for which color of both bride and groom was reported, 4,806 (or 0.6 percent) were reported as marriages of white persons to nonwhite. For 2,665 of these the groom was nonwhite; for 2,141 the groom was white. Age distributions of the couples are shown below.

| COLOR AND AGE OF BRIDE | AGE OF GROOM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total ${ }^{1}$ | Under 25 years | 25 years and over |
|  | WHITE |  |  |
|  | 2,141 | 1,010 | 1,130 |
| Under 25 years <br>  | 1,244 | 798 | 446 |
|  | 896 | 212 | 684 |
|  | NONWHITTE |  |  |
| WHITE------ | 2,665 | 1,260 | 1,405 |
| Under 25 years.-.-.--------- | 1,725 | 1,119 | 606 |
| 25 years and over-------- | 940 | 141 | 799 |

${ }^{1}$ Totals include age not stated.

Proportionate age distributions of both white and nonwhite grooms were approximately equal, but more white brides were under 25 years of age ( 65 percent) than nonwhite (58 percent).

The modal or peak ages of first-married grooms by age of first-married brides (table 2-11) were equal to those for all couples (table 2-9) except at ages 40 and over, where the numbers of first-married couples were so small as to be marginal in reliability. For all first-married couples median age at marriage of brides was 19.9 years (mean age, 20.9 years) and median age of grooms was 22.3 years (mean age, 23.5 years). Median ages of grooms by age of bride ranged from 20.2 for grooms of brides under 18 years to 71.0 for those of brides 65 years and over (table 1-L); corresponding mean ages of grooms ranged from 20.6 to 69.6. By contrast the median ages of brides varied from 18.3 years for brides of grooms under 20 years of age to
57.0 for those married to grooms 65 years and over; corresponding mean ages of brides rose from 18.3 for those marrying grooms under 20 to 56.7 for those marrying grooms 65 years and older. Relationships between ages of brides and of grooms were found to be similar to those of previous years. At younger ages the mean age of grooms of brides aged 20 was 22.9 , while the mean age of brides of grooms aged 20 was $19.1,3.8$ years younger than the mean age of grooms. At older age levels this difference became wider. For example, mean age of grooms of brides aged 55-64 was 61.7 years, but the mean age of brides of grooms aged 55-64
was 48.8 years -12.9 years less than the mean age of grooms. These relationships are similar if median rather than mean ages are compared. Thus, on the average, brides were younger than their partners at first marriage, and this difference increased as age at marriage increased.
ln 1961 in the MRA, 77.0 percent of all brides and 77.3 percent of all grooms were marrying for the first time; the remainder in each case had been married previously (table $1-K$ and fig. $1-6$ ). More brides married for the first time at age 18 and more grooms at age 21 than at any other ages (table 2-12). Classified into 5 -year age groups, more
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remarrying brides were in the age group 25-29 years and more remarrying grooms were in the 30-34 year age group than in any of the other 5 -year age groups. The peak ages at first marriage were equal for white and nonwhite couples, but the peak age group at remarriage for white brides (under 25) was younger than the peak for nonwhite brides (30-34) and the peak for white grooms (25-29) was younger than the peak for nonwhite grooms ( $30-34$ ).

Overall, distributions of age at first marriage of white brides and grooms have much higher peaks than do the corresponding distributions for nonwhite brides and grooms (table 2-12). The ratio of all white to all nonwhite firstmarried brides was roughly 7 to 1 ; corresponding approximate ratios rose from 4 to 1 for brides under 16 to 12 to 1 for brides 18 years of age and declined to ratios of 3 to 1 to 6 to 1 after age 23. Ratios of all white to all nonwhite first-married grooms of approximately 8 to 1 compare with ratios of 7 to 1 for grooms under 18, increase up to 11 to 1 at ages 18 to 23 , and then decline to a ratio of about 4 to 1 after age 30 . For remarriages, ages of white brides were concentrated in the age groups under 30, whereas relatively more nonwhite brides remarried at older ages. The same is true for grooms except that the ratios of white to nonwhite grooms aged $25-29$ and $50-54$ were both 11 to 1.

Among the registration States and the District of Columbia, the percent of all brides and grooms marrying for the first time varied from less than 70 in Alaska, Florida, and Wyoming to over 85 in Massachusetts, New York, and Wisconsin (fig. 1-6 and table 1-K).

## Age at marriage, previous marital status, and color of bride and of groom

Of the 920,804 brides for whom previous marital status was reported 735,760 (or 80 percent) were single, 47,144 (or 5 percent) were widowed, and 137,900 (or 15 percent) were divorced (tables 2-18 and 2-19). Of the 921,088 grooms classified by previous marital status, 80 percent were single, 4 percent widowed, and 15 percent divorced. Since the single are identical to the first-married discussed above, the discussion which follows is focused on the widowed and divorced. Among all brides the ratio of widowed to divorced was about 1 to 3 . Among white brides this ratio was also about 1 to 3 , but among nonwhite brides it was closer to 1 to 2 . Among all grooms this ratio was almost 1 to $3 \not 2$. . Among white grooms it was over 1 to $3 \not 2$, , but among nonwhite grooms it was closer to 1 to 2 than 1 to 3 .

As in previous years, age distributions of the marital status groups varied, the peak age intervals being youngest for the single and the divorced and oldest for the widowed (table 2-18). Peak age intervals for single brides and grooms irrespective of color were under 25. Previously widowed white brides married most often at 50-54 years, but the corresponding distribution for previously widowed nonwhites was bimodal with peaks at both $35-39$ and $50-54$ years. Peak ages at marriage for white widowed grooms were 65 years and over and both $40-44$ and 65 years and over for nonwhite. For previously divorced brides, the peak for nonwhite brides was an older agegroup (30-34) than that for white (under 25). A similar difference was found for
grooms, the peak for nonwhites being at 35-39 and for whites at 25-29. Secondary peaks in the age groups 35-39 and 4044 indicate a young widowed group among nonwhite brides and grooms which is not shown in the age distribution of white previously widowed brides and grooms. Ages at remarriage of the divorced are, however, younger for white persons than for nonwhite.

Homogamy (like marrying like) is shown for previous marital status in table 2-7. The overall ratio of white to nonwhite couples was approximately 8 to 1 , as were the ratios for all single brides and for all single grooms and that for the marriages of single partners. Relatively fewer white than nonwhite single brides married widowed grooms ( 4 to 1 ) and divorced grooms ( 7 to 1 ) compared with single marrying single. Corresponding ratios for single grooms were 6 to 1 for those marrying widowed brides and 9 to 1 for those marrying divorced brides. Among the widowed the ratio was approximately 7 to 1 for like marrying like; relatively more white than nonwhite widowed brides married divorced grooms ( 9 to 1 ); relatively fewer white than nonwhite widowed grooms married single brides (4 to 1) and divorced brides (5 to 1). As a group widowed grooms were relatively less numerous among whites than nonwhites with a ratio of 6 to 1 compared with 7 to 1 for widowed brides. Both divorced grooms and brides were relatively more frequent among whites than nonwhites (9 to 1) compared with the other two marital status categories. Marriages of divorced to divorced, with a ratio of over 11 to 1 , were relatively even more common among whites, but among nonwhites more divorced brides and grooms married widowed and single partners, especially divorced grooms with single brides and divorced brides with widowed grooms. To summarize, like married like relatively less frequently among nonwhites than among whites. This generalization had one exception for divorced brides (single grooms with divorced brides) and one exception for divorced grooms (widowed brides with divorced grooms) but no exception for single brides or widowed grooms.

Among couples for whom color was reported, there were 2,665 marriages of white brides and nonwhite grooms and 2,141 marriages of nonwhite brides and white grooms distributed by previous marital status as follows:

| COLOR AND PREVIOUS MARITAL STAIUS OF BRIDE | PREVIOUS MARITAL STAIUS OF GROOM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total ${ }^{3}$ | Single | Previously married |
|  | WHITE |  |  |
| NONWHITE ${ }^{1}$ - - ------ | 2,141 | 1,247 | 794 |
| Single---------------- <br> Previously married--- | 1,262 | 904 | 358 |
|  | 679 | 343 | 336 |
|  | NONWHITE |  |  |
| WHITE ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | 2,665 | 2,013 | 552 |
| Single--------------- | 1,968 | 1,703 | 165 |
| Previously marrıed--- | 597 | 210 | 387 |

ITotals include previous marital status not stated.

While the figures for the previously married are so small as to be only marginally reliable, they provide evidence of greater homogamy for couples with white brides and nonwhite grooms than for the couples with nonwhite brides and white grooms. Proportions of single marrying single and of previously married marrying previously married were higher among the former.

The median ages of widowed brides and grooms who married widowed partners were in the age group 55-64 years, but the median ages of divorced brides and grooms who married widowed partners were in the 45-54 age group (frequencies in table 2-16). Median age of divorced grooms marrying divorced brides was in the 35-44 age bracket, but the median age of these brides was in the 25-34 age group.

Age distributions of brides by previous marital status crossed by age distributions of all grooms are shown in table 2-14; the obverse age distributions of grooms by previous marital status crossed by age distributions of all brides are shown in table 2-15. Thus, even though not all age intervals are comparable, data on age of bride by age of groom are shown for all brides and grooms (table 2-9) and for first-married brides and grooms (table2-11) and, in tables 2-14, 2-15, and 2-16, for all brides cross-classified by grooms of each marital status group and vice versa, as well as for remarried brides and grooms classified as widowed or divorced. Age cross-classifications are also shown for white and nonwhite couples in table 2-10 and for the few reported white-nonwhite intermarriages in the table on page 1-13.

These data, although incompletely reported when classified by color and (for the remarried) by previous marital status, are similar to data published before 1960 and 1961. Together they constitute a start at developing a key demographic feature of marriage and divorce statistics-the quantitative description and analysis of selection of marriage partners which would answer questions such as

How are demographic and social characteristics such as age, color, marital status, and educational attainment matched in this selection?

How frequently do matches of partners with similar characteristics occur compared with matches of partners with varying amounts or types of dissimilarities?

Can the distributions of similarities and dissimilarities be attributed in important part to variations in ratios of males to females eligible for marriage in the populations from which the partners have selected each other?

Do the pairings on one variable such as age at marriage differ when couples are sorted on another variable such as color or educational level? If so, what are the quantitative estimates of these differences?

## Number of this marriage of bride and of groom

In the marriage-registration area, 78 percent of all brides and also of all grooms who reported number of the marriage being solemnized (one, two, three, or more) were marrying for the first time, 19 percent of the brides and 18 percent of the grooms for the second time, and 4 percent of both brides and grooms married three times or more (table $1-\mathrm{M}$ ). Percents marrying for the first time differ slightly from those shown in table $1-\mathrm{K}$ due to exclusion of not stated cases when the percents were computed; for the MRA all marriages in Idaho, Kansas, Maryland, and Oregon were excluded.

Percents of brides and grooms marrying for the second time were less than 15 in Kentucky, Massachusetts, New York (excluding New York City), Rhode Island, South Dakota (grooms only), Utah, Vermont, and Wisconsin and 25 percent or more in Alaska, Florida, and Wyoming. Percents marrying three times or more were less than 1.5 in New Jersey, New York (excluding New York City), Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin, for brides only in the District of Columbia and Rhode Island, and for grooms only in Maine, Massachusetts, and South Dakota.

## Civil and religious marriage ceremonies

In the marriage-registration area in 1961, 17 percent of all first-married brides and grooms, 41 percent of all remarried brides, and 39 percent of all remarried grooms were married in civil rather than religious ceremonies (table $1-\mathrm{N}$ ). Among those married for the first time at ages under 20 years, 19 percent of the brides and 20 percent of the grooms were married in civil ceremonies; these percents for those brides and grooms aged 20-24 and 25-34 were equal to or less than corresponding percents for all first-married brides and grooms; these percents increased as ages increased, reaching a top of 35 percent for brides aged 45-54 and 38 percent for grooms aged 55-64. In the older ages at first marriage these percents again declined sharply for brides to 21.2 for those aged $55-64$ and 16.3 for the small number (544) aged 65 and over and for grooms to 28.2 for those aged 65 and over. For remarried brides the percent married in civil ceremonies was 40 and higher for the four age intervals under 45, but it then declined to 26 percent for those aged 65 and over. For remarried grooms this percent was unusually high ( 55 percent) for the small number of grooms under 20 years of age $(1,085)$ but dropped to 35 for those aged $20-24$, rose again to about 41 for ages 25 through 54, and again declined to 31 percent for remarried grooms aged 65 and over.

The percents of civil marriages, both for the first married and the remarried, varied widely among individual States (table 1-Q). They were less than 10 for first marriages of brides and of grooms in Delaware, New York (excluding New York City), Pennsylvania (brides only), Rhode Island, Utah, and Wisconsin. They were 25 percent or higher in Alabama, Florida (grooms only), Georgia, New Hampshire, and Wyoming (grooms only). Among the re-
married, the percent of civil ceremonies were less than 25 in Delaware, the District of Columbia, Utah, and Wisconsin as well as Virginia for grooms only. Percents of the remarried who had civil ceremonies were 55 or more in Connecticut, Georgia, and New Hampshire.

In addition to the data about civil and religious ceremonies for the marriage-registration area, data were also provided by six States on the denominations of clergymen officiating at religious ceremonies. These data, classified
by age at first marriage and at remarriage of the brides and grooms are made available in table 2-23. Most of the figures appearing in the column "Other and not stated" represent nonreporting of denominations of the officiating clergymen. Data from Pennsylvania and South Dakota are relatively incomplete; however, reporting of denomination was more than 90 percent complete for religious ceremonies in California, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Virginia.

Table l-A. Estimated Number of Marriages and Marriage Rates With Percent Changes From Preceding Year: United States, 1920-61, and Marriage-Registration Area, 1957-61
(Data refer only to marriages occurring within the specified area. Rates per 1,000 population resideng in area. Rates for 1940, 1950, and 1960 based on population enumerated as

| AfEA AND YEAR | Number | Percent change in number | Rate | Parcent change in rate | AREA AND YEAR | Number | Percent change in number | Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peroent } \\ & \text { change in } \\ & \text { rate } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNITED STATES ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | UNITED STATES ${ }^{\text {² }}$-COn. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,548,000 | +1.6 | 8.5 | 0 |  | 1,369,000 | +3.2 | 10.7 | +2.9 |
|  | 1,523,000 | $+1.9$ | 9.5 | 0 |  | 1,327,000 | +1.9 | 10.4 | +1.0 |
|  | 1,494,000 | +3.0 | 8.5 | +1.2 | 1934- | 1,302,000 | +18.6 | 10.3 | +18,4 |
| 1959----------------------------------- | 1,451,000 | -4.4 | 8.4 | -5.6 | 1933 | 1,098,000 | +11.9 | 8.7 | +10.1 |
|  | 1,510,000 | -4.2 | 8.9 | -6.3 | 1932---------------------------1.--- | 981,903 | -7.4 | 7.9 | -8.1 |
|  | 1,585,000 | +3.5 | 9.5 | +2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,539,000 | +2.8 | 9.3 | +1.1 |  | 1,060,914 $1,126,856$ | -5.9 -8.6 | 8.6 9.2 | -6.5 |
|  | $1,490,000$ $1,546,000$ | -3.6 +0.4 + | 9.2 9.8 | -6.1 | 1929----------------------------------------------- | 1,232,559 | +8.6 | 10.2 10.1 | -8.9 +3.1 |
|  | 1,539,318 | -3.5 | 9.9 | -4.8 |  | 1,182,497 | $-1.5$ | 9.8 | -3.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 197 | 1,201,053 | -0.1 | 10.1 | -1.0 |
|  | 1,594,694 | -4.4 | 10.4 | -6.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,667,231 | +5.5 | 11.1 | +4.7 | 1926--------------------------------- | 1,202,574 | +1.2 | 10.2 | -1.0 |
|  | $1,579,798$ $1,811,155$ 1 | -12.8 | 10.6 | -14.5 |  | 1,189,354 | $+0.3$ | 10.3 | -1.0 |
|  | 1,991,878 | -13.1 | 13.9 | -15.2 |  | 1,184,574 | -3.7 +8.4 | 10.4 11.0 | -5.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1922- | 1,134,151 | -2.6 | 10.3 | +6.8 -3.7 |
|  | 2,291,045 | +42.0 | 16.4 | +34.4 | 1921 | 1,163,863 | -8.7 | 10.7 | -10,0 |
|  | 1,612,992 | +12.1 | 12.2 | +17.9 | 1920 | 1,274,476 | +10.8 | 12.0 | +9.1 |
|  | 1,452,394 | -7.9 | 10.9 | -6.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1943-------------------------------- | 1,577,050 | -17.0 | 11.7 | -11.4 | MARRIGGE-EEGISTRATION AREA ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,772,132 | +4.5 | 13.2 | +3.9 | MARTLGEE-REGISIRALION AREA |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,695,999 | +6.3 | 12.1 | +5.0 |  | 911,504 | +4.4 | 7.8 | +8.3 |
|  | 1,595,879 | +13.7 | 12.1 | +13.1 | 1960----------------------------------- | 873,224 | +10.8 | 7.2 | -6.5 |
| $1939-$ | 1,403,633 | +5.5 | 10.7 | +3.9 | 1959----------------------------------- | 788,079 | +5.3 | 7.7 | 0 |
| 1938-- | 1,330,780 | -8.3 | 10.3 | -8.8 | 1958----------------------------- | 748,437 | -3.3 | 7.7 | -6. 1 |
| 1937-- | 1,451,296 | $+6.0$ | 12.3 | +5.6 | 1957----------------------------1-2- | 774,305 |  | 9. 2 | --- |

${ }^{1}$ Alaska included beginning 1959, and Hawaii, 1960.
${ }^{2}$ For 1957 and 1958, data are for 29 marriege-registration States; for 1959, 32 registration States; for 1960 , 33 registration States; for 1961 , 36 registration States, Data for
1957-59 and 1961 exclude the registration State of Ner York.

Table 1-B. Estimated Marriage Rates per 1,000 Unmarried Female Population 15 Years of Age and Over and 15-44 Years of Age: United States, 1940-61
 as of April 1; for all other years, estımated as of July 1)

| YEAR AND TYPE OF Ratie | Fate | YEAR AND TYPE OF RATE | Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL MARRIAGES PER 1,000 UNMARRTED FEMALE POFULATION 15 YEARS OF AGE AND OVER |  | TOTAL MARRIAGES FER 1,000 UNMARRIED FZMALE FOPUATION 15-44 YEARS OF AGE |  |
|  | 72.2 |  | 145.4 |
|  | 73.5 |  | 145.0 |
|  | 73.6 |  | 149.9 |
|  | 72.0 |  | $14 E .3$ |
|  | 78.0 |  | 157.4 |
|  | 82.4 |  | 165.E |
|  | 80.9 |  | 161.1 |
|  | 79.8 |  | 154,3 |
|  | 83.7 |  | 163.3 |
|  | 83.2 |  | 159.9 |
|  | 86.6 |  | 164.9 |
|  | 90.2 |  | 166.4 |
|  | 86.7 |  | 158.0 |
|  | 98.5 |  | 174.7 |
|  | 106.2 |  | 182.7 |
|  | 118.1 |  | 199,0 |
|  | 83.6 |  | 138.2 |
|  | 76.5 |  | 124.5 |
|  | 83.0 |  | 133.5 |
| 1942 | 93.0 |  | 147.6 |
|  | 88.5 |  | 138.4 |
| 1940------- | 82.8 |  | 127.4 |

## Table 1-C. Estimated Number of Marriages and Marriage Rates by Month, With and Without Seasonal Adjustments: United States, 1953-61



| ITEM AND YEAR | Jen. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aung. | Sept. | oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HUMBER OF MARRLAGES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unadjusted for Seasonal Variation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 96,000 | 97,000 | 99,000 | 113,000 | 119,000 | 198,000 | 134,000 | 155,000 | 153,000 | 128,000 | 122,000 | 133,000 |
|  | 93,000 | 105,000 | 91,000 | 118,000 | 120,000 | 201,000 | 135,000 | 150,000 | 145,000 | 122,000 | 121,000 | 127,000 |
| 1959 | 96,000 | 91,000 | 95,000 | 110,000 | 116,000 | 205,000 | 129,000 | 146,000 | 143,000 | 121,000 | 116,000 | 127,000 |
|  | 91,000 | 89,000 | 88,000 | 109,000 | 116,000 | 193,000 | 124,000 | 146,000 | 135,000 | 115,000 | 118,000 | 127,000 |
|  | 97,000 | 104,000 | 97,000 | 112,000 | 126,000 | 202,000 | 129,000 | 159,000 | 136,000 | 115,000 | 117,000 | 128,000 |
|  | 97,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 115,000 | 122,000 | 215,000 | 134,000 | 152,000 | 149,000 | 129,000 | 125,000 | 139,000 |
| 1855 | 97,000 | 99,000 | 92,000 | 117,000 | 121,000 | 195,000 | 132,000 | 145,000 | 144,000 | 128,000 | 124,000 | 138,000 |
| 1954 | 93,000 | 105,000 | 94,000 | 111,000 | 118,000 | 187,000 | 132,000 | 132,000 | 137,000 | 122,000 | 121,000 | 131,000 |
| 1953 | 103,000 | 103,000 | 99,000 | 123,000 | 122,000 | 197,000 | 132,000 | 137,000 | 141,000 | 128,000 | 120,000 | 135,000 |
| Adjusted for Seasonal Varistion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 125,000 | 129,000 | 135,000 | 122,000 | 127,000 | 125,000 | 127,000 | 134,000 | 136,000 | 133,000 | 131,000 | 127,000 |
|  | 120,000 | 133,000 | 127,000 | 131,000 | 129,000 | 125,000 | 128,000 | 130,000 | 131,000 | 126,000 | 130,000 | 120,000 |
| 1959 | 124,000 | 124,000 | 126,000 | 122,000 | 121,000 | 127,000 | 126,000 | 123,000 | 128,000 | 126,000 | 124,000 | 121,000 |
| 1858. | 121,000 | 116,000 | 118,000 | 122,000 | 121,000 | 121,000 | 121,000 | 123,000 | 121,000 | 121,000 | 122,000 | 122,000 |
| 1957 | 129,000 | 134,000 | 127,000 | 129,000 | 133,000 | 124,000 | 126,000 | 135,000 | 122,000 | 120,000 | 120,000 | 123,000 |
|  | 129,000 | 126,000 | 133,000 | 128,000 | 128,000 | 135,000 | 131,000 | 133,000 | 131,000 | 134,000 | 133,000 | 130,000 |
| 1955 | 127,000 | 126,000 | 124,000 | 127,000 | 128,000 | 126,000 | 127,000 | 130,000 | 130,000 | 129,000 | 129,000 | 129,000 |
| 1954 | 122,000 | 129,000 | 127,000 | 123,000 | 123,000 | 121,000 | 126,000 | 119,000 | 123,000 | 122,000 | 126,000 | 124,000 |
| 1853 - | 135,000 | 130,000 | 130,000 | 133,000 | 128,000 | 128,000 | 127,000 | 125,000 | 126,000 | 128,000 | 124,000 | 128,000 |
| RATES PER 1,000 TOTAL POPJLATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unadjusted for Beasonal Variation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.2 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 13.2 | 8.6 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 8.2 | 8.1 | 8.5 |
|  | 6.1 | 7.4 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 13.6 | 8.9 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 8.3 |
|  | 6.4 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 14.1 | 8.6 | 9.7 | 9.8 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 8.4 |
| 1058 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 13.5 | 8.4 | 9.9 | 9.4 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 8.5 |
| 1957- | 6.7 | 8.0 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 8.7 | 14.4 | 8.9 | 10.9 | 9.6 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 8.7 |
|  | 6.9 | 7.6 | 7.1 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 15.6 | 9.4 | 10.7 | 10.8 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.7 |
|  | 7.0 | 7.9 | 6.6 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 14.4 | 9.4 | 10.3 | 10.6 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 9.8 |
|  | 6.8 | 8.5 | 6.9 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 14.1 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 10.3 | 8.8 | 9.0 | 9.4 |
|  | 7.7 | 8.5 | 7.4 | 9.5 | 9.1 | 15.1 | 9.3 | 10.1 | 10.8 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 9.9 |
| Aljusted for Seasonal Varietion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981- | 8.3 | 8.5 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 8.7 | 8.5 | 8.4 |
| 1960- | 8.0 | 8.8 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.3 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.7 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 8.0 |
| 1959. | 8.3 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 9.2 | 8.9 | 7.8 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 8.4 | 8.2 |
| 1958- | 8.4 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 8.3 |
| 1957- | 9.1 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 8.7 | 8.9 | 9.4 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 8.5 |
| 1956-1 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 9.6 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 9.5 | 9.3 | 9.6 | 9.4 | 9.6 | 9.3 | 9.3 |
| 1955 | 9.3 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 9.3 |
| 1954- | 9.1 | 9.6 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 9.3 | 9.1 | 9.5 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 9.0 |
| 1953 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.2 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 9.4 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.3 | 9.5 |
| RATES FER 1,000 FEMALE POPULATION 15-44 YEARS OF AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unedusted for Seaconal Varlation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 31.1 | 34.8 | 32.0 | 37.8 | 38.5 | 66.1 | 43.2 | 49.9 | 50.9 | 41.1 | 40.4 | 42.2 |
|  | 30.5 | 36.8 | 29.8 | 40.0 | 39.3 | 67.9 | 44.2 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 39.8 | 40.8 | 41.3 |
|  | 31.8 | 33.3 | 31.4 | 37.5 | 38.3 | 69.9 | 42.5 | 48.1 | 48.7 | 39.8 | 39.4 | 41.8 |
|  | 30.3 | 32.8 | 29.3 | 37.5 | 38.6 | 66.3 | 41.2 | 48.5 | 45.3 | 38.1 | 40.4 | 42.1 |
| 1957 | 32.7 | 38.7 | 32.6 | 38.9 | 42.3 | 70.0 | 43.2 | 53.2 | 47.0 | 38.4 | 40.4 | 42.7 |
|  | 32.9 | 36.2 | 33.9 | 40.2 | 41.3 | 75.2 | 45.3 | 53.4 | 52.1 | 43.6 | 43.6 | 46.9 |
| 1955 | 32.9 | 37.2 | 31.2 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 68.2 | 44.7 | 49.1 | 50.4 | 43.3 | 43.3 | 46.7 |
| 1054-- | 31.6 | 39.5 | 31.9 | 39.0 | 40.1 | 65.6 | 44.8 | 44.8 | 48.0 | 41.4 | 42.4 | 44.4 |
| 1053 m | 35.1 | 38.9 | 33.8 | 43.3 | 41.6 | 69.4 | 45.0 | 46.7 | 49.6 | 43.6 | 42.2 | 45.9 |
| Adjusted for Seasonal Variation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 41.4 | 42.5 | 44.8 | 40.2 | 42.0 | 41.1 | 41.7 | 43.8 | 44.4 | 43.4 | 42.4 | 41.5 |
|  | 40.0 | 44.0 | 42.3 | 43.6 | 43.0 | 41.5 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 43.2 | 41.9 | 43.2 | 39.9 |
|  | 42.1 | 42.0 | 42.3 | 41.1 | 40.8 | 42.8 | 42.0 | 41.2 | 42.9 | 42.5 | 41.6 | 40.9 |
|  | 41.0 | 39.6 | 40.2 | 41.6 | 13.0 | 41.0 | 41.1 | 41.6 | 40.9 | 40.9 | 41.3 | 41.2 |
|  | 44.4 | 45.8 | 43.6 | 44.2 | 45.7 | 42.4 | 43.1 | 45.9 | 41.7 | 40.9 | 41.0 | 42.0 |
|  | 44.7 | 43.5 | 45.7 | 43.8 | 43.7 | 46.1 | 45.3 | 45.9 | 45.3 | 46.4 | 45.3 | 44.9 |
|  | 44.0 | 43.4 | 43.0 | 43.6 | 44.4 | 43.5 | 43.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.6 |
|  | 42.2 | 44.7 | 43.8 | 42.8 | 42.8 | 42.1 | 43.8 | 41.3 | 42.5 | 42.5 | 43.6 | 42.7 |
|  | 46.9 | 45.5 | 45.4 | 46.3 | 44.6 | 44.8 | 44.3 | 43.3 | 43.9 | 44.4 | 43.2 | 44.1 |

Table 1-D. Marriages and Marriage Rates: United States, Each Region, Division, and State, 1957-61

| REGION, DIVISION, AND STATE | HUMEER |  |  |  |  | RATE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1961 | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1961 | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 | 19.7 |
|  | 1,547,945 | 1,523,381 | 1,494,000 | 1,451,000 | 1,518,000 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 6.15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 308,529 | 311,481 | 1308,245 | 301,100 | 1318,329 | -. 9 | 7.0 | ${ }^{1} 7.0$ | 8.9 | ${ }^{17.5}$ |
|  | 403,329 | 403,595 | 400,194 | 383,234 | 413,583 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 8.3 |
|  | 550,107 | 534,201 | 520,735 | 521,799 | 537,060 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 9.7 | 10.3 |
| West ${ }^{1}-$------------------------------------------ | 285,980 | 274,104 | 264,428 | 245,252 | 249,044 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.5 | 0.9 |
| Northeast: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 75,463 | 76,206 | ${ }^{1} 77,350$ | 74,177 | ${ }^{178,107}$ | 7.2 | 7.3 | 17.5 | 7.3 | 17.9 |
|  | 233,066 | 235,275 | 230,895 | 226,923 | 240,222 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 7.3 |
| North Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 284,581 | 283,285 | 279,603 | 267,733 | 297,878 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 8.6 |
| West North Central-------------------------------- | 118,748 | 120,310 | 120,591 | 112,501 | 115,705 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 7.6 |
| South: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Atlantic ${ }^{1}-$------------------------------------------------ | 268,554 112,184 | [ $\begin{array}{r}261,885 \\ 1110,300\end{array}$ | 257,755 99,705 | 247,324 114,787 | 255,903 | 10.1 9.2 | 10.1 | 10.1 8.3 | 9.8 9.7 | 10.4 10.8 |
|  | + 112,184 | 1210,300 162,016 | 99,705 163,275 | 114,787 1159,688 | 126,927 154,230 | 19.2 19.7 | 19.2 9.6 | $\begin{array}{r}10.3 \\ 19.3 \\ \hline 9\end{array}$ | 9.7 9.7 | 10.8 0.8 |
| West: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain ${ }^{1}---$--n--------------------------------- | 130,492 | 122,818 | 122,629 | 111,361 | 118,035 | 18.0 | 17.9 | 18.3 | 17.1 | 19.5 |
|  | 155,488 | 151,286 | 141,799 | 133,891 | 131,009 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| New England: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7,908 | 7,860 | 7,599 | 7,564 | 7,878 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 8.4 |
|  | 7,347 | 7,337 | 7,287 | 6,997 | 7,189 | 11.9 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 12.5 |
|  | 3,161 | 3,268 | -3,235 | 3,371 | - 3,210 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 18.5 |
|  | 33,614 | 34,050 | ${ }^{1} 35,950$ | 33,583 | ${ }^{135,790}$ | 6.5 | 6.6 | ${ }^{17.1}$ | 6.8 | 17.3 |
|  | 5,640 | 5,814 | 5,770 | 5,659 | 5,884 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.8 | G.E | 0.0 |
|  | 17,793 | 17,877 | 17,509 | 17,003 | 18,156 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 7.9 |
| Midale Atlentic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 122,750 | 123,620 | 120,517 | 121,322 | 124,647 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.7 |
|  | 40,699 | 39,820 | 38,659 | 38,398 | 40,367 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 7.2 |
|  | 69,617 | 71,835 | 71,719 | 67,203 | 75,208 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 6.9 |
| East North Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 66,076 | 68,043 | 66,877 | 65,240 | 59,256 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 6.4 |
|  | 42,261 | 42,050 | 40,982 | 38,949 | ${ }^{2} 74,891$ | 9.0 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 8.5 | ${ }^{2} 18.5$ |
|  | 88,692 | 87,529 | 87,281 | 83,400 | ${ }^{282,887}$ | 8.8 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 8.5 | 「8,7 |
|  | 63,320 | 61,090 | 58,826 | 55,159 | 55,121 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 7.3 |
| Wisconsin------------------------------------------ | 24,232 | 24,573 | 25,637 | 24,985 | 25,723 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 6.5 | C.f |
| West North Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24,123 | 23,596 | 23,188 | 23,554 | 23,862 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 7.7 |
| Iок⿺---- | 21,962 | 24,774 | 25,716 | 323,958 | 323,840 | 7.9 | 9.0 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 0.7 |
|  | 34,890 | 35,699 | 35,380 | ${ }^{331,965}$ | ${ }^{3} 31,395$ | 8.1 | 8.3 | 8.3 | ${ }^{3} 7.5$ | 3.4 |
|  | 4,335 | 4,039 | 4,282 | 4,275 | 4,198 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 6.7 |
| South Dakota- | 6,213 | 5,787 | 5,861 | 5,702 | 5,726 | 8.8 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 0.4 |
| Mebraska---- | 10,976 | 10,591 | 10,724 | 10,607 | 10,702 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 7.7 |
| Kansas--------------------------------------------- | 16,249 | 15,824 | 16,040 | 15,440 | 15,982 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.5 |
| South Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delaware------------....-...---------------------- | 2,560 | 2,394 | 2,383 | 2,371 | 2,243 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.3 |
|  | 39,977 | 40,320 | 39,770 | 39,926 | 42,799 | 12.7 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.4 | 14.3 |
|  | 9,101 | 8,600 | 8,377 | 8,094 | 8,043 | 11.7 | 11.3 | 10.9 | 10.5 | 15.4 |
|  | 38,300 | 37,542 | 37,768 | 36,326 | 37,184 | 9.3 | 9.5 | 9.E | 0.3 | 9.7 |
|  | 13,465 | 13,639 | 13,294 | 13,757 | 14,277 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.6 |
|  | 32,158 | 31,663 | 29,986 | 27,266 | 25,882 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 6.1 | 5.9 |
|  | 39,997 | 38,964 | 38,661 | 37,856 | 42,091 | 16.6 | 16.4 | 16.3 | 16.1 | 19.1 |
|  | 52,062 | 49,448 39,375 | 48,928 38,588 | 47,219 | 51,235 | 12.9 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.2 7.6 | 13.4 |
| Florida---------------------------------1-2- | 40,934 | 39,315 | 38,588 | 34,569 | 32,149 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 7.6 | 7.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{2} 26,185$ | ${ }^{1} 26,489$ | 18,323 | 26,204 | ${ }^{2} 21,314$ | ${ }^{28.6}$ | ${ }^{1} 8.7$ | 6.1 | 8.9 | *7.2 |
|  | 31,666 | 30,668 | 30,215 | 27,675 | 23,250 | 8.8 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 7.9 | 6.7 |
|  | 32,723 | 31,910 | 30,722 | 24,506 | 19,868 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.5 | 7.6 | 6.3 |
|  | 21,610 | 21,233 | 20,447 | 36,402 | 62,495 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 9.5 | 17.2 | 23.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Louls1ana----------------------------------------- | 124,057 | 23,523 | 121,453 | 21,068 | 121,201 | 7.3 | 7.2 | ${ }_{1} 6.7$ | ${ }_{1} 6.7$ | 6.8 |
|  | ${ }^{130,300}$ | 28,496 | ${ }^{1} 30,170$ | ${ }_{133,466}^{13}$ | ${ }^{1} 30,592$ | ${ }^{1} 12.6$ | 12.2 | ${ }^{13} 13$ | ${ }^{14.7}$ | ${ }^{13.5}$ |
| Texas---------------------------------------------- | 96,244 | 91,679 | 93,258 | ${ }^{189,821}$ | 89,400 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 9.9 | ${ }^{1} 9.6$ | 0.8 |
| Mountain: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5,635 | 5,892 | 6,228 | 6,142 | 6,495 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.8 |
|  | 11,151 | 10,068 | 9,343 | 9,522 | 8,995 | 16.3 | 15.1 | 14.2 | 14.8 | 14.1 |
|  | 3,246 | 3,267 | 3,077 | 2,955 | 2,909 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 9.0 |
|  | 16,842 | 15,895 | 15,518 | 14,691 | 313,831 | ${ }^{9} 9.1$ | 9.1 | 9.0 | 8.7 |  |
|  | ${ }^{3} 11,825$ | 11,051 | 11,113 | 35,814 | ${ }^{311,439}$ | ${ }^{3} 12.0$ | 11.6 | 12.0 | ${ }^{3} 6.4$ | ${ }^{3} 13.1$ |
|  | 10,426 | 10,153 | 10,251 | ${ }^{19,805}$ | 9,652 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 8.2 | ${ }^{1} 8.3$ | 6.7 |
| Utah--2---------------------------------------- | 7,400 | -7,119 | 6,734 | 6,600 | 6,672 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 8.0 |
|  | 63,967 | 59,373 | 60,365 | 55,832 | 58,042 | 201.8 | 208.1 | 216.4 | 203.9 | 22 Fa |
| Pacific: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27,659 | 228,230 | 228,556 | 227,961 | ${ }^{2} 28,441$ | 9.4 | ${ }^{2} 9.9$ | ${ }^{2} 10.1$ | ${ }^{2} 10.0$ | $2{ }_{10,4}$ |
|  | 10,798 | 10,606 | 10,166 | 9,896 | 9,961 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.7 |
|  | 109,642 | 105,352 | 101,314 | 96,034 | 92,607 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
|  | 2,091 | 1,861 | 1,763 | $(1,616)$ | $(1,686)$ | 8.9 | 8.2 | 8.0 | (7.6) | (7.4 $\}$ |
|  | 5,298 | 5,237 | $(4,958)$ | $(4,727)$ | $(4,897)$ | 8.0 | 8.3 | (8.0) | (7.8) | (8,4) |

${ }^{1}{ }^{\text {Data }}$ are estimated.
Data are marriage licenses issued.
${ }^{3}$ Data are incomplete.

Table 1-E. Percentage Distribution of Marriages by Age of Bride and of Groom, by Month Marriage Performed: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data; for frequencies, see table 2-2, Section 2. Computed on totals excluding figures for age not stated)

| AGE OF PRIDE ARD OF GROOM | Total | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 11.5 | 13.8 | 10.9 | 15.3 | 11.7 | 11.6 | 12.1 | 12.2 | 11.0 | 9.7 | 21.0 | 11.2 | 11.3 |
| 18 and 19 yeara- | 26.5 | 25.4 | 27.2 | 26.4 | 26.2 | 25.4 | 27.8 | 27.2 | 26.4 | 27.0 | 26.2 | 28.7 | 23.8 |
| 20-84 ycara--- | 33.5 | 30.7 | 31.1 | 27.0 | 33.2 | 34.6 | 35.8 | 32.1 | 37.5 | 36.6 | 33.0 | 31.0 | 33.0 |
| 25-29 ycars--.. | 9.0 | 7.9 | 8.7 | 9.3 | 8.1 | 9.2 | 8.4 | 9.3 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 9.0 | 10.0 |
| 30-34 years....- | 5.1 | 4.3 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| 35-14 yearc--- | 7.3 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 8.3 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 7.4 | 6.2 | 9.3 |
|  | 7.0 | 9.0 | 6.9 | 8.6 | 7.1 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 7.0 | 5.6 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 8.5 | 7.4 |
| OROOM | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 13.2 | 14.8 | 13.5 | 16.3 | 13.4 | 11.5 | 12.2 | 13.0 | 12.9 | 11.9 | 12.9 | 14.0 | 14.1 |
|  | 43.9 | 38.6 | 40.2 | 40.7 | 41.9 | 44.7 | 49.1 | 45.8 | 48.2 | 45.6 | 43.1 | 42.5 | 39.7 |
|  | 16.8 | 15.9 | 16.3 | 14.6 | 17.7 | 17.4 | 16.7 | 17.0 | 16.1 | 18.4 | 17.1 | 17.8 | 15.9 |
|  | 7.8 | 8.5 | 8.9 | 7.4 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 7.3 | 6.4 | 8.5 | 6.9 | 8.6 | 7.1 | 8.8 |
|  | 8.5 | 10.3 | 11.5 | 9.2 | 8.8 | 8.2 | 6.8 | 8.3 | 6.7 | 8.1 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 10.3 |
|  | 9.8 | 11.9 | 9.6 | 11.8 | 10.1 | 9.9 | 7.9 | 9.5 | 7.7 | 9.1 | 10.4 | 10.8 | 17.1 |

Table 1-F. Percentage Distribution of Marriages by Month Performed, by Marriage Order and Color of Bride and of Groom: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data; for frequencies, see table 2-3, Section 2. Computed on totals excluding figures for month not stated. Figures by color exclude data

| Marriate order and color of brime aid of groom | Total | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aus. | Sept. | oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bride |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All marriagea- | 100.0 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 12.2 | 9.6 | 9.9 | 10.4 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 8.8 |
| White------ | 100.0 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 12.8 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 10.7 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 7.7 |
|  | 100.0 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 7.8 | 7.3 | 12.9 | 9.6 | 10.3 | 10.8 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 8.5 |
|  | 100.0 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 5.2 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 13.4 | 10.1 | 10.6 | 11.0 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 7.5 |
|  | 100.0 | 6.4 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 12.0 | 8.4 | 10.3 | 8.6 | 7.8 | 7.3 | 10.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.7 | 7.2 | 9.8 | 9.5 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 9.8 |
|  | 100.0 | 6.9 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 7.6 | 10.7 | 9.9 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 8.4 |
|  | 100.0 | 8.2 | 7.1 | 8.7 | 10.0 | 7.3 | 9.1 | 9.3 | 9.2 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 9.0 |
| GROOM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100.0 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 12.2 | 9.6 | 9.9 | 10.4 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 8.8 |
| White------ | 100.0 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 12.8 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 10.7 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 7.7 |
| Noarifte--- | 100.0 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 10.6 | 8.6 | 9.8 | 8.6 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 9.6 |
|  | 100.0 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 7.7 | 7.2 | 12.9 | 9.7 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.3 |
| White------ | 100.0 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 13.4 | 10.2 | 10.8 | 10.6 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.4 |
|  | 100.0 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 9.8 | 9.2 | 8.1 | 10.5 | 8.7 | 7.5 | 10.6 |
|  | 100.0 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 10.4 | 9.6 | 8.6 | 10.8 | 7.3 | 6.8 | 9.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 5.5 | 7.5 | 9.1 | 10.4 | 8.7 | 10.0 | 8.7 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 10.1 |

Table l-G. Percentage Distribution of Marriages by Age of Bride and of Groom, by Resident Status in Place Where Married and Marriage Order: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data; for frequencies, see table 2-6, Section 2. Computed on totals excluding figures for age not stated)


Table l-H. Percentage Distribution of Marriages by Resident Status of Bride and of Groom in Place Where Married: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data; for frequencies, see table 2-7, Section 2. Computed on totals excluding fıgures for resident status not stated)

| heiderit status of betid in Pimce where married | All martiages | hesimint status of groom in place where married |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Resident of county where married | Resident of other county in sare State | Nonresident of State where married |
|  | 100.0 | 64.0 | 18.1 | 17.9 |
|  | 73.8 | 58.9 | 9.1 | 5.7 |
|  | 12.8 | 3.5 | 8.5 | 0.8 |
|  | 13.4 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 11.3 |

Table 1-J. Percentage Distribution of Marriages by Resident Status of Bride and of Groom in State Where Married: Marriage-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data; for frequencies, see tables 2-7 and 2-8, Section 2. Computed on totals excluding figures for resident status not stated)

| AREA | All marrieges | RESIDENT MRIDE |  | HOMRESTDETP BRITE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Restdent groam | Honresident groom | Resident groom | Nonresident groom |
|  | 100.0 | 80.0 | 6.6 | 2.1 | 11.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 79.1 | 5.9 | 2.7 | 12.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 91.0 | 2.4 | 5.3 | 1.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 77.2 | 8.7 | 2.4 | 12.6 |
|  | 100.0 | 74.6 | 11.8 | 4.1 | 9.5 |
|  | 100.0 | 32.4 | 15.9 | 11.2 | 40.5 |
|  | 100.0 | 89.8 | 5.8 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
|  | 100.0 | 56.8 | 5.4 | 2.5 | 35.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 93.6 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 1.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 44.1 | 6.0 | 2.4 | 47.6 |
| Indiana----------- | 100.0 | 82.7 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 9.9 |
| Іомв-п---n------ | 100.0 | 72.3 | 6.5 | 2.2 | 19.1 |
|  | 100.0 | 76.3 | 10.9 | 3.5 | 9.3 |
| Kentucky -..------ | 100.0 | 76.9 | 7.9 | 1.7 | 13.5 |
|  | 100.0 100.0 | 92.1 81.6 | 5.1 11.5 | 1.7 2.0 | 1.1 |
|  | 100.0 | 49.1 | 7.5 | 3.5 | 39.8 |
|  | 100.0 | 82.9 | 10.9 | 1.8 | 4.3 |
| Michlgan------ | 100.0 | 88.8 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 6.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 74.7 | 7.2 | 3.1 | 15.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 80.8 | 7.5 | 3.0 | 8.7 |
| Mcbranka----.-- | 100.0 | 79.1 | 8.6 | 2.4 | 10.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 48.4 | 9.3 | 3.1 | 39.2 |
|  | 100.0 | 82.2 | 10.3 | 2.5 | 4.9 |
|  | 100.0 | 89.1 | 5.3 | 1.6 | 4.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 93.2 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 1.8 |
|  | 100.0 | 84.4 | 8.2 | 2.8 | 4.6 |
|  | 100.0 | 87.9 | 7.6 | 0.6 | 3.9 |
|  | 100.0 | 75.0 | 16.5 | 2.5 | 6.0 |
| Eouth Dakotan- | 100.0 | 63.0 | 10.2 | 2.4 | 24.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 76.5 | 6.5 | 1.5 | 15.5 |
|  | 100.0 | 77.4 | 8.8 | 3.8 | 10.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 69.3 | 12.4 | 4.4 | 14.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 59.9 | 7.3 | 4.2 | 28.6 |
|  | 100.0 100.0 | 91.2 66.2 | 9.4 | 1.4 | 2.0 21.2 |

Table 1-K. Percentage Distribution of Marriages by Marriage Order of Bride and of Groom: Marriage-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data; for frequencies, see table 2-13, Section 2. Computed on totals excluding figures for marriage order not stated)

| AREA | BRTDE |  | groom |  | AREA | BRIDE |  | GROOM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flost marriages | Remarriages | First marriages | Remarriages |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { First } \\ \text { marrisges } \end{gathered}$ | Remarriages | $\begin{gathered} \text { First } \\ \text { marriaces } \end{gathered}$ | Flemarriatea |
| MARRIAGE-REGISTRAITION AREA---*---- | 77.0 | 23.0 | 77.3 | 22.7 | MARRIAGE-REGISTRATIOS AREA-Con. | 71.2 | 29.8 | 72.5 | 27.4 |
|  | 77.3 | 22.7 | 77.1 | 22.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 61.9 | 38.1 | 64.2 | 35.8 |  | 79.6 | 20.4 | 82.1 | 17.9 29.01 |
|  | 71.1 | 28.9 | 71.8 | 28.2 |  | 82.2 | 17.8 | 01.9 | 19.1 |
| Connecticut---------------------------- | 75.9 | 24.1 | 77.1 | 22.9 |  |  | 17.8 | 81.3 |  |
|  | 80.1 | 19.9 | 81.3 | 18.7 |  | 85.9 74.3 | 24.7 | 85.9 | 14.1 |
|  | 82.6 | 17.4 | 80.5 | 19.4 |  | 74.3 | 21.5 | 74.0 76.1 | 23.0 33.9 |
|  | 62.1 | 37.9 | 63.6 | 36.4 |  | 83.0 | 17.0 | 82.2 | 17.0 |
| Georgia---------------------------------- | 71.8 | 28.2 | 73.1 | 26.9 | Fhode Istand |  | 15.5 | 84.9 | 15.1 |
|  | 74.5 | 25.5 28.7 | 80.0 | 20.0 28.8 | Rhode Island $\qquad$ <br> South Dikote $\qquad$ | 80.3 | 19.7 | 84.5 | 15.5 |
|  | 71.3 | 28.7 29.7 | 71.2 73.1 | 28.8 26.9 |  | 76.4 | 23.6 | 77.1 | 32.9 |
|  | 81.4 | 18.6 | 79.7 | 20.3 |  | 84.0 | 16.0 | 84.1 | 15.9 |
|  | 79.7 | 20.3 | 78.8 | 21.2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 84.2 \\ & 80.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.8 \\ & 19.6 \end{aligned}$ | 84.5 80.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.5 \\ & 19.5 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 76.1 | 23.9 | 75.9 | 24.1 |  | 89.862.4 | 10.2 | 92.4 | $7 \mathrm{7.E}$ |
|  | 79.0 | 21.0 | 77.4 80.1 | 22.6 19.9 | Wyoming---------------------------------- |  | $37.6$ | 6.5 |  |
|  | 79.7 | 20.3 | 80.1 | 19.9 |  | 62,4 |  |  | 24.5 |
| Meryland-------------------------------- | 77.1 | 22.9 | 76.9 | 23.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 86.7 | 13.3 | 87.4 | 12.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 77.0 | 23.0 | 76.0 | 24.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 73.8 | 26.2 | 72.8 | 27.2 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1-L. Specified Age of Bride and of Groom and Median and Mean Age of Spouse at First Marriage of Both: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Computed on figures excluding those for age not stated)

| spectified age of bram | Nedian age of groom | Mean age of groom | sprcipled age of groom | Vedtan age of .bride | Kenn ace of bride |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 18 years | 20.2 | 20.6 |  | 18.3 | 19.3 |
| ${ }_{19}^{18}$ years------- | ${ }_{21.6}^{21.1}$ | ${ }_{22.1}^{21.4}$ | 20 21 years $---------------------------------------------------------~$ |  | 19.1 19,6 |
|  | 22.3 | 22.9 |  | 20.1 | ${ }_{20,2}$ |
| ${ }_{22}^{21}$ years---------------------------------------------------------- | - 23.2 | ${ }_{24.6}^{23.9}$ |  | ${ }_{2}^{20.6}$ | 20.8 |
|  | ${ }_{24}^{24.8}$ | 25.7 | 25-29 ysears----------------------------------------------------- | ${ }_{22,3}^{21.4}$ | ${ }_{2 z .0}^{21.4}$ |
| ${ }^{24}$ years---------------------------------------------------- | 25.9 <br> 27.7 <br> 18 |  |  | 24,9 | ${ }^{25.0}$ |
| \$5-34 years-------------------------------------1-1-- | 32.4 | 33.6 |  | 30.9 | ${ }_{31.3}$ |
|  | 36.3 44.7 | ${ }_{3}^{37.7}$ | 45-64 years----------------------------- | ${ }^{39.0}$ | ${ }_{49,4}$ |
| 45-54 years---------------------------------1-1- | 48.9 | 49.8 | 65 years and ov | 57.0 | ${ }_{56.7}$ |
|  | 61.9 7.0 | 61.7 69.6 |  |  |  |

Table 1-M. Percentage Distribution of Marriages by Number of This Marriage of Bride and of Groom: Marriage-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data; for frequencies, see table 2-20, Section 2. Computed on totals excluding figures for number of this marriage not stated. Figures by number of this marriage for the MRA exclude data for Idaho, Kansas, Maryland, and Oregon; reporting of this item was not required)

| AREA | MUMBER OF THIS MARRIAGE OF bRIDE |  |  |  | IUMBER OF THIS MARRIAGE OF GROOM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | One | Tro | Three or more | Total | One | Two | Three or more |
|  | 100.0 | 77.7 | 18.6 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 77.9 | 18.3 | 3.8 |
|  | 100.0 | 77.5 | 18.9 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 77.2 | 18.5 | 4.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 61.9 | 26.1 | 12.1 | 100.0 | 64.2 | 25.0 | 10.7 |
| Caltromia | 100.0 | 71.3 | 22.4 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 71.9 | 22.1 | 5.9 |
|  | 100.0 | 75.9 | 22.6 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 77.1 | 20.7 | 2.1 |
|  | 100.0 | 80.2 | 18.0 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 81.3 | 16.9 | 1.7 |
|  | 100.0 | 82.6 | 16.1 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 80.6 | 16.3 | 3.1 |
|  | 100.0 | 63.3 | 27.6 | 9.1 | 100.0 | 64.5 | 27.2 | 8.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 72.1 | 24.4 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 73.2 | 22.9 | 3.9 |
|  | 100.0 | 74.6 | 19.7 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 17.2 | 2.8 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | $\cdots$ | --- | 100.0 | 100.0 | --- | --- |
|  | 100.0 | 72.2 | 23.2 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 74.2 | 18.8 | 7.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 81.4 | 15.8 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 79.7 | 17.2 | 3.1 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | --- | --- | 100.0 | 100.0 | --- | --- |
|  | 100.0 | 89.9 | 8.6 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 89.4 | 8.7 | 1.8 |
|  | 100.0 | 79.9 | 17.3 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 76.7 | 18.3 | 3.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 80.0 | 16.6 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 80.2 | 18.4 | 1.4 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | --- | --- | 100.0 | 100.0 | --- | --- |
| Naspachucettg | 100.0 | 86.8 | 11.7 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 87.4 | 11.3 | 1.2 |
| M1chiean | 100.0 | 77.0 | 18.1 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 76.0 | 17.9 | 6.1 |
|  | 100.0 | 74.0 | 20.6 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 73.0 | 20.1 | 6.9 |
|  | 100.0 | 75.0 | 20.6 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 76.2 | 22.0 | 1.9 |
|  | 100.0 | 79.9 | 17.0 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 82.4 | 15.9 | 1.6 |
|  | 100.0 | 72.1 | 23.3 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 72.0 | 24.2 | 3.8 |
|  | 100.0 | 82.8 | 15.8 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 82.4 | 17.0 | 0.6 |
|  | 100.0 | 85.9 | 13.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 85.9 | 12.9 | 1.2 |
|  | 100.0 | 74.3 | 20.3 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 74.0 | 21.4 | 4.6 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | --- | --- | 100.0 | 100.0 | --5- | -- |
|  | 100.0 | 83.4 | 15.3 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 82.7 | 16.0 | 1.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 84.5 | 14.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 84.9 | 13.5 | 1.6 |
|  | 100.0 | 87.0 | 16.3 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 85.0 | 13.7 | 1.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 76.7 | 19.7 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 77.2 | 18.2 | 4.6 |
|  | 100.0 | 84.2 | 12.2 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 84.3 | 13.2 | 2.6 |
|  | 100.0 | 84.2 | 13.9 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 84.5 | 13.7 | 1.8 |
|  | 100.0 | 80.6 | 17.3 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 80.4 | 17.2 | 2.4 |
|  | 100.0 | 89.8 | 9.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 92.4 | 6.5 | 1.1 |
|  | 100.0 | 62.5 | 27.7 | 9.8 | 100.0 | 65.5 | 26.4 | 8.1 |

Table 1-N. Percentage Distribution of First Marriages and Remarriages by Type of Ceremony Performed, by Age of Bride and of Groom: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data; for frequencies, see table 2-21, Section 2. Computed on totals excluding figures for type of ceremony not stated. Figures by type of ceremony exclude data for Oho; reporting of this item was not required).

| Age of bride and of groom | FIRST MARRIAGES |  |  | REMARRLAGES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Type of ceremony performed |  | Total | Type of ceremony performed |  |
|  |  | Clvil | Religious |  | Clvil | Religious |
|  | 100.0 | 16.5 | 83.5 | 100.0 | 41.4 | 58.6 |
|  | 100.0 | 18.6 | 81.4 | 100.0 | 40.5 | 59.5 |
|  | 100.0 | 12.7 | 87.3 82.7 | 100.0 100.0 | 44.6 41.5 | 55.4 58.5 |
|  | 100.0 | 25.9 | 74.1 | 100.0 | 47.3 | 52.7 |
|  | 100.0 | 35.0 | 65.0 | 100.0 | 36.7 | 63.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 21.2 | 78.8 | 100.0 | 33.1 | 66.9 |
|  | 100.0 | 16.3 | 83.7 | 100.0 | 25.5 | 74.5 |
|  | 100.0 | 17.4 | 82.6 | 100.0 | 38.5 | 61.5 |
|  | 100.0 | 20.3 | 79.7 | 100.0 | 55.0 | 45.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 15.0 | 85.0 | 100.0 | 35.1 | 64.9 |
| 25-34 years-m-n---------- | 100.0 | 17.4 | 82.6 | 100.0 | 39.0 | 61.0 |
|  | 100.0 100.0 | 29.8 36.4 | 70.2 63.6 | 100.0 100.0 | 41.9 41.2 | 58.1 58.8 |
|  | 100.0 | 38.3 | 61.7 | 100.0 | 33.5 | 66.5 |
| 65 yeare and over-......... | 100.0 | 28.2 | 71.8 | 100.0 | 31.1 | 68.9 |

Table 1-O. Percentage Distribution of First Marriages and Remarriages of Bride and of Groom, by Type of Ceremony Performed: Marriage-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961


| APEA. | BRIDE |  |  |  |  |  | GROOM |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First marriages |  |  | Remarrieges |  |  | First marriages |  |  | Femarriages |  |  |
|  | Total | Civil | Relfgious | Total | Civil | Religious | Total | Civil | Religious | Total | Civil | Religious |
| MARRIAGE-REGISTRATION AREA------------ | 100.0 | 16.5 | 83.5 | 100.0 | 41.4 | 58.6 | 100.0 | 17.4 | 82.6 | 100.0 | 38.5 | GL.E |
|  | 100.0 | 34.1 | 65.9 | 100.0 | 51.2 | 48.8 | 100.0 | 34.2 | 65.8 | 100.0 | 50.8 | 49.2 |
|  | 100.0 | 23.0 | 77.0 | 100.0 | 51.0 | 49.0 | 100.0 | 24.4 | 75.6 | 100.0 | 50.1 | 49.9 |
|  | 100.0 | 13.8 | 86.2 | 100.0 | 28.5 | 71.5 | 100.0 | 14.8 | 85.2 | 100.0 | 26.3 | 73.7 |
|  | 100.0 | 15.8 | 84.2 | 100.0 | 57.9 | 42.1 | 100.0 | 17.0 | 83.0 | 100,0 | 55.9 | 44.1 |
| Delaware------------------------------------ | 100.0 | 8.0 | 92.0 | 100.0 | 21.5 | 78.5 | 100.0 | 8.3 | 91.7 | 100.0 | 21.1 | 79.9 |
|  | 100.0 | 11.8 | 88.2 | 100.0 | 12.7 | 87.3 | 100.0 | 10.7 | 89.3 | 100.0 | 17.0 | 83.0 |
| Floridg------ | 100.0 | 23.9 | 76.1 | 100.0 | 44.1 | 55.9 | 100.0 | 25.1 | 74.9 | 100.0 | 43.0 | 57.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 46.6 | 53.4 | 100.0 | 69.7 | 30.3 | 100.0 | 46.9 | 53.1 | 100.0 | 69.8 | 30.2 |
| Нана11-------------------------------------- | 100.0 | 18.7 | 81.3 | 100.0 | 49.6 | 50.4 | 100.0 | 22.3 | 77.7 | 100.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 |
|  | 100.0 | 26.5 | 73.5 | 100.0 | 44.3 | 55.7 | 100.0 | 28.8 | 71.2 | 100.0 | 38.4 | E1.E |
| Indiana- | 100.0 | 14.9 | 85.1 | 100.0 | 36.1 | 63.9 | 100.0 | 15.6 | 84.4 | 100.0 | 35.7 | E4, 3 |
| Iона----- | 100.0 | 12.8 | 87.2 | 100.0 | 40.2 | 59.8 | 100.0 | 13.0 | 87.0 | 100.0 | 36.8 | 63.2 |
|  | 100.0 | 12.6 | 87.4 | 100.0 | 37.3 | 62.7 | 100.0 | 12.2 | 87.8 | 100.0 | 37.1 | E2.9 |
|  | 100.0 | 13.1 | 86.9 | 100.0 | 34.3 | 65.7 | 100.0 | 12.4 | 87.6 | 100.0 | 37.0 | 63.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 12.7 | 87.3 | 100.0 | 41.4 | 58.6 | 100.0 | 12.9 | 87.1 | 100.0 | 33.5 | 61.5 |
|  | 100.0 | 14.2 | 85.8 | 100.0 | 41.9 | 58.1 | 100.0 | 14.9 | 85.1 | 100.0 | 39.5 | 60.5 |
|  | 100.0 | . | 100.0 | 100.0 | , | 100.0 | 100.0 | $\cdots$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | $\cdots$ | 100.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 14.4 | 85.6 | 100.0 | 51.6 | 48.4 | 100.0 | 16.1 | 83.9 | 100.0 | 42.0 | 59.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 18.8 | 81.2 | 100.0 | 47.1 | 52.9 | 100.0 | 19.1 | 80.9 | 100.0 | 45.2 | 54,8 |
| Mississippi-------------------------------------- | 100.0 | 22.7 | 77.3 | 100.0 | 47.8 | 52.2 | 100.0 | 24.2 | 75.8 | 100.0 | 42.6 | 57.4 |
|  | 100.0 | 21.4 | 78.6 | 100.0 | 48.1 | 51.9 | 100.0 | 21.0 | 79.0 | 100.0 | 50.E | 49.4 |
|  | 100.0 | 14.6 | 85.4 | 100.0 | 47.7 | 52.3 | 100.0 | 16.4 | 83.6 | 100.0 | 44.3 | 55.7 |
|  | 100.0 | 39.4 | 60.6 | 100.0 | 61.5 | 38.5 | 100.0 | 40.6 | 59.4 | 100.0 | 58.3 | 41.7 |
|  | 100.0 | 14.1 | 85.9 | 100.0 | 42.3 | 57.7 | 100.0 | 14.5 | 85.5 | 100.0 | 40.7 | 55.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 7.8 | 92.2 | 100.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 9.0 | 91.0 | 100.0 | 32.5 | 67.5 |
|  | 100.0 | --- | --- | 100.0 | -- | --- | 100.0 | -- | -m. | 100.0 | -m= | $=$ |
|  | 100.0 | 12.6 | 87.4 | 100.0 | 30.4 | 69.6 | 100.0 | 13.0 | 87.0 | 100.0 | 27.1 | 72.9 |
|  | 100.0 | 9.6 | 90.4 | 100.0 | 53.4 | 46.6 | 100.0 | 12.5 | 88.5 | 100.0 | 43.1 | 56.9 |
|  | 100.0 | 8.0 | 92.0 | 100.0 | 40.2 | 59.8 | 100.0 | 9.2 | 90.8 | 100.0 | 34.1 | 65.9 |
|  | 100.0 | 19.4 | 80.6 | 100.0 | 49.6 | 50.4 | 100.0 | 22.0 | 78.0 | 100.0 | 43.3 | 56.7 |
|  | 100.0 | 21.9 | 78.1 | 100.0 | 44.4 | 55.6 | 100.0 | 22.4 | 77.6 | 100.0 | 43.0 | 57.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 4.9 | 95.1 | 100.0 | 10.7 | 89.3 | 100.0 | 5.2 | 94.8 | 100.0 | 0.7 | 91.3 |
| Vermont---------------------------------------- | 100.0 | 12.2 | 87.8 | 100.0 | 35.9 | 64.1 | 100.0 | 12.6 | 87.4 | 100.0 | 34.0 | 6e.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 14.9 | 85.1 | 100.0 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 100.0 | 16.3 | 83.7 | 100.0 | 24.5 | 75.5 |
|  | 100.0 | 4.7 | 95.3 | 100.0 | 18.7 | 81.3 | 100.0 | 5.3 | 94.7 | 100.0 | 16.3 | 83.7 |
|  | 100.0 | 22.3 | 77.7 | 100.0 | 53.8 | 46.2 | 100.0 | 25.4 | 74.6 | 100.0 | 50.7 | 49.3 |

[^0]Table 1-P. Percentage Distribution of All Marriages and First Marriages by Age of Bride and of Groom and Median Age at Marriage: Total of 17 Selected States, 1952-61
(By plice of occurrence. Selected States are Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Mane, Maryland, Michigan, New Hampshire, New York (excl. N.Y.C.), Oregon, South Dakota, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, and Wyoming. For 1952-59, basic data are counts of marriages performed supplied by each State. For 1960 and 1961 , data are based on sample. Computed on totals excluding figures for age not stated. Figures for All Marriages include marriage order not stated)

| nge atd marriage order | 1961 | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1956 | 1955 | 1954 | 1953 | 1952 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aill marrigig |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bride------------------------------ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 130.0 | 103.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 41.7 | 39.9 | 39.6 | 39.0 | 37.7 | 36.8 | 35.6 | 34.6 | 33.7 | 33.0 |
|  | 31.9 | 32.3 | 32.1 | 32.7 | 33.2 | 33.8 | 34.6 | 34.4 | 34.6 | 34.5 |
|  | 7.9 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 9.7 | 10.1 | 10.5 | 21.0 | 11.4 | 11.8 |
|  | 4.5 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.6 |
|  | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 7.7 | 7.8 |
|  | 6.0 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.6 |
| ©s yeard and over----------------------- | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
|  | 21.3 | 21.6 | 21.6 | 21.7 | 22.9 | 21.9 | 22.1 | 22.2 | 22.4 | 22.5 |
| Crocm---------------------- | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 14.6 | 13.3 | 12.7 | 12.4 | 21.7 | 10.8 | 10.5 | 10.9 | 10.5 | 9.9 |
|  | 45.4 | 44.2 | 44.5 | 44.5 | 43.8 | 44.4 | 43.8 | 42.0 | 41.9 | 41.6 |
|  | 15.5 | 17.1 | 17.1 | 17.9 | 19.2 | 19.6 | 20.4 | 20.5 | 20.3 | 20.4 |
|  | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 8.3 | 8.6 | 9.2 |
|  | 8.2 | 8.0 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 9.4 |
|  | 7.6 | 8.0 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 8.0 |
|  | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
|  | 23.9 | 24.1 | 24.2 | 24.2 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 24.5 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.8 |
| FITST WARRIAGES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Under 20 yeara------------------------- | 51.8 | 50.0 | 49.9 | 48.7 | 46.9 | 45.9 | 44.4 | 43.5 | 42.3 | 41.5 |
|  | 36.4 | 37.2 | 37.0 | 37.6 | 38.3 | 38.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 40.3 | 40.2 |
|  | 6.2 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 9.3 | 9.7 | 10.2 |
| ${ }_{35-14}^{30-34}$ yeara | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 4.0 |
|  | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.0 |
| 45-64 yeara-------------------------------- | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
|  | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Hodian oge------------------------------ | 19.8 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.2 | 20.4 | 20.5 | 20.7 | 20.8 | 22.0 | 21.1 |
| Groom--------------------------- | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 18.2 | 16.9 | 16.1 | 15.5 | 14.6 | 13.4 | 13.1 | 13.7 | 13.2 | 12.5 |
|  | 55.3 | 53.8 | 54.4 | 54.1 | 52.9 | 53.6 | 52.9 | 51.2 | 51.2 | 50.8 |
| 25-29 yeara------------------------------ | 18.0 | 18.0 | 17.8 | 18.8 | 20.4 | 20.6 | 21.6 | 21.8 | 21.7 | 21.8 |
|  | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 7.6 |
|  | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.2 |
|  | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
|  | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Nedian afe---------------------------- | 22.9 | 23.1 | 23.1 | 23.2 | 23.3 | 23.4 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.6 | 23.7 |

Table 1-Q. Percentage Distribution of Marriages by Marriage. Order of Bride and of Groom: Total of 17 Selected States, 1952-6l
(By place of occurrence. For States included, see headnote to table 1-P. For 1952-59, basic data are counts of marriages performed supplied by each State. For 1960 and 1961, data are based on sample. Computed on totals excluding figures for marriage order not stated)

| YEAR | BRIDE |  |  | Groom |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { All }}{\text { marriages }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Flrst } \\ \text { marrlages } \end{gathered}$ | Remarriages | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { marriages } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Flist } \\ \text { marriages } \end{gathered}$ | Remarriages |
|  | 200.0 | 78.9 | 21.1 | 100.0 | 78.7 | 21.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 78.3 | 21.7 | 100.0 | 79.6 | 21.4 |
|  | 100.0 | 77.8 | 22.2 | 100.0 | 78.8 | 21.2 |
|  | 100.0 | 78.6 | 21.4 | 100.0 | 79.4 | 20.6 |
|  | 100.0 | 79.0 | 21.0 | 100.0 | 79.9 | 20.1 |
|  | 100.0 | 79.8 | 21.2 | 100.0 | 79.9 | 20.1 |
|  | 100.0 | 78.7 | 21.3 | 100.0 | 79.8 | 20.2 |
| 1954-- | 100.0 | 77.9 | 22.1 | 100.0 | 79.1 | 20.9 |
| 1853-... | 100.0 | 78.5 | 21.5 | 100.0 | 79.4 | 20.6 |
| 1952-- | 100.0 | 78.3 | 21.7 | 100.0 | 79.4 | 20.6 |
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## Sampling Variability of the Marriage Statistics

The following statement is essential to understanding the limitations and uses of the small numbers in the tables which present statistics based on data from probability samples of marriage records. The headnote to each such table includes "Based on sample data."

Sampling errors for statistics from the marriage-registration area and each registration State are shown in table 7-7 in the Technical Appendix. In order to reflect more clearly the general patterns of relationships and to retain the convenience of numbers which add to marginal totals, data tables contain some individual small numbers which convey very limited information because the sampling error is so large. It is suggested that for any number less than those shown in the column "Minimum useful statistic" the interpretation be simply "small" rather than any more precise value.

|  | Minimum <br> useful <br> statistic |  | Minimum <br> useful |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | statistic |

The rule for selection of these minimum useful statistics is based on an argument that for statistics as small as 1 percent of the total for a State, a relative error of up to about 60 percent can be tolerated with 95 percent confidence. This is a "central tendency rule" and there will, of course, be some deviation from it. Further, the figure of 10 observations rather than, say, 12 is shown because of somewhat greater convenience in presenting and interpreting the minimum useful number.

## Table 2-l. Marriages by Month: United States, Each Region, Division, and State, 1961

(Data are counts of marriages performed, suppled by registration areas, except as noted. Figures differ from those shown in other tables inthis section which are based on sample data. "NR" denotes nonregistration State. Annual totals include month of marrıage not stated)

| AREA | Total | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | Juny | Aug. | Sept. | oct. | Hov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UTITED STATES ${ }^{1}$ | 1,547,945 | 94,943 | 91,426 | 94,589 | 117,185 | 117,743 | 183,879 | 142,044 | 156,818 | 153,962 | 126,429 | 121,882 | 138,430 |
| Marriage-regiatration area---- | 967,996 | 57,342 | 59,669 | 55,235 | 75,173 | 70,157 | 118,004 | 92,414 | 96,402 | 101,005 | 79,655 | 75,852 | 56,513 |
| Regions: | $\begin{aligned} & 308,529 \\ & 403,329 \\ & 550,107 \\ & 285,980 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast.-. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 17,980 \\ & 23,773 \\ & 35,467 \\ & 17,723 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,213 \\ & 24,071 \\ & 34,553 \\ & 18,589 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15,169 \\ & 21,511 \\ & 38,200 \\ & 19,699 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,281 \\ & 30,549 \\ & 40,395 \\ & 20,960 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,839 \\ & 29,736 \\ & 41,455 \\ & 20,743 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37,557 \\ & 51,553 \\ & 66,233 \\ & 31,236 \end{aligned}$ | 29,174 | 30,190 | 34,708 | 27,971 | 25,090 | $\begin{aligned} & 22,180 \\ & 33,852 \\ & 55,856 \end{aligned}$ |
| Horth Central- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37,064 | 42,927 | 42,413 | 33,879 | 31,348 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49,848 | 55,274 | 49,975 | 42,378 | 43,493 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25,958 | 28,427 | 26,866 | 22,201 | 21,951 | 26,542 |
| Hortheart: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mee Enfland.-- | $\begin{array}{r} 75,463 \\ 233,066 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,886 \\ 14,094 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,307 \\ 12,906 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,835 \\ 12,334 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,479 \\ 18,802 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,194 \\ 19,645 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,437 \\ 28,120 \end{array}$ | 7,88121,293 | 87,021 | 9,27025,438 | 7,15620,1815 | 6,04319,047 | 4,95417,526 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horth Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East Horth Central.---------------- | $\begin{aligned} & 284,581 \\ & 18,748 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,040 \\ 6,733 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,071 \\ 7,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15,276 \\ 6,235 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21,689 \\ 8,860 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21,091 \\ 8,645 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34,902 \\ & 16,951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,580 \\ & 10,484 \end{aligned}$ | 30,19512,732 | 30,07512,338 | 24,4469,433 | 22,4798,869 | 23,73710,115 |
| Weot Horth Central---------------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Atlantic ${ }^{1}-$---------....-------- | $\begin{aligned} & 268,554 \\ & 112,184 \\ & 169,369 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,013 \\ 6,968 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16,823 \\ 6,934 \\ 10,796 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,725 \\ 7,502 \\ 11,983 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,345 \\ 8,109 \\ 11,941 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,263 \\ & 8,211 \\ & 13,951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,462 \\ & 13,107 \\ & 19,664 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,359 \\ & 10,293 \\ & 14,196 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,083 \\ & 11,043 \\ & 18,148 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,435 \\ & 10,402 \\ & 14,438 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,776 \\ 8,626 \\ 12,976 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21,217 \\ 8,763 \\ 13,513 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27,253 \\ & 12,226 \\ & 16,377 \end{aligned}$ |
| Eact Bouth Central.-1--------------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 11,686 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weat: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 130,492 \\ & 155,488 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,273 \\ & 9,450 \end{aligned}$ | 8,15110,438 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,078 \\ 10,621 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,560 \\ 11,400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,921 \\ 10,822 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,299 \\ & 17,937 \end{aligned}$ | $11,340$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12,315 \\ & 16,112 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12,185 \\ & 14,681 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,220 \\ & 11,981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,110 \\ & 11,841 \end{aligned}$ | 11,333 |
| Pacific----------------------------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hew Engiand: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 7,908 \\ 7,474 \\ 3,161 \\ 33,614 \\ 5,640 \\ 17,793 \end{array}$ | 374418 | 426 | 341 | 554 | 507 | 1,009 | 870 | 911 | 962 | 724 | 623 | 607 |
| ITer Haupuhiren----..--------- |  |  | 446 | 367 | 589 | 595 | 801 | 865 | 696 | 801 | 613 | 572 | 584 |
| Vermont-------------------- |  | 149 | 177 | 139 | 251 | 216 | 435 | 330 | 311 | 389 | 322 | 205 | 237 |
| Madcachusettr-- |  | 1,778 | 1,918 | 1,155 | 3,034 | 2,801 | 4,408 | 3,303 | 3,046 | 4,189 | 3,311 | 2,687 | 1,984 |
|  |  | 277 | 292 | 156 | 507 | 565 | 723 | 544 | 504 | 727 | , 534 | 473 | 338 |
|  |  | 890 | 1,048 | 677 | 1,544 | 1,510 | 2,061 | 1,969 | 1,553 | 2,202 | 1,652 | 1,483 | 1,204 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 122,750 \\ 40,699 \\ 69,617 \end{gathered}$ | 7,772 2,382 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Femmbylvania-- |  | 3,940 | 3,835 | 3,149 |  |  |  | 6,610 | 6,833 | 7,809 | 6,209 | 5,754 | 5,228 |
| East Morth Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 66,076 \\ 42,261 \\ 88,692 \\ 63,520 \\ 24,232 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,743 \\ & 2,512 \\ & 5,666 \\ & 3,874 \\ & 1,245 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,002 \\ & 2,547 \\ & 5,138 \\ & 4,052 \\ & 1,282 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,482 \\ 2,525 \\ 5,317 \\ 3,273 \\ 679 \end{array}$ | 4,9113,0257,0434,8181,892 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,617 \\ & 2,939 \\ & 7,280 \\ & 4,483 \\ & 1,772 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,347 \\ 5,173 \\ 10,455 \\ 7,595 \\ 3,332 \end{array}$ | 6,515 | 6,626 | 7,294 | 5,531 | 5,278 | $\begin{aligned} & 5,680 \\ & 4,000 \\ & 7,178 \\ & 5,181 \\ & 1,698 \end{aligned}$ |
| Indiana--------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,972 | 4,224 | 4,375 | 3,569 | 3,400 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,620 | 9,786 | 8 8,533 | 7,444 | 7,232 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,074 | 6,769 | 6,887 | 5,461 | 4,853 |  |
| Hibconain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,399 | 2,790 | 2,986 | 2,441 | 1,716 |  |
| Wept Morth Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24,123 | 1,196 | 1,270 | 1,012 | 1,7691,828 | 1,639 | 3,422 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,293 \\ & 1,875 \end{aligned}$ | 2,631 | 2,935 | 2,157 | 1,766 | 2,033 |
| Towa-1.-.---- |  |  | 1,577 | 1,271 |  | 1,686 | 3,529 |  |  |  | 1,502 | 1,508 | 1,606 |
|  | 34,890 | 2,149 | 2,051 | 2,030 | 2,581 | 2,764 | 4,460 | 2,963 | 3,602 | 3,442 | 2,808 | 2,659 | 3,028 |
|  | 4,335 | 200 | 201 | 157 | 266 | 239 | 804 | 399 | 510 | 481 | 365 | 334 | 379 |
|  | 6,213 | 328 | 314 | 323 | 437 | 403 | 873 | 592 | 725 | 662 | 487 | 502 | 567 |
|  | 10,976 | 563 | 628 | 587 | 780 | 802 | 1,562 | 932 | 1,258 | 1,120 | 906 | 846 | 992 |
| Kannan | 16,249 | 971 | 959 | 855 | 1,199 | 1,712 | 2,301 | 1,432 | 1,872 | 1,576 | 1,208 | 1,254 | 1,510 |
| South Atiantic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,560 \\ 39,977 \\ 9,101 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}167 \\ 2,517 \\ \hline 251\end{array}$ | 1462,345 | $\begin{array}{r} 127 \\ 2,611 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 209 \\ 3,168 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 192 \\ 3,002 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 325 \\ 4,355 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 241 \\ 3,899 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 217 \\ 3,909 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 303 \\ 4,092 \end{array}$ | 220 | 195 | ${ }^{218}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,197 | 3,496 | 3,392 |
| Protrict of Columbia²------------ |  |  | 563 | 660 | 712 | 808 | 1,027 | 771 | 919 | 794 | 749 | 702 | 745 |
| Vireinin---------------------m | 38,300 | 2,149 | 2,255 | 2,602 | 2,881 | 2,554 | 4,415 | 3,804 | 3,828 | 3,836 | 2,996 | 3,014 | 3,966 |
|  | 13,465 | 799 | 795 | 822 | 930 | 937 | 1,593 | 1,333 | 1,365 | 1,349 | 1,099 | 1,014 | 1,429 |
| Iforth Carolinn ${ }^{2}$ ( IR ) ---..........-- | 32,158 | 1,758 | 1,812 | 2,428 | 1,957 | 2,405 | 4,125 | 2,863 | 3,472 | 2,759 | 2,461 | 2,636 | 3,482 |
| South Carolina (MR)--------------- | 39,997 | 2,545 | 2,499 | 2,716 | 3,145 | 2,707 | 4,145 | 4,059 | 3,827 | 3,849 | 3,140 | 2,949 | 4,416 |
| Ceorela----------n- | 52,062 | 3,465 | 3,397 | 3,638 | 3,922 | 3,614 | 5,562 | 4,970 | 4,917 | 4,968 | 4,000 | 4,113 | 5,496 |
| Florida-------- | 40,934 | 2,762 | 3,011 | 3,121 | 3,421 | 3,044 | 4,915 | 3,425 | 3,629 | 3,485 | 2,914 | 3,098 | 4,109 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 26,185 \\ & 31,656 \\ & 32,723 \\ & 21,610 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,655 \\ & 1,633 \\ & 1,965 \\ & 1,515 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,703 \\ & 1,856 \\ & 1,998 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,734 \\ & 2,115 \\ & 2,206 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,828 \\ & 2,213 \\ & 2,455 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,043 \\ & 2,232 \\ & 2,371 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,113 \\ & 3,726 \\ & 3,784 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,271 \\ & 2,994 \\ & 3,025 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,706 \\ & 3,087 \\ & 3,230 \end{aligned}$ | 3,114 | 2,062 2,419 | 2,505 | 2,5373,5723,6632,454 |
| Alabama--...-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,042 | 2,499 | 2,485 |  |
|  |  |  | 1,377 | 1,447 | 1,613 | 1,565 | 2,484 | 2,003 | 2,020 | 1,861 | 1,646 | 1,625 |  |
| Hest South Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1,172 | 1,208 | 1,405 | 1,275 | 1,573 | 2,209 | 1,584 | 1,899 | 1,622 | 1,485 | 1,454 | 1,882 |
|  | $24,057$ | 1,748 | 1,578 | 1,379 | 1,847 | 1,617 | 2,999 | 2,178 | 2,350 | 2,060 | 1,838 | 1,955 | 2,468 |
|  | $30,300$ | 2,069 | 1,904 | 2,291 | 2,143 | 2,750 | 3,336 | 2,576 | 3,303 | 2,492 | 2,358 | 2,338 | 2,740 |
|  | 96,244 | 6,697 | 6,106 | 6,908 | 6,676 | 8,011 | 11,120 | 7,858 | 10,556 | 7,964 | 7,295 | 7,766 | 9,287 |
| Sountain: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5,635 | 365 | 346 | 381 | 436 | 438 | 838 | 433 | 546 | 566 | 389 | 399 | 498 |
|  | 11,151 | 548 | 646 | 708 | 738 | 834 | 1,291 | 1,067 | 1,228 | 1,212 | 973 | ${ }^{862}$ | 1,025 |
|  | 3,246 | 176 | 179 | 181 | 228 | 204 | 403 | 348 | 393 | 360 | 234 | 235 | 305 |
|  | 16,842 | 1,014 | 1,012 | 1,052 | 1,077 | 1,361 | 2,273 | 1,339 | 1,997 | 1,524 | 1,345 | 1,398 | 1,450 |
|  | 11,825 | 660 | 653 | 502 | 492 | 591 | 740 | 544 | 672 | 543 | 476 | 478 | 787 |
|  | 10,426 | 877 | 781 | 978 | 908 | 744 | 975 | 821 | 862 | 866 | 759 | 855 | 1,000 |
|  | 7,400 | 353 | 412 | 468 | 473 | 470 | 1,109 | 583 | 835 | 860 | 539 | 574 | 723 |
| Ifevain ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (IR) - | 63,967 | 4,280 | 4,122 | 4,808 | 5,208 | 5,279 | 5,670 | 6,205 | 5,782 | 6,254 | 5,505 | 5,309 | 5,545 |
| Pactifle: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wauhington ${ }^{\text {( }}$ (1) ) | 27,659 | 1,740 | 1,693 | 2,015 | 1,746 | 2,342 | 3,209 | 2,485 | 3,146 | 2,327 | 2,283 | 2,324 | 2,349 |
|  | 10,798 | 555 | 611 | 664 | 706 | 626 | 1,490 | 1,024 | 1,233 | 1,243 | 795 | 771 | 1,080 |
|  | 109,642 | 6,749 | 7,614 | 7,471 | 8,360 | 7,317 | 12,502 | 10,443 | 10,958 | 10,428 | 8,279 | 8,126 | 11,018 |
|  | 2,091 | 104 | 134 | 134 | 163 | 168 | ${ }^{198}$ | 176 | 214 | 199 | 213 | 174 | 214 |
| Hamal1-- | 5,298 | 303 | 386 | 337 | 425 | 369 | 538 | 490 | 561 | 484 | 417 | 446 | 548 |

## Table 2-2. Marriages by Age of Bride and of Groom, by Month Marriage Performed: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961

 a specified characteristic, see Technical Appendix)

| AGE OF bride ard of groom | Total | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BRIDE--------------------------- | 963,995 | 56,926 | 60,135 | 55,303 | 74,961 | 69,861 | 117,650 | 92,135 | 95,887 | 100,282 | 78,781 | 7E,909 | 85,250 |
| Under 16 years----------------------- | 10,779 | 798 | 627 | 939 | 608 | 916 | 860 | 1,288 | 686 | 963 | 1,027 | 671 | 1,196 |
|  | 39,221 | 2,472 | 3,062 | 3,256 | 3,636 | 2,918 | 4,094 | 3,786 | 3,331 | 3,700 | 2,033 | 2,353 | 3.150 |
|  | 60,995 | 4,583 | 2,876 | 4,257 | 4,521 | 4,251 | 8,071 | 6,142 | 6,490 | 5,043 | 4,754 | 4,786 | 5,2a1 |
|  | 140,551 | 8,208 | 8,674 | 7,647 | 10,650 | 8,940 | 17,617 | 14,639 | 14,889 | 14,966 | 11,423 | 11,721 | 11,177 |
|  | 174,803 | 5,241 | 7,671 | 6,910 | 8,96I | 8,744 | 14,951 | 10,384 | 10,365 | 12,063 | 9,171 | 10,323 | 9,018 |
|  | 98,517 | 5,052 | 5,806 | 4,596 | 7,831 | 7,734 | 11,955 | 8,744 | 10,633 | 12,065 | 8,200 | 7,187 | 8,714 |
|  | 87,624 | 4,955 | 4,764 | -4,234 | 7,654 | 5,859 | 12,400 | 6,777 | 8,693 | 10,311 | 8,012 | 6,758 | 7,207 |
|  | 61,023 | 2,891 | 3,594 | 2,696 | 4,507 | 4,473 | 9,279 | 6,047 | 7,715 | 6,379 | 4,200 | 4,672 | 4,570 |
|  | 43,919 | 2,260 | 2,661 | 1,958 | 2,658 | 3,409 | 5,416 | 4,650 | 5,611 | 4,755 | 2,916 | 3,357 | 4,265 |
|  | 30,757 | 2,293 | 1,825 | 1,430 | 2,182 | 2,651 | 2,998 | 3,313 | 3,237 | 3,157 | 2,613 | 1,795 | 3, दec |
|  | 23,648 | 1,290 | 1,021 | 978 | 1,436 | 1,814 | 2,930 | 2,194 | 2,165 | 3,297 | 2,349 | 1,893 | 2,291 |
|  | 20,031 | 1,229 | 1,710 | 1,461 | 1,003 | 1,433 | 2,430 | 1,370 | 2,013 | 2,204 | 1,639 | 1,903 | 1,636 |
|  | 16,564 | 453 | 530 | 942 | 1,655 | 1,003 | 2,315 | 2,294 | 1,386 | 1,501 | 1,444 | 1,299 | 1,742 |
|  | 13,396 | 685 | 1,195 | 854 | 816 | 872 | 1,110 | 1,416 | 1,598 | 1,345 | 1,195 | 935 | 1,374 |
|  | 12,783 | 832 | 769 | 898 | 1,169 | 1,317 | 1,051 | 1,255 | 1,174 | 873 | 1,147 | 912 | 1,446 |
|  | 10,755 | 739 | 635 | 797 | 791 | 537 | 1,482 | 858 | 959 | 620 | 924 | 1,287 | 1,126 |
|  | 17,135 | 318 | 1,026 | 1,019 | 1,410 | 926 | 1,117 | 1,013 | 873 | 765 | 941 | 1,029 | ES7 |
|  | 9,470 | 595 | 723 | 515 | 777 | 386 | 1,030 | 757 | 1,349 | 1,373 | 639 | 433 | 904 |
| 33 years. | 8,919 | 488 | 818 | 438 | 825 | 470 | 594 | 723 | 771 | 1,511 | 878 | 625 | 770 |
| 34 years------------------------- | 8,820 | 322 | 486 | 495 | 1,038 | 809 | 973 | 964 | 609 | 744 | 665 | 705 | 1,000 |
|  | 41,309 | 3,017 | 3,204 | 2,708 | 3,122 | 3,296 | 4,483 | 3,805 | 3,734 | 3,222 | 3,2¢7 | 2,779 | 4,672 |
|  | 29,353 | 1,926 | 2,289 | 1,365 | 2,366 | 2,467 | 3,447 | 3,034 | 2,240 | 2,463 | 2,531 | 2,004 | 3,211 |
|  | 22,379 | 1,963 | 1,752 | 1,599 | 1,499 | 1,272 | 2,478 | 2,067 | 1,747 | 2,143 | 1,467 | 2,2e4 | 2,103 |
| $50-54$ years- | 16,920 | 833 | 963 | 1,629 | 1,481 | 1,300 | 1,598 | 1,681 | 1,575 | 1,432 | 1,635 | 1,307 | 1,485 |
|  | 10,684 | 844 | 570 | 505 | 1,002 | 1,061. | 695 | 786 | 774 | 1,259 | 1,013 | 1,045 | 12150 |
|  | 9,569 | 883 | 309 | 741 | 366 | 498 | 943 | 1,082 | 873 | 1,435 | 643 | 899 | 907 |
|  | 5,164 | 333 | 370 | 182 | 721 | 270 | 687 | 721 | 103 | 483 | 696 | 412 | 206 |
|  | 3,036 | 202 | 154 | 102 | 212 | 63 | 343 | 85 | 282 | 183 | 287 | 612 | 431 |
| GROOM- | 963,995 | 56,826 | 60,135 | 55,303 | 74,961 | 69,861 | 117,650 | 92,135 | 95,887 | 100,282 | 78,781 | 76,909 | 65,255 |
|  | 12,558 | 1,339 | 968 | 994 | 1,138 | 551 | 1,083 | 1,322 | 1,150 | 907 | 676 | 761 | 1,669 |
|  | 45,607 | 2,017 | 3,283 | 3,386 | 3,271 | 2,940 | 4,965 | 4,870 | 4,163 | 3,589 | 3,336 | 4,382 | 4,605 |
|  | 68,820 | 4,265 | 3,859 | 4,618 | 5,596 | 4,509 | 8,283 | 5,747 | 7,062 | 7,421 | 6,109 | 5,604 | 5,747 |
| 20 years | 80,777 | 4,388 | 3,629 | 4,692 | 6,519 | 5,841 | 11,860 | 8,680 | 8,109 | 9,380 | 5,853 | 6,049 | 5,778 |
|  | 113,925 | 5,683 | 7,324 | 5,850 | 8,922 | 9,795 | 15,579 | 10,999 | 21,260 | 11,694 | 9,578 | 8,101 | 9,140 |
|  | 95,518 | 5,409 | 5,966 | 4,573 | 6,882 | 6,178 | 12,843 | 8,335 | 11,957 | 10,676 | 7,737 | 7,628 | 7,374 |
| 23 years | 74,855 | 3,470 | 3,567 | 4,683 | 5,119 | 5,744 | 10,064 | 8,796 | 8,114 | 6,965 | 6,138 | 5,800 | 6,367 |
| 24 years- | 57,749 | 2,942 | 3,635 | 2,714 | 3,953 | 3,602 | 7,271 | 5,237 | 6,683 | 6,985 | 4,494 | 5,047 | 5,186 |
| 25 years- | 48,903 | 3,060 | 3,116 | 2,350 | 4,458 | 3,211 | 5,498 | 4,702 | 4,615 | 5,596 | 4,599 | 4,419 | 3,260 |
|  | 37,613 | 2,294 | 1,826 | 2,123 | 2,652 | 2,230 | 5,131 | 3,429 | 3,936 | 4,206 | 2,649 | 3,338 | 3,801 |
|  | 27,666 | 1,252 | 1,894 | 1,380 | 2,517 | 2,471 | 3,352 | 2,492 | 2,151 | 3,400 | 1,804 | 2,532 | 2,4911 |
|  | 26,118 | 1,465 | 1,468 | 1,161 | 1,993 | 2,721 | 3,264 | 2,669 | 2,545 | 3,230 | 1,916 | 1,689 | 1,909 |
| 29 years- | 21,703 | 962 | 1,492 | 1,081 | 1,627 | 1,581 | 2,317 | 2,315 | 2,142 | 2,051 | 2,441 | 1,706 | 1,999 |
| 30 years- | 1.9,642 | 1,283 | 1,130 | 812 | 2,041 | 1,875 | 2,635 | 1,368 | 2,012 | 1,733 | 1,622 | 1,435 | 1,635 |
| 31 years-.... | 15,982 | 1,068 | 1,501 | 806 | 1,225 | 1,076 | 1,599 | 887 | 1,893 | 1,371 | 1,785 | 1,148 | 1,623 |
|  | 15,376 | 1,102 | 955 | 852 | 889 | 1,036 | 1,787 | 1,619 | 1,209 | 1,684 | 1,360 | 1,076 | 1,805 |
|  | 12,832 | 790 | 968 | 769 | 1,074 | 977 | 1,198 | 978 | 1,674 | 1,236 | 1,027 | 1,053 | 1,189 |
|  | 11,538 | 571 | 807 | 847 | 877 | 942 | 1,334 | 1,073 | 1,315 | 854 | 942 | 704 | 1,272 |
|  | 45,835 | 3,357 | 4,393 | 2,073 | 3,779 | 2,960 | 4,464 | 4,356 | 3,834 | 4,093 | 3,538 | 3,414 | 4,774 |
|  | 35,615 | 2,505 | 2,511 | 2,195 | 2,829 | 2,746 | 3,520 | 3,289 | 2,597 | 4,051 | 2,740 | 2,612 | 4,010 |
|  | 25,507 | 1,736 | 2,198 | 1,389 | 2,321 | 1,750 | 2,763 | 2,035 | 1,755 | 2,138 | 2,414 | 1,074 | 3,074 |
| 50-54 years | 19,908 | 1,071 | 1,224 | 2,064 | 1,670 | 1,976 | 1,842 | 1,974 | 1,377 | 1,950 | 1,318 | 2,117 | 1,325 |
|  | 15,292 | 1,041 | 638 | 902 | 766 | 910 | 1,531 | 1,935 | 1,620 | 1,417 | 1,608 | 1,160 | 1,764 |
| 60-64 years | 12,814 | 756 | 670 | 759 | 996 | 994 | 1,214 | 1,419 | 925 | 1,408 | 967 | 1,260 | 1,439 |
|  | 17,234 | 1,436 | 786 | 886 | 1,065 | 739 | 809 | 520 | 1,057 | 1,197 | 827 | 1,116 | 796 |
| 70 years and over-------------------- | 9,106 | 694 | 236 | 514 | 748 | 506 | 1,161 | 848 | 660 | 1,006 | 1,010 | 707 | 1,016 |

Table 2-3. Marriages by Marriage Order and Color of Bride and of Groom, by Month Marriage Performed: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Totals for each charactexistic melude "not stated" For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated" for

| MARRIAOE ORIER AND COLOR of bride and of aroom | Total | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mride |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All marriagesm------------------ | 963,995 | 56,826 | 60,135 | 55,303 | 74,961 | 69,861 | 117,650 | 92,135 | 95,887 | 100,282 | 78,781 | 76,908 | 85,255 |
| White-mem Nonwhite- | $\begin{aligned} & 762,622 \\ & 100,826 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45,862 \\ 6,824 \end{array}$ | 48,638 7,374 | 43,836 7,475 | $\begin{array}{r} 61,351 \\ 8,368 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57,235 \\ 8,013 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97,697 \\ & 10,602 \end{aligned}$ | 76,431 8,603 | $\begin{aligned} & 78,619 \\ & 10,165 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81,448 \\ 8,422 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57,088 \\ 7,711 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55,604 \\ 7,361 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58,802 \\ 9,908 \end{array}$ |
| FHrot marriagea---------------------- White-- | 735,760 <br> 584,516 <br> 79 | 41,370 33,628 | 43,191 34,822 5 5 | 38,977 30,496 5 | 57,502 47,755 | 53,551 | 94,985 | 70,523 58,821 | 75,606 61,740 | 79,488 64,575 | 59,824 | 58,008 42,101 | 62,724 43,570 |
| Monwhite- | 78,419 | 4,982 | 5,706 | 5,469 | 6,216 | 6,335 |  | 6,561 | 8,104 | 6,721 | 6,089 | 5,744 | 7,869 |
| Remarxiges | 219,223 | 14,833 | 16,123 | 15,686 | 16,968 | 15,749 | 21,504 | 20,921 | 19,219 | 19,894 | 18,497 | 18,298 | 21,531 |
| White---3.- | 172,008 | 11,991 | 13,275 | 12,940 | 13,236 | 13,042 | 18,446 | 17,079 | 16,117 | 16,153 | 12,335 | 13,022 | 14,472 |
| Nonvilte-- | 20,243 | 1,662 | 1,428 | 1,766 | 2,031 | 1,477 | 1,838 | 1,882 | 1,861 | 1,541 | 1,402 | 1,536 | 1,819 |
| groom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All marriggean------------------ | 963,995 | 56,826 | 60,135 | 55,303 | 74,961 | 69,861 | 217,650 | 92,135 | 95,887 | 100,282 | 78,781 | 76,908 | 85,255 |
| Hhite-n-Nonwilte- | $\begin{aligned} & 763,759 \\ & 101,689 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45,791 \\ 6,955 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48,738 \\ 7,434 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44,028 \\ 7,593 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61,402 \\ 8,359 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57,095 \\ 8,154 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97,621 \\ & 10,968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76,595 \\ 8,718 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79,040 \\ 9,966 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{8,743}{81,427}$ | 57,302 7,778 | $\begin{array}{r} 55,639 \\ 7,308 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59,070 \\ 9,713 \end{array}$ |
| First marriagea--------------------- | 739,581 | ${ }^{41,559}$ | 41,841 | 40,434 | 56,813 | 53,092 | 95,300 | 71,538 | 77,144 | 76,625 | 59,478 | 60,169 |  |
| White------ | 588,651 | 33,439 | 36,475 | 31,978 | 47,016 | 42,999 | 79,125 | 59,899 | 63,599 | 62,532 | 44,660 | 43,652 | 43,277 |
|  | 78,127 217,117 | 5,270 14,836 | 5,644 14,654 | 6,154 14,209 | 6,637 17,658 | 6,002 16,168 | 8,534 21,169 | 6,718 19,947 | 7,585 17,681 | 6,681 22,857 | 5,757 18,823 | S,736 16,177 | 7,409 |
| White=---- | 169,184 | 12,091 | 11,883 | 11,650 | 13,996 |  | -17,616 | 16,266 | 14,599 | - 218,8575 | 18,823 12,382 1 | 16,177 11,526 | 22,927 |
| Nonwilte-- | 21,520 | 1,525 | 1,570 | 1,179 | 1,622 | 1,052 | 2,233 | 1,880 | 2,161 | 1,882 | 1,821 | 1,511 | 15,193 2,184 |

Table 2-4. Marriages by Day of Week Marriage Performed: Marriage-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Totals by day of week include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated," see Techncal

| Appendix) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AREA | Total | Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursdey | Friday | Saturday |
|  | 963,995 | 106,646 | 63,363 | 48,526 | 49,384 | 59,020 | 135,049 | 498,485 |
|  | 32,720 | 2,520 | 2,900 | 2,200 | 2,460 | 2,360 | 6,620 | 11,120 |
|  | 2,091 | 187 | 162 | 153 | 146 | 184 | 494 | 764 |
|  | 109,600 | 16,103 | 7,300 | 5,300 | 5,500 | 7,200 | 18,500 | 49,700 |
|  | 17,780 | 1,120 | 1,100 | 780 | 560 | 800 | 1,380 | 12,040 |
|  | 2,560 | 195 | 99 | 102 | 105 | 1 is | 306 | 1,640 |
|  | 9,080 | 1,160 | 540 | 460 | 480 | 500 | 1,240 | 4,700 |
|  | 40,940 | 4,100 | 4,620 | 3,300 | 2,240 | 4,260 | 8 , 880 | 13,540 |
|  | 52,100 | 8,500 | 4,200 | 4,400 | 4,400 | 4,000 | 8,600 | 18,000 |
|  | 5,290 | 320 | 300 | 300 | 220 | 240 | 490 | 3,420 |
|  | 11,060 | $\begin{array}{r}720 \\ \hline 500 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,440 | 740 | 1,000 | 1,280 | 2,480 | 3,420 |
|  | 21,960 | 3,920 | 1,200 1,290 | 1,700 1,140 | 2,100 920 | 1,700 1,120 | 7,900 2,700 | 21,100 10,880 |
|  | 16,140 | 3,880 | 1,080 | 780 | 980 | 780 |  |  |
|  | 22,240 | 1,560 | 1,680 | 1,660 | 1,440 | 1,820 | 3,700 | 6,130 10,380 |
|  | 24,060 | 3,360 | 1,880 | 1,260 | 1,080 | 1,820 | 3,100 | 11,460 |
|  | 7,880 | 580 | 470 | 320 | 390 | 320 | 1,100 | 4,700 |
|  | 39,980 | 3,520 | 4,280 | 1,560 | 3,880 | 3,260 | 6,340 | 17,140 |
|  | 33,600 | 6,520 | 1,320 | 1,060 | 740 | 1,150 | 2,760 | 20,100 |
|  | 63,300 | 2,200 | 3,900 | 2,300 | 2,100 | 2,600 | 8,100 | 42,100 |
| Hebisalppl | 21,600 | 3,520 | 1,700 | 1,440 | 1,380 | 2,250 | 3,840 | 7,460 |
|  | 5,630 | 730 | 390 | 380 | 430 | 350 | 980 | 2,360 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}10,980 \\ 7,350 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2,300 | 580 | 520 | 660 | 780 | 1,460 | 4,680 |
| गew Jexpey | 40,700 | 5,360 | 1,900 | 370 1,140 | 1,180 | 1,700 | 1,010 | 4,140 26,140 |
|  | 56,800 | 9,200 | 1,600 | 1,700 | 1,700 | 2,300 | 4,100 | 36,200 |
|  | 66,000 | 4,903 | 3,900 | 2,800 | 2,000 | 3,000. | 7,000 | 41,600 |
|  | 10,780 | 1,320 | 480 | 640 | 480 | 520 | 2,740 | 4,600 |
|  | 69,600 | 5,600 | 2,000 | 2,100 | 2,000 | 3,400 | 5,300 | 49,200 |
|  | 5,640 | 240 | 280 | 310 | 160 | 350 | 350 | 3,950 |
|  | 6,250 | 990 | 420 | 480 | 410 | 400 | 1,030 | 2,520 |
| Termeosee-------------------------------------------- | 31,660 | 2,360 | 3,000 | 2,520 | 2,740 | 3,060 | 6,740 | 11,240 |
|  | 7,350 | 140 | 700 | 450 | 720 | 1,160 | 2,630 | 1,550 |
|  | 3,168 | 227 | 142 | 102 | 127 | 139 | 294 | 2,147 |
|  | 38,220 | 2,380 | 4,080 | 3,040 | 2,740 | 2,700 | 6,720 | 16,560 |
| W1 | 24,240 <br> 3,246 | 540 477 | 420 280 | 780 239 | 440 256 | 680 285 | 800 535 | -20,560 |
| ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,174 |

[^1]Table 2-5. Marriages by Marriage Order and Age of Bride and of Groom, by Resident Status of Bride and Groom in State Where Married: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961



Table 2-6. Marriages by Marriage Order and Age of Bride and of Groom, by Resident Status in Place Where Married: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961
(Based on sample data. Totals for each characteristic include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated" for a specified characterıstic,


# Table 2-7. Marriages by Resident Status of Bride and Groom in Place Where Married: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961 

(Based on sample data. Totals by resident status include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated," see Technical Appendlx)

| ficsidexit status of brime in flace hiers marritid | All marriages | Resideril siatus of croom in flace where married |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Resident of county where married | Realdent of other coumty in same State | Resident of other State in same region | Hot resident of any Etate in region |
|  | 963,995 | 616,475 | 174,559 | 109,634 | 62,7¢7 |
| Resident of county where married-- | 711,025 | 567,547 | 87,487 | 29,793 | ${ }^{25} 5959$ |
|  | 123,591 | 33,977 | 81,831 | 4,460 73,344 | 3,399 4,265 |
|  | 90,446 38,333 | 9,328 5,489 | 3,509 1,712 |  |  |
|  | 38,333 |  |  |  |  |

# Table 2-8. Marriages by Resident Status of Bride and Groom in State Where Married: Each Marriage-Registration State, 1961 

(Based on sample data. Totals by resident status include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated," see Technical Appendix)

| RESIIENT STATUS OF MRITE INSIATE WIERE MAFRIED | $\underset{\text { marrieges }}{\text { All }}$ | hesiderin staitus of groom IN STATE WHEFE MARRIED |  | Residint status or bride if STAIE kIERE MARRIED | $\underset{\text { All }}{\text { Alriages }}$ | fesidert etatus or groom IT EIATE WHERE MARTCED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Resident of State where married | Not resident of State where married |  |  | Residant of State where married | Ifrt resident of Etate where married |
| Resident of State where married------Not resident of State where married---- | $\begin{array}{r} 32,720 \\ 27,820 \\ 4,900 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26,760 \\ 25,880 \\ 8800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,960 \\ & \mathbf{1 , 9 4 0} \\ & 4,020 \end{aligned}$ |  Hot resident of State where married--- | $\begin{array}{r} 63,300 \\ 58,400 \\ 4,900 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57,100 \\ 56,200 \\ 990 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,200 \\ & 2,200 \\ & 4,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Alaska <br> Resident of State where married--....... <br> Not resident of State where married--- | $\begin{aligned} & 2,091 \\ & 1,953 \\ & 138 \end{aligned}$ | 2,014 1,903 171 | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 50 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 21,600 \\ 17,700 \\ 3,900 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,800 \\ & 16,140 \\ & 660 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,800 \\ & 1,550 \\ & 3,240 \end{aligned}$ |
| Callfornie <br> Resident of State where married-........ Not resident of State where married---- | $\begin{array}{r} 109,600 \\ 105,000 \\ 4,600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 101,900 \\ 100,100 \\ 1,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,700 \\ & 4,900 \\ & 2,900 \end{aligned}$ | Resident of State where married-----Hot resident of State where married--- | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{5 , 6 3 0} \\ \mathbf{4 , 9 7 0} \\ 660 \end{array}$ | 4,720 4,550 170 | 910 420 490 |
| Connecticut------....-----------.... <br> Resident of State where married--------. <br> Not resident of State where married---- | $\begin{array}{r} 17,780 \\ 15,260 \\ 2,520 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,140 \\ 13,720 \\ 420 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,640 \\ & 1,540 \\ & 2,100 \end{aligned}$ |  <br> Resident of State where married---...- <br> Not resident of State where married-- | 10,980 9,660 1,360 | 8,940 8,680 260 | 2,040 940 1,100 |
| Delamare <br> Resident of State where married Not resident of State where married--. | $\begin{array}{r} 2,560 \\ 2,210 \\ 350 \end{array}$ | 2,015 1,909 106 | $\begin{aligned} & 545 \\ & 301 \\ & 244 \end{aligned}$ | New Hampshirem----- <br> Fesident of state where married---- <br> Not resident of State where married-- | $\begin{aligned} & 7,350 \\ & 4,240 \\ & 3,110 \end{aligned}$ | 3,790 3,560 230 | 3,560 680 2,680 |
| District of Columbia Resident of District of Columbian...... Not resident of District of Columbia-- | $\begin{aligned} & 9,080 \\ & 4,380 \\ & 4,700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,960 \\ & 2,940 \\ & 1,020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,120 \\ & 1,440 \\ & 3,680 \end{aligned}$ | New Jersey <br> Resident of State where married-----. <br> Not resident of State where married-- | $\begin{array}{r} 40,700 \\ 37,640 \\ 3,020 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34,480 \\ 33,420 \\ 1,020 \end{array}$ | 6,200 4,200 2,000 |
| Florida---1.-..... <br> Resident of State where married Hot resident of State where married---- | $\begin{array}{r} 40,940 \\ 38,820 \\ 1,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}37,380 \\ 36,440 \\ \hline 920\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,240 \\ & 2,360 \\ & 880 \end{aligned}$ | New York (excl. N.Y.C.) <br> Resident of State where married <br> Not resident of State khere married--. | $\begin{array}{r} 56,800 \\ 53,600 \\ 3,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51,500 \\ & 50,800 \\ & 900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,350 \\ & 3,000 \\ & 2,700 \end{aligned}$ |
|  <br> Resident of State where married-------- <br> Mot resident of State where married---- | $\begin{aligned} & 52,100 \\ & 32,300 \\ & 19,600 \end{aligned}$ | 30,900 29,500 1,500 | $\begin{gathered} 21,200 \\ 2,8,000 \\ 18,300 \end{gathered}$ | ohio $\qquad$ <br> Resident of State where merried----... <br> Not resident of State where married-m. | $\begin{array}{r} 66,000 \\ 64,700 \\ 1,300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61,400 \\ & 61,300 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,400 \\ & 3,200 \\ & 1,200 \end{aligned}$ |
| Hawail $\qquad$ <br> Resident of State were marrled- <br> Hot resident of State where married--- | $\begin{aligned} & 5,290 \\ & 5,090 \\ & 5,000 \end{aligned}$ | 5,080 4,950 130 | $\begin{gathered} 210 \\ 140 \\ 70 \end{gathered}$ | Oregon $\qquad$ <br> Resident of State where marriedm-----n <br> Hot restdent of State where married--- | $\begin{array}{r}10,780 \\ 9,980 \\ \hline 800\end{array}$ | 9,400 9,100 300 | 1,380 880 800 |
| Idaho--------- <br> Resident of State where married------- <br> Not resident of State where married-u- | $\begin{array}{r} 11,060 \\ 5,540 \\ 5,520 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{5 , 1 4 0} \\ 4,880 \\ 260 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,920 \\ 6,660 \\ 5,260 \end{gathered}$ | Pennsylvamia------- <br> Resident of State where married------ <br> Not resident of State where married-- | $\begin{array}{r} 69,600 \\ 66,500 \\ 3,100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61,600 \\ 61,200 \\ 400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,000 \\ & 5,700 \\ & 2,700 \end{aligned}$ |
|  <br> Resident of State where married-....-... <br> Hot resident of State where married.--- | $\begin{array}{r} 42,300 \\ 37,200 \\ 5,100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35,900 \\ 35,000 \\ 900 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,400 \\ & 2,200 \\ & 4,200 \end{aligned}$ |  <br> Resident of State where married-r---. <br> Not resident of State here married-- | $\begin{array}{r} 5,640 \\ 5,150 \\ \mathbf{4 8 0} \end{array}$ | 4,370 4,230 $\mathbf{4} 40$ | 1,270 970 340 |
|  <br> Not resident of State where married--- | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} 1,960 \\ 17,280 \\ 4,660 \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,340 \\ 15,460 \\ 4800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,620 \\ & 1,420 \\ & 4,180 \end{aligned}$ |  <br> Resident of State where married---w-- <br> Not resident of State where married-. | $\begin{aligned} & 6,250 \\ & 4,580 \\ & 1,670 \end{aligned}$ | 4,090 3,940 $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | 2,160 1640 1,520 |
| Kansas ------------------------------- | $\begin{array}{r} 16,140 \\ 14,080 \\ 2,060 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,980 \\ 12,320 \\ 560 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,260 \\ & 1,760 \\ & 1,500 \end{aligned}$ | Tennessee----------. <br> Resident of State hiere married <br> Not resident of State where married-- | 31,660 26,280 5,360 | $\begin{array}{r} 24,680 \\ 24,220 \\ 460 \end{array}$ | ¢,980 2,0e0 4,900 |
| Kentucky ${ }^{1}$ <br> Resident of State where married | 22,240 18,860 | 17,480 17,100 | 4,760 1,760 | Utah <br> Resident of State where married | 7,390 6,370 | 6,000 5,720 | 1,390 |
| Not resident of State where married---- | 3,380 | 380 | 3,000 | Hot resident of State where married--- | 1,020 | 280 | 740 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 24,060 \\ & 23,380 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,580 \\ & 22,160 \end{aligned}$ | 1,460 I,220 |  <br> Resident of State intere married------ | 3,168 2,586 | 2,333 2,194 | 835 |
| Not resident of State where married---- | 680 | 420 | 260 | Not resident of State where married--- | 582 | 139 | 443 |
| Maine----------------------------- <br> Resident of State where married | 7,880 7,340 | 6,590 6,430 | 1,290 | Virsinia -------------------------- Resident of State | 38,220 25,680 | 24,520 22,900 | 13,700 2,760 |
| Hot resident of State where married---- | 540 | 160 | 380 | Mot resident of State rikere married--- | 12,540 | 1,620 | 10,920 |
| Marylena--------------------------- | 39,980 | 21,040 | 18,920 | W1 sconsin--.-- | 24,240 | 22,440 | 1,800 |
| Resident of State khere marrled---...... Not resident of State where married---- | $\begin{aligned} & 22,640 \\ & 17,340 \end{aligned}$ | 19,640 1,400 | 3,000 15,920 | Resident of State where married-----Not resident of State where married-- | $\begin{array}{r} 23,420 \\ 820 \end{array}$ | 22,100 340 | 1,320 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 33,660 \\ 31,580 \\ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28,520 \\ & 27,90 \\ & 620 \end{aligned}$ | 5,140 3,680 1,460 |  | $\begin{array}{r}3,246 \\ 2,457 \\ \hline 789\end{array}$ | 2,252 2,150 | 934 307 |
| Not resident of State where married---- | 2,080 |  | 1,460 | Hot resident of State where married-n- | 789 | 102 | 687 |

${ }^{1}$ Data are incomplete.

Table 2-9. Marriages by Age of Bride, by Age of Groom: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. .Totals by age include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated," see Technical Appendix)

| AgE OF hride | Total | AGE OF GROOM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under 19 years | 19 years | 20 years | 21 years | 22 years | 23 years | 24 years | 25 years | 26 years |
| толAL------------------- | 963,995 | 58,165 | 68,820 | 80,777 | 113,925 | 95,518 | 74,855 | 57,749 | 48,903 | 37,613 |
|  | 130,995 140,551 144,803 | 29,402 18,553 6,751 | 20,231 22,746 15,527 | 17,604 22,963 17,230 | 17,273 27,990 23,543 | 9,407 18,212 17,234 | 5,967 10,389 11,934 | 3,835 6,764 6,282 | 2,056 4,362 5,142 | 1,596 2,402 3,236 |
|  | 98,517 | 2,148 | 6,543 | 13,876 | 17,986 | 17,262 | 12,385 | 7,603 | 5,884 | 4,260 |
|  | 87,624 | 599 | 2,278 | 4,937 | 14,747 | 15,275 | 11,022 | 9,477 | 9,009 | 5,498 |
|  | 61,023 | 385 | 612 | 2,199 | 5,813 | 10,043 | 8,913 | 8,347 | 6,017 | 4,283 |
|  | 43,919 | 70 | 349 | 943 | 3,042 | 3,321 | 5,929 | 6,540 | 4,026 | 4,270 |
|  | 30,757 | 80 | 280 | 414 | 1,238 | 1,482 | 3,490 | 3,106 | 4,406 | 2,676 |
|  | 86,422 | 164 | 303 | 619 | 1,761 | 2,622 | 4,097 | 4,673 | 6,487 | 7,640 |
| 30-34 years-..---.------------ | 49,099 | 20 | 50 | 72 | 172 | 437 | 608 | 908 | 965 | ,123 |
|  | 41,309 | 20 | - |  | 80 | 173 | 152 | 131 | 496 | 486 |
|  | 29,353 | - | - | - | 20 | 10 | 140 | 41 | 32 | 142 |
| 45-49 yeara-n...-................-- | 22,379 | - | - | - |  |  | 32 |  | 20 |  |
| 55 years and over-.............- | 28,453 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40 |  |  |
| AOE OF RRIDE | age of groom - ${ }^{\text {con }}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27 years | 28 years | 29 years | $\begin{aligned} & 30-54 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 35-39 years | $\begin{aligned} & 40-44 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 45-49 years | $50-54$ <br> years | $55.59$ years | 60 years and over |
| TOTAL- | 27,666 | 26,128 | 21,703 | 75,370 | 45,835 | 35,615 | 25,507 | 19,908 | 25,292 | 33,154 |
| Undor 18 years--......-......-- | 670 | 862 | 495 | 1,206 | 216 | 182 | 21 | 31 |  | - 100 |
|  | 1,287 | 1,403 | 1,007 | 1,463 | $\stackrel{659}{5}$ | 308 | ${ }^{60}$ |  | $\because$ |  |
| 19 ycara------------------------- | 2,176 | 1,767 | 1,010 | 2,084 | 509 | 316 | 23 | 20 | 20 |  |
|  | 2,412 | 1,725 | 1,204 | 3,260 | 1,254 | 412 | 182 | 100 | - |  |
|  | 3,436 | 2,333 | 2,219 | 4,350 | 2,741 | 543 | ${ }^{82}$ | 2 | 100 | 20 |
| 22 ycard-------------------- | 3,796 | 2,468 | 2,285 | 3,560 | 1,435 | 287 | 542 | 20 | 20 |  |
|  | 3,226 | 2,173 | 2,397 | 5,664 | 1,260 | 527 | ${ }^{82}$ | 40 | 20 | 41 |
|  | 2,090 | 2,121 | 1,468 | 5,397 | 1,631 | 619 | 71 | 60 | 220 |  |
| 25~~9 yeara-------------...---- | 6,554 | 7,424 | 6,619 | 21,598 | 9,282 | 4,311 | 1,596 | 396 | 154 | 122 |
| 30-34 years-..-...------------- | 1,521 | 2,604 | 1,958 | 16,172 | 13,227 | 6,261 | 2,730 | 1,585 | 301 | 385 |
|  | 366 | 923 | 676 | 7,347 | 10,257 | 10,159 | 5,799 | 2,562 | 767 | 917 |
|  | 63 | 192 | 194 | 2,585 | 4,486 | 7,314 | 6,447 | 3,698 | 2,448 | 1,540 |
|  | 61 | 100 | 160 | ${ }_{584}{ }_{42}$ | 1,362 | 2,981 | 4,495 2,659 | 5,642 | 3,469 3,449 | 3,453 5,334 |
|  | - | 20 | 11 | 42 15 | 254 160 | 1,027 | 2,659 | 4, 143 <br> 1809 | 3,449 4,263 | 5,334 21,242 |

Table 2-10. Marriages by Age of White Bride and Groom and by Age of Nonwhite Bride and Groom: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Totals by age include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variablilty, and for number of "not stated' for age and for color, see Technical Appendıx)

| age ard color of bride | Age or groom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Under 20 years | $20-24$ years | $25-29$ years | 30-34 years | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40-44 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45-49 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 50-54 years | 55-59 years | $\omega 0$ years and over |
| . TOTAL, WEILIE BRIDE------.------- | wHITIE CROOM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 759,268 | 102,545 | 341,196 | 126,357 | 56,338 | 33,618 | 27,079 | 19,180 | 16,116 | 12,230 | 23,641 |
|  | 296,950 | 91,221 | 176,566 | 23,277 | 3,742 | 1,097 | 740 | 94 | 41 | 40 | 100 |
|  | 256,882 | 10,827 | 151,809 | 63,224 | 16,814 | 5,870 | 2,057 | 877 | 202 | 140 | 40 |
|  | 63,956 | 407 | 10,338 | 25,861 | 16,233 | 6,299 | 3,302 | 1,015 | 355 | 84 | Eza |
|  | 36,609 | 70 | 1,685 | 5,968 | 12,828 | 8,613 | 4,705 | 2,067 | 1,172 | 170 | 331 |
|  | 29,853 | 20 | 344 | 2,251 | 5,208 | 7,345 | 7,569 | 4,095 | 2,119 | 546 | 356 |
|  | 21,726 | - | 191 | 523 | 2,042 | 3,211 | 5,216 | 4,990 | 2,596 | 1,977 | -979 |
| 45-19 years----------------------------- | 17,479 | - | 22 | 241 | 401 | 807 | 2,458 | 3,398 | 4,836 | 3,128 | 2,188 |
| 50 years, and over-------------------- | 34,681 |  | 40 | 12 | 47 | 374 | 1,031 | 2,653 | 4,795 | 6,144 | 10,585 |
|  | NOMWHITIE GRCOM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL, NONWHLTTP BRIDE---------- | 98,563 | 11,995 | 37,937 | 16,905 | 9,005 | 6,295 | 4,885 | 2,769 | 1,838 | 1,631 | 4,900 |
|  | 33,141 |  | 18,667 | 2,991 | 757 | 174 |  | 20 | 10 |  |  |
| 20-24 years--------------------------- | 30,387 | 1,496 | 16,955 1,942 | 7,611 | 2,667 2,028 | 1,076 | 320 677 | $\begin{array}{r}61 \\ 281 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 20 40 | 120 40 | ${ }_{60}^{21}$ |
|  | -11,175 | 40 | 1,272 | 1,080 | 2,337 | 1,410 | 955 | 343 | 292 | 90 | 42 |
|  | 5,671 | - | 60 | 363 | 1,003 | 1,169 | 1,549 | 643 | 302 | 121 | 4 ET |
|  | 3,562 | - | 20 | 100 | 122 | 674 | 877 | 705 | 372 | 331 | 361 |
|  | 2,291 | - | - | 100 | 81 | 323 | 223 | 393 | 406 | 261 | 424 |
| 50 years and over--------------------- | 5,129 | - | - | 20 | 10 | 40 | 221 | 323 | 316 | 648 | 3,531 |

Table 2-ll. First Marriages of Both Bride and Groom, by Age of Bride, by Age of Groom: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Totals by age include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated" for aga and for marrage order, see Technical Appendix)

| AgE of rride | Total | AGE OF CROOM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under 19 years | 19 years | 20 years | 21 years | 22 years | 23 years | 24 years | 25 years | 26 years | 27 years | 28 years | 29 years | $\begin{aligned} & 30-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 40 yeara and ever |
| TOTAL-.-------------- | 665,080 | 55,624 | 65,837 | 77,274 | 105,368 | 87,482 | 64,452 | 46,731 | 38,209 | 26,920 | 17,483 | 15,694 | 13,059 | 39,770 | 10,572 |
| Under 18 years-------------- | 103,608 | 27,910 | 19,638 | 17,040 | 16,565 | 8,722 | 5,367 | 3,158 | 1,719 | 1,371 | 405 | ${ }^{456}$ | 374 | 812 | 51 |
|  | 130,012 | $\begin{array}{r}18,184 \\ 6,478 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ | 21,900 | - 16,179 | 21,773 | 16,193 | 10,769 | 5,542 | 4,191 | 2,611 | 1,391 | 1,029 | 745 818 | ${ }_{1}^{1,3} \mathbf{3} 802$ | 2913 192 |
|  | 87,058 | 1,908 | 6,180 | 13,490 | 17,061 | 16,308 | 11,245 | 6,632 | 4,772 | 3,402 | 1,650 | 1,147 | 859 | 2,111 | 272 |
|  | 72,288 | 528 | 2,125 | 4,481 | 13,110 | 14,154 | 9,766 | 7,957 | 7,131 | 3,946 | 2,616 | 1,988 | 1,415 | 2,050 | 191 |
| 22 years--------------------- | 48,955 | 364 | 445 | 1,945 | 5,112 | 9,230 | 7,984 | 7,199 | 4,978 | 3,168 | 2,868 | 1,720 | 1,475 | 2,127 | 345 |
|  | 32,079 | 50 | 306 | 810 | 2,674 | 2,675 | 4,783 | 5,617 | 3,228 | 3,123 | 2,258 | 1,303 | 1,356 | 3,825 | 71 |
| 24 years-- | 19,906 | 60 | 70 | 363 | 870 | 984 | 2,499 | 2,305 | 3,253 | 1,873 | 1,378 | 1,454 | 1,070 | 3,437 | 230 |
| 25-29 years-------------1.--- | 41,084 | 141 | 222 | 391 | 1,043 | 1,874 | 2,267 | 2,821 | 4,501 | 5,087 | 3,235 | 3,882 | 4,093 | 10,591 | 93. |
| $30-39$ years---------------------- 40 | 18,824 5,467 | - |  | 1 | 82 | 101 | 224 100 | 292 21 | 685 | 484 | 780 | 1,310 | 813 | 10,298 | 3,724 |
| 40 years and over----------- | 5,467 |  | - |  |  |  | 100 | 21 | 1 |  | 41 | 111 | 41 | 931 | 4,221 |

## Table 2-12. Marriages by Marriage Order, Age, and Color of Bride and of Groom: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961

(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Totals for each characteristic include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated" for a

| marriage order aid ace of hRIDE AND OF GROOM | Total | White | Nonwhite | MARRIAGE ORILIR AND AGE OF BRIDE ATD OF GROOM | Total | Waite | Nonvidte |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aill marriages |  |  |  | FTRET MARRIAGES-Con. |  |  |  |
|  | 963,995 | 762,622 | 100,826 | Bride-Con. |  |  |  |
|  | 10,779 | 8,213 | 2,265 |  | 92,739 | 75,903 | 8,254 |
|  | 39,221 | 30,182 | 5,238 |  | 54,147 | 64,756 | 6,858 5,688 |
|  | 60,995 | 48,724 | 6,766 |  | - 34,007 | 26,364 | 5,688 4,442 |
|  | 124,803 | 92,630 | 9,210 |  | 23,170 | 16,498 | 3,711 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 15,882 | 11,928 | 2,104 |
|  | 98,517 | 80,690 | 8,525 |  | 12,578 | 9,075 | 2,123 |
|  | 87,624 | 71,120 | 7,404 |  | 9,608 | 6,998 | 1,690 |
|  | 61,023 | 49,747 | 6,153 | 28 years-0------------------------------------------- | 7,288 5,675 | 5,471 3,666 | 1,017 |
|  | 43,919 | 33,350 | 4,748 | 29 years------------------------------- | 5,675 | 3,666 | 1,249 |
|  | 30,757 | 22,659 | 4,417 |  | 17,988 | 13,513 | 3,324 |
|  | 23,649 | 17,686 | 2,972 |  | 10,412 | 6,181 | 2,391 |
|  | 20,031 | 14,859 | 2,712 |  | 6,489 | 4,263 | 1,166 |
|  | 16,564 | 12,596 | 2,528 |  | 3,519 5,057 | 2,935 | $\stackrel{484}{968}$ |
|  | 13,396 | 10,067 | 1,409 | 50 years and over--------------------- |  | 3,869 | 968 |
|  | 12,783 10,755 | 9,149 7,889 | 1,874 1,546 | Groom | 738,581 | 588,651 | 78,127 |
|  | 12,135 | 8,428 | 1,687 | Under 18 years------------------------ | 11,418 | 8,973 | 1,345 |
|  | 9,470 | 7,047 | 1,383 |  | 45,075 | 36,850 | 3,463 |
|  | 8,919 | 6,640 | 1,209 |  | 67,487 | 54,205 | 7,361 |
| 34 years--- | 8,820 | 6,862 | 1,218 |  | 78,986 <br> 10,057 | 65,156 | 6,589 |
|  | 41,309 <br> 29,353 | 30,245 21,866 | 5,904 3,706 |  | 91,499 | 75,276 | 17,481 |
|  | 22,379 | 17,503 |  |  | 69,954 | 56,420 | 6,451 |
|  | 16,920 | 13,057 | 2,143 | 24 years.-- | 50,925 | 40,373 | 5,562 |
| 55-59 yeard---------------------------1..- | 10,684 | 7,905 | 1,219 |  | 42,722 | 34,438 | 4,064 |
|  | 9,569 | 7,475 | 1,074 |  | 30,636 21,196 | 23,974 | 3,592 |
|  | 5,164 | 4,091 | 453 |  | ${ }_{19}^{21,196}$ | 16,865 | 2,490 |
|  | 3,036 | 2,233 | 303 |  | 19,017 | 14,940 | 2,317 |
| Oroom--- | 963,995 | 763,759 | 101,689 | 29 years---------------------------------- | 15,419 | 10,646 | 2,493 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 18,459 | 13,684 | 3,205 |
| Under lo years | 12,558 | 10,093 | 1,365 | $40-44$ years | 9,746 | 7,297 | 1,649 |
|  | 68,820 | 55,338 | 7,461 |  | 7,989 | 5,460 | 1,064 |
|  | 80,777 | 66,667 | 6,769 |  |  | 5,460 | 1,709 |
|  | 113,925 | 92,100 | 11,842 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 95,518 | 78,204 | 7,403 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 74,855 | 60,408 | 6,944 | Bride | 219,223 | 172,008 | 20,243 |
|  | 57,749 | 45,753 | 6,106 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 37,613 | 29,364 | 4,058 |  | 31,711 | 34,474 27,059 | 2,029 |
|  | 27,666 | 21,967 | 2,018 |  | 30,871 | 23,232 | 3,619 |
|  | 26,128 | 20,347 | 3,171 | 35-39 years-- | 30,517 | 23,884 | 3,353 |
|  | 21,703 | 16,057 | 2,926 | 40-44 years-------------------------1-1- | 22,624 | 17,423 | 2,480 |
| 30 year0-------------------------------- | 19,642 | 15,276 | 2,126 |  |  |  |  |
| 31 yeara--- | 15,982 | 11,921 | 2,080 |  | 18,560 | 14,408 | 1,681 |
|  | 15,376 | 11,682 | 1,594 |  | 14,413 | 11,014 | 1,679 |
|  | 12,832 | 9,619 | 1,852 | 55-59 years-- | 9,386 | 7,029 | 917 |
| 34 yeara-- | 11,538 | 8,235 | 1,783 | ${ }_{65}^{60-64 ~ y e a r s ~ a n d ~ o v e r---------------------------~}$ | 8,631 | 6,938 | 773 |
|  | 45,835 | 34,005 | 6,700 |  | 7,636 | 5,861 | 655 |
|  | 35,615 | 27,158 | 4,917 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25,507 | 19,416 | 2,839 | Groom- | 217,717 | 169,184 | 21,520 |
|  | 19,908 | 16,220 | 1,868 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15,292 | 12,251 | 1,661 |  | 18,516 | 15,187 | 1,009 |
| E0-64 yearg-------------------------- | 12,814 | 9,192 | 1,902 |  | 32,169 | 25,896 | 2,353 |
|  | 11,234 | 7,523 | 2,071 |  | 33,521 | 25,705 | 3,335 |
|  | 9,106 | 6,996 | 990. |  | 27,136 25,769 | 20,281 | 3,315 |
| FIEST MARRTAGES |  |  |  | 40-44 years------------------------------ | 25,769 | 19,801 | 3,228 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 19,568 | 15,321 | 1,715 |
| Br1de---------------------------- | 735,760 | 584,516 | 78,419 |  | 16,947 | 14,185 | 1,242 |
|  | 10,369 | 7,953 | 2,115 |  | 13,516 <br> 10,943 <br> 18 | 10,960 8,557 | 1,396 |
|  | 38,299 | 29,480 | 5,118 |  | 18,369 | 13,110 | 2,499 |
|  | 59,163 | 47,053 | 6,625 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 136,837 | 115,605 | 9,866 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 120,468 | 88,941 | 9,004 |  |  |  |  |

Table 2-13. Marriages by Marriage Order and Age of Bride and of Groom for the Marriage-Registration Area and Each Registration State; and by Color for the Marriage-Registration Area: 1961
 a specified characteristic, see Technical Appendix)


Table 2-13. Marriages by Marriage Order and Age of Bride and of Groom for the Marriage-Registration Area and Each Registration State; and by Color for the Marriage-Registration Area: 1961 -Con.
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Totals for each characteristic include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated" for


Table 2-13. Marriages by Marriage Order and Age of Bride and of Groom for the Marriage-Registration Area and Each Registration State; and by Color for the Marriage-Registration Area: 1961 -Con.
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Totals for each characteristic include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated" for a specified characterıstic, see Technical Appendix)

| MARRIAGE ORDER AND AGE of ERTIE AND OF GROOM | $\underset{\substack{\mathrm{New} \\ \text { Jersey }}}{\text { cer }}$ | New Yorts | Ohio | Oregon | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Penn- } \\ & \text { sylvania } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fhode } \\ & \text { Island } \end{aligned}$ | South Dakota | Tennessee | Utah | Vermont | Vircinia | Wisconsin | Wyoming |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bride-- | 40,700 | 56,800 | 66,000 | 10,780 | 69,600 | 5,640 | 6,250 | 31,660 | 7,390 | 3,168 | 38,220 | 24,240 | 3,246 |
|  | 11,440 | 22,000 | 22,200 | 5,160 | 19,500 | 1,910 | 2,760 | 13,680 | 3,560 | 1,379 | 14,340 | 9,740 | 1,237 |
|  | 16,460 | 22,000 | 24,300 | 3,140 | 29,800 | 2,200 | 2,180 | 9,920 | 2,700 | 1,089 | 12,660 | 11,240 |  |
|  | 4,380 | 4,400 | 6,100 | 820 | 7,100 | 500 | 440 | 2,520 | 330 | 216 | 3,880 | 1,580 | 284 |
|  | 2,480 | 1,800 | 2,400 | 360 | 3,400 | 270 | 250 | 1,500 | 180 | 108 | 2,260 | ¢ 80 | ${ }_{1 \in 4}$ |
| 35-39 years---------------------- | 1,740 | 1,700 1,400 | 3,700 2,400 | 320 220 | 2,800 | 130 | 140 | 1,300 | 100 | ${ }_{61}$ | 1,140 | $3 ¢ 0$ | 132 |
|  | 1,740 | 1,900 | 2, 200 | 440 | 3,200 | 180 | 250 | 860 | 150 | 120 | I, 200 | 700 | 133 |
|  | 780 | 1,100 | 1,600 | 140 | 1,500 | 130 | 70 | 840 | 120 | 70 | 700 | 300 | 76 |
| 65 years and over----------..--....--- | 280 | 500 | 1,000 | 120 | 300 | 80 | 40 | 160 | 50 | 34 | 120 | 160 | 84 |
| Groom | 40,700 | 56,800 | 66,000 | 10,780 | 69,600 | 5,640 | 6,250 | 31,660 | 7,390 | 3,168 | 38,220 | 24,240 | 3,244 |
|  | 3,180 | 6,400 | 7,400 | 1,620 | 7,200 | 520 | 820 | 5,340 | 1,510 | 499 | 4,840 | 2,540 | 400 |
|  | 17,920 8,240 | 28,700 | 29,400 | 1,440 | 13,300 | 1,140 | 1,090 | 4,960 | 1,170 | 1,521 | 6,360 | 4,760 | 503 |
| 30-34 years-- | 4,320 | 3,000 | 5 5,400 | 1,880 | 6,000 | ${ }_{450}$ | ,510 | 2,100 | 320 | 192 | 2,860 | 1,220 | 874 |
|  | 2,080 | 1,600 | 3,800 | 380 | 2,900 | 190 | 200 | 1,440 | 160 | 102 | 2,260 | 780 | 191 |
| 40 -44 years---------------------------- | 1,360 | 2,000 | 2,200 | 280 | 2,300 | 150 | 120 | 980 | 190 | 94 | 1,260 | 500 | 193 |
| 45-54 years-- | 1,720 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 360 | 3,100 | 280 | 330 | 1,300 | 230 | 126 | 1,580 | 5 Sc | 2if |
| 55-64 years-- 65 years and | 1,140 | 1,000 1,300 | 1,700 2,100 | 220 220 | 2,900 1,000 | 80 150 | 80 70 | 520 640 | 110 | ${ }_{64}^{89}$ | 1,560 | 3880 | $\underset{61}{ }$ |
| FIRST MARRIages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fride------ | 33,220 | 48,800 | 48,600 | 8,460 | 57,800 | 4,760 | 5,020 | 24,040 | 6,200 | 2,666 | 30,700 | 21,720 | 2,023 |
|  | 11,260 | 21,800 | 21,300 | 5,120 | 19,500 | 1,910 | 2,710 | 13,340 | 3,490 | 1,367 | 14,140 | 8,720 | 1,181 |
|  | 15,880 | 20,900 | 21,100 | 2,740 | 28,200 | 2,080 | 1,920 | 8,520 | 2,440 | 1,026 | 11,960 | 10,920 | E6¢ |
| 25-29 years | 3,260 | 3,600 | 3,300 | 320 | 5,300 | 350 | 180 | 1,080 | 170 | 152 | 2,480 | 1,220 | 120 |
|  | 1,240 | 1,100 | 400 | 80 | 2,000 | 150 | 90 | 400 | 50 | 52 | 920 | 500 | 13 |
| 35-39 years | 660 | 400 | 1,400 | 80 | 1,000 | 80 | 50 | 360 | 30 | 29 | 500 | 180 | g |
| 40-44 years------------------------1-1 | 460 | 600 | 800 | 20 | -500 | 80 110 | 30 40 | 140 200 | 10 10 | 13 24 | 300 420 | 100 80 | 20 |
|  | 460 33,140 | 400 48,800 | 300 48,700 | 80 8,200 | 1,200 56,900 | 110 4,770 | 5, ${ }^{40}$ | 200 24,300 | 10 6,210 | 24 2,677 | 420 30,680 | $\begin{array}{r}80 \\ 22,380 \\ \hline 2\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}20 \\ 2,123 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Under 20 years- | 3,180 | 6,400 | 7,300 | 1,620 | 7,100 | 520 | 820 | 5,260 | 1,500 | 499 | 4,840 | 2,520 | 416 |
|  | 17,540 | 28,300 | 27,400 | 4,920 | 29,900 | 2,590 | 2,880 | 13,400 | 3,400 | 1,460 | 16,840 | 13,080 | 1,149 |
| $25-29$ years | 7,260 | 9,300 | B,700 | 1,040 | 17,000 | 1,070 | 930 | 3,700 | 970 | 463 | 5,220 | 4,620 | 341 |
|  | 2,980 | 1,400 | 2,700 | 380 | 4,800 | 300 | 350 | 1,040 | 170 | 133 | 1,740 | 1,030 | 107 |
| $35-39$ years | 1,100 | 1,200 | 1,400 | 100 | 1,700 | 100 | 110 | 380 | 50 | 42 | 1,120 | 800 | 47 |
| 40-44 years----.--------------------- | 400 | 1900 | 400 | 80 | 900 1,500 | 80 110 | 50 | 260 | 50 70 | 27 50 | 520 400 | 180 300 | 21 42 |
| REMARRIAGES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bride-- | 7,200 | 8,000 | 16,800 | 2,320 | 11,800 | 870 | 1,230 | 7,420 | 1,180 | 501 | 7,500 | 2,460 | 1,218 |
|  | 620 | 1,300 | 3,500 | 440 | 1,600 | 120 | 310 | 1,600 | 330 | 73 | 1,080 | 280 | 321 |
| 25-29 years---------------------------- | 1,100 | 800 | 2,800 | 500 | 1,800 | 150 | 260 | 1,400 | 160 | 54 | 1,400 | 360 | 174 |
|  | 1,200 | 700 | 2,000 | 280 | 1,400 | 120 | 160 |  | 130 | 56 | 1,340 | 160 | 174 |
|  | 1,040 | 1,300 | 2,300 | 240 | 1,800 | 150 | 70 | 940 | 170 | 60 | 1,140 | 320 | 151 |
|  | 980 | 800 | 1,600 | 200 | 1,400 | 50 | 110 | 720 | 90 | 48 | 840 | 260 | 123 |
|  | 1,340 | 1,700 | 2,200 | 380 | 2,300 | 100 | 210 | 700 | 150 | 104 | 960 | 640 | 177 |
|  | 660 | 1,000 | 1,400 | 120 | 1,200 | 110 | 70 | 800 | 100 | 63 | 620 | 280 | 73 |
| 65 years and over------------------- | 260 | 400 | 1,000 | 120 | 300 | 70 | 40 | 160 | 50 | 33 | 120 | 260 | 25 |
| Groom------------------------ | 7,300 | 8,000 | 17,100 | 2,580 | 12,300 | 850 | 970 | 7,220 | 1,170 | 491 | 7,500 | 1,840 | 1,120 |
| Under 25 years.-.-.--------------------- | 260 | 400 | 1,500 | 360 | 800 | 70 | 150 | 560 | 200 | 27 | 580 | 20 | 135 |
|  | 940 | 800 | 2,600 | 400 | 2,200 | 70 | 160 | 1,260 | 200 | 58 | 1,120 | 140 | 181 |
| 30-34 years---------------------------- | 1,300 | 1,600 | 2,700 | 500 | 1,200 | 150 | 160 | 1,040 | 150 | 59 | 1,120 | 140 | 167 |
|  | 960 | 400 | 2,400 | 280 | 1,200 | 90 | 90 | 1,040 | 110 | 60 | 1,140 | 180 | 144 |
|  | 960 | 1,100 | 1,800 | 260 | 1,400 | 70 | 70 | 720 | 140 | 57 | 740 | 320 | 162 |
|  | 1,260 | 1,900 | 2,100 | 340 | 2,200 | 190 | 210 | 1,120 | 180 | 91 | 1,300 | 300 | 186 |
|  | 900 | 700 1,100 | 1,500 2,100 | 180 | 2,400 | 70 140 | 70 |  |  | 77 61 |  | 400 340 | 97 53 |
| 65 years and over------------------- | 720 | 1,100 | 2,100 | 200 | 900 | 140 | 60 | 620 | 90 | 61 | 560 | 340 | 53 |

# Table 2-14. Marriages by Age and Previous Marital Status of Bride, by Age of Groom: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961 

(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Totals for each characteristic include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated" for

| ate amid previous martial status of bride | Total | AGE OF GROOM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under 20 years | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $25-29$ years | $30-34$ | 35-39 years | $\begin{aligned} & 40-44 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $45-54$ years | $55-64$ years | 65 years and over |
|  | 735,760 | 122,326 | 394,220 | 129,676 | 42,329 | 18,018 | 10,009 | 10,260 | 5,772 | 2,492 |
|  | 355,136 | 109,805 | 210,150 | 28,237 | 4,499 | 1,353 | 805 | 115 | 40 | 100 |
|  | 285,139 | 12,156 | 174,001 | 73,385 | 17,554 | 5,185 | 1,776 | 749 | 280 | 1 |
|  | 51,031 | 363 | 8,777 | 23,330 | 11,388 | 4,102 | 1,926 | 961 | 144 | 40 |
|  | 17,988 | - | 778 | 3,693 | 6,433 | 3,499 | 1,040 | 1,343 | 381 | 21 |
|  | 10,412 |  | 62 | 837 | 2,046 | 2,366 | 2,221 | 2,246 | 413 | 221 |
|  | $\stackrel{6,489}{ }$ | - | 131 | 54 | 374 | 1,120 | 1,014 | 2,489 | 1,156 | 151 |
|  | 5,866 | - | - | 140 | 43 | 293 | 406 | 2,087 | 2,260 | 637 |
| 55 yeara and over---------n------------------------- | 2,710 | - | - |  | 1 |  | 20 | 270 | 1,098 | 1,321 |
| WIDOWED---- | 47,144 | 50 | 1,328 | 2,583 | 3,011 | 3,164 | 5,478 | 9,396 | 11,221 | 10,698 |
|  | 7,017 | 50 | 1,228 | 1,887 | 1,659 | 982 | 836 | 305 | 50 | 20 |
|  | 9,763 |  |  | 535 | 1,214 | 1,581 | 2,816 | 2,607 | 768 | 181 |
|  | 13,792 | - | - | 141 | 135 | 440 | 1,550 | 5,520 | 4,675 | 1,331 |
|  | 11,101 | - | 40 | 20 | 3 | 160 | 276 | 923 | 4,932 | 4,747 |
|  | 5,256 | - |  |  |  | - | - | 41 | 796 | 4,419 |
| DIVORCED- | 137,900 | 2,157 | 19,209 | 24,398 | 24,377 | 19,511 | 15,867 | 20,445 | 7,389 | 3,948 |
|  | 31,706 | 1,973 | 13,445 | 9,802 | 3,786 | 1,635 | 553 | 472 | 40 |  |
|  | 27,988 | 104 | 4,281 | 9,000 | 7,825 | 4,003 | 1,943 | 770 | 42 | 20 |
|  | 24,207 | 60 | 957 | 3,512 | 7,218 | 5,993 | 3,616 | 2,607 | 182 | 62 |
|  | 20,724 | 20 | 434 | 1,574 | 3,702 | 5,162 | 4,683 | 4,261 | 706 | 182 |
|  | 13,562 | - | 60 | 437 | 1,406 | 2,154 | 3,469 | 4,822 | 1,043 | 171 |
|  | 14,274 | - | 32 | 72 | 407 | 562 | 1,552 | 6,740 | 3,845 | 1,064 |
|  | 4,813 | - | - | - | 11 | - | 51 | 772 | 1,510 | 2,449 |

# Table 2-15. Marriages by Age and Previous Marital Status of Groom, by Age of Bride: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961 

(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Totals for each characterıstic include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability and for number of "not stated" for

| Age ard previous laritai starus of groom | Total | AGE of bride |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under 20 years | $20-24$ years | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-34 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40-44 } \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45-54 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 55-64 years | 65 years and over |
|  | 738,501 | 344,419 | 280,655 | 57,141 | 21,971 | 15,230 | 8,223 | 6,631 | 2,575 | 531 |
|  | 123,980 | 170,407 | 13,024 | 467 | 50 | 20 | - | - | - | - |
|  | 401,421 | 206,332 | 179,913 | 12,322 | 1,865 | 456 | 181 | 10 | 20 | - |
|  | 128,990 | 23,533 | 69,837 | 26,939 | 5,892 | 1,935 | 501 | 333 | 20 | - |
|  | 41,428 | 2,951 | 13,465 | 12,097 | 7,236 | 3,772 | 1,564 | 297 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 18,459 9,746 | 629 496 |  | 3,993 | 4,235 1,315 | 4,234 $\mathbf{2 , 5 9 3}$ | 1,439 | $\begin{array}{r}688 \\ 1,036 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 20 172 | - |
|  | 8,540 | 30 | 381 | 294 | 1,127 | 1,817 | 1,936 | 2,513 | 421 | 21 |
|  | 5,208 |  | 20 | 32 | 251 | 353 | 369 | 1,754 | 1,920 | so9 |
| WIDOHED- | 41,277 | 647 | 1,605 | 1,631 | 2,430 | 3,293 | 4,036 | 12,277 | 9,891 | 5,105 |
|  | 2,908 | 363 | 1,013 | 420 | 635 | 433 | 23 | - | 20 | - |
|  | 5,068 | 223 21. | 481 91 | 804 246 | 1,136 | 1,219 | 780 1,828 | $\begin{array}{r}414 \\ 4.388 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 707 | 40 |
|  | 11,841 | 40 | 20 | 121 | 21 | 312 | 1,133 | 5,774 | 3,774 | 625 |
|  | 12,195 |  |  | 40 | 62 | 301 | ${ }_{272}$ | 1,701 | 5,379 | 4,440 |
| nrvorcen------------------------------------------ | 141,230 | 14,429 | 30,155 | 23,448 | 21,197 | 17,475 | 12,793 | 15,559 | 4,707 | 1,163 |
|  | 14,109 |  | 6,058 | 1,029 | 252 | 80 | 30 | 22 | - | - |
|  | 26,735 | 5,364 | 12,047 | 6,503 | 1,899 | \% 780 | 122 | 20 309 | 12 | - |
|  | 26,812 | 1,521 | 6,757 3,206 | 7,862 | 6,841 5,555 | 2,712 4,421 | $\begin{array}{r}798 \\ 2,155 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 309 685 | 12 140 | - |
|  | 18,366 | 228 | 1,199 | 2,615 | 4,007 | 4,479 | 3,473 | 2,181 | 164 | 20 |
|  | 21,945 | 104 | 707 | 1,032 | 2,411 | 4,426 | 4,740 | 7,707 | 677 | 140 |
|  | 11,979 | - | 181 | 83 | 232 | 577 | 1,474 | 4,635 | 3,694 | 1,003 |

Table 2-16. Marriages by Age of Previously Widowed and Divorced Brides, by Age of Previously Widowed and Divorced Grooms: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Totals for each characteristic include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated" for

| AGE OF PREVIOUSLY HIDDFED ANDDIVORCED BRIDES | AGE OF PREvIOUSLY YIDOWED GROOM |  |  |  |  | Age of previously divorced oroom |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Under 45 years | 45-54 years | $55-64$ years | 65 years and over | Total | Under 25 years | $25-34$ years | 35-44 years | $\begin{aligned} & 45-54 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 55 years and over |
| WIDOWED--------------------- | 20,670 | 1,473 | 3,367 | 7,157 | 8,523 | 15,058 | 165 | 1,816 | 4,215 | 4,312 | 4,526 |
| Under 45 years--------------------- | 2,413 | 1,145 | 713 | 474 | 81 | 6,835 | 165 | 1,772 | 2,505 | 1,579 | 413 |
|  | 6,455 | 297 | 2,318 | 3,040 | 800 | 5,112 | - | 42 | 1,046 | 2,395 | 1,690 |
| 55-64 years------------------------- | 7,321 | 31 | 336 | 3,139 | 3,015 | 2,448 | - | 2 | $2 \epsilon 3$ | 318 | 1,86 |
| DIVORCED------------------------ | 12,119 | 3,011 | 3,746 | 2,831 | 2,371 | 74,066 | 3,731 | 26,036 | 25,305 | 13,963 | 4,755 |
| Under 25 years----------------------- | 224 | 194 | 30 |  |  | 12,029 | 2,769 | 7,366 | 1,512 | 342 | 45 |
|  | 1,935 | 1,524 | 347 | 42 | 22 | 29,693 | 860 | 14,831 | 11,279 | 2,518 | 312 |
| 35-44 years----------------------------- | 3,456 | 1,197 | 1,488 | 519 | 252 | 22,049 | 80 | 3,564 | 10,926 | E,492 | 937 |
| 45-54 years--------------------------- | 4,068 | 95 | 1,640 | 1,715 | 618 | 7,902 | 22 | 265 | 1,546 | 4,153 | 1,916 |
| 55 years and over------------------- | 2,254 | - | 241 | 534 | 1,479 | 2,130 |  | 10 | 41 | 463 | 1,590 |

Table 2-17. Marriages by Previous Marital Status of White and Nonwhite Brides and Grooms: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Totals for each characteristic include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated,"

| previous marimal siatus arid color or bride | phevious maritai status of groom |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | rotar | Strgle | Whdowed | Divorced |
|  | ALIT GROOM |  |  |  |
|  | 963,995 | 730,581 | 41,277 | 141, 200 |
| Single <br> Widowed <br>  | 735,760 47,144 137,960 | 665,080 11,245 50,941 | 8,104 20,670 12,119 | 80,501 15,059 74,065 |
|  | WHETE GROOM |  |  |  |
|  | 759,268 | 585,499 | 33,753 | 119,063 |
| Single <br> Widowed $\qquad$ | 592,212 39,120 | $\begin{array}{r}528,505 \\ 8,9071 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6,527 17,499 | 41,566 12,589 |
|  | NOTHHITIE GROOM |  |  |  |
|  | 98,563 | 75,833 | 5,784 | 12,963 |
|  | 77,136 | 68,840 | 1,552 | 5,823 |
|  | 5,290 12,351 | 1,482 4,908 | 1,419 1,772 | 1,349 |

Table 2-18. Marriages by Age, Previous Marital Status, and Color of Bride and of Groom: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Totals for each characteristic include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated" for

| AOE OF GRIDE ARD OF Groom | gotal |  |  |  | WHITE |  |  |  | NOMWHLTE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { All }}{\text { marriages }}$ | Previous marital status |  |  | $\underset{\text { marrlages }}{\text { All }}$ | Previous marital status |  |  | Alll | Previous marital status |  |  |
|  |  | Slingle | WIdowed | Divorced |  | Single | Widowed | Divorced |  | Single | W1dowed | Divoreed |
| HRTDE-- | 963,995 | 735,760 | 47,144 | 137,900 | 762,622 | 584,516 | 39,372 | 127,480 | 100;826 | 78,419 | 5,520 | 12,900 |
| Under 25 yeara-----..........--.- | 688,189 | 640,275 | 1,854 | 31,706 | 555,393 | 516,272 | 1,463 | 29,703 | 64,794 | 61,681 | 266 | 1,203 |
|  | 86,422 | 51,031 | 2,201 | 27,988 | 64,357 | 37,038 | 2,047 | 23,673 | 11,495 | 8,183 | 134 |  |
|  | 49,099 | 17,988 | 2,962 | 24,207 20,724 | 36,865 30,245 | 13,513 6,181 | 2,556 $\mathbf{3 , 3 7 6}$ | 19,374 17,737 | 7,043 | 3,324 2,391 1 | 386 846 | 2,833 2,307 |
|  | 41,359 | 10,412 6,489 | 5,342 | 13,562 | 21,966 | 4,263 | 4,515 | 10,987 | 3,706 | 1,166 | 665 | 1,615 |
|  | 22,379 | 3,519 | 6,524 | 9,151 | 17,503 | 2,935 | 5,384 | 7,460 | 2,305 | 484 | 609 | 1,051 |
|  | 16,920 | 2,347 | 7,268 | 5,123 | 13,057 | 2,023 | 5,934 | 4,178 | 2,143 | 324 | 914 | 645 |
|  | 10,684 | 1,258 | 5,650 | 2,056 | 7,905 | 876 | 4,796 | 1,473 | 1,219 | 262 | 614 | 283 |
| come4 yenro---------------------- | 9,569 | 908 | 5,451 | 1,759 | 7,475 | 507 | 4,442 | 1,596 | 1,074 | 301 | 589 | 163 |
| 65 years and over--...---.--..----- | 8,200 | 544 | 5,256 | 998 | 6,324 | 463 | 4,641 | 838 | 756 | 81 | 495 | 160 |
|  | 963,995 | 738,581 | 41,277 | 141,230 | 763,759 | 588,651 | 33,843 | 120,112 | 101,699 | 78,127 | 5,834 | 13,605 |
|  | 549,809 | 525,401 | 547 | 14,109 | 445,955 | 426,076 | 507 | 12,940 | 51,353 | 49,424 | 40 | 749 |
|  | 162,003 | 128,990 | 842 | 26,735 | 127,241 | 100,763 | 720 | 23,404 | 17,550 | 14,956 | 122 | 2,211 |
| 30-34 yeara------------------------- | 75,370 | 41,428 | 1,519 | 26,812 | 56,733 | 30,808 | 1,269 | 22,366 | 9,435 | 5,899 | 250 | 2,665 |
|  | 45,835 | 18,459 | 1,675 | 21,061 | 34,005 | 13,684 | 1,450 | 17,251 | 6,700 | 3,205 | 225 | 2,770 |
|  | 35,615 | 9,746 | 3,393 | 18,366 | 27,158 | 7,297 | 2,398 | 15,613 | 4,917 | 1,649 | 895 | 1,913 |
|  | 25,507 | 5,759 | 3,156 | 13,417 | 19,416 | 3,975 | 2,531 | 11,399 | 2,839 | 1,064 | 525 | 990 |
|  | 19,908 | 2,791 | 5,769 | 8,534 | 16,220 | 1,875 | 4,784 | 7,558 | 1,868 | 606 | 565 | 576 |
| 55-59 yeard---------------------- | 15,292 | 1,686 | 5,802 | 5,592 | 12,251 | 1,261 | 4,979 | 4,759 | 1,661 | 205 | 723 | 573 |
| E0-64 yeara---------------------- | 12,914 | 1,751 | 6,039 | 3,104 | 9,192 | 935 | 4,966 | 2,311 | 1,902 | 516 | 753 | 393 |
| 65 yeara and over--------------- | 20,340 | 1,771 | 22,195 | 3,183 | 14,519 | 1,389 | 9,999 | 2,280 | 3,061 | 382 | 1,636 | 803 |

## Table 2－19．Marriages by Previous Marital Status and Color of Bride and of Groom：Marriage－Registration Area and Each Registration State， 1961

（By place of occurrence．Based on sample data．Totals for each characteristic include＂not stated．＂Fnr sample design，sampling varlability，and for number of＂not stated＂for

| AREA AND COLDR | All marriages | previous marital status of mride |  |  | All marriages | Previous marital status of gioom |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Single | H1dowed | Divorced |  | Single | Widowed | Divorced |
| MARRIAGE－FEGISTRATION AREA－－－－ | 963，995 | 735，760 | 47，144 | 137，900 | 963，995 | 738，581 | 41，277 | 141，23， |
| White $\qquad$ Nonwhite－－ | $\begin{aligned} & 762,622 \\ & 100,826 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 584,516 \\ 78,419 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39,372 \\ 5,520 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 117,480 \\ 12,900 \end{array}$ | 763,759 101,689 | $\begin{array}{r} 588,651 \\ 78,127 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35,843 \\ 5,834 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120,112 \\ 13,605 \end{array}$ |
|  | 32,720 24,680 | 25,280 18,780 | 1,860 1,260 | 5,540 4,600 | 32,720 24,680 | 25,240 19,020 | 1，580 | 5，88； 4，687 |
|  | 7，980 | 6，460 | 600 | 920 | 8，040 | 6，220 | 620 | 1，200 |
|  | 2，091 1,638 | $\begin{array}{r}1,292 \\ \hline 959\end{array}$ | 101 | 6693 | 2，091 1,765 | $\xrightarrow{1,341}$ | 67 53 58 | 680 620 50 |
|  | 451 | 331 | 29 | 88 | 324 | 251 | 14 | 58 |
| Califoraia²－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 109,600 74,100 | 77,900 53,400 | 6,500 4,100 | 24,200 16,000 | 109,600 73,600 | 78,600 52,700 | 5,100 3,400 | \％4，500 |
| Nonwhite－－ | 6，000 | 4，200 | 400 | 1，400 | 6，500 | 5，200 | 200 | 1，050， |
| Connecticut－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 17,780 16,560 | 13,500 12,480 | 1,060 1,020 | 3,200 3,040 | 17,780 16,480 | 13,700 12,660 | 920 860 | 2， 3,96 |
| Noowhite－－－ | 1，220 | 1，020 | － 40 | ${ }^{160}$ | 1，280 | 1，020 | 60 | 2＇， |
|  | 2，560 | 2，049 | 153 128 | 352 | 2，560 2，222 | 2，080 1,804 | 126 | ${ }_{313}^{35}$ |
| White－－．－－－ | 2，220 | $\begin{array}{r}1,779 \\ \hline 265\end{array}$ | 128 25 | 311 | 2，222 | 1，804 | 103 | 313 31 |
| District of Columbia．－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 9，080 5，260 | 7,500 4,260 | 240 160 | 1,280 820 | 9，08G 5，160 | 7,320 4,120 | ${ }_{240}^{40}$ | 1，28\％ |
| Nonwhite－－ | 3，820 | 3，240 | 80 | 460 | 3，920 | 3，200 | 220 | 45. |
|  | 40，940 | 25，300 | 3，880 | 11，460 | 40，940 | 25，920 | 3，440 | 11，34．6 |
| White－－．－－－ | 34,240 6,680 | 20,220 5,060 | 3,300 580 | 10,500 960 | 34,240 6,660 | 20,780 5,100 | 2，900 | 10,34, $1,0,2$, |
|  | 52，100 | 36，900 | 3，000 | 11，000 | 52，100 | 37，700 | 2，600 | 10，900 |
|  | 40，300 | 27，000 | 2，300 | 10，000 | 40，900 | 28，800 | 1，800 | 9，60， |
| Nonwhite－－ | 10，700 | 9，000 | 700 | ${ }^{800}$ | 11，000 | 8，700 | 800 | 1，びった |
| Hawei1－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－1 | 5，290 | 3，940 | 200 | 1，150 | 5，290 | 4，230 | 110 | 950 |
| White－－－－－ | 1，610 | 1，110 | 80 | 420 | 2，020 | 1，480 | 20 | Sta |
| Nonwhite－－ | 3，680 | 2，830 | 120 | 730 | 3，270 | 2，750 | 90 | 430 |
|  | 17，060 | 7，860 | 860 | 2，260 | 11，060 | 7，880 | 620 | 2，50 |
| White－－－－－ Nouwhite－－ | 10,880 100 | $\begin{array}{r}7,780 \\ \hline 20\end{array}$ | 820 40 | 2,220 40 | 10，900 | 7,820 60 | 600 20 | 2，421 |
| Indiana－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 42，300 | 29，300 | 2，200 | 10，100 | 42，300 | 30，700 | 1，900 | 9，${ }^{0}$ |
| White－－．－－ | 38，200 | 26，600 | 2，100 | 8，900 | 38，500 | 28，400 | 1，560 | 8，2w |
| Nonwhite－－ | 3，600 | 2，200 | 100 | 1，200 | 3，600 | 2，100 | 400 | 1，10， |
|  | 21， 960 27,600 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,180 1,180 |  |
| Whate－－－－－ Nonvhite－－ | 27,600 260 | 17,600 180 | 1,240 20 | 2,700 60 | 21，660 $\mathbf{2 8 0}$ | 27,260 240 | 1，180 | 3， 200 |
| Kansas－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 16，140 | 12，740 | 900 | 2，240 | 16，140 | 12，620 | 900 | 2，4，${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | 15，060 | 11，960 | 880 | 2，000 | 15，120 | 12，880 | 840 | 2，22； |
| Nonwhzte－－ | 980 | 720 | 20 | 240 | 940 | 700 | 60 | 180 |
| Kentucky ${ }^{2}--$－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 22，240 | 16，780 | 1，240 | 4，040 | 22，240 | 16，780 | 1，120 |  |
|  | 20，620 | 15，600 | 1，120 | 3，740 | 20，600 | 15，640 | 1，020 | 3，84！： |
| Monwhite－－ | 1，600 | 1，180－ | 120 | 280 | 1，600 | 1，120 | 100 | $36:$ |
| Louisiana－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 24，060 | 16，360 | 1，240 | 2，920 | 24，060 | 16，000 | 900 | 3，${ }^{\text {che }}$ |
| White－－－－－ | 16,600 7,460 | 17,220 5,140 | 880 360 | 2，180 | 16，560 7,500 | 12,100 4,900 | 580 320 | 2，000 |
| Maine－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－1－－ | 7，880 | 6，280 | 250 | 1，310 | 7，880 | 6，310 | 280 | 1，202 |
| White－－－－－ Nonwhite－－ | 7,850 10 | 6,250 10 | 250 | 1，310 | 7，870 | 6，300 | 280 | 1，290 |
| Maryland－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 39，980 | 30，820 | 2，440 | 6，640 | 39，980 | 30，720 | 1，840 | 7，38， |
| White－－－－－ | 32，860 | 25，080 | 2，020 | 5，680 | 32，900 | 25，120 | 1，400 | G，${ }_{\text {aje }}$ |
| Noawhite－－ | 7，080 | 5，720 | ${ }_{420}$ | ${ }_{940}$ | 7，080 | 5，600 | ${ }_{440}$ | 1，040 |
| Massachusetts－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 33，660 | 29，200 | 1，240 | 3，220 | 33，660 | 29，420 |  | 2，600 |
| White－－－－－ Nonwhite－－ | 32,640 1,020 | 28,320 880 | 1,220 20 | 3，100 | 32,620 1,040 | 28,380 1,040 | 1，420 | 2,82 |
|  | 63，300 | 46，900 | －－－ | －－－ |  | 46，300 | －－－ | －－－ |
| White－－－－－ | 57,800 5,500 | 43,400 3,500 | －－－ | －－－ | 57，500 5,700 | 42,700 3,500 | －－－ | －－ |
| Mississippi－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 21，600 | 15，920 | 1，660 | 3，840 | 21，600 | 15，660 | 1，66u | 4，100 |
| White－－－－ NonWhite－－ | 14，440 | 10，660 | 1，020 | 2，760 | 14，460 | 10，580 | 860 | 3，000 |
|  | 7，140 | 5，260 | 620 | 1，080 | 7，140 | 5，080 | 800 | 1，16， |
|  | 5，630 | 4，010 | 250 | 1，280 | 5，630 | 4，090 | 200 |  |
|  | 5，450 | 3,860 150 | 280 10 | 1，260 | 5，470 | 3,970 120 | 193 | 1，${ }_{\text {2 }}^{3}$ |
| Nebraska－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 10，980 | 8，740 | 580 | 1，660 | 10，980 | 9，020 | 460 | 1，506， |
| White－－－－ Nonwhite－－ | 10，600 | 8，540 | 580 | 1，480 | 10，620 | 8，800 | 440 | 1，36， |
|  | 340 | 180 | － | 160 | 340 | 200 | 20 | 180 |
|  | 7，350 | 5，300 | 400 | 1，630 | 7，350 | 5，290 | 380 | 1，670 |
|  | 7,290 60 | 5，240 | ${ }^{400}$ | 1，630 | 7,280 70 | 5,220 70 | 380 | 1，670 |

${ }^{1}$ Color or race deleted from marriage certificate，effective September 15， 1961.
${ }^{2}$ Data are incomplete．

Table 2-19. Marriages by Previous Marital Status and Color of Bride and of Groom: Marriage-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961 -Con.
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Totals for each characteristic include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated" for a specified characteristic, see Technical Appendxx)

${ }^{\circ}$ Ohio did not require reporting of color.

Table 2-20. Marriages by Number of This Marriage of Bride and of Groom: Marriage-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Totals by number of this marriage include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated," see Technical Appendix)

| Aliea | All marriages | HUNEER OF TIIS MARRIAGE OF BRIDF |  |  | NMDPR OF IIIS MAFMIAGE OF GROOM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | One | Two | Three or more | One | Tro | Three or more |
|  | 963,995 | 735,760 | 161,911 | 32,179 | 738,591 | 159,107 | 33,266 |
|  | 32,720 | 25,280 | 6,160 | 1,180 | 25,240 | 6,060 | 1,400 |
|  | 2,091 | 1,292 | 544 | 252 | 1,341 | 523 | 224 |
|  | 109,600 | 77,900 | 24,500 | 6,900 | 78,600 | 24,200 | 6,500 |
|  | 17,780 | 13,500 | 4,020 | 260 | 13,700 | 3,680 | 350 |
|  | 2,550 | 2,049 | 459 | 48 | 2,080 | 433 | 44 |
|  | 9,080 | 7,500 | 1,460 | 120 | 7,320 | 1,480 | 250 |
|  | 40,940 | 25,300 | 11,040 | 3,640 | 25,920 | 10,920 | 3,240 |
|  | 52,100 | 36,900 | 12,500 | 1,800 | 37,700 | 11,800 | 2,000 |
|  | 5,290 | 3,940 | 1,040 | 300 | 4,230 | 910 | 150 |
|  | 11,050 | 7,860 | ,--1 | --- | 7,880 | --- | $\cdots$ |
|  | 42,300 | 29,300 | 9,400 | 1,900 | 30,700 | 7,800 | 2,000 |
|  | 21,950 | 17,880 | 3,460 | 620 | 17,500 | 3,780 | ESa |
|  | 16,140 | 12,740 | -- | --- | 12,620 | --- | - -1 |
|  | 22,240 | 16,780 | 1,600 | 280 | 16,780 | 1, 640 | 340 |
|  | 24,060 | 16,360 | 3,540 | 580 | 16,000 | 3,720 | 620 |
|  | 7,880 | 6,280 | 1,300 | 270 | 6,310 | 1,450 | 110 |
|  | 39,980 | 30,820 | $\cdots$ | --- | 30,720 | --- | - |
|  | 33,650 | 29,200 | 3,920 | 520 | 29,420 | 3,820 | 420 |
|  | 63,300 | 46,900 | 11,000 | 3,000 | 46,300 | 10,900 | 3,700 |
|  | 21,600 | 15,920 | 4,420 | 1,160 | 15,660 | 4,320 | 1,450 |
|  | 5,630 | 4,010 | 1,100 | 240 | 4,090 | 1,180 | 100 |
| Nebraska----- | 10,980 | 8,740 | 1,860 | 340 | 9,020 | 1,740 | 180 |
| New Hampshire- | 7,350 | 5,300 | 1,710 | 340 | 5,200 | 1,780 | 280 |
|  | 40,700 | 33,220 | 6,320 | 580 | 33,140 | 6,840 | 280 |
|  | 56,800 | 48,800 | 7,600 | 400 | 48,600 | 7,300 | 700 |
|  | 66,000 | 48,600 | 13,300 | 3,500 | 48,700 | 14,100 | 3,000 |
| Oregon---------- | 10,780 | 8,460 | 10-600 | --- | 8,200 | - --- | --00 |
| Pennsylvania-------- | 69,600 | 57,800 | 10,600 | 900 | 56,900 | 11,000 | 900 |
|  | 5,640 | 4,760 | 790 | 80 | 4,770 | 760 | 90 |
| South Dakota------ | 6,250 | 5,020 | 1,010 | 170 | 5,280 | 850 | 80 |
|  | 31,660 | 24,040 | 6,180 | 1,140 | 24,300 | 5,720 | 1,440 |
|  | 7,390 | 6,200 | 900 | 260 | 6,210 | 970 | 190 |
|  | 3,168 | 2,666 | 440 | 61 | 2,677 | 434 | 56 |
| $\qquad$ | 38,220 | 30,700 | 6,600 | 800 | 30,680 | 6,560 | 900 |
|  | 24,240 | 21,720 | 2,240 | 220 | 22,380 | 1,580 | 260 |
|  | 3,246 | 2,023 | 898 | 318 | 2,223 | 857 | $26 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Data are incomplete.

Table 2-2l. Marriages by Age of Bride and of Groom, by Marriage Order and Type of Ceremony Performed, Whether Civil or Religious: Marriage-Registration Area, 1961
(By phace of occurrence. Based on sample data. Totals for each characteristic include "not stated." For sample design, sampling varlability, and for number of "not stated" for

| AGE Of bride atid of groom | ail marriagrs |  |  | FTPST MARRTAGES |  |  | Fighariages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | type of ceremony performed |  | Total | Type of ceremony performed |  | Total | Type of ceremony performed |  |
|  |  | Civil | Religious |  | Civil | Religious |  | civil | Religious |
| BRIDE--------------------------------- | 963,995 | 190,889 | 674,306 | 735,760 | 109,544 | 555,408 | 219,223 | 80,704 | 114,408 |
|  | 366,349 321,340 | 62,378 46,293 | 267,399 242,346 | $\begin{array}{r}355,136 \\ 285 \\ \hline 139\end{array}$ | 59,825 | 261,923 | 6,805 34,219 | 2,372 13,412 | 3,490 16,670 |
|  | 86,422 | 20,464 | 57,209 | 51,031 | 7,578 | 38,712 | 34,717 | 12,846 | 18,058 |
|  | 49,099 | 15,037 | 30,216 | 17,988 | 3,334 | 13,562 | 30,871 | 11, 663 | 16,454 |
|  | 41,399 | 14,739 | 21,565 | 10,412 | 2,543 | 6,229 | 30,517 | 12,155 | 25,256 |
|  | 29,353 22,379 | 11,499 | 14,325 | 6,489 <br> 3 | 1,155 | 4,333 | 22,624 | $\begin{array}{r}10,324 \\ 5,505 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 9,812 |
|  | 22,379 16,920 | 6,927 5,825 | 13,021 9,463 | 3,519 | 1,322 | 1,977 1,640 | $\underset{14,413}{18,560}$ | 5,605 5,198 | 10,884 7,783 |
|  | 20,6e4 | 3,013 | 6,178 | 1,258 | 234 | ${ }_{844}$ | 9,386 | 2,779 | 5,294 |
| 60-64 yeara-- | 9,569 | 2,691 | 6,068 | 908 | 161 | 627 | 8,631 | 2,520 | 5,411 |
|  | $8{ }_{3} 200$ | 1,692 | 5,117 | 544 | ${ }_{82}$ | 422 | 7,636 | 1,600 | 4,675 |
|  | 963,995 | 190,889 | 674,306 | 738,592 | 116,038 | 551,472 | 217,117 | 74,412 | 138,659 |
|  | 126,985 | 23,438 | 90,580 | 123,980 | 22,827 | 89,346 | 1,095 | 531 | 434 |
|  | 422,824 | 59,935 | 320,236 | 401,421 | 54,359 | 308,150 | 17,431 | 5,356 | 9,914 |
|  | 162,003 | 21, 21.690 | 717,225 | 129,990 41,429 | 18,422 8,413 | 98,395 | 32,169 <br> 33,521 | 10,248 12,581 | 10,327 17,309 |
|  | 45,935 | 13,303 | 27,024 | 18,459 | 4,237 | 12,295 | 27,136 | 9,072 | 14,829 |
|  | 35,615 | 13,896 | 18,180 | 9,746 | 3,354 | 5,550 | 25,769 | 10,522 | 12,550 |
|  | 25,507 | 9,189 | 13,4.17 | 5,759 | 1,960 | 2,999 | 19,568 | 7,229 | 10,258 |
|  | 19,508 | 7,258 | 11,154 | 2,781 | 779 | 1,791 | 16,947 | 6,479 | 9,343 |
| 65 years and over-------------------------- | 20,340 | 5,290 | 11,951 | 1,772 | 493 | 1,258 | 18,369 | 4,805 | 10,633 |

Table 2-22. Marriages by Marriage Order of Bride and of Groom and Type of Ceremony Performed, Whether Civil or Religious: Each Marriage-Registration State, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Totals for each characteristic include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated" for a specified characteristic, see Technical Appendix)

| AREA | AILI MARRTAGES; |  |  | FIRST MARRIAGES |  |  | hexarriages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Type of ceremody performed |  | TotaI | Thpe of ceremony performed |  | Totel | Type of ceremony performed |  |
|  |  | Civil | Religious |  | Civil | Religious |  | Civil | Rol1elous |
| Alabama: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 32,720 | 12,420 | 20,280 | 25,280 | 8,620 | 16,660 | 7,440 | 3,800 | 3,60\% |
| Alaska: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,091 | 689 | 1,364 | 1,292 | 294 | 984 | 796 | 394 | 375 |
| Groom---------------------------------- | 2,091 | 689 | 1,364 | 1,341 | 321 | 997 | 748 | 389 | zefi |
| California: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 109,600 | 19,700 | 99,800 | 77,900 | 10,700 | 67,100 | 31,500 | 9,000 | 22,603 |
| Groom---------------------------------- | 109,600 | 19,700 | 89,800 | 78,600 | 11,600 | 67,000 | 30,900 | 8,100 | 22,700 |
| Connecticut: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17,780 17,780 | 4,600 4,600 | 13,140 13,140 | 13,500 13,700 | 2,120 | 11,340 | 4,280 | 2,409 | 1,000 |
| Delaware: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,560 | 269 | 2,260 | 2,049 | 163 | 1,870 | 508 | 106 |  |
| Groom-------------------------------- | 2,560 | 269 | 2,260 | 2,080 | 171 | 1,091 | 478 | 98 | 367 |
| District of Columbia: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9,080 | 1,080 | 7,980 | 7,500 | 880 | 6,600 | 1,560 | 200 |  |
|  | 9,080 | 1,080 | 7,980 | 7,320 | 780 | 6,520 | 1,760 | 300 | 1,460 |
| Florida: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bride----------n---------------------- | 40,940 | 12,840 | 27,820 | 25,300 | 6,000 | 19,100 | 15,420 | 6,760 | 8,580 |
|  | 40,940 | 12,840 | -27,820 | 25,920 | 6,440 | 19,240 | 14,860 | 6,380 | 8,440 |
| Georsra: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bride-------------------------------------- | 52,100 | 27,500 | 24,600 | 36,900 | 17,200 | 19,700 | 14,500 | 10,100 | 4,400 |
|  | 52,100 | 27,500 | 24,600 | 37,700 | 17,700 | 20,000 | 13,900 | 9,700 | 4,209 |
| Hewayi: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bride---------------------------------- | 5,290 | 1,370 | 3,800 | 3,940 | 720 | 3,140 | 1,350 | 650 | 660 |
| Groom--------------------------------- | 5,290 | 1,370 | 3,800 | 4,230 | 920 | 3,200 | 1,060 | 450 | 600 |
| Idaho: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 111,060 | 3,480 | 7,560 | 7,860 | 2,080 | 5,760 | 3,160 | 1,400 | 2,760 |
| Groam-------------------------------- | 11,060 | 3,480 | 7,560 | 7,880 | 2,260 | 5,600 | 3,180 | 1,220 | 1,960 |
| Indiena: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 42,300 | 8,700 | 32,900 | 29,300 | 4,300 | 24,500 | 12,400 | 4,400 | 7,200 |
| Groom---------------------------------- | 42,300 | 8,700 | 32,900 | 30,700 | 4,700 | 25,500 | 11,300 | 4,000 | 7,200 |
| Iowa: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bride----------------------------------- | 21,960 | 3,920 | 18,020 | 17,880 | 2,280 | 15,580 | 4,080 | 1,640 | 2,440 |
| Groam--------------------------------- | 21,960 | 3,920 | 18,020 | 17,500 | 2,280 | 15,200 | 4,460 | 1,640 | 2,82\% |
| Kaneas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16,140 | 2,850 | 23,240 | 12,740 | 1,600 | 111,120 | 3,240 | 1,200 | 2,020 |
| Groom---------------------------------- | 16,140 | 2,860 | 13,240 | 12,620 | 1,540 | 11,040 | 3,400 | 1,260 | 2,140 |
| Kentuck ${ }^{1}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Br1ae---------------------------------------------------- | 22,240 22,240 | 1,520 1,520 | 6,820 6,820 | 16,780 16,780 | 820 780 | $\mathbf{5 , 4 4 0}$ $\mathbf{5 , 5 2 0}$ | 5,280 5,340 | 680 740 | 1,300 |
| Louisiana: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bride-------------------------------n | 24,060 | 3,560 | 17,240 | 16,360 | 1,860 | 12,820 | 4,340 |  |  |
| Groom---------------------------1- | 24,060 | 3,560 | 17,240 | 16,000 | 1,960 | 12,520 | 4,660 | 1,540 | 2,460 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7,880 | 1,560 | 6,310 | 6,310 | 940 | 5,360 | 1,570 | ¢20 | 300 |
| Maryland ${ }^{1}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bride------------------------------------ | 39,980 | $\ldots$ | 30,740 | 30,820 | ... | 23,980 | 9,140 |  |  |
| Groom------------------------------- | 39,980 | ... | 30,740 | 30,720 | - | 23,620 | 9,240 | $\ldots$ | 7,100 |
| Massachusetts: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bride | 33,660 | 6,500 | 27,120 | 29,200 | 4,200 | 24,960 | 4,460 | 2,300 |  |
| Groam---------------------------------- | 33,660 | 6,500 | 27,120 | 29,420 | 4,720 | 24,660 | 4,240 | 1,780 | 2,460 |
| Michigan: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bride---------------------------------- | 63,300 | 15,400 | 45,500 | -46,900 | 8,800 | 37,900 | 14,000 | 6,600 |  |
| Groom--------------------------------- | 63,300 | 15,400 | 45,500 | 46,300 | 8,800 | 37,300 | 14,600 | 6,600 | 8,000 |
| Mississippi: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21,600 | 6,280 | 15,220 | 15,920 | 3,620 | 12,300 | 5,640 | 2,660 |  |
| Groom---------------------------------- | 21,600 | 6,280 | 15,220 | 15,660 | 3,780 | 11,840 | 5,860 | 2,480 | 3,340 |
| Montana: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5,630 | 1,630 | 3,960 | 4,010 | 850 | 3,120 | 1,620 | 780 |  |
|  | 5,630 | 1,630 | 3,960 | 4,090 | 850 | 3,200 | 1,540 | 780 | 760 |
| Nebracke: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bride-------------------------------------------------- | 10,980 | 2,320 | 8,520 | 8,740 | 1,260 | 7,360 | 2,240 | 1,060 |  |
| Groom-------------------------------1 | 10,980 | 2,320 | 8,520 | 9,020 | 1,460 | 7,440 | 1,900 | 1,860 | 1,0¢0 |

${ }^{1}$ Civil ceremonies are not provided for in the laus of Maryland.

Table 2-22. Marriages by Marriage Order of Bride and of Groom and Type of Ceremony Performed, Whether Civil or Religious: Each Marriage-Registration State, 1961-Con.
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Totals for each characteristic include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated" for a specified characteristic, see Technical Appendux)

| AREA | amu marriagis |  |  | FITST MARRIAGs |  |  | remarriages |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Type of ceremony performed |  | Total | Type of ceremony performed |  | rotal | Type of cerenony performed |  |
|  |  | Civi | Religious |  | Civil | Religious |  | Civil | Religious |
| New Hampohire: $\qquad$ <br> Groom | 7,350 7,350 | 3,350 <br> 3,350 | 4,000 4,000 | 5,300 | 2,090 2,150 | 3,210 3,140 | 2,050 2,060 | 1,280 1,200 | ${ }_{860}^{790}$ |
| Hew Jerpey: $\qquad$ <br> Groom | 40,700 40,700 | 7,580 | 32,120 32,120 | 33,220 33,140 | 4,580 4,700 | 27,800 27,700 | 7,200 7,300 | 2,960 2,880 | ${ }_{4}^{4,2000}$ |
| Hew York (exel. H.Y.C.): $\qquad$ <br> Grocm |  | 7,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 49,800 \\ & 49,800 \end{aligned}$ | 48,800 48,000 | 3,800 4,400 | 45,000 44,400 | 8,000 <br> 8,000 | 3,200 2,600 | $\xrightarrow{4,800}$ |
|  | 66,000 66,000 | --- | ---- | 48,600 48,700 | --- | --- | 16,800 17,100 | - | --- |
|  | 10,780 10,780 | 1,760 | 8,980 8,980 | 8,460 8,200 | 1,060 | 7,380 | 2,320 2,580 | 7700 | 1,600 1,880 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pennyyvan1a: } \\ \text { Brydan } \\ \text { oroan---------------------------------------------- } \end{gathered}$ | 69,600 69,000 | n1, 11,800 | 57,300 57,300 | 57,800 56,900 | 5,500 6,500 | 51,800 49,900 | 11,800 12,300 | 6,300 <br> 5,300 | 5,520 7,000 |
| Fhode Yilana: <br> Bridon <br> Orocm | 5,640 5,640 | 730 730 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,900 \\ & 4,900 \end{aligned}$ | 4,760 4,770 | 360 440 | $\underset{4}{4,370} 4$ | ${ }_{850}^{970}$ | 350 290 | ${ }_{560}^{520}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 6,250 \\ & 6,250 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 1,583 \\ & 1,580 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,660 \\ & 4,660 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{5}{5,020} 5$ | 1,170 1,160 | 4,040 4,710 | 1,230 | 610 420 | 630 550 |
|  | 31,660 31,660 |  | 22,940 22,940 | 24,040 <br> 24,300 | 5,260 5,440 | 18,740 18,800 | 7,420 | 3,260 3,060 | 4,080 |
|  | 7,390 | 400 400 |  |  | 230 310 | 5,670 | 1,180 | ${ }_{90}^{110}$ | 990 950 |
|  | $\xrightarrow{3,169} 3$ | 504 504 | 2,653 <br> 2,653 | $\underset{\substack{2,666 \\ 2,677}}{2}$ | 325 337 | $\xrightarrow{2,333}$ | 501 491 | 179 157 | 319 324 |
|  |  |  | 31,280 <br> 31,280 | 30,700 30,680 | ${ }_{4}^{4,590}$ | ${ }_{25,560}^{26,040}$ | 7,500 7,500 | 2,240 1,820 | 5, 5 ,620 |
| Whrooncin: Brtde Oroum----------------------------------------- | $\begin{aligned} & 24,240 \\ & 24,240 \end{aligned}$ | 1,480 1,480 | 22,740 22,740 | 21,720 22,880 | 1,020 1,180 | 20,680 | 2,460 1,840 | 460 300 | 2,000 1,540 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 3,246 \\ 3,246 \end{gathered}$ | 1,107 1,107 | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 2,139 \\ & 2,139 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\xrightarrow{2,263} \mathbf{2 , 1 2 3}$ | 452 538 | ${ }^{1,571}$ | 1,218 1,120 | ¢568 | 563 552 |

Table 2-23. First Marriages and Remarriages, by Age of Bride and of Groom and Specified Type of Ceremony Performed: 6 Reporting Marriage-Registration States, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Totals by age and type of ceremony performed, whether civil or religious, include "not stated." For sample design, sampling var-

| AREA, AGE OF RRTDE, AND AGE OF GROOM | FIRST MARRLAGES |  |  |  |  |  |  | femaraiages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { first } \\ & \text { mar- } \\ & \text { riages } \end{aligned}$ | Type of ceremony performed |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { remar- } \\ & \text { riages } \end{aligned}$ | type of ceremony performed |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Civil | Feligious |  |  |  |  |  | Civil | Religious |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | Protes- tant | Roman Catholic | Jewish | Other and not stated |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Protes- } \\ \text { tant } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Roman } \\ \text { Catholie } \end{gathered}$ | Jewish | Other and not stated |
| total reforting registrationSTAATES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Br1de------------------------------- | 178,846 | 22,435 | 155,683 | 86,305 | 36,761 | 2,715 | 29,902 | 53,501 | 18,679 | 34,779 | 27,784 | 1,453 | 651 | 4,891 |
|  | 79,427 | 9,901 | 69,282 | 43,499 | 13,784 | 532 | 11,467 |  | 212 | 629 | 518 | 11 |  | 100 |
|  | 71,586 | 7,939 | 63,314 | 30,835 | 17,712 | 1,473 | 13,294 | 7,842 | 2,577 | 5,265 | 4,213 | 112 | 100 | 840 |
| 25-29 years--------------------1-1.0. | 15,162 | 1,833 | 13,219 | 7,423 | 2,806 | 210 500 | 2,780 | 9,574 | 3,360 | 6,214 22,671 | 5,372 | 123 | 100 | 600 |
|  | 12,568 | 2,762 | 9,765 | 4,547 | 2,458 | 500 | 2,260 | 35,244 | 12,530 | 22,671 | 17,682 | 1,207 | 451 | 3,331 |
| Groom---------------------------- | 178,907 | 25,017 | 153,279 | 84,643 | 36,399 | 2,515 | 29,722 | 53,011 | 16,097 | 36,754 | 29,447 | 1,685 | 751 | 4,971 |
| Under 20 years----1--------------------- | 27,879 | 3,967 | 23,790 | 14,963 | 4,213 | 200 | 4,414 |  |  | 3300 |  |  |  | 20 |
|  | 92,870 | 9,506 | 83,010 | 44,901 | 20,770 | 1,374 | 15,965 | 3,827 | 741 | 3,086 | 2,424 | 302 |  | 360 |
|  | 34,883 | 5,313 | 29,459 | 16,265 | 7,683 | 320 | 5,191 | 8,608 | 2,278 | 6,330 | 5,197 | 413 | - | 720 |
| 30-34 years----------------------------- | 12,223 | 2,544 | 9,677 | 4,417 | 2,169 | 521 | 2,570 | 8,289 | 2,647 | 5,622 | 4,649 | 53 | 100 | 829 |
| 35 years and over------------------- | 11,049 | 3,687 | 7,340 | 4,097 | 1,561 | 100 | 1,582 | 31,986 | 20,431 | 21,415 | 17,076 | 917 | 6 Gl | 2,771 |
| CALTFORNIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bride----------------------------- | 77,900 | 10,700 | 67,100 | 45,500 | 15,900 | 1,100 | 3,600 | 31,600 | 9,000 | 22,600 | 20,000 | 900 | 300 | 1,400 |
|  | 39,800 | 5,100 | 34,700 | 25,300 | 7,200 | 100 | 2,100 | 600 | 200 | 400 | 300 | - | 00 | 100 |
| 20-24 years------------------------- | 26,400 | 3,400 | 23,000 | 14,900 | 6,600 | 600 | 900 | 4,900 | 1,300 | 3,600 | 3,200 | 100 | 100 | 200 |
|  | 6,700 | 900 | 5,700 | 4,100 | 1,100 | 100 | 400 | 5,900 | 1,600 | 4,300 | 4,000 | 100 | 0 | 200 |
|  | 5,000 | 1,300 | 3,700 | 2,200 | 1,000 | 300 | 200 | 20,200 | 5,900 | 14,300 | 12,500 | 700 | 200 | 900 |
| Groom----------------------------- | 78,600 | 11,600 | 67,000 | 46,200 | 16,100 | 1,000 | 3,700 | 30,900 | 8,100 | 22,700 | 20,300 | 700 | 400 | 1,300 |
|  | 14,100 | 1,800 | 12,300 | 8,900 | 2,300 |  | 1,100 | 200 | - | 200 |  | - | - | 200 |
|  | 39,200 | 4,800 | 34,400 | 23,500 | 8,600 | 600 | 1,700 | 2,300 | 300 | 2,000 | 1,700 | 200 | - | 100 |
|  | 16,200 | 2,700 | 13,500 | 9,300 | 3,400 | 200 | 600 | 5,000 | 1,200 | 3,800 | 3,700 | 100 | - |  |
|  | 4,900 | 900 | 4,000 | 2,500 | 1,100 | 200 | 200 | 5,600 | 1,800 | 3,800 | 3,400 |  | 100 | 300 |
| 35 years and over------------------- | 4,200 | 1,400 | 2,800 | 2,000 | 700 |  | 100 | 17,800 | 4,800 | 12,900 | 11,500 | 400 | 500 | 700 |
| PEnowsivavia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bride----------------------------- | 57,800 | 5,500 | 52,800 | 11,100 | 14,100 | 1,400 | 25,200 | 11,800 | 6,300 | 5,500 | 1,600 | 300 | 300 | 3,300 |
| Under 20 years--------------------------- | 19,500 | 2,400 | 16,900 | 4,000 | 3,700 | 400 | 8,800 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 20-24 years--------------------------- | 28,200 | 2,200 | 25,700 | 4,900 | 8,100 | 700 | 12,000 | 1,600 | 800 | 800 | 200 | - |  | 600 |
| 25-29 years--------------------------- | 5,300 | 200 | 5,100 | 1,500 | 1,200 | 100 | 2,300 | 1,800 | 1,000 | 800 | 300 |  | 100 | 400 |
| 30 years and over------------------ | 4,700 | 700 | 4,000 | 700 | 1,100 | 200 | 2,000 | 8,400 | 4,500 | 3,900 | 1,100 | 300 | 200 | 2,300 |
|  | 56,900 | 6,500 | 49,900 | 10,100 | 13,600 | 1,300 | 24,900 | 12,300 | 5,300 | 7,000 | 2,600 | 700 | 500 | 3,400 |
|  | 7,100 | 1,300 | 5,700 | 1,300 | 1,100 | 200 | 3,100 | 100 |  | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |
|  | 29,900 | 1,500 | 28,100 | 5,400 | 8,300 | 700 | 13,700 | 700 | 300 | 400 | 100 | 100 |  | 200 |
| 25-29 years------------------------ | 11,000 | 1,400 | 9,500 | 2,200 | 2,900 |  | 4,400 | 2,200 | 700 | 1,500 | 500 | 300 | , | 700 |
|  | 4,800 4,100 | 1,000 1,300 | 3,800 2,800 | 500 700 | 700 600 | 300 100 | 2,300 1,400 | 1,200 8,100 | 400 3,900 | 800 4,200 | 300 1,600 | 300 | 300 | 200 2,000 |
| RHODE ISLAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bride---------------------------- | 4,760 | 380 | 4,370 | 1,280 | 3,070 | 70 | 50 | 870 | 350 | 520 | 360 | 130 | 10 | 20 |
|  | 1,910 | 170 | 1,740 | 430 | 1,280 | 30 | - | - | $\square$ | $\bigcirc$ | - | - | - | - |
| 20-24 years------------------------- | 2,080 | 100 | 1,970 | 480 | 1,430 | 30 | 30 | 120 | 70 | 50 | 40 | 10 | - |  |
|  | 350 | 40 | 310 | 120 | 170 | 10 | 20 | 150 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 10 | - | - |
| 30 years and over------------------- | 420 | 70 | 350 | 160 | 190 |  |  | 600 | 200 | 400 | 260 | 110 | 10 | 20 |
| Groom----------------------------- | 4,770 | 440 | 4,320 | 1,150 | 3,050 | 70 | 50 | 850 | 290 | 560 | 390 | 140 | 10 | 20 |
| Under 20 years--------------------------- | 520 | 50 | 470 | 170 | 360 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |
|  | 2,590 | 270 | 2,410 | 640 | 1,720 | 30 | 20 | 70 | 30 | 40 | 40 | - | - | - |
|  | 1,070 | 210 | 950 | 260 | 640 | 40 | 20 | 70 | 20 | 50 | 50 | - | - |  |
|  | 300 | 70 | 230 | 50 | 180 |  | - | 150 | 60 | 90 | 70 | 10 | - | 10 |
|  | 290 | 40 | 250 | 90 | 150 | - | 10 | 560 | 180 | 380 | 230 | 130 | 10 | 10 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pride------------..--------------- | 5,020 | 970 | 4,040 | 2,110 | 1,010 | - | 920 | 1,230 | 610 | 620 | 470 | 40 | - | 110 |
| Under 20 years-----------------...-- | 2,710 | 720 | 1,990 | 1,100 | 430 | - | 460 | 50 | 10 | 40 | 30 | 10 | - |  |
|  | 1,920 | 180 | 1,740 | 960 | 440 | - | 340 | 260 | 140 | 120 | 80 | - | - | 40 |
|  | 180 210 | 30 40 | 140 170 | 30 20 | 50 90 |  | 60 60 | 260 660 | 170 290 | 90 370 | $\begin{array}{r}80 \\ 280 \\ \\ \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 10 20 | - |  |
|  | 210 5,280 | 40 1,160 | 170 4,110 | 2,200 | 90 1,030 | - | 60 880 | 660 970 | 290 | 370 | 230 |  | - | 70 150 |
| Under 20 years--------------------------------- | 5,280 820 | ${ }^{240}$ | 580 | 310 | 100 | - | 170 |  | 420 | 550 | 380 | 20 | - | 150 |
|  | 2,880 | 480 | 2,400 | 1,320 | 640 | - | 440 | 150 | 40 | 120 | 50 | - | - | ¢0 |
|  | 930 | 190 | 730 | 360 | 220 | - | 150 | 160 | 80 | 80 | 50 | 10 | - | 20 |
|  | 350 | 160 | 190 | 130 | 10 | - | 50 | 160 | 100 | 60 | 50 | - | - | 10 |
|  | 300 | 90 | 210 | 80 | 60 | - | 70 | 500 | 200 | 300 | 230 | 10 | - | Eo |
| VERMDNT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bride----------------------------- | 2,666 | 325 | 2,333 | 1,255 | 1,061 | 5 | 12 | 501 | 179 | 319 | 274 | 43 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 1,367 | 171 | 1,192 | ${ }^{689}$ | 494 | 2 | 7 | 17 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 1 | - | - |
| 20-24 years--w------------------------ | 1,026 | 99 | 924 | 455 | 462 | 3 | 4 | 62 | 27 | 35 | 33 | 2 | - | - |
|  | 152 | 23 | 129 | 63 | ${ }^{66}$ | - | - | 64 | 30 | 34 | 31 | 3 | - |  |
| 30 years and over--------------------1. | 128 | 32 | 85 | 47 | 38 | - | - | 364 | 120 | 241 | 202 | 37 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 2,677 | 337 | 2,329 | 1,253 | 1,059 | 5 | 12 | 491 | 167 | 324 | 277 | 45 | 1 | 1 |
| Under 20.24 years------------------------------------ | 4, 1,469 | $\begin{array}{r}57 \\ 156 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 140 1,300 | ${ }^{263}$ | 173 | 4 | 4 5 | 97 | 17 | $-$ | 14 | - | - | - |
|  | 1,463 | 53 | +409 | 205 | 203 | 4 | 5 1 | 27 <br> 58 | 118 | 16 40 | 14 37 | 2 3 | - | - |
|  | 133 | 34 | 97 | 57 | 39 | 1 | - | 59 | 27 | 32 | 29 | 3 | . | - |
| 35 years and over------------------1 | 119 | 37 | 80 | 47 | 31 |  | 2 | 346 | 11 | 235 | 196 | 37 | 1 | 1 |

Table 2-23. First Marriages and Remarriages, by Age of Bride and of Groom and Specified Type of Ceremony Performed: 6 Reporting Marriage-Registration States, 1961 -Con.
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Totals by age and type of ceremony performed, whether civil or religious, include "not stated." For sample design, samplung variability, and for number of "not stated" for a specified characteristic, see Technical Appendix)

| AREA, AGE OF bride, AND AGE OF GROOM | FIRST MARRLAGES |  |  |  |  |  |  | REMARPIAGES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All marriages | Type of ceremony performed |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { remar- } \\ \text { riages } \end{gathered}$ | Type of ceremony performed |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Civil | Religious |  |  |  |  |  | Civil | Religious |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | Protes. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Roman } \\ & \text { Catholice } \end{aligned}$ | Jerish | Other and not stated |  |  | Total | Protes- tant | Roman Catholic | Jewish | Other and not stated |
| motal reporting rdgistration STATES-Con. <br> vingitia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bride----------------..------------ | 30,700 | 4,560 | 26,040 | 24,160 | 1,620 | 140 | 120 | 7,500 | 2,240 | 5,220 | 5,080 | 40 | 40 | 60 |
|  | 14,140 11,960 | 1,340 1,960 | 12,760 9,980 | 11,980 9,140 | 680 | 140 | 20 | 900 | 240 | 660 | 650 | - | - |  |
|  | 2,480 | 640 | 1,840 | 1,620 | 220 | - | - | 1,400 | 480 | 920 | 900 | $-$ |  | 20 |
|  | 2,120 | 620 | 1,460 | 1,420 | 40 | - | - | 5,020 | 1,520 | 3,460 | 3,340 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
|  | 30,680 | 4,980 | 25,620 | 23,740 | 1,560 | 140 | 180 | 7,500 | 1,820 | 5,620 | 5,500 | 80 | 40 | - |
|  | 4,840 | 520 2,400 | 4,300 | 4,080 | 180 |  | - 100 | 580 |  | 520 |  | - | , |  |
|  | 16,840 5,220 | 2,400 | 14,400 4,360 | 13,360 3,940 | 320 | 80 | ${ }^{10}$ | 1,120 | 260 | 860 | 960 | - | - |  |
|  | 1,740 | 360 | 1,360 | 1,180 | 140 | 20 | 20 | 1,120 | 260 | 840 | 800 | 40 | - |  |
| 35 yeara and over-n--n-------------- | 2,040 | 820 | 1,200 | 1,180 | 20 | - | - | 4,680 | 1,240 | 3,400 | 3,320 | 40 | 40 | - |
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## SECTION 3: DIVORCES-ANALYSIS AND SUMMARY

Alexander A. Broel-Plateris, Ph. D.

## Highlights

Divorce and annulment statistics for 1961 for the divorce-registration area (DRA) and each of the 20 di-vorce-registration States are based on a sample of divorce transcripts. National, regional, State, and county totals are based on total counts of events reported by State or local officials. The 1961 figure for the number of children involved in divorces and annulments is the only national figure based on sample data (table 3-J).

The number of divorce and annulment decrees granted in 1961 was 414,000 , or 2.3 per 1,000 total population. This national rate was slightly higher than that for any other year since 1956. One married couple out of every 104 was divorced in 1961. The divorce rate varied considerably among the four geographic regions- 0.8 for the Northeast, 2.2 for the North Central, 2.8 for the South, and 3.5 for the West. Thus the divorce rate in the West was more than four times as high as that in the Northeast.

Available data indicate that there is a relationship between the number of divorces and the age of husband and of wife at time of decree. The proportion of persons under age 25 among the population divorced in 1961 was about three times as high as the comparable proportion of the total married population. The percentages were, respectively, 17.4 and 5.8 for husbands, and 30.0 and 11.3 for wives.

The median duration of marriage at time of divorce was 7.1 years for the 20 registration States combined, but differences were marked among the individual States-with medians ranging between 4.7 years in Idaho and 9.4 in Maryland. The duration of marriage at time of decree is associated with the number of children reported and with the divorce laws of the individual States.

Children were reported in 60.3 percent of all divorce cases. This percentage has increased considerably from that for 1953 (45.5), the first year for which this figure was available; it was 56.7 in 1960. In 25.1 percent of the divorce cases, one child was reported, two children in 18.6 percent, 3 children in 9.3 percent, 4 chil-
dren in 4.6 percent, and 5 children or more in 2.7 percent of the cases.

In 1961, 7.6 children per 1,000 were involved in divorce cases as compared with 7.2 in 1960 and 6.4 in 1953. The estimated number of children under 18 years of age involved in divorce cases in the United States was 501,000 for 1961 as compared with 330,000 children in 1953-an increase of 52 percent. This increase took place despite the comparative stability of the annual number of divorces granted.

## Sources of data

Detailed divorce and annulment data for 1961 were obtained from a sample of transcripts received from the 20 States participating in the divorce-registration area-Alabama, Alaska, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Maryland, Michigan, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Utah, Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. Data for Michigan and Missouri are included with those for the DRA for the first time. The Virgin lslands is also included in the DRA; data for this area are published in Section 6. The sample design made it possible to compute estimates for the divorce-registration area and for each State participating in the DRA (fig. 3-1). Information about personal characteristics of husband and wife was not available on a large proportion of the sample transcripts. This applies particularly to data on age and on the number of this marriage. The reporting of duration of marriage, number of children involved, and legal variables was much more complete. Detailed information on the sample design, sampling variability, and data completeness is given in the Technical Appendix (Section 7).

In addition to data based on sample transcripts, annual State and county totals were obtained in pretabulated form from State or local officials. These totals were used in consistency checks for estimates obtained from the samples. The type and completeness of State and county totals are discussed in the Technical Appendix.


## National divorce totals and rates

The estimated total number of divorces and annulments granted in the United States during 1961 was 414,000 , the highest national divorce figure since 1947. This was an increase of 5.3 percent over the total for the previous year, 4.8 percent over that for 1959, 12.5 percent over that for 1958 , and 8.7 percent over that for 1957. The rate per 1,000 total population was 2.3 , a rate slightly larger than the 2.2 for 1960,1959 , and 1957 and the 2.1 for 1958. The divorce rate per 1,000 married females 15 years old and over was 9.6 as compared with 9.2 for 1960, 9.3 for 1959, 8.9 for 1958, and 9.2 for 1957 (table 3-A). The increase of this rate indicates that the growth of the national divorce total is primarily due to factors other than an increase in the proportion of the population which is married.

Since two persons are involved in each divorce case, 828,000 persons were divorced in 1961, or 4.5 per 1,000
total population, and one divorce or annulment decree was granted per 442 persons or per 104 married women.

## Trenid of the divorce rate

The present divorce trend differs considerably from that prevailing before the end of World War II. Prior to the all-time peak in 1946, the trend showed a general upward tendency which was accelerated by wars and reversed by economic depressions. After 1946, the rate declined and gradually levelled off at about 2.2 per 1,000 population.

The rates shown in table $3-\mathrm{A}$ and figure $3-2$ illustrate the situation prevailing during the past four decades From the 1920 level of 1.6 , the divorce rate declined to 1.4 per 1,000 population in 1922 and then rose to 1.7 in 1928 and 1929. With the onset of the depression the rate declined to 1.3 in 1932 and 1933 and
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thereafter increased to the level of 4.3 in 1946. Subsequently, the rate declined to 2.8 in 1948 and to 2.3 in 1955 and 1956; since then it has varied between 2.1 and 2.3 per 1,000 .

## Divorces by region and State

The divorce rate varied widely among regions and States (table 3-B and fig. 3-3). The lowest rate per 1,000 population was 0.8 for the Northeast Region, followed by 2.2 for the North Central, 2.8 for the South, and 3.5 for the West. The divorce rate ffar the West was more than four times as high as that for the Northeast. These rates are affécted by migration and age diestribution of the population.

Annual divorce and annulment totals for 1961 are available from all States and the District of Columbia even though some State figures are incomplete. The totals shown in table 3-B are based on reports from

State and local areas. Figures from some States may differ slightly from those shown in tables 4-1 through 4-14 of Section 4, which are estimated from sample transcripts.

Divorce rates computed for 49 States and the District of Columbia for 1961 were higher than those for 1960 in 23 areas, lower in 10, and the same is 15 . Due to incomplete reports, no State rate was computed for Louisiana. For three States the 1960 rate is not available. In spite of the consistent decrease in the divorce rate for Nevada-from 36.0 per 1,000 population in 1957 to 25.9 in 1961-this State had the highest rates of any State for the years shown in table 3-B. For 1961 it was emore than 11 times as high as the national divorce rate. The second highest divorce rate (5.3) was reported for Alabama. The lowest rates for 1961 were 0.4 per 1,000 population for New York and 0.8 for New Jersey.

Table $5-1$ in Section 5 shows numbers of divorces and annulments by county and the completeness of county
reporting of divorces and annulments for each State, and table 6-2 in Section 6 gives similar data for Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands.

## Annulments

The number of annulments reported for 1961 from 48 States and the District of Columbia was 11,520 (table 3-C). In the remaining two States (Kentucky and Texas) annulment figures were included in the divorce and annulment totals but not reported separately. The largest annulment figures were reported from California, where 5,643 annulments were granted in 1961, and New York, with 2,310 annulments. The 7,953 annulments granted by these two States constituted over two-thirds of all reported annulments. The reported annulments constituted 3.1 percent of all divorces and annulments granted in the 48 reporting States and the District of Columbia. However, in California this percentage was 10.9 , and the incomplete figure from New York was 36.1 percent. The smallest percentages (less than 0.5 percent) were obtained from Georgia, Maine, Nevada, and Ohio; no annulments were granted in Rhode Island. These variations were due in part to the differences among the legal regulations in individual States.

## Age at divorce

For the divorce-registration area, information about age at divorce is available for 63 percent of husbands and 64 percent of wives. These data indicate that there is a marked concentration of divorces in the younger age groups-about one husband out of every five was 25-29 years of age, and almost two out of every five were under 30 years of age. More than one wife out of every four was $20-24$ years, and almost one-half were less than 30 years old. The numbers declined consistently with each subsequent 5 -year age group (table $4-3$ in Section 4). Similar distributions by age have been observed for earlier years. Age-specific divorce rates computed from 1950 census data also show a consistent decline with age. ${ }^{1}$ Population data by marital status and age are available for 1961 for the total United States only. ${ }^{2}$ These data indicate that 5.8 percent of all married men and 11.3 percent of all married women were under 25 years of age. This age category included 17.4 percent of the men divorced in 1961 and 30.0 percent of the women. Thus young persons under 25 years of age were found about three times as frequently among those who divorced in 1961 than among the total married population. This extreme difference between the two sets of percentages is pertinent despite the fact that one set refers to all the 50 States and the other refers to 20 States only and excludes the large proportion of cases with age not stated.

[^2]Further evidence of the higher incidence of divorce at the younger ages may be seen in rates computed from the 1960 data from which population figures by age and marital status were available by individual States. Divorce cases with age not stated (41 percent of the total figure for the DRA) were distributed proportionally among the age groups and then divorce rates by age were computed. The rates for the youngest age groups were markedly higher than those for the total married population. For the DRA and for all age groups combined, the divorce rate per 1,000 married men was 8.8 , and that per 1,000 married women was 8.7 , but for married men under age 25 this rate was 24.5 , and for married women under age 20 it was 27.7. The validity of these rates depends on the accuracy of the assumption that the age distribution in the age not stated category is similar to the available age distribution.

In the 20 registration States combined, median age at decree was 34.0 for men and 30.8 for women (table $3-\mathrm{D}$ ); in 1960, with 18 States reporting, these medians were 34.1 and 30.9. For divorces granted in 1961 the highest median ages at decree were found in Oregon (35.9 for husbands and 33.4 for wives) and in Wiscon$\sin$ (35.3 and 32.2). The lowest median ages were 31.9 and 26.7 in Alabama and 31.9 and 28.5 in Utah.

## Age at marriage

Information on age at marriage of husbands and wives who were divorced during 1961 is given in table 4-4 of Section 4. This information is shown for 63 percent of the husbands and wives divorced in the DRA. There is a marked concentration of age at marriage; 40 percent of the divorces for which information about age at marriage is available occurred to husbands who were married at ages from 20 through 24 , and 47 percent occurred to wives married under the age of 20. Marriage statistics indicate that almost all brides of the latter age group were married at ages 15-19. ${ }^{3}$ The same modal age groups for husbands and wives were found in all registration States except Alabama. The percentages of divorced husbands and wives who were married under age 25 were 59 and 74, respectively, and for those who were married under age 30 they were 76 and 84 percent.

Median ages at marriage for the DRA were 23.8 years for husbands and 20.6 years for wives (table 3-D). For individual registration States, the median age at marriage of husbands was lowest in Alabama (20.8) and Virginia (23.3) and highest in Alaska (28.6), South Dakota (25.0), and Hawaii (24.9). For the divorced wives, the lowest median ages at marriage were 18.1 in Alabama, 19.4 in Wyoming, and 19.5 in Nebraska, while the highest median ages were 24.9 in Alaska, 23.0 in Oregon, and 22.9 in Hawaii. For the DRA the difference

[^3]between the median age at marriage of divorced persons and median age at divorce was 10.2 for both husbands and wives; this difference was less than 6 years for both husbands and wives in Alaska, while the largest difference between the medians was 11.3 years for husbands in Pennsylvania and Wisconsin, and 11.9 years for wives in Maryland.

Some indication of the relationship between age at marriage and probability of divorce is obtained by comparing the percentage of divorced husbands and wives who married at a given age with the percentage of those of the same age among brides and grooms married in earlier years. This will indicate whether a given age at marriage is overrepresented or underrepresented among the divorced population. Thus, in a group of 15 registration States from which data are available (Alabama, Georgia, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Maryland, Montana, Nebraska, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Utah, Virginia, and Wyoming), the group under 20 years of age at marriage represented the following percentages of husbands and wives married and divorced in 1961 and 1960 and of those married during the immediately preceding years:

| YEAR | PERCENTAGE OF HUSBANDS |  | PERCENIAGE OF WIVES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Married | Divorced | Married | Divorced |
| 1961------ | 14.3 | 20.0 | 40.4 | 47.8 |
| 1960------ | 14.0 | 16.4 | 40.3 | 46.0 |
| 1959-- | 13.2 | ... | 39.1 | ... |
| 1958-m--- | 12.9 | . . . | 39.0 | . . . |
| 1957------ | 12.0 | . $\cdot$ | 37.8 | . . |

These data indicate that marriages of the very young are somewhat overrepresented among the 1961 and 1960 divorces.

Computation of divorce rates by age at marriage presents serious problems because base populations for the computation of such rates are not available. Age at marriage refers not to the data year but to the year when the divorced couple was married. Persons classified in the same age group at marriage were married in various calendar years.

## Divorces by color of husband and of wife

In the divorce-registration area color was reported in over 70 percent of all cases. About 89 percent of the divorced husbands and wives reporting color were white, and 11 percent were members of various nonwhite races (table 4-5 in Section 4). Very few divorces were granted to nonwhites in the four reporting Mountain States of Idaho, Montana, Utah, and Wyoming. The lowest percentage of nonwhite divorces was in Nebraska (1.0 percent of husbands and 0.8 percent of wives) and in Idaho ( 1.4 and 1.3), while the highest was in Hawaii-53.7 nonwhite husbands and 60.9 nonwhite wives among persons divorced in 1961.

The largest proportions of divorces granted to nonwhite persons outside of Hawaii were found in the reporting Southern States of Virginia and Georgia (with 19 percent of the divorces granted to nonwhites) and Tennessee and Alabama (with about 15 percent). Nonwhite persons divorced in these States were almost exclusively Negroes.

## Interracial divorces

The information on the color of both husband and wife is available for 87,456 couples divorced in 1961, or for 69.9 percent of all divorces in the registration States. In 86,805 cases, or 99.3 percent, both husband and wife were of the same color-either both white or both nonwhite even though the nonwhite spouses may have belonged to different races. In 651 cases 1 spouse was white and the other nonwhite. Divorces of white husbands married to nonwhite wives were much more numerous than divorces of nonwhite husbands married to white wives ( 394 and 257 divorces).

The number of divorces and annulments for 1961 by color of husband and of wife is given below for the divorce-registration area:

| COLOR OF SPOUSE | Number of divorces and annulments |
| :---: | :---: |
| All divorces and annulments-------------- | 125,128 |
|  | 77,741 |
|  | 77,347 |
| Nonwhite wife------------------------------------- | 394 |
| Nonwhite husband | 9,715 |
| Nonwhite wife | 9,458 |
|  | 257 |
| Color not stated for either or both husband and wife | 37,672 |

More than one-half of all interracial divorces that occurred in DRA States were granted in Hawaii (340 decrees, or 52 percent), 41 such decrees were granted in Alaska ( 6 percent), 104 decrees in the five reporting continental Western States ( 16 percent), 96 in the 8 reporting Northeastern and North Central States (15 percent), and 70 in the reporting Southern States (11 percent). No interracial divorces were reported from Georgia, Iowa, and Tennessee.

## Divorces by marriage order

## of husband and of wife

Information about the number of marriages of the divorced husbands and wives is available for 57 percent of the husbands and wives who were divorced in the divorce-registration area. Two registration States (Nebraska and Virginia) did not report this information,
and the reported data are incomplete for several other States. Hence, the detailed information about second, third, and higher order marriages was not tabulated, and the available statistics were consolidated into two categories-husbands and wives married once and those married more than once.

For the reporting States in the divorce-registration area there was a marked variation among States in the proportion of divorces that terminated a first marriage or a remarriage (table 4-6 in Section 4). In general, slightly less than three out of four divorced husbands and wives had been married once, and about 26 per-
cent of the husbands and 27 percent of the wives had been married more than once. There is a marked regional pattern in this regard with a smaller proportion of remarried persons divorced in States east of the Mississippi than west of it. For men divorced east of the Mississippi River, this proportion ranged between 17 percent (Pennsylvania) and 31 percent (Tennessee), while for those divorced west of the Mississippi the range was between 26 percent (South Dakota) and 39 percent (Iowa). For women divorced in the East, comparable percentages were between 15 percent (Pennsylvania) and 31 percent (Tennessee) and for those di-
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vorced in the West between 30 percent (South Dakota) and 41 percent (Idaho). Alaska and Hawaii were not included in this comparison.

Beginning with data for 1958, there has been a slight decline in the proportion of reported divorces terminating a remarriage. In that year 31 percent of the divorcing husbands and 32 percent of the wives in six reporting States were terminating a remarriage. In 1959 these percents were 29 and 30 , and the number of reporting States was 10. In 1960 for 16 reporting States, these percentages.were 27 and 28 , while in 1961, as indicated from 18 reporting States, the percents were 26 for husbands and 27 for wives. This decline may be due in part to the changes in the number of reporting States and to the high proportion of cases with marriage order not stated found in some of these States.

In 1961, 23 percent of both grooms and brides were remarrying after one or more previous marriages. Corresponding percentages for 1960 were 24 for grooms and 25 for brides; for 1959, 22 and 23; and for 1958, 21 and 22 percent. For the reporting States, therefore, the proportion of remarried husbands and wives divorced in 1961 was higher than that of brides and grooms remarried in the years 1958-61. However, the differences are too slight to be significant and may be due in part to the changing numbers of States reporting marriage and divorce statistics.

## Residence of the defendant

To what extent does the defendant in a divorce action reside in the same State as the plaintiff? Some light is thrown on this question by the tabulation for 1961 of place of residence of defendants for the States of the divorce-registration area (table 4-2 in Section 4). Information about the residence of the defendant is available for 71.7 percent of decrees granted in the DRA. (The not stated category includes all decrees granted in Kansas, where the question on residence is not requested on the divorce record form.) A divorce suit can be filed only in the State where the plaintiff has his or her legal residence, even though occasionally residence is established in a State with permissive laws for the purpose of obtaining a divorce. Hence, the State where the decree was granted is always the State of legal residence of the plaintiff.

In all reporting States of the DRA higher proportions of defendant husbands than of defendant wives resided in the same State as the plaintiff-86.1 percent for husbands compared with 75.7 percent for wives. The remaining defendants were approximately evenly divided between the two other categories-residents of another State of the same region and not residents of any State in the region. About 7 percent of defendant husbands and about 12 percent of defendant wives belonged to each of these two categories.

Differences between States are marked. Defendant husbands residing in the same State as their wives ranged from 74.1 percent in Virginia to 94.0 percent in Michigan. Resident defendant wives ranged from 53.7 percent in Idaho to 89.7 in Michigan.

The percentages of defendants residing in other States of the same region and of those residing outside of the region also vary markedly between the individual registration States. In Idaho and Oregon the former group was more than twice as large as the latter, while in Nebraska and South Dakota the situation was reversed for both husbands and wives.

## Duration of marriage at time of decree

Data on duration of marriage at time of decree are available for 96.8 percent of all divorces granted in the divorce-registration area. Most marriages ending in divorce are of relatively short duration. Over one-third of all divorces were granted after a duration of less than 5 years, and close to two-thirds after less than 10 years (tables $3-E$ and 4-7 in Section 4). On the other hand, some of these marriages had continued for many years. Nearly one-eighth lasted for 20 years or longer (fig. 3-4). The highest percentage of divorces for any single year of duration was 9.4 for 1 year. Percentages declined with each additional year of duration, and divorces granted after 9 years of marriage comprised 4.0 percent of all decrees.

The percentage distribution of divorces by duration of marriage varied considerably between registration States. The modal duration was 1 year in 12 States, 2 years in 2 States, and 4 years in 3 States; while 3 States did not have a single modal year of duration. In Idaho and Wyoming more than 10 percent of the divorces had a
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duration of less than 1 year, while in Virginia this percentage was only 0.7 . In Wisconsin 15.9 percent of marriages ending in divorce lasted 20 years or longer, and in Alaska only 7.2 percent lasted this period of time.

## Variables associated with duration of marriage

The median duration of marriage at divorce for the DRA was 7.1 years, and for individual registration States these medians ranged between 4.7 years for Idaho and 9.4 years for Maryland (table 3-F and fig. 3-5).

Cross-tabulations of divorces with other variables had the following levels of completeness-data on duration by number of children reported were 89.4 percent complete; data on duration by plaintiff were 94.9 percent complete; and data on duration by legal grounds were 95.2 percent complete.

As one would anticipate, median duration of marriage at divorce is associated with the number of children reported (table $3-\mathrm{J}$ and table 4-9 in Section 4).

In general, duration increased with the increasing number of children. For the DRA, the median duration of marriages with no children under 18 years of age was 4.7 years, those with one child 5.9 years, those with two children 8.9 years, and those with three children or more 12.1 years. These medians were computed from grouped data and differ slightly from medians computed from singleyear data. A similar pattern may be observed in most reporting areas. However, in Iowa, Maryland, Missouri, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin duration of childless marriages is equal to or longer than that of marriages with one child reported. This may be due to a high percentage of couples with children already over 18 years of age who are not reported on the divorce records.

Median duration of marriage at divorce also depends upon State divorce laws. Procedural norms determine in part the duration of the divorce case between the time the petition is filed and the time the decree is granted. Such grounds as desertion and insanity become legal grounds for divorce after varying periods of time. In all reporting States the median duration varies depending
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on the ground for which the decree was granted. For the DRA the median duration of marriage in 1961 was 9.5 years when the decree was granted for desertion (9.1 years in 1960), 7.2 years for nonsupport ( 5.9 years in 1960), and 6.8 for cruelty ( 6.7 in 1960). Thus, between 1960 and 1961, the median duration changed very little when the decree was granted for cases of desertion or cruelty, but it was marked for cases of nonsupport.

In most registration States there was also a difference in the median duration of marriage between cases where the husband was the plaintiff and those where the wife was the plaintiff (table $4-12$ in Section 4). In a few areas the differences between the two medians were pronounced-in Missouri the median duration of marriage for cases in which the husband was plaintiff was more than 1 year above that for cases in which the wife was plaintiff ( 7.6 and 6.4 years, respectively), while in Pennsylvania the contrary was true (7.8 and 9.1 years). For the 20 registration States combined these medians were approximately equal in both cases-7.5 years for the husband and 7.4 years for the wife.

## Month when marriage

## was performed

The month of marriage of divorced couples is of interest in view of the marked seasonal trend of marriages. A relatively large number of marriages occur in June and much smaller numbers in January, February, and March. When the date of marriage of the divorcing couples is examined, one may inquire whether the divorces granted in 1961 show the familiar seasonal pattern of marriage or a different one. As may be expected, the month of marriage of divorcing couples is not inconsistent with the seasonal pattern of the month of marriage of the general population. However, there are many minor variations. The number and percentage distribution of divorces and annulments by month of marriage are shown below for the DRA.

| MONTH MARRIAGE PERFORMED | divorces and andulments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent |
| All divorces and annulments-- | ${ }^{1} 125,128$ | 100.0 |
| January------------------------------ | 8,271 | 6.9 |
| February------------------------------ | 8,894 | 7.4 |
|  | 8,311 | 6.9 |
| April--------------------------------- | 8,957 | 7.5 |
|  | 8,608 | 7.2 |
|  | 13,354 | 11.1 |
| July----------------------------------- | 10,458 | 8.7 |
| August------------------------------------ | 11,061 | 9.2 |
|  | 10,505 | 8.7 |
|  | 11,278 | 9.4 |
|  | 9,913 | 8.2 |
| December----------------------------- | 10,551 | 8.8 |

[^4]The largest number of divorces in 1961 was granted to couples married in June. For the DRA they represented 11.1 percent of all divorces as compared with 12.2 percent of marriages performed in June 1961, 12.0 percent of those performed in June 1960, 12.9 percent of those performed in June 1959, and 12.9 percent of those performed in June 1958. June was also the peak month of marriage for divorced couples in 13 States, December in 3-Georgia, Hawaii, and Virginia-and May, September, October, and November in I State each-Oregon, South Dakota, Missouri, and Alaska, respectively. The smallest numbers of divorced couples were married in January for the DRA; January, February, or March for 12 of the reporting States; April for Michigan and Wyoming; May for Georgia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Tennessee; July for Alabama; and November for Iowa. The distributions shown in the table above reflect the seasonal distribution of marriages, where the rate is highest in June and lowest during the first 3 months of the year. However, couples married during the months when the marriage rate was low were slightly overrepresented in divorce cases, and those married during peak months were slightly underrepresented. For all reporting areas combined the percentages of couples divorced in 1960 and 1961 who were married in January, February, and March were higher than those of all couples who were married during the same months of 1958-61, while the percentages of divorced couples married in June and August were lower than the percentages of marriages performed during these months. These percentages for January divorces were 7.1 in 1960 and 6.9 in 1961, and for January marriages, 6.0 in 1958, 6.5 in 1959, 6.3 in 1960, and 5.9 in 1961. For February similar percentages were 7.4 and 7.4 as compared with 6.5, 6.3, 6.9 and 6.2; for March, 6.9 and 6.9 as compared with $6.0,5.8,5.1$, and 5.7 ; for June, 10.6 and 11.1 as compared with $13.4,13.0,12.2$ and 12.2 ; for August, 9.2 and 9.2 as compared with $11.3,10.9$, 9.9 and 9.9. For the remaining 7 months no clear-cut pattern was found.

## Place where marriage was performed

The place where the marriage was performed is of Interest in the analysis of divorce statistics in that it reflects the extent of migration from one State to another between these two events. Citizens of the United States are highly migratory, especially young adults, and it is probable that most of the movement between States is the usual type connected with such factors as job opportunities. Some minor portion of the interstate migration is for the purpose of obtaining a divorce under State laws considered more favorable than those of the State of marriage. What percentage of total migration this represents is unknown.

More than one-half ( 59.4 percent) of all divorces granted in the registration States in 1961 occurred in the State where the couple was married (table 4-1 in Section 4 and fig. 3-6), and for 40.6 percent of the cases the two events took place in different States. Because of sampling variability, however, data showing the detailed distribution of divorces by State where marriage was performed were not prepared for 1961.
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In 1960 in the United States 57.5 percent of divorces were granted in the State where marriage was performed, and this percentage was 56.9 for the 18 registration States combined. The 13 States reporting this information for 1959 and the 9 States reporting for 1958 had 54.8 and 53.9 percent, respectively. All of these percentages are similar to the 1961 figures.

Georgia and Hawaii had the highest percentages of decrees granted to couples married in the State-75.1 and 71.2 percent. On the other hand, both in 1960 and 1961 Alaska, Oregon, Tennessee, Utah, and Wyoming had less than one-half of all divorces granted to couples married in the State. Oregon had the lowest proportion of such divorces- 35.8 percent in 1960 and 37.2 in 1961.

## Children of divorced couples

Information on the number of children reported is available for 90.1 percent of all divorces. Data for 11 States refer to children under 18 years of age; definitions of the number of children reported from the 9 remaining States are listed in table 3-G and table 4-8 in Section 4. Data on the distribution of divorces by number of children reported (tables 3-G and 4-8) indicate that in the registration States combined 60.3 percent of the divorced couples reported children and 39.7 reported no children; the latter percentage includes both the childless couples and those that had children over the age of 18 who were not reported on divorce records, One child was reported in 25.1 percent of all divorce
cases. Families with five children or more represented 2.7 percent of all divorces (fig. 3-7).

The distribution of couples divorced in 1961 by the number of children under 18 years of age reported is compared below with a similar distribution of all married couples estimated for 1961. ${ }^{4}$

| NUMBER OF CHIIDREN UNDER 18 | All married couples | Couples divorced in 1961 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total--------......-------- | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No children----------------0- | 41.0 | 39.7 |
| 1 child | 18.6 | 25.1 |
| 2 children | 18.8 | 18.6 |
|  | 11.5 | 9.3 |
| 4 children or more------------ | 10.1 | 7.3 |

These percentages indicate that the number of couples with one child was overrepresented among the population divorced in 1961 and those with 3 and 4 children or more were underrepresented. The percentages were very similar for couples with no children under 18 and for couples with two children. The comparison of the two percentage distributions is valid only on the assumption that the distribution of all married couples (prepared for the whole country) applies also to the 20 States included in the DRA.

The definitions given by the U.S. Bureau of the Census for "married couple" and "children" are as follows: A married couple is "a husband and his wife enumerated as members of the same household, group quarters, or quasi household." "'Own' children in a household, family, or subfamily comprise the head's sons and daughters, including stepchildren and adopted children, living in the home. The count of own children under 18 years old is limited to single (never married) children. . . ." Estimated national figures for married couples were used for this comparison, rather than those of families and subfamilies, because $4,532,000$ families out of $45,435,000$ (or about 10 percent) had a widowed, single, or divorced head who was not subject to the risk of divorce, while only 1,279 families with a married head were not included among married couples because the spouse of the head was absent.

In Hawaii children were reported in more than 70 percent of all divorce cases; in 10 States between 60 and 70 percent of the divorced couples reported children; and in the remaining 9 States this percentage was between 50 and 60. The lowest percentages were found in Missouri (52.1) and Alaska (53.9). Couples with many children were most numerous among those divorced in
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Hawaii ( 7.5 percent of couples reported 5 children or more) and in South Dakota ( 5.2 percent reported this number); this percentage was lowest in Pennsylvania (0.5) and Oregon (1.2).

An estimated 501,000 children were involved in divorce cases in 1961, 1.21 children per decree. This is the first year for which the number of children involved was more than one-half million. Of the $65,940,000$ children under 18 years of age estimated in the United States during 1961, 7.6 per 1,000 were involved in divorce cases. This rate was 7.2 in 1960, 7.5 in 1959, 6.5 in 1958, 6.4 in 1957, 6.3 in 1956, 6.3 in 1955, 6.4 in 1954, and 6.4 in 1953. (Population bases for these rates are the estimates of the number of children under 18 years of age prepared by the U.S. Bureau of the Census and published in Current Population Reports, Series P-25, Number 265.)

The total number of children varied widely among individual reporting States (table $3-\mathrm{H}$ ). The highest ratios of children per divorce were found in Hawaii (1.87), Utah (1.53), and South Dakota (1.52) and the lowest ratios in Missouri (1.07) and Maryland (1.08).

The estimated national total of children involved in divorce cases increased by 52 percent bettween 1953 (the first year for which this figure was estimated) and 1961; the total number in 1953 was 330,000 and in 1961 it was 501,000 (table $3-J$ ). This increase occurred despite the relative stability of divorce totals in recent years because there was a decline in the proportion of divorces with no children reported and an increase in the average number of children per divorce. The ratio of children per divorce increased from 0.85 in 1953 to 1.21 in 1961, and the proportion of divorce and annulment decrees with children involved increased during those years from 45.5 in 1953 to 60.3 in 1961.

The percent of divorces and annulments with children involved and number of reporting States for 195361 with the percent of the population residing in the reporting States are as follows:

| YEAR | Number of reporting States | U.S. population residing in reporting States | Percent of decrees with children involved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961-- | 20 DrA States | 31.9 | 60.3 |
| 1960- | 50 States | 100.0 | 56.7 |
| 1959-..-- | 16 DRA States | 23.4 | 59.1 |
| 1958-.--- | 12 DrA States | 136 | 55.1 |
| 1957----- | 23 States | 33.9 | 50.9 |
| 1956----- | 22 States | 33.4 | 48.9 |
| 1955 | 22 States | 33.3 | 48.1 |
| 1954 | 22 States | 33.3 | 47.8 |
| 1953 | 22 States | 33.3 | 45.5 |

Simultaneously, the proportion of divorces with 5 children or more reported increased also- 1.8 percent in 1956, 2.0 in 1957, 2.1 in 1958, 2.5 in 1959, 2.6 in 1960, and 2.7 in 1961.

## Legal grounds for decree

Legal grounds for divorce and annulment are specified in the statutes of each State, and there are marked differences among States in the grounds available and in the definitions of individual grounds (table 4-10 in Section 4).

A distinction must be made between legal grounds for a decree and the underlying causes of the divorce or annulment. In some cases decrees are obtained on the ground that is least unpleasant to claim and easiest to establish in legal proceedings.

Almost two out of three divorces granted in the registration States were granted on the ground of cruelty, including indignities and mental suffering. This ground was alleged in the majority of divorces granted in 16 of the 20 registration States. The four exceptions were Alaska, Kansas, Maryland, and Virginia. The percentage of decrees granted for cruelty and related grounds ranged from none in Virginia and less than 0.5 percent in Maryland to more than 90 percent in Idaho and Iowa.

Desertion-including abandonment, absence, and combinations of desertion with other grounds-was alleged in about one out of five cases in the registration States and represented the majority of decrees granted in Maryland ( 58.5 percent) and Virginia ( 89.9 percent) as well as more than one-third of the decrees granted in Alabama (41.7 percent).

Only about 6 percent of all decrees were granted for nonsupport or nonsupport combined with cruelty, but divorces granted on this ground represented 78 percent of those that occurred in Kansas.

Less than 2 percent of all divorces were granted for adultery, including infidelity and adultery combined with other grounds, and in only Maryland did these divorces represent more than 10 percent of the State total.

All the remaining legal grounds are listed in the tables under "other grounds," and were alleged in only 4 percent of the cases. The situation was unusual in Alaska, where incompatibility was given as the ground in more than 78 percent of the divorces.

The distribution of divorces and annulments by legal ground for decree depends in part on coding rules. These rules were particularly important for cases where the decree was granted on two or more grounds, but only one ground could be tabulated. The coding rules used for the 1961 data were the same as those used for 1960. They were based on two general principles-

1. Legal grounds in force in only one State or in a small number of States were coded, whenever possible, to a similar ground available for a large number of States. Hence, the grounds of indignities, inhuman treatment, intolerable severities, and grievious mental sufferings were coded to cruelty, and abandonment was coded to desertion.
2. In cases of multiple grounds, a more specific and more structured one was coded in preference to a ground that is more general and more subject to varying interpretations. Hence, adultery was coded when given in combination with any other ground; desertion was coded when given in combination with any other ground, except adultery; and nonsupport was coded when given in combination with cruelty.

As each State uses its own coding system, the distribution shown in table $4-10$ in Section 4 may differ from the same distribution published by a State agency. Moreover, in a few cases, the 1961 and 1960 data by legal grounds differ considerably from corresponding figures for earlier years, which were received from the States in tabulated form.

## Plaintiff and the party to whom decree was granted

Divorce data for 1961 include information about plaintiffs in suits of divorce or annulment. In the divorceregistration area, the plaintiff was the wife in 72.1 percent of all divorces, the husband in 27.9 percent, and
another person in only 10 cases (table 4-11). The 1961 percentage distribution of divorces and annulments by plaintiff and by party to whom granted for the registration area are shown below.

|  |  | Party to <br> whom <br> Plaintiff |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| granted |  |  |

## 25.8

71.0
3.3 Wife----------------------------1 72.1
Other party ---------------------- 0.0

A comparatively small number of decrees $(7,609$, or 6.5 percent of the total) was granted by the court to a person or persons other than the plaintiff. The decree was granted to the wife in 7.6 percent of divorces where the husband was plaintiff, and in 1.5 percent of cases where the plaintiff was the wife the decree was granted to the husband. Moreover, 3,892 decrees, or 3.3 percent of the total, were granted to a person other than the husband or wife or to husband and wife jointly; all except 42 such decrees were granted in two States, Alabama and Georgia.

There is some variation among States in the proportion of decrees where wife is plaintiff; the highest 0 proportion of plaintiff wives ( 80.0 percent) was in lowa, and the lowest ( 60.6 percent) occurred in Maryland.

Table 3-A. Estimated Number of Divorces and Annulments and Rates, With Percent Changes
From Preceding Year: United States, 1920-61


[^6]Table 3-B. Reported Number of Divorces and Annulments and Rates: United States, Each Region, Division, and State, 1957-61
(By place of occurrence. Rates per 1,000 population in each area, enumerated as of April 1 for 1960 and estimated as of July 1 for all other years)

| HEGION, DIVISION, AID STATE | IUMEER |  |  |  |  | rate |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1961 | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1961 | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 |
|  | 414,000 | 393,000 | 395,000 | 368,000 | 381,000 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| Regione: <br> Northeart $\qquad$ <br> Horth Central $\qquad$ <br> South $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{1} 38,000$ | 239,000 210600 | 39,000 1208000 | 38,000 1,02000 |  | ${ }_{1}^{0.8}$ | ${ }^{2} 0.9$ | 0.9 | 0.9 | --- |
|  | 1114,000 160,000 | 2106,000 1152,000 | 1108,000 1153,000 | 1102,000 | ---- | 1.2 .2 <br> 12.8 <br> 1 | 2.1 12.8 1 | 1 | $\begin{array}{r}12.0 \\ -. . \\ \hline\end{array}$ | --- |
|  | 102,000 | 96,000 | -95,000 | 89,000 | 92,000 | ${ }_{3.5}$ | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 |
| Hortheast: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13,349 | ${ }^{2} 12,842$ | 12,917 | 12,371 | 12,572 | 1.3 | ${ }^{2} 1.2$ | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
|  | 25,124 | 26,255 | 26,028 | 25,229 | --- | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | --. |
| Horth Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Euat Jorth Central | 184,162 ${ }_{29,647}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{l}_{28,639} \mathbf{2}_{28,533} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 274,408 \\ 28,755 \end{array}$ | 273,662 ${ }^{27} 27001$ | 227,121 | 12.3 2.3 | 12.1 21.9 | ${ }^{(3)} 1.9$ | ${ }^{2}{ }^{1} .8$ | $2{ }_{1.8}^{-1.8}$ |
| Eouth: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 59,264 239,718 | 55,526 239,138 | 255,237 236,176 | 250,758 | $\cdots$ | - 2.2 | (3) ${ }^{2.1}$ | $\left(s^{2}\right)^{2.2}$ | 22.0 | --- |
|  | 157,659 | ${ }^{2} 55,000$ | ${ }^{158,039}$ | --- | --- | $(3)$ | (3) | (3) | --- | $\cdots$ |
| Weot: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 132,402 $2^{69,494}$ | 228,846 66,395 | 131,275 63,601 | 130,631 ${ }_{158,155}$ | 129,597 62,024 | 14.5 23.1 | 2.2 3.2 3.1 | ${ }^{(3)} 3.2$ | 14.7 1 13.0 | 14.6 3.3 |
| Hew Encland: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maine---.-- | 2,027 | 2,168 | 1,977 | 1,884 | 1,906 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
|  | 1,126 | 1,119 | 1,049 487 | ${ }_{5} 991$ | 1,039 | 1.8 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
|  | 5,836 | ${ }^{2} 5,592$ | 5,458 | 5,587 | 5,523 | 1.1 | 21.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
|  | 1,040 | 954 | 1,049 | 946 | 933 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
|  | 2,833 | 2,546 | 2,897 | 2,461 | 2,645 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Midale Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6,394 | 7,235 | 7,691 | 8,670 | --- | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | --- |
|  | 5,124 | 4,591 | 4,446 | 4,316 | 4,665 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| Penncylvania | 13,606 | 14,429 | 13,891 | 12,243 | 10,859 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Enot lorth Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | r 22,429 | 22,960 112,794 | 22,655 <br> 28,228 <br> 2 | ${ }_{212,849}^{21,555}$ | 22,730 | ${ }_{13.2}^{2.3}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2.4 \\ \hline 2.7\end{array}$ | (4) ${ }^{2.4}$ | $(4)^{2.3}$ | 2.4 |
|  | - 25,241 $\mathbf{2 5 , 9 7 3}$ | 12,190 21,809 | 28,228 $2_{22,700}$ | 212,849 20,719 | --- | 12.2 2.6 | 2.7 2.2 | ${ }^{(2)}{ }_{2}$ | ${ }^{(4)} 2$ |  |
|  | 16,219 | 16,416 | 16,168 | 14,040 | 15,442 | ¢.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
|  | 4,300 | 3,660 | 4,657 | 4,499 | 4,375 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Weat North Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4,227 | 4,139 | 3,820 | 3,881 | 3,778 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
|  | 24,777 | 2 ${ }^{11,559}$ | 4,594 | 2, 4,299 | 2, ${ }^{4,1344}$ | ${ }_{2} 1.7$ | 11.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 | ${ }_{2}^{1.5}$ |
|  | ${ }^{2} 11,633$ | 211,484 | 11,824 | 210,747 515 | ${ }^{2} 10,861$ | 2.7 1.0 | 2.7 0.9 | 2.8 0.9 | 2.5 0.8 | 2.6 0.9 |
|  | 854 | 794 | 763 | 641 | 622 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
|  | 2,373 | 2,151 | 2,201 | 2,129 | 2,204 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
|  | 5,150 | 4,810 | 4,963 | 4,789 | 4,977 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.3 |
| Eouth Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 593 | 693 | 617 | 573 | 682 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.6 |
|  | 5,296 | 5,140 | 5,319 | 5,040 | 5,632 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.0 |
|  | 1,140 | 1,142 | 1,230 | 1,148 | 1,911 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.5 |
|  | 7,559 | 7,368 | 2,3171 | 6,6,690 | 6,675 | 1.8 | 1.9 | ${ }_{21}^{1.8}$ | 1.7 | 1.7 |
|  | 3,837 6,440 | 3,574 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 2, } \\ \begin{array}{r}1,398 \\ 6,369\end{array} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 2,577 } \\ 5,392 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | --- | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.9 |  |
|  | 3,178 | 3,068 | 3,034 | 2,759 | 2,788 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
|  | 9,539 | 8,940 | 8,609 | 7,975 | 28,798 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 | ${ }^{2} 2.3$ |
|  | 21,682 | 19,554 | 19,550 | 17,604 | 18,744 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 4.4 |
| Eact Bouth Central: Kentucky |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27,467 | 27,528 | 26,888 | 8,808 | 8, -702 | 2.4 2.6 | (4) 2.5 | (4) 2.6 | 2.5 | --- |
|  | 17,723 | 17,320 | -14,975 | r $\begin{array}{r}\text { 8,808 } \\ 12,311\end{array}$ | 20,925 | 5.3 | 5.3 | ${ }_{4.6}^{2.6}$ | 3.8 | 23.4 |
|  | 5,213 | 5,237 | 5,108 | 5,016 | 4,974 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| Weat South Central: |  |  |  |  | 25,500 | 23.3 |  | 23.2 | 22.8 | 23.1 |
|  | 25,872 $2_{5,142}$ | 25,377 24,142 | 25,617 | 24,948 |  | ${ }^{4}{ }^{2}$ ) ${ }^{3}$ | (4) | (4) ${ }^{2}$ |  | 3.1 |
|  | ${ }^{111,305}$ | 10,749 | ${ }^{1} 13,133$ | ${ }^{1} 12,466$ | ${ }^{1} 12,233$ | ${ }^{1} 4.7$ | 4.6 | ${ }^{1} 5.7$ | ${ }^{1} 5.5$ | ${ }^{1} 5.4$ |
|  | 235,340 | 34,732 | 35,623 | 33,678 | 34,871 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.8 |
| Hountain: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,034 | 2,006 | 2,062 | 2,023 | 2,004 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
|  | 2,685 | 2,592 | 2,652 | 2,372 | 2,360 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
|  | 1,307 15,600 | 1,308 | 15,900 | 15,700 | 15,148 | 3.7 13.0 | 4.0 2.7 | \% ${ }_{1}^{3.4}$ | $\begin{array}{r}3.7 \\ 13.4 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 3.6 13.0 |
|  | 23,220 | ${ }^{2} 2,811$ | 22,093 | 22,771 | $1^{13,065}$ | 23.3 | 23.0 | (4) | 23.1 | 13.5 |
|  | 6,973 | 4,780 | 16,503 | 15,910 | 25,328 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 15.2 | ${ }^{1} 5$ | ${ }^{2} 4.8$ |
|  | 2,360 | 2,166 | 1,336 | 1,259 | 1,343 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
|  | 8,223 | 8,455 | 9,509 | 9,409 | 9,249 | 25.9 | 29.6 | 34.1 | 35.4 | 36.0 |
| Panific: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 29,355 | 9,341 | 9,341 | ${ }^{19,003}$ | $5{ }_{5} 12,764$ | 23.2 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 3.1 | 5.7 3.0 |
|  | 51,644 | 49,276 | 47,572 | 43,700 | 43,999 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.1 |
|  | 916 | 788 | 679 | (560) | (552) | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.1 | (2.6) | (2.4) |
|  | 1,556 | 1,270 | $(1,378)$ | (1,228) | (1,182) | 2.4 | 2.0 | (2.2) | (2.0) | (2.0) |

${ }^{1}$ Data are cotimated.
${ }^{2}$ Data are incomplete,
${ }^{\text {Rate is not computed because reporting less than } 90 \text { percent complete }}$ is not computed because reporting less than 90 percent complete.
Bate is not co
${ }^{\text {B }}$ Actions filed.

Table 3-C. Reported Annulments: United States, Each Region, Division, and State, 1957-61
(By place of occurrence)

| region, division, and siate | NTMER |  |  |  |  | fercent of total divorces and andunents |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1961 | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 | 1961 | 1960 | 1959 | 1958 | 1957 |
| UNTIED SIATES----------------------------- | ${ }^{111,520}$ | ${ }^{111,181}$ | ${ }^{1} 12,603$ | ${ }^{122,541}$ | $1_{8,231}$ | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| Regions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12,757 11,051 | ${ }^{1-739}$ | ${ }^{1}{ }_{1}{ }_{1877} 358$ | ${ }^{3} \mathbf{1} 8890$ | --- | (2) | $(2)^{---}$ | $\binom{2}{2}$ | 10.3 1.0 | --- |
| West------------------------------------------------------------------- | ${ }_{26,507}$ | --- | [12,046 | 35,975 | --- | (2) ${ }^{---}$ | --- | (2) ${ }^{1.4}$ | $3_{6.7}^{\text {-4.7 }}$ | --- |
| Northeast: <br> New England <br> Middle Atlantic. $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{12,592}^{165}$ | --- | $\begin{array}{r}13,200 \\ \hline 158\end{array}$ | 209 3,671 | --- | $\left({ }^{2}\right)^{1.2}$ | - | $(2)^{1.5}$ | 1.7 14.6 | $\cdots$ |
| North Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{1} 743$ | ${ }_{1} 637$ | ${ }^{2} 551$ | ${ }^{1} 661$ | --- | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | (a) | (2) | ${ }^{2} 0.9$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | ${ }^{1} 308$ | ${ }^{1302}$ | 326 | ${ }^{1338}$ | ${ }^{1} 296$ | ${ }_{1.0}$ | ${ }^{1} 1.1$ | 1.1 | ${ }^{1} 1.3$ | ${ }_{1}^{1,1}$ |
| South: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Atlantic-------------------------------------------------- | 694 | $\begin{array}{r}730 \\ \hline 1297 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1733 <br> $1_{289}$ | ${ }^{1801}$ | --- | 1.2 | $1 \begin{aligned} & 1.3 \\ & 10.8\end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1} 1.3$ | ${ }^{1} 1.6$ | --- |
|  | --- |  | ${ }^{3} 1$,024 | --- | --- | --- | . 6 | ${ }^{3} 1.8$ | --- | --- |
| West: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{15}^{1} 604$ | -715 | ${ }^{1} 594$ | ${ }^{1} 595$ | --- | ${ }^{2}$ ) | - |  |  | -*** |
| Pecifle-------- | 15,903 | 5,715 | ${ }^{15} 5728$ | ${ }^{3} 5,380$ | 5,254 | $1_{8.5}$ | 8.6 | ${ }^{19.0}$ | $3_{9.3}$ | 8.5 |
| New Englend: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mafne----- | 6 | 15 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
|  | 20 | 16 | 22 | 32 | 28 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 2.7 |
|  | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
|  | 103 | -- | 116 | 129 | 120 | 1.8 | --- | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| Connecticut------------------------ | 32 | 35 | 30 | 26 | -- | 1.1 | - | 1.0 | 0.8 | ---9 |
| Midale Atlentic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12,310 | ${ }^{12,569}$ | ${ }^{2} 2,905$ | 3,417 | --- | (2) | (2) | (2) | 39.4 | -- |
|  | 212 | 182 | 181 | 187 | 176 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 3.8 |
| Pennsylvania------------------------------------ | 70 | --- | 72 | 67 | 51 | 0.5 | --- | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Eest North Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{64}$ | ${ }^{65}$ | 67 | 40 | 52 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
|  | 145 | ${ }^{3} 34$ | 169 | ${ }^{1} 152$ | -- | (2) | ${ }^{3} 0.3$ | (2) | ${ }^{1} 1.2$ | --- |
|  | 261 | ${ }_{2} 218$ | ${ }^{2} 134$ | 151 | --- | 1.0 | (2) 1.0 | (2) | 0.7 | --7 |
|  | 158 | 123 | 119 | 189 | 198 | 3.7 | ${ }_{3.4}$ | 2.6 | 1.9 2.9 | $\frac{1.3}{3.9}$ |
| West North Central: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 52 | 53 | 59 | 58 | 46 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
|  | 46 | 41 | 45 | 58 | 43 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| Missouri---------------------------------------------- | ${ }^{2} 64$ | ${ }^{168}$ | 50 | ${ }^{155}$ | ${ }^{2} 65$ | ${ }^{1} 0.6$ | ${ }^{1} 0.6$ | 0.4 | ${ }^{1} 0.5$ | ${ }^{2} 0.6$ |
|  | 12 | 13 | 9 | 14 | 13 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 2.4 |
| South Dakota---------------------------------------- | 14 | 20 | 12 | 19 | 10 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 3.0 | 1.6 |
|  | 62 | 53 | 77 | 67 | 74 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 3.4 |
| Kansas---------------------------------------------- | 58 | 54 | 74 | 67 | 45 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| South Atlantic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delaware---------------------------------------- | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | 10 | 13 | 11 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 1.6 |
|  | 93 | 207 | ${ }_{33}^{94}$ | ${ }^{96}$ | 111 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| District of Columbia------------------------------ | 38 | 34 | 33 | 28 | 82 | 3.3 | 3.0 | z.7 | 2.4 | 4.3 |
|  | 108 | 148 | 154 | $\frac{146}{146}$ | 160 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
|  | 55 | 53 | 142 | ${ }^{63}$ | --- | 1.4 | 1.5 | ${ }^{1} .2$ | ${ }^{1} 1.8$ | --* |
|  | 85 | 69 | 98 | 91 | $\cdots$ | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.7 | --- |
|  | 81 | 96 | 69 | 125 | 117 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 4.2 |
|  | 38 | 30 | 46 | 53 | ${ }^{1} 47$ | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | ${ }^{1} 0.5$ |
| Floride--------- | 190 | 185 | 187 | 186 | 167 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| East South Central : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- | ${ }^{1} 68$ | ${ }^{1} 53$ |  | --- | --- | ${ }^{10.9}$ | ${ }^{1} 0.8$ | --- | --- |
| Tennessee------------------------------------------ | 57 | 47 | 53 | ${ }^{1} 6$ | 46 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | ${ }^{2} 0.7$ | 0.5 |
| Alabema--------------------------------------------- | 194 | 170 | 158 | ${ }^{83}$ | ${ }^{1} 74$ | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | ${ }^{1} 0.7$ |
| M15siss1ppi--------------------------------------- | 24 | 12 | 25 | 32 | 25 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| West South Centrel: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{154}^{156}$ |  | ${ }_{1}^{165}$ | 67 | ${ }^{1} 68$ | ${ }_{1} 10.9$ | $\cdots$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.2}$ | 1.4 | ${ }^{1} 1.2$ |
|  | 126 ${ }^{156}$ | ${ }^{1} 50$ | ${ }^{1} 40$ | --- |  | ${ }_{3}^{10.5}$ | ${ }^{1} 1.2$ | ${ }_{3}^{1} 1.1$ | $\cdots$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{3} 156$ | 166 | 3 <br> 230 <br> 689 | $3_{324}$ $1_{418}$ | 3 1200 1274 | ${ }^{3} 1.4$ | 1.5 | ${ }^{3} 1.8$ | (2) ${ }^{3} 1.8$ | ${ }^{3} 1.6$ |
|  | $\cdots$ | --- | 689 | ${ }_{4}{ }_{418}$ | ${ }^{274}$ | --- | --- | 1.9 | (2) | (2) |
| Mountain: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montana-------------------------------------------- | 172 | 126 | 131 | 133 | 118 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 5.9 |
|  | 37 | 31 | 38 9 | ${ }^{25}$ | 20 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
|  | 214 | $\begin{array}{r}15 \\ 104 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 12980 | ${ }_{1}^{1629}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{197}^{12}$ | (2) 1.1 | 1.1 | (2) 0.7 | (2) 1.3 | (z) 1.0 |
|  | ${ }^{1}$ | ${ }^{1} 46$ | 135 | ${ }_{1} 10$ | $\cdots$ | ${ }^{(2)}{ }_{1}{ }_{1.0}$ | 2.2 21.6 | ${ }^{(2)}{ }_{11.7}$ | (2) $^{(2)}{ }^{0.4}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ |
|  | 123 | 111 | ${ }^{142}$ | $1_{49}$ | ${ }^{1} 63$ | 1.8 | 2.3 | (2) ${ }^{1}$ | (2) 0.4 | 11.2 |
|  | 87 | --- | 43 | 39 | 53 | 3.7 | --- | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.9 |
| Nevada---- | 24 | 33 | 66 | 94 | -- | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 | - |
| Pecific: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{1} 82$ | 88 | 98 | ${ }^{3} 119$ | 65 | ${ }^{1} 0.9$ | 0.9 | 1.0 | ${ }^{5} 1.3$ | 0.5 |
|  | 5,643 | 5,466 | ${ }^{1} 5460$ | 5,154 | 126 5,063 | 2.4 | ${ }_{11}^{2.1}$ | 12.8 | ${ }^{2} 118$ | 2.4 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 5, 10 | 5,40 | (8) | 5,063 | 1.0 | 1.13 | ${ }_{0} 1.5$ | $(11.4)$ | $(11.5)$ |
|  | 26 | 29 | (17) | (14) | (i2) | 1.7 | (2.3) | (1.2) | (1.1) | (1.0) |

[^7]
## Table 3-D. Median Ages of Divorced Husband and Wife at Time of Decree and at Time of Marriage: Divorce-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961


${ }^{1}$ For number of canes of age not stated at time of decree and at time of marriage for husband and for wife, see tables 4-3 and 4-4, Section 4.

Table 3-E. Percentage Distribution of Divorces and Annulments by Duration of Marriage:
Divorce-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961

| AREA | Tatal | DURATION OF MARRIAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under: <br> 1 year | $1-4$ years |  |  |  |  | 5-9 years |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10-14 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15-19 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 20 years and over |
|  |  |  | Total | 1 year | 2 years | 3 years | 4 years | Total | 5 years | 6 years | 7 years | 8 years | 9 years |  |  |  |
| DIVORCE-REGISTRATION AREA- | 100.0 | 5.6 | 32.4 | 9.4 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 24.7 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 15.5 | 9.7 | 12.2 |
| Alabomn------------------------- | 100.0 | 6.4 | 31.7 | 10.4 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 23.7 | 6.3 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 15.7 | 8.6 | 13.9 |
|  | 100.0 | 6.0 | 35.7 | 9.4 | 8.6 | 8.1 | 9.5 | 25.8 | 6.8 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 16.8 | 8.5 | 7.2 |
|  | 100.0 | 7.2 | 34.3 | 10.6 | 7.6 | 9.0 | 7.1 | 21.8 | 6.0 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 15.5 | 9.1 | 12.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 7.1 | 35.1 | 7.9 | 11.3 | 7.6 | 8.4 | 24.2 | 6.7 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 16.7 | 8.6 | 8.2 |
| Idaho----------------------------- | 100.0 | 21.5 | 40.6 | 14.2 | 9.8 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 19.2 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 12.6 | 7.5 | 8.6 |
|  | 100.0 | 9.2 | 38.5 | 17.0 | 9.4 | 10.0 | 8.1 | 19.8 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 15.4 | 7.7 | 9.4 |
|  | 100.0 | 7.8 | 31.6 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 28.9 | 7.6 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 13.4 | 8.7 | 9.7 |
| Marylani-------------------------- | 100.0 | 1.1 | 22.2 | 2.8 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 28.9 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 21.6 | 12.2 | 14.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 4.3 | 28.8 | 8.1 | 7.6 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 26.1 | 7.3 | 6.3 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 17.4 | 11.1 | 12.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 6.8 | 34.8 | 10.7 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 25.2 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 12.4 | 8.7 | 12.1. |
| Thntana---------------------------- | 100.0 | 9.1 | 40.0 | 12.5 | 9.4 | 10.1 | 8.1 | 21.2 | 5.9 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 13.1 | 7.4 | 9.2 |
|  | 100.0 | 6.6 | 34.2 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 6.6 | 23.6 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 15.4 | 8.7 | 11.5 |
|  | 100.0 | 6.2 | 36.8 | 17.3 | 9.9 | 9.1 | 6.6 | 22.7 | 4.7 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 13.9 | 8.4 | 11.9 |
|  | 100.0 | 2.4 | 28.3 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 25.2 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 16.7 | 12.6 | 13.8 |
|  | 100.0 | 7.5 | 34.9 | 11.0 | 9.0 | 8.1 | 6.6 | 23.5 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 15.1 | 8.5 | 10.6 |
|  | 100.0 | 7.3 | 35.9 | 11.2 | 9.1 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 25.5 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 13.4 | 7.6 | 10.4 |
|  | 100.0 | 7.6 | 41.2 | 12.4 | 12.9 | 8.8 | 7.1 | 24.4 | 7.3 | 6.1 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 11.7 | 7.6 | 7.6 |
| Virginia------------------------- | 100.0 | 0.7 | 29.8 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 25.7 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 17.9 | 12.5 | 13.5 |
| Wiaconain------------------------ | 100.0 | 4.2 | 28.3 | 7.3 | 6.1 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 24.1 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 16.6 | 10.8 | 15.9 |
|  | 100.0 | 11.1 | 10.6 | 12.1 | 11.5 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 21.8 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 10.0 | 7.2 | 9.4 |

Table 3-F. Median Duration of Marriage (in Years) Prior to Divorce or Annulment, by Number of Children Reported: Divorce-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data; for frequencies, see tables 4-7 and 4-9, Section 4. Medians for "all divorces and annulments" computed on data by single years; those for divorces and annulments by number of children reported computed on grouped data. Computed on figures excluding those for duration of marriage not stated)

| AREA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All divorces and annulments ${ }^{1}$ | No children | 1 child | 2 children | 3 children or more |
|  | 7.1 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 8.9 | 12.1 |
|  | 7.3 | 4.6 | 6.4 | 8.5 | 12.8 |
|  | 6.3 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 8.1 | 11.2 |
| Georgia-------------------------- | 6.6 | 4.0 | 6.4 | 9.4 | 12.4 |
|  | 6.3 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 6.3 | 9.7 |
|  | 4.7 | 2.8 | 3.7 | * 7.0 | 12.5 |
|  | 5.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 8.6 | 11.2 |
|  | 5.5 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 8.8 | 11.2 |
| Maryland----------------- | 9.4 | 8.9 | 8.7 | 9.9 | 12.1 |
|  | 7.6 | 5.3 | 7.4 | 9.6 | 12.3 |
|  | 6.6 | 4.9 | 4.8 | $7 . \varepsilon$ | 12.1 |
|  | 5.2 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 7.7 | 11.2 |
|  | 6.5 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 8.6 | 17.2 |
| Oregon-------------------------- | 6.4 | 4.1 | 5.5 | 8.5 | 11.5 |
|  | 8,6 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 9.8 | 13.6 |
|  | 6.3 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 8.4 | 10.9 |
|  | 6.1 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 8.2 | 13.5 |
|  | 5.2 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 7.9 | 9.4 |
| V1rginia------ | 8.6 | 6.8 | 7.6 | 10.9 | 13.3 |
| Wisconsin- | 8.4 | 6.9 | 6.4 | 8.8 | 17.8 |
| 廿yoming------------------------------------------ | 4.8 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 7.3 | 10.1 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes number of children not stated.

Table 3-G. Percentage Distribution of Divorces and Annulments by Number of Children Reported: Divorce-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data; for frequencies, see table 4-8, Section 4. Data relate to children under 18 years of age except as noted. Computed on totals excluding figures for number of children not stated)

| AREA | Total | HUMEER OF CHITDRES REPORTED |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | None | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 or mores |
|  | 100.0 | 39.7 | 25.1 | 18.6 | 9.3 | 4.6 | 2.7 |
|  | 100.0 | 37.2 | 25.8 | 19.4 | 10.5 | 4.5 | 2.f. |
|  | 100.0 | 46.1 | 19.3 | 18.7 | 8.6 | 4.2 | 3.2 |
|  | 100.0 | 42.6 | 25.6 | 16.3 | 9.0 | 4.0 | 2.51 |
|  | 100.0 | 42.5 | 24.0 | 22.7 15.8 | 10.0 | 5.8 | 7.5 |
|  | 100.0 | 32.7 | 26.5 | 20.9 | 10.9 | 4.9 | 4.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 37.9 | 23.7 | 17.7 | 10.7 | 6. 6 | 3.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 41.4 | 27.6 | 21.0 | 6.3 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
|  | 100.0 | 41.8 | 23.9 | 17.5 | 8.6 | 4.9 | 3.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 47.1 | 24.4 | 13.9 | 8.1 | 3.7 | 2.0 |
|  | 100.0 | 39.0 | 21.3 | 18.8 | 11.9 | 5.3 | 3.7 |
|  | 1.00.0 | 37.6 | 25.9 | 17.2 | 9.6 | 5.4 | 4.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 41.2 | 25.0 | 16.8 | 11.4 | 4.4 | 1.2 |
|  | 100.0 | 32.6 | 27.5 | 27.7 | 8.3 | 4.3 | 0.5 |
|  | 100.0 | 36.6 | 20.3 | 20.2 | 11.5 | 6.2 | 5.2 |
| Tennessee ${ }^{\text {}}$--------------------------------------------------------- | 100.0 | 44.9 | 23.0 | 17.3 | 7.2 | 4.8 | 2.8 |
|  | 100.0 | 32.5 | 25.3 | 17.8 | 12.8 | 7.5 | 4.2 |
|  | 100.0 | 40.1 | 29.0 | 16.6 | 8.7 | 3.9 | 2.6 |
|  | 100.0 | 35.9 | 24.6 | 18.3 | 11.6 | 5.8 | 3.2 |
| Hyoming---- | 100.0 | 39.9 | 25.2 | 17.4 | 10.7 | 4.7 | 2.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Number of minor children affected.
2 Number of children under 21 affected.
$3_{\text {Number }}$ of minor children.
${ }^{4}$ Number of children affected by decree.
$5_{\text {Nurbiber }}$ of children.
$\varepsilon_{\text {Number }}$ of children under 18 of this marriege.

Table 3-H. Estimated Number of Children Involved in Divorces and Annulments: Divorce-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961

| (By place of occurrence, Data relate to children under 18 years of age except as noted. Estlmated from frequencles based on sample shown in table 4-8, Section 4. For method of estimating, see Technical Appendix) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AREA | All divorcea and annulments | Estimated number of children involved | Ratio of children to total decrees |
| DIVORCE-REGISTRATIOH AREA- | 125,128 | 155,400, | 1.24 |
|  | 17,700 | 22,800 | 1.29 |
|  | 916 | 1,100 | 1.20 |
|  | 9,540 | 11,000 | 1.15 |
|  | 1,554 | 2,900 | 1.87 |
|  | 2,684 | 3,200 | 1.19 |
|  | 4,800 | 6,900 | 1.44 |
|  | 5,170 | 7,000 | 1.35 |
|  | 5,300 | 5,700 | 1.09 |
|  | 16,120 | 19,750 | 1.22 |
|  | 11,560 | 12,400 | 1.07 |
|  | 2,034 | 2,800 | 1.38 |
|  | 2,370 | 3,200 | 1.35 |
|  | 6,000 | 7,000 | 1.17 |
| Perncylvania--------------------- | 13,620 | 17,400 | 1.29 |
| Bouth Dakotn--------------------- | 854 | 1,300 | 1.52 |
|  | 9,380 | 10,800 | 1.15 |
|  | 2,360 | 3,600 | 1.53 |
|  | 7,580 | 8,900 | 1.17 |
|  | 4,280 | 6,000 | 1.40 |
| Wyomiņ---------m---------------- | 1,306 | 1,700 | 1.30 |

${ }_{1}$ Number of minnor children affected.
5 Wumber of children under 21 affected.
${ }^{3}$ liumber of minor chilliren.
${ }^{4}$ Nimbiber of children affected by decree.
Humber of children.
${ }^{5}$ liumber of chilldren under 18 of this marrige.

Table 3-J. Estimated Number of Children Involved in Divorces and Annulments: United States, 1953-61
(Data refer only to events occurring within the United States. For 1960 and 1961, estimated from frequencles based on sample; for other years, estimated from total counts. For method of estimating, see Technical Appendix)

| YEAR | All divorees and annulments | Estimated number of children involved | Ratio of chilaren to total decrees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961------ | 414,000 | 501,000 | 1.21 |
| 1960. | 393,000 | 463,000 | 1.18 |
| 1959 | 395,000 | 469,000 | 1.18 |
| 1958---------- | 368,000 | 398,000 | 1.08 |
| 1957---------- | 381,000 | 379,000 | 0.99 |
| 1956 | 382,000 | 361,000 | 0.95 |
| 1955 | 377,000 | - 347,000 | 0.92 |
| 1954--- | 379,000 | 341,000 | 0.90 |
| 1953------- | 390,000 | 330,000 | 0.85 |
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## Sampling Variability of the Divorce Statistics

The following statement is essential to understanding the limitations and uses of the small numbers in the tables which present statistics based on data from probability samples of marriage records. The headnote to each such table includes "Based on sample data."

Sampling errors for statistics from the divorce-registration area and each registration State are shown in table 7-8 in the Technical Appendix. In order to reflect more clearly the general patterns of relationships and to retain the convenience of numbers which add to marginal totals, data tables contain some individual small numbers which convey very limited information because the sampling error is so large. It is suggested that for any number less than those shown in the column "Minimum useful statistic" the interpretation be simply "small" rather than any more precise value.

|  | Minimum useful statistic |  | Minimum useful statistic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Divorce-registration |  | Missouri | 200 |
|  | 140 | Montana-- | 15 |
| Alabama-------------- | 200 | Nebraska- | 15 |
| Alaska--------------- | 10 | Oregon- | 100 |
| Georgia | 100 | Pennsylvania- | 200 |
| Hawaii- | 15 | South Dakota---------- | 10 |
| Idaho | 15 | Tennessee----------- | 200 |
| Iowa | 100 | Utah- | 15 |
| Kansas-- | 100 |  | 100 |
| Maryland------------- | 100 | Wyoming--- | 15 |
| Michigan-------------- | 200 | Virgin Islands------ | 10 |

The rule for selection of these minimum useful statistics is based on an argument that for statistics as small as 1 percent of the total for a State, a relative error of up to about 60 percent can be tolerated with 95 percent confidence. This is a "central tendency rule" and there will, of course, be some deviation from it. Further, the figure of 10 observations rather than, say, 12 is shown because of somewhat greater convenience in presenting and interpreting the minimum useful number.

Table 4-1 Divorces and Annulments in State Where Decree Was Granted Distributed by Place Where Marriage Was Performed: Divorce-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961
(Based on sample data. For sample design and sampling variability, see Technical Appendxx)

| STATE hmere decries was gratited | All divoreesand annulments | PLACE WIERE MARRIAGE HAS PEREORMED |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In same State | In other axea | Mot stated |
|  | 125,128 | 63,103 | 43,062 | 18,963 |
|  | 17,700 | 3,560 | 2,260 | 11,880 |
|  | 916 9,540 | 305 | 504 |  |
|  | 1,554 | 1,106 | 1,248 | 4,430 |
| Idaho------------ | 2,684 | 1,288 | 1,224 | 172 |
|  | 4,800 | 2,810 | 1,930 | 60 |
|  | 5, $\mathbf{5}, 300$ | 3,820 | 2,290 1,340 | 60 940 |
|  | 16,120 | 10,700 | 5,300 | 120 |
|  | 12,560 | 6,640 | 4,700 | 220 |
|  | 2,034 | 1,364 | 660 | 10 |
|  | 2,370 6,000 | 1,406 2,190 | $\begin{array}{r}934 \\ 3,700 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 30 110 |
|  | 13,620 | 8,800 | 4,700 | 120 |
|  | ${ }^{854}$ | 488 | 352 | 14 |
|  | 9,380 | 4,320 | 4,900 | 160 |
|  | 2,360 7,580 | 870 | 900 | 590 |
| H1 | 4,280 | 2,680 | 3,380 | 10 10 |
| Hyomine--- | 1,306 | ${ }_{606}$ | 1,680 | 20 |

Table 4-2. Divorces and Annulments by Resident Status of Defendant Husband and Wife in State Where Decree Was Granted: Divorce-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961

| gTate hiere deckee kas graited | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { arvorces } \\ \text { annulments } \end{gathered}$ | residerit status of deferdart husbald |  |  |  |  | hesiment status or herermati hife |  |  |  |  | Total, other $\underset{\text { stated }}{\text { and not }}$ stat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total defendant husbands | Resident of State ubere decree was granted | Resident State in same |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Residence } \\ \text { not } \\ \text { stated } \end{gathered}$ | Total defeniant wives | Fesident of State where decree wa granted | Resident State in same | $\stackrel{\text { nlot }}{\text { resident }}$ of any region | $\begin{gathered} \text { Residence } \\ \text { not } \\ \text { stateá } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| DIVORCE-HEGISTRATION AREA-- | 125,128 | 87,357 | 56,266 | 4,412 | 4,691 | 21,988 | 33,856 | 18,396 | 2,822 | 3,076 | 9,562 | 3,915 |
|  | 17,700 | 12,060 | 6,440 | 360 | 300 | 4,960 | 5,640 | $\begin{array}{r}2,460 \\ \hline 14\end{array}$ | 280 | 300 | 2,600 | - |
| Geore10---------------------------- | 9,540 | 6,140 | 3,350 | 360 | 300 | 2,130 | 3,140 | 1,410 | 270 | 160 | 1,300 | 260 |
| Наиа11------------------------------- | 1,554 | 1,109 | 914 | 76 | 94 | 24 | ${ }_{4} 46$ | 314 | 40 | 76 | 16 | - |
| Idaho--- | 2,684 | 1,876 | 1,228 | 280 | 130 | 238 | 714 | 334 | 214 | 74 | 92 | 94 |
|  | 4,800 | 3,840 | 3,290 | 200 | 190 | 160 | 960 | 720 | 100 | 90 | 50 | - |
|  | 5,170 5,300 | 3,880 2,630 | 1,840 | $\stackrel{-}{280}$ | 250 | 3,880 | 1,250 |  | - 220 | --7 | 1,250 | 40 |
|  | 16,120 | 10,780 | 4,740 | 100 | 200 | 5,740 | 3,820 | 1,560 | 40 | 140 | 2,080 | 1,520 |
| Mipoouri------------------------- | 17,560 | 9,000 | 7,540 | 440 | 600 | 420 | 2,400 | 1,540 | 260 | 360 | 240 | 160 |
| Montana----------------------------- | 2,034 | 1,520 | 1,108 | 116 | 54 | 242 | 512 | 318 | 54 | 52 | 88 | 2 |
| Mebranka--------------------------- | 2,370 | 1,828 | 1,516 | 62 | 152 | 98 | 538 | 382 | 42 | 92 | 22 | 4 |
|  | 6,000 | 4,630 | 3,050 | 360 | 130 | 1,090 | 1,340 | 770 | 150 | 60 | 360 | 30 |
| Fernaylvanin----------------------------------- | 13,620 | 9,200 | 7,820 | 400 | 840 | 140 | 4,320 | 3,200 | 420 | 600 | 100 | 100 |
|  | ${ }^{854}$ | 656 | 537 | 33 | 68 | 18 | 197 | 140 | 18 | 36 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 9,380 | 7,120 | 5,460 | 440 | 680 | 540 | 2,180 | 1,360 | 220 | 320 | 280 | 80 |
|  | 2,360 | 1,364 | 1,070 | 106 | 70 | 118 | 352 | 248 | 38 | 36 | 30 | 644 |
| Virginia--------------------1------ | 7,580 | 4,910 | 3,010 | 560 | 490 | 850 | 2,670 | 1,440 | 390 | 360 | 480 |  |
|  | 4,280 | 3,280 | 2,830 | 180 | 110 | 160 | 980 | 820 | 30 | 80 | 50 | 20 |
| पy | 1,306 | 962 | 346 | 34 | 24 | 558 | 344 | 76 | 12 | 22 | 234 | - |

[^8]Table 4-3. Divorces and Annulments by Age of Husband and of Wife at Time of Decree: Divorce-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. For sample design and sampling variability, see Technical Appendix)

| AREA | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { atvorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulments } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | AGE AT TTME OF DECREE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Under } 20 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 25-29 <br> years | $30-34$ years | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40-44 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45-49 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50-54 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55-59 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 60 yeara and over | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hot } \\ \text { stated } \end{gathered}$ |
| DIVORCE-REGISTRATION AREA-------------- | husband |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 125,128 | 13,835 |  | 15,059 | 13,538 | 11,304 | 8,904 | 6,460 | 4,614 | 2,619 | 2,969 | 45,826 |
|  | 17,700 |  |  | 40 | 80 | 100 | 20 | 20 | - | 40 | 20 | 17,200 |
|  | 916 | 18063 |  | 71 | 76 | 56 | 44 | 37 | 24 | 15 | 10 | E20 |
|  | 9,540 | 690 |  | 700 | 560 | 540 | 440 | 230 | 140 | 70 | 210 | 5,960 |
|  | 1,554 | 214 |  | 272 | 276 | 242 | 192 | 140 | 94 | 52 | ${ }_{26}$ | $4{ }_{4}$ |
|  | 2,684 |  |  | 338 | 260 | 264 | 206 | 170 | 126 | 62 | 70 | 730 |
|  | 4,800 | 1,010 |  | 850 | 840 | 680 | 420 | 350 | 320 | 170 | 140 | 20 |
|  | 5,170 | 650 |  | 810 | 620 | 550 | 420 | 330 | 170 | 130 | 160 | 1,330 |
|  | 5,300 | 510 |  | 580 | 740 | 520 | 410 | 350 | 210 | 80 | 80 | 1,920 |
|  | 16,120 | 1,980 |  | 1,940 | 2,220 | 1,420 | 1,380 | 880 | 480 | 140 | 240 | 5,440 |
|  | 31,560 | 2,0 |  | 1,940 | 1,620 | 1,680 | 1,100 | 860 | 940 | 420 | E00 | 400 |
| Kontana----------------------------------------------- | 2,034 | 178 |  | 192 | 176 | 146 | 118 | 94 | 46 | 36 | 38 | 1,0.10 |
|  | 2,370 | 216 |  | 168 | 1.64 | 132 | 92 | 76 | 66 | 46 | 69 | 1,342 |
|  | 6,000 | 5701,600 |  | 590 | 660 | 590 | 510 | 420 | 260 | 130 | 120 | 2,150 |
|  | 13,620 |  |  | 2,120 | 1,940 | 1,780 | 1,400 | 900 | 640 | 460 | 380 | 2,400 |
| South Dakote------------------------------------ | 854 | 1,60018 |  | 12 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 770 |
|  | 9,380 | 1,640 |  | 2,120 | 1,580 | 880 | 920 | 700 | 480 | 340 | 380 | 340 |
|  | 2,360 |  |  | , 342 | 284 | ${ }^{208}$ | ${ }^{158}$ | 118 | 64 | 44 | 78 | E 6 ¢ |
|  | 7,580 | 860 |  | 1,170 | 700 | 930 | 560 | 400 | 210 | 170 | 170 | 2,410 |
| \%yyoming--------------- | 4,280 1,306 | 58022 |  | 790 14 | 710 18 | 560 16 | 500 10 | 370 8 | 330 6 | 210 | 170 2 | 1,210 |
| DIVORCE-REGISTRAIION AREA--------------- | WILI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 125,129 | 5,940 | 17,960 | 14,051 | 12,132 | 10,419 | 7,967 | 5,1.56 | 3,010 | 2,933 |  | 45,580 |
|  | 17,700 | 140 | 120 | 60 | 80 | 80 | - | 60 | 20 |  |  | 17,140 |
|  |  | 36 | 101 | 68 | 60 | 42 | 39 | 26 | 18 | 11 |  | 515 |
| Georgia--------------------------------------------- | 9,540 | 360 | 830 | 540 | 490 | 450 | 420 | 160 | 130 | 150 |  | 6,010 |
| Hawa11--------------------------------------------- | 1,554 | 50 |  <br> 484 <br> 496 | 296 | 304 | 230 | 148 | 78 | 40 | ${ }_{84}^{42}$ |  | 42726 |
| Idaho- | 2,694 | 214 |  | 290 | 250 | 234 | 188 | 126 | 76 |  |  |  |
|  | 4,800 | 340 | 1,310 <br> 840 | 720690 | 760520 | 450460 | 480350 | $\begin{aligned} & 320 \\ & 320 \end{aligned}$ | 180 | 120 |  | 40 |
|  | 5,170 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150 | 160 |  | 1,340 |
|  | 5,300 | 220  <br> 640 2,520 |  | 500 | 740 | 5201,500 | 310860 | $\begin{aligned} & 250 \\ & 740 \end{aligned}$ | 120 | 80180 |  |  |
| Machigan---------------------------------------- | 16,120 |  |  | 2,240 | 1,660 |  |  |  | 420 |  |  | 5,36\% |
| Missouri --------------------------------------- | 11,560 | 900 | 2,620 | 1,660 | 1,540 | 1,400 | 1,340 | 760 | 420 |  | 60 |  |
|  | 2,034 | 78120 | 236 | 168154 | 154 | 156112 | 96 <br> 80 <br> 80 | 628282 | 32 | 32 |  | 1,020 |
|  | 2,370 |  | 204 |  | 146 |  |  |  | 42 |  | 66 | 1,364 |
|  | 6,000 13,620 | 480 | 820 2,140 | 2,260 | 510 1,760 | 670 1,520 | 1,400 | 600 | 170 480 | 150 |  | 2, |
|  | -854 | 180 | 2, 21 |  | 1,760 8 | 1,520 |  |  | 480 |  | 90 |  |
|  | 9,380 | 1,020 | 2,080 | 1,820 | 1,260 | 1,000 | 660 | 560 | 260 | 340 |  | 308 |
|  | 2,360 | 164 <br> 330 <br> 20 | 470 |  | 214 |  | 148 | 82 | 46 | 190 |  | 678 |
| Virginia---------------------------------------- | 7,580 |  | 1,190 | 1,020 | 890 | 860 | 540 | 290 | 170 |  |  | 2,100 |
|  | 4,260 | 18 | 910 | $\begin{array}{r} 650 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | 7606 | $\begin{array}{r} 520 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | 12 | 4 | 230 | 2104 |  |  |
| wyoming------------------------------------------ | 1,306 |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1,200 |

Table 4-4. Divorces and Annulments by Age of Husband and of Wife at Marriage: Divorce-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. For sample design and sampling variability, see Technical Appendix)

| AREA | All <br> divorces and annulments | AGE AT MARRIAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under 20 years | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \_34 \\ & \text { yeers } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 40 yeara and over | Not stated |
|  | HUSEAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 125,128 | 15,300 | 31,521 | 13,177 | 7,068 | 4,198 | 7,512 | 46,344 |
|  | - 17,700 | 220 | 200 | - | 40 | 40 | - | 17,200 |
|  | - 916 | 24 | 127 | 65 | 54 | 48 | 78 | 1750 |
|  | 9,540 | 760 | 1,380 | 520 | 420 | 140 | 310 | 6,010 |
|  | 1,554 | 170 | 594 | 314 | 168 | 102 | 160 | 46 |
|  | 2,684 | 374 | 690 | 298 | 188 | 116 | 276 | 742 |
|  | 4,800 | 870 | 1,910 | 790 | 460 | 230 | 520 | 20 |
|  | 5,170 | 710 | 1,550 | 540 | 350 | 260 | 420 | 1,340 |
|  | 5,300 | 670 | 1,520 | 620 | 240 | 180 | 220 | 1,850 |
|  | 16,120 | 2,060 | 4,520 | 1,920 | 860 | 420 | 900 | 5,440 |
| M1я | 11,560 | 2,280 | 3,800 | 1,560 | 1,160 | 880 | 1,420 | 460 |
|  | 2,034 | 142 | 382 | 186 | 114 | 68 | 132 | 1,010 |
|  | 2,370 | 210 | 412 | 196 | 64 | 36 | 110 | 1,342 |
|  | 6,000 | 580 | 1,400 | 610 | 330 | 400 | 480 | 2,200 |
|  | 13,620 | 2,000 | 4,900 | 2,040 | 940 | 420 | 740 | 2,580 |
|  | 854 | 16 | 26 | 18 | 8 | 4 | 12 | 770 |
|  | 9,380 | 2,020 | 3,440 | 1,380 | 760 |  | 980 | 420 |
|  | 2,360 | , 392 | 622 | 266 | 134 | 82 | 170 | 694 |
|  | 7,580 | 1,180 | 2,140 | 1,000 | 440 | 190 | 210 | 2,420 |
|  | 4,280 | 620 | 1,860 | 840 | 320 | 200 | 370 | 70 |
|  | 1,306 | 10 | 48 | 14 | 18 | 2 | 4 | 1,210 |
|  | W.LFS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 125,128 | 37,490 | 21,018 | 7,959 | 4,608 | 2,982 | 4,967 | 46,104 |
|  | 17,700 | 420 | 60 | 40 | 20 | = | 20 | 17,140 |
|  | 916 | 141 | 87 | 47 | 35 | 36 | 55 | 515 |
|  | 9,540 | 1,710 | 820 | 370 | 210 | 170 | 210 | 6,050 |
|  | 1,554 | 522 | 488 | 234 | 108 | 86 | 74 | 42 |
|  | 2,684 | 898 | 434 | 200 | 132 | 86 | 196 | 738 |
|  | 4,800 | 2,080 | 1,500 | 420 | 220 | 200 | 340 | 40 |
|  | 5,170 | 1,670 | 1,050 | 320 | 270 | 190 | 320 | 1,350 |
|  | 5,300 | 1,690 | 980 | 400 | 160 | 60 | 130 | 1,880 |
|  | 16,120 | 5,300 | 2,980 | 1,020 | 480 | 320 | 660 | 5,360 |
| Migaourio------ | 12,560 | 5,320 | 2,440 | 1,060 | 820 | 560 | 920 | 440 |
|  | 2,034 | 424 | 250 | 124 | 90 | 40 | 86 | 1,020 |
|  | 2,370 | 552 | 216 | 78 | 46 | 40 | 74 | 1,364 |
|  | 6,000 | 1,500 | 990 | 330 | 370 | 250 | 380 | 2,180 |
|  | 13,620 | 5,180 | 3,260 | 1,140 | 560 | 300 | 500 | 2,680 |
|  | 854 | 41 | 15 | 8. | 1 | 2 | 8 | 779 |
|  | 9,380 | 4,520 | 2,120 | 1,060 | 420 | 360 | 440 | 460 |
|  | 2,360 | 856 | 374 | 142 | 90 | 58 | 140 | 700 |
|  | 7,580 | 2,800 | 1,490 | 600 | 320 | 130 | 130 | 2,110 |
|  | 4,280 | 1,810 | 1,450 | 350 | 250 | 90 | 280 | 50 |
|  | 1,306 | 56 | 14 | 16 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 1,206 |

Table 4-5. Divorces and Annulments by Color of Husband and of Wife: DivorceRegistration Area and Each Registration State, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. For sample design and sampling variability, see Technical Appendix)

| AREA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { divorees } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { annulments } \end{aligned}$ | HUSEAITD |  |  | WIFE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White | Nonwhite | Color not stated | White | Nonwhite | Color not stated |
|  | 125,128 | 78,150 | 9,728 | 37,250 | 78,125 | 9,916 | 37,097 |
|  | 17,700 | 4,080 | 720 | 12,900 | 4,040 | 740 | 12,903 |
|  | 916 | 393 | 62 | 461 | 360 | 96 | $4{ }^{4} 5$ |
| Georgia--------------1-1 | 9,540 | 4,090 | 950 | 4,500 | 4,030 | 940 | 4,570 |
| Накад1----- | 1,554 | 718 | 832 | 4 | 608 | 946 | - |
|  | 2,684 | 2,118 | 30 | 536 | 2,230 | 30 | $44^{*} 4$ |
|  | 4,800 | 4,690 | 100 | 10 | 4,680 | 100 | 20 |
|  | 5,170 | 3,640 | 320 | 1,210 | . 3,630 | 350 | 1,210 |
|  | 5,300 | 3,090 | 520 | 1,690 | - 3,090 | 510 | 1,700 |
|  | 16,120 | 8,560 | 720 | 6,840 | 8,680 | 720 | E,70 |
|  | 71,560 | 9,780 | 1,420 | 360 | 9,840 | 1,440 | 250 |
|  | 2,034 | 1,712 | 40 | 282 | 1,716 | 38 | 20 |
|  | 2,370 | 1,004 | 10 | 1,356 | 1,010 | 8 | 1,20ic |
|  | 6,000 | 4,050 | 120 | 1,830 | 3,990 | 130 | 1,880 |
|  | 13,620 | 10,000 | 800 | 2,820 | 9,980 | 820 | 2,800 |
|  | 854 | 213 | 18 | 623 | 209 | 20 | Ecis |
|  | 9,380 | 7,900 | 1,340 | 140 | 7,890 | 3,340 | 160 |
|  | 2,360 | 1,716 | 36 | 608 | 1,710 | 40 | C10 |
|  | 7,580 | 6,100 | 1,460 | 20 | 6,120 | 1,440 | 20 |
|  | 4,280 | 4,040 | 220 | 20 | 4,050 | 220 | - |
|  | 1,306 | 256 | 10 | 1,040 | 262 | 8 | 1,030 |

Table 4-6. Divorces and Annulments by Marriage Order of Husband and of Wife at Time of Decree: Divorce-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961

| AREA | ```AI divorces and annulments``` | MARRTAGE ORDER OF RUSBAND AT TTME OF DECRLE |  |  | MARRIAGE OLIER OF WIFE AT TTME OF LECRE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | First marriage | Remarriage | Not stated | First marriage | Rerusrriage | Not stated |
|  | 125,128 | 52,708 | 18,925 | 53,495 | 52,548 | 19,455 | 53,225 |
| Alabama----------------------------------------------- | 17,700 | 400 | 140 | 17,160 | 400 | 120 | 17,100 |
|  | 916 | 239 | 192 | 485 | 225 | 209 | 493 |
|  | 9,540 | 2,800 | 1,000 | 5,740 | 2,800 | 960 | 5,700 |
|  | I,554 | 1,136 | 418 | -- | 1,092 | 458 | 4 |
|  | 2,684 | 1,256 | 722 | 706 | 1,190 | 810 | 684 |
|  | 4,800 | 2,930 | 1,870 | - | 2,880 | 1,910 | 10 |
|  | 5,170 | 2,640 | 1,220 | 1,310 | 2,640 | 1,230 | 1,300 |
|  | 5,300 | 2,640 | 680 | 1,980 | 2,540 | 770 | 1,019 |
|  | 16,120 | 7,960 | 2,100 | 6,060 | 7,820 | 2,400 | 5,500 |
|  | 71,560 | 8,440 | 2,860 | 260 | 8,460 | 2,820 | 290 |
|  | 2,034 | 798 | 326 | 920 | 749 | 390 | 936 |
|  | 2,370 | --- | --7 | 2,370 | -7- | --- | 2,370 |
|  | 6,000 | 2,380 | 1,410 | 2,210 | 2,300 | 1,550 | 2,150 |
|  | 13,620 | 8,440 | 1,685 | 3,500 | 8,740 | 1,500 | 3,390 |
|  | 854 | 75 | 27 | 752 | 69 | 29 | 75. |
|  | 9,380 | 6,220 | 2,780 | 380 | 6,180 | 2,840 | 3 co |
|  | 2,360 | 1,144 | 576 | 640 | 1,186 | 532 | 642 |
|  | 7,580 | ---7 | ---3 | 7,580 | 3,-7- | $\cdots$ | 7,590 |
|  | 4,280 | 3,140 | 890 | 250 | 3,200 | 830 | 190 |
|  | 1,306 | 80 | 34 | 1,192 | 78 | 38 | 1,190 |

[^9]Table 4-7. Divorces and Annulments by Duration of Marriage:Divorce-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. For sample design and sampling variablity, see Techncal Appendix)

| AREA | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulments } \end{gathered}$ | duratioll of marriage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 1 \text { year } \end{aligned}$ | 1 year | 2 years | 3 years | 4 years | 5 years | 6 years | 7 years | 8 years | 9 years | $\begin{aligned} & \text { years } \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15-19 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \text { years } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { stated } \end{gathered}$ |
| DWORCE-REOIGTRAITON AREA----------- | 125,129 | 6,799 | 11,334 | 9,657 | 9,319 | 8,902 | 7,387 | 6,793 | 5,953 | 4,867 | 4,863 | 18,770 | 11,727 | 14,758 | 3,999 |
|  | 17,70¢ | 1,120 | 1,820 | 1,320 | 1,320 | 1,120 | 1,100 | 720 | 880 | 720 | 740 | 2,760 | 1,520 | 2,440 |  |
|  | 916 | 55 |  |  | 74 |  |  | 46 | 48 | 31 | 48 | 153 | 77 | 66 | 5 |
|  | 9,540 | 570 | 840 | 600 | 710 | 550 | 470 | 320 | 350 | 310 | 270 | 1,220 | 720 | 950 | 1,650 |
|  | 2,684 | 292 | ${ }_{362}^{122}$ | 176 250 | ${ }_{236}^{118}$ | 130 | 1104 | 62 | 64 | 70 | 76 | 260 | 134 | 128 |  |
|  | 4,800 | 440 | 530 | 450 | 480 | 390 | 220 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5,170 | 400 | 490 | 430 | 360 | 350 | 390 | 330 | 340 | 210 | 170 220 | 740 690 | 370 450 | 450 500 | 10 |
|  | 5,300 | 50 | 120 | 240 | 320 | 290 | 320 | 260 | 250 | 250 | 180 | 940 | 530 | 610 | 940 |
|  | 16,120 | 700 | 1,300 | 1,220 | 980 | 1,140 | 1,180 | 1,020 | 800 | 600 | 600 | 2,800 | 1,780 | 1,980 | 20 |
|  | 11,560 | 780 | 1,220 | 880 | 940 | 940 | 540 | 680 | 660 | 540 | 460 | 1,420 | 1,000 | 1,380 | 120 |
|  | 2,034 | 184 | 254 | 190 | 204 | 164 | 120 | 88 | 88 | 72 | 62 | 266 | 150 | 186 |  |
|  | 2,370 | 156 | 218 | 218 | 218 | 156 | 154 | 132 | 100 | 72 | 100 | 364 | 206 | 272 | 4 |
|  | 6,000 | 370 | 670 | 590 | 540 | 390 | 280 | 360 | 310 | 220 | 180 | 830 | 500 | 710 | 50 |
|  | 13,620 | 320 | 940 | 960 | 940 | 960 | 800 | 840 | 580 | 620 | 680 | 2,240 | 1,700 | 1,860 | 180 |
|  | 854 | 64 | 94. | 77 | 69 | 57 | 51 | 47 | 45 | 30 | 27 | 129 | 72 | 90 | , |
|  | 9,380 | 680 | 1,040 | 840 | 700 | 740 | 580 | 620 | 500 | 340 | 320 | 1,240 | 700 | 960 | 120 |
|  | 2,360 | 134 | 220 | ${ }^{228}$ | 156 | 126 | 130 | 108 | 66 | 68 | 60 | 208 | 134 | 134 | 588 |
|  | 7,580 4,280 1, | $\begin{array}{r}50 \\ 180 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 540 310 | 500 260 | 530 310 | 680 3 | 460 | 480 | 370 | 280 | 350 | 1,350 | 940 | 1,020 | 30 |
|  | 1,306 | 144 | 158 | 150 | 114 | 106 | 230 70 | 240 70 | 230 68 | 110 36 | 220 40 | 710 130 | 460 94 | 680 | 10 |

Table 4-8. Divorces and Annulments by Number of Children Reported: DivorceRegistration Area and Each Registration State, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Data relate to children under 18 years of age except as noted. For sample design and sampling variability, see Technical Appendux)

| AREA | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { divorces } \\ \text { end } \\ \text { annulments } \end{gathered}$ | INMBER OF CHILDREN REPORTIED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ITone | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 or more | Not stated |
| DIVORCE-RDGISTRATIOII AREA-- | 125,128 | 44,715 | 28,290 | 20,954 | 10,506 | 5,201 | 3,071 | 12,391 |
|  | 17,700 | 4,940 | 3,420 | 2,560 | 1,400 | 600 | 340 | 4,420 |
|  | 916 | 419 | 175 | 170 | 78 | 38 | 29 | 7 |
|  | 9,540 | 3,210 | 1,930 | 1,230 | 680 | 300 | 190 | 2,000 |
| Hinuali ${ }^{\text {a }}$-------- | 1,554 | 398 | 320 | + 348 | 222 | 128 | 114 | 24 |
| Idaho ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - | 2,684 | 1,086 | 614 | 404 | 256 | 136 | 62 | 126 |
| Yowe-n-- | 4,800 | 1,470 | 1,190 | 940 | 490 | 220 | 180 | 310 |
| Kaname ${ }^{\text {E }}$ | 5,170 | 1,950 | 1,220 | 910 | 550 | 340 | 170 | 30 |
| Maryland- | 5,300 | 1,770 | 1,180 | 900 | 270 | 70 | 90 | 1,020 |
| Michiean-0 | 16,120 | 6,640 | 3,800 | 2,780 | 1,360 | 780 | 520 | 240 |
| Mavouri ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 11,560 | 5,360 | 2,780 | 1,580 | 920 | 420 | 320 | 180 |
| Yentana-- | 2,034 | 770 | 420 | 372 | 234 | 104 | 74 | 60 |
| llebraoka ${ }^{4}$ | 2,370 | 884 | 610 | 406 | 226 | 126 | 102 | 16 |
| Oregan------------ | 6,000 | 2,350 | 1,430 | 960 | 650 | 250 | 70 | 290 |
| Penmaylvanin-- | 13,620 | 3,560 | 3,100 | 3,120 | 940 | 480 | 60 | 2,360 |
| Douth Dakota | 854 | 312 | 173 | 172 | 98 | 53 | 44 | 2 |
| Tenneance ${ }^{6}$ | 9,380 | 4,100 | 2,100 | 1,580 | 660 | 440 | 260 | 240 |
|  | 2,360 | 544 | 424 | 298 | 214 | 126 | 70 | 684 |
| Virginia | 7,580 | 2,950 | 2,060 | 1,220 | 640 | 290 | 190 | 230 |
| Hinconsin- | 4,280 | 1,490 | 1,020 | 760 | 480 | 240 | 160 | 130 |
| Hyouing-- | 1,306 | 512 | 324 | 224 | 138 | 60 | 26 | 22 |

[^10]${ }^{2}$ llumber of chilaren under 21 affected.
Sifumber of minor chilaren.
${ }^{4}$ limmber of children affected by decree.
${ }^{5}$ llumber of children.
${ }^{-}$liumber of children under 18 of this marriage.

Table 4-9. Divorces and Annulments by Duration of Marriage and Number of Children Reported: Divorce-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. Data relate tochildren under 18 years ofage except as noted. For sample designand sampling variabillty, see Technical Appendix)

| AREA ARD DURAITION OF MARRIAEE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { divorces } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { annulments } \end{aligned}$ | NIMBER OF CHITIDREN REFORTED |  |  |  |  | AREA ARD DURAITION OF MARRIAGE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { divorces } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { annulments } \end{aligned}$ | MUMEER OF CHILLDFETY REPOKTED |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | None | 1 | 2 | 3 or more | Not stated |  |  | None | 1 | 2 | 3 or more | Mot stated |
| DIVORCE-REGISTIRAITOIT AREA | 125,120 | 44,715 | 28,290 | 20,954 | 18,778 | 12,391 | DIVORCE-EEGISTRAITOIT AREA-Con* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6,799 | 4,990 | 600 | 91 | 97 | 1,021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20,991 | 11,094 | 6,520 | 1,244 | 239 | 1,894 |  | 5,300 50 | 1,770 40 | 1,180 | 300 | 430 10 | 1,020 |
|  | 18,221 | 6,934 | 5,721 | 3,393 | 842 5 | 1,331 |  | 50 360 |  | 110 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 29,863 | 8,605 | 6,751 | 7,147 | 5,789 | 1,571 |  | 360 610 | 230 270 | 110 | 100 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 18,770 | 4,292 | 3,319 | 4,247 | 5,599 | 1,315 |  | 1,260 | 270 440 | 340 | 100 | 110 | 30 |
| 15 years and over-m---..------- | 26,485 | 8,455 | 5,277 | 4,581 | 6,091 | 2,081 |  | 1,260 | 440 260 | 340 230 | 340 230 | 110 200 | 30 20 |
|  | 3,999 | 345 | 102 | 251 | 121 | 3,180 | 15 years and over | 1,140 | 530 | 270 | 210 | 200 | 30 |
| ALABAMA ${ }^{\text {I }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 940 | - | - | 10 | - | 930 |
| Total---n-u--u------------ | 17,700 | 4,940 | 3,420 | 2,580 | 2,340 | 4,420 | MICHESALT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 11 year------------------ | 1,120 | 480 | 60 | - | 20 | 560 |  | 16,120 | 6,640 | 3,800 | 2,780 | 2,660 | 245 |
| 1 and 2 years-----------------10-1 | 3,140 | 1,300 | 760 | 160 | 60 | 860 |  | 700 | 660 | 40 | - | - | - |
| 3 and 4 years---------...-...... | 2,440 | 840 | 640 | 440 | 80 | 440 |  | 2,520 | 1,700 | 720 | 40 | $\sim$ | 60 |
|  | 4,160 | 920 | 840 | 960 | 580 | 860 |  | 2,120 | 880 | 660 | 480 | 60 | 40 |
| 10-14 years------------------- | 2,760 | 460 | 380 | 520 | 720 | 680 | 5-9 years----------------------10- | 4,200 | 1,400 | 980 | 940 | 820 | 60 |
|  | 3,960 | 920 | 720 | 480 | 840 | 1,000 |  | 2,800 | 640 | 600 | 560 | 1,000 | - |
| Not stated------------------- | 220 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 40 | 20 | 15 years and over-m-_-.............. Hot stated- | 3,760 $\quad 20$ | 1,360 | 780 20 | 760 | 780 | 80 |
| ALASKA ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | MLSsouris |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total------------------- | 916 | 419 | 175 | 170 | 145 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 1 year------------------ | 55 | 42 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | Total---. | 11,560 | 5,360 | 2,780 | 1,580 | 1,660 | 120 |
| 1 and 2 years - ---------------1 | 164 | 11.3 | 41 | 7 | 3 | - | Under 1 year- | 780 | 700 | 60 | - | - | 20 |
|  | 167 | 73 | 37 | 43 | 7 | 1 | 1 and 2 years | 2,100 | 1,100 | 840 | 140 | $\cdots$ | 20 |
|  | 235 | 90 | 49 | 47 | 49 | - |  | 1,880 | 920 | 540 | 340 | 80 | $\sim$ |
| 10-14 years-------------------- | 153 | 50 | 16 | 38 | 48 | 1 | 5-9 years- | 2,880 | 960 | 760 | 560 | 580 | 20 |
| 15 years and over------------ | 143 | 48 | 27 | 29 | 36 | 3 | 10-14 years- | 1,420 | 540 | 180 | 280 | 400 | 20 |
|  | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 15 years and over-m-_-................. <br> Not stated $\qquad$ | 2,380 120 | 1,100 40 | 400 | 220 | 600 | CO |
| GEORGIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9,540 | 3,210 | 1,930 | 1,230 | 1,170 | 2,000 | NONTPANA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 1 year-m-a------------- | -570 | 3,40 | 1,90 | 10 | 1,170 | 110 | Total---------------------1 | 2,034 | 770 | 420 | 372 | 412 | EO |
| 1 and 2 years------------------ | 1,440 | 870 | 350 | 90 | - | 130 |  | 184 | 146 | 16 | 4 | - | 18 |
| 3 and 4 years.a-n------------- | 1,270 | 480 | 470 | 150 | 20 | 150 |  | 444 | 242 | 156 | 28 | 8 | 10 |
|  | 1,720 | 480 | 410 | 390 | 380 | 60 | 3 and 4 years------------------ | 368 | 138 | 98 | 96 | 28 | 6 |
|  | 1,220 | 270 | 310 | 280 | 330 | 50 | 5-9 years---------------------- | 430 | 108 | 60 | 106 | 138 | 18 |
| 15 years and over----------*-*' | 1,670 | 560 | 350 | 280 | 390 | 90 |  | 266 | 46 | 28 | 58 | 130 | 4 |
|  | 1,650 | 120 | 20 | 50 | 50 | 1,410 | 15 years and over------------Not stated- | 336 6 | 90 | 60 2 | 78 2 | 106 2 | 2 |
| HAYALI ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | NEBRASKA ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,554 | 398 | 320 | 348 | 464 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 1 yearm-n-------------- | 170 | 64 | 12 | 18 | 16 | - |  | 2,370 | 884 120 | 610 | 406 | 454 | 16 |
|  | 298 | 94 | 1.10 | 52 | 36 | 6 |  | 436 | 120 | + 20 | ${ }_{34}^{2}$ | 2 2 2 | 12 |
| 3 and 4 yearsm----------------1-1- | 248 | 64 | 50 | 74 | 56 | 4 |  | 374 | 134 | 124 | 80 | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 34 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\overline{2}$ |
|  | 376 | 66 | 62 | 114 | 132 | 2 |  | 558 | 156 | 129 | 120 | $\begin{array}{r}34 \\ 154 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2 |
| 10-14 years------------------- | 260 | 42 | 36 | 42 | 132 | - |  | 364 | 72 | -66 | 78 | 146 | a |
|  | 262 | 68 | 50 | 48 | 92 | 4 |  | 478 | 164 | 106 | 92 | 116 | $z$ |
|  | - | - | - |  | - | - | Not stated | 4 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - |
| TDABO ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | OREGON |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,684 | 1,086 | 614 | 404 | 454 | 126 | Total-------------------* | 6,000 | 2,350 | 1,430 | 960 | 970 | 290 |
| Under 1 year----------------- | 292 | 234 | 46 | 6 | - | 6 |  | 6,00 | 2,300 | 1,430 | 96 | 970 | 20 |
|  | 612 | 322 | 208 | 52 | 16 | 14 |  | 1,260 | 700 | 350 | 200 | 10 | 100 |
|  | 422 | 164 | 124 | 90 | 30 | 14 | 3 and 4 years---------------1.0. | 1,930 | 320 | 280 | 180 | 100 | 50 |
| 5-9 years-------------------- | 488 | 144 | 94 | 106 | 138 | 6 | 5-9 years-------------------- | 1,350 | 460 | 300 | 280 | 280 | 35 |
|  | 320 | 72 | 46 | 68 | 130 | 4 |  | 830 | 200 | 140 | 180 | 300 | 10 |
| 15 years and over-------------- | 410 | 130 | 84 | 58 | 130 | 8 | 15 years and over--...........-- | 1,210 | 360 | 300 | 210 | 270 | 70 |
| Not stated-------------------1 | 140 | 20 | 12 | 24 | 10 | 74 |  | 50 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| IOWA |  |  |  |  |  |  | PEROISXLVANIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total---7----------------1 | 4,800 | 1,470 | 1,190 | 940 | 890 | 310 | Total------------------... | 13,620 | 3,560 | 3,100 | 3,120 | 1,480 | 2,350 |
|  | 440 | 270 | 90 | 20 | 10 | 50 | Under 1 year-------------1.0.- | 320 | 140 | 20 | 20 | - | 140 |
| 1 and 2 yearsmon----------n-m | 980 | 350 | 440 | 50 | 20 | 80 | 1 and 2 years----------------- | 1,900 | 860 | 560 | 120 | - | 3 EO |
|  | 970 | 280 | 270 | 240 | 20 | 60 |  | 1,900 | 400 | 680 | 300 | 20 | 500 |
|  | 950 | 220 | 140 | 220 | 330 | 40 |  | 3,520 | 860 | 760 | 1,140 | 420 | 340 |
|  | 740 | 110 | 100 | 210 | 280 | 40 |  | 2,240 | 300 | 340 | 680 | 500 | 420 |
| 15 years and over-------------- | 820 | 200 | 150 | 200 | 230 | 40 |  | 3,560 | 980 | 740 | 820 | 540 | 480 |
| Not stated--------------------- | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 180 | 20 | 71 | 40 | S40 | 120 |
| KCASSAS ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | SOUTH DAKOTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total--...-.--------------- | 5,170 | 1,950 | 1,220 | 910 | 1,060 | 30 |  | 954 | 312 | 173 | 172 | 195 | 2 |
|  | 400 | 320 | 60 | $\stackrel{-}{\square}$ | 20 | - | Under 1 year--------------------- | 64 | 50 | 8 | 2 | 19 | 1 |
| $\frac{1}{3}$ and 2 years-m--------------0 | 920 | 480 | 340 | 80 | 20 | - |  | 171 | 95 | 61 | 17 | 4 | 1 |
|  | 710 | 320 | 200 | 150 | 40 | 5 | 3 and 4 years------------------ | 126 | 39 | 36 | 38 | 13 | - |
|  | 1,490 | 440 | 350 | 300 | 390 | 10 |  | 200 | 57 | 24 | 52 | E 6 | 1 |
|  | 690 | 180 | 100 | 140 | 260 | 10 |  | 129 | 22 | 9 | 35 | 63 | $\square$ |
| 15 years and over---*-------... | 950 | 200 | 170 | 240 | 330 | 10 | 15 years and over-------------1 | 162 | 49 | 34 | 34 | 45 | $\pm$ |
| Not stated-----......-....-------- | 10 | 10 |  |  | - | - | Not stated--------------------- |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | , |

${ }^{2}$ Pumber of minor children affected.
${ }^{2}$ Frumber of chillaren under 21 affected.
${ }^{3}$ Whumber of minor children.
${ }_{5}^{4}$ Humber of children affected by decree.
${ }^{5}$ THumber of children.

Table 4-9. Divorces and Annulments by Duration of Marriage and Number of Children Reported: Divorce-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961-Con.
(By place ofoccurrence. Baselon sample data. Data relate to children under 18 years of age except as noted. For sample design and sampling variability, see Technical Appendix)

| area nti duration of marriage | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avi } \\ \text { avores } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annuiments } \end{gathered}$ | HIMEER OF CHIDEEM REPORTED |  |  |  |  | AREA AITD DURAmTON OF MARrIage | $\begin{gathered} \text { ADI } \\ \text { Avores } \\ \text { annulments } \end{gathered}$ | NUMER OF ChItiden frporied |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Itone | 1 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ilot } \\ \text { stated } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Hone | 1 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { stated }}{\text { Rot }}$ |
| DIVORCE-HEGISTRATTOH AREA-Con. TEMESGEFs |  |  |  |  |  |  | DIVORCE-REGISTRATTON AREA-COM. <br> HISCONSIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tatnl--------------------... | 9,360 | 4,100 | 2,100 | 1,580 | 1,360 | 240 | Total-----u-------------- | 4,280 | 1,490 | 1,020 | 760 | 880 | 130 |
|  | 680 1,880 | 1,120 | 40 560 | 100 | 20 | 60 |  | 180 570 | 170 200 | 10 220 | 100 | 10 | 40 |
|  | 1,440 | 1,680 | 440 | 240 | 80 |  |  | 640 | 240 | 230 | 130 | 40 |  |
| $5-9$ yearn----------------------- | 2,360 | 700 | 580 | 680 | 400 | - | 5-9 years---------------------- | 1,030 | 340 | 180 | 200 | 290 | 20 |
|  | 3,240 | 400 | 240 | 340 | 260 | 0 | 10-14 years------------------- | 710 | 150 | 300 | 160 | 280 | 20 |
|  | 1,660 | 540 | 240 | 180 | 600 | 100 | 15 years and over------------- | 1,140 | 380 | 280 | 170 | 260 | 50 |
| fiot हtated---- | 120 | 80 |  | 40 |  |  | Hot stated- |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| Uтан |  |  |  |  |  |  | нरомTG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total--------------------- | 2,360 | 544 | 424 | 298 | 410 | 684 | Total------------.-.-..---- | 1,306 | 512 | 324 | 224 | 224 | 22 |
| Under 1 and 1 year--------------------------- | 1848 | 190 | 18 176 | 32 | ${ }^{82}$ | 18 | $1{ }^{1}$ and 2 y years----------------------- | 308 | 172 | 104 | 18 | B | 4 |
|  | 282 | 100 | 70 | 52 | 40 | 20 | 3 and 4 years------------------ | 220 | 72 | 72 | 60 | 14 | 2 |
|  | 432 208 | ${ }_{34}^{86}$ | 66 42 | $\begin{array}{r}108 \\ 34 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 150 88 | 122 |  | 284 130 | 88 <br> 34 | 48 | 64 34 | 82 | 2 |
| 15 yeara and over------------- | 268 | 44 | 50 | 68 | 96 | 10 | 15 years and over-----------.... | 216 | 42 | 56 | 44 | 70 | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ |
| Hot otated-------------------- | 588 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 566 | Hot stated--------------------- | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | 2 |  |
| VITGEIIA ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total-------------------- | 7,580 | 2,950 | 2,060 | 1,220 | 1,120 | 230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 1. year------------------ |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,040 1,210 | 690 520 | 250 470 | 20 120 | $7{ }^{-}$ | 80 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,940 | 590 | 580 | 420 | 300 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-14 years------------------ | 1,350 | 410 | 340 | 300 | 290 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 years and over------------- | 1,960 | 690 | 410 | 360 | 460 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot atated-n---n-------.------- | 30 |  | 10 | 10 | - | 10 | $i$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ flimber of minor ehildiren affected.
${ }^{8}$ rimmber of children under 18 of this marriage.

Table 4-10. Divorces and Annulments by Legal Grounds for Decree: DivorceRegistration Area and Each Registration State, 196l
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. For sample design and sampling variability, see Technical Appendix)

| AREA. | $\begin{gathered} \text { AII } \\ \text { divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulments } \end{gathered}$ | legail grounds for increer |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Aduztery | Cruelty | Desertion | Nonsupport | Other | Hot stated |
| DIVORCE-REAIETRATIOAR AREA---------------------- | 125,128 | 1,858 | 78,662 | 27,065 | 7,050 | 5,179 | 5,314 |
|  | 17,700 | 280 | 9,280 | 7,320 | 40 | 640 | 140 |
| Nlacka--- | 916 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,554 | 2 | 1,306 | 120 | 74 | 50 | 1,870 |
|  | 2,684 | 8 | 2,244 | 78 | 42 | 104 | 208 |
|  | 4,800 | 40 | 4,380 | 150 | 10 | 170 | 50 |
|  | 5,170 | 40 | 690 | 280 | 4,030 | 130 | ${ }^{-}$ |
|  | 5,300 | 750 | 20 | 2,490 |  | ${ }^{21,000}$ | 1,040 |
|  | 16,120 11,560 | 20 40 | 13,360 9,160 | 640 1,540 | 1,800 260 | 220 240 | 80 320 |
|  | 21,560 | 40 | 9,160 | 1,540 | 260 | 240 | 320 |
|  | 2,034 | 12 | 1,684 | 152 | 44 | 136 | 6 |
|  | 2,370 | 18 | 1,942 | 126 | 166 | 116 | 2 |
|  | 6,000 | 10 | 5,280 | 440 | 20 | 330 | 120 |
|  | 13,620 | 120 | 10,220 | 2,720 | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | 120 | 440 |
|  | 854 | 1 | 721 | 72 | 28 | 30 | 2 |
|  | 9,380 | 100 | 6,260 | 2,420 | 340 | 100 | 160 |
|  | 2,350 | 8 | 1,420 | 54 | 54 | 50 | 774 |
|  | 7,580 | 380 |  | 6,800 | 0 | 380 | 20 |
|  | 4,280 1,306 | 4 | 3,560 1,166 | 210 72 | 90 24 | 340 40 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{2}$ Includes 711 decrees granted on grounds of incompatability
Includes 540 decrees granted after a period of separation.

Table 4-11. Divorces and Annulments by Party to Whom Decree Was Granted, Whether Plaintiff or Other: Divorce-Registration Area and Each Registration State, 1961

${ }^{1}$ Parent, guardian, cross-complainant, or both husband and wife.
${ }^{2}$ Includes 10 cases uhere plaintiff was other than husband or wife.
Includes 4 cases where plaintiff was other than husband or wfe.
${ }^{4}$ Includes 2 cases where plaintiff was other than husband or wfe.

Table 4-12. Divorces and Annulments by Plaintiff and Duration of Marriage: DivorceRegistration Area and Each Registration State, 1961

| AREA AITD DURATION OF MARPLage | $\begin{gathered} \text { A11 } \\ \text { divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulments } \end{gathered}$ | Platwitar |  |  | AREA ALD DURAITION OF MARrdage | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { atvores } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annuzents } \end{gathered}$ | PLATHTIFF |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Husbana | Whfe | Not statea |  |  | Husband | Wife | Not stated |
| divorce-megibtration area | .225,128 | 33,856 | 87,357 | ${ }^{13,915}$ | DIVORCE-REZISTRATITON AREA-Con. <br> MARYLARD |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6,799 | 2,142 | 4,535 | 122 | Total--------------------------- | 5,300 | 1,710 | 2,630 | 960 |
|  | 20,991 | 5,884 | 14,555 | 552 | Under 1 year------------------------- | 50 |  | 30 |  |
|  | 18,221 | 4,699 | 13,170 | 352 |  | 360 | 240 | 220 |  |
|  | 29,863 | 7,517 | 21,934 | 412 | 3 and 4 years---------------------------------- | , 610 | 200 | 390 | 20 |
|  | 18,770 | 4,771 | 13,596 | 403 |  | 1,260 | 560 320 | 650 620 | 40 |
| Mot ytated-------------------------------- | 26,495 3,499 | 8,050 | 17,963 1,624 | 1,592 |  | $\begin{array}{r}1,140 \\ \hline 940\end{array}$ | 320 450 20 | 620 690 20 | 900 |
| atabama |  |  |  |  | MICEICAM |  |  |  |  |
| Total-n--------------------------- | 17,700 | 5,640 | 12,060 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,120 | 320 | ${ }^{800}$ | - |  | 16,120 | 3,820 320 | 10,780 320 | 1,520 |
|  | 3,140 2,440 | 1,040 | 2,100 1,720 | - |  | 2,520 | 320 660 | 320 1,460 | 60 400 |
|  | 4,160 | 1,300 | 2,860 | - | 3 and 4 years------------------------ | 2,120 | 400 | 1,500 | 220 |
| 10-14 yeara--------------------------- | 2,760 | ${ }^{860}$ | 1,900 | - |  | 4,200 | 940 520 | 3,060 | 200 |
|  <br> Not btated | 3,960 | 1,360 40 | 2,600 80 | - |  | 3,760 | 580 980 | 2,060 2,360 | 420 |
| ALASKA |  |  |  |  | Not stated-------------------------- | 20 |  | 20 |  |
|  | 916 | 343 | 573 | - | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}55 \\ 164 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 23 74 | 32 90 | - |  | -780 | 2,400 | 9,000 | 160 |
|  | 161 | 50 | 17 | - |  | 2,200 | 320 | 1,760 | 20 |
|  | 235 | 89 | 146 | - | 3 and 4 yearg | 1,880 | 360 | 1,500 |  |
|  | 153 | 47 | 106 | - | .5-9 years----------------------------- | 2,880 | 520 | 2,320 | 40 |
|  | 1.43 | 58 | ${ }^{85}$ | - | 15 yeare ond over | 1,420 2,380 | 300 620 | 1,040 | 80 |
| Not stated-n----------------------- | 5 | 2 | 3 |  | OFEr | 2,380 | 620 60 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,760 \\ 40 \end{array}$ | 20 |
| GTorgia |  |  |  |  | MORTAMA |  |  |  |  |
| Tbtal---------------------------- | 9,540 | 3,140 | 6,140 | 260 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 570 | 180 | 390 |  |  | 2,034 | 512 | 1,520 | 32 |
|  | 1,440 | 540 360 | 840 840 | 70 |  | 184 | $\begin{array}{r}34 \\ 120 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 150 322 | 2 |
|  | 1,270 | 360 <br> 520 | 1,170 | 70 30 |  | 368 | 94 | 274 |  |
|  | 1,220 | 360 | ${ }^{120}$ | 50 |  | 430 | 204 | 326 |  |
|  | 1,670 | 620 | 1,030 | 20 |  | 266 | 68 | 198 |  |
| Hot stated--------------------------- | 1,650 | 560 | 1,060 | 30 |  <br> Hot stated | 336 6 | 92 | ${ }^{244}$ |  |
| HAYAII |  |  |  |  | NERRASKA |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,554 | 446 | 1,108 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 1 year----------------------- | 110 | 42 |  |  |  | 2,370 | 538 | 1,828 | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ |
|  | 298 <br> 248 <br> 18 | 114 | 184 | - |  | 156 <br> 436 | 112 | 112 324 |  |
|  | 376 | 90 | 286 | - |  | 374 | 88 | 286 |  |
|  | 260 | 59 | 202 | - |  | 558 | 120 | 438 |  |
|  | 262 | 96 | 166 | - | 10-14 years-------------------------- | 364 | 92 | 282 |  |
|  | - |  |  | - |  | 478 4 | $\begin{array}{r}94 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}384 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |
| TDABD |  |  |  |  | OREGON |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,604 | 714 | 1,876 | 294 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 1 year------------------------ | 292 | 100 | 182 | 10 |  | 6,000 | 3,340 | 4,630 | 30 |
|  | 612 | 166 | 444 | 2 |  | 370 1,260 | 130 250 | 1,240 |  |
|  | 422 | 104 | 316 | 2 |  | 1,260 | 250 160 | 1,010 |  |
|  | 488 | 118 | 360 | 10 |  | 1,350 | 290 | 1,060 | 10 |
|  | 410 | 116 | 288 | 6 |  | 830 | 210 | 620 |  |
|  | 140 | 22 | 54 | 64 |  <br> Not stated- | 1,210 50 | 290 10 | 910 30 | 10 10 |
| IOHA |  |  |  |  | pencrsyuanta |  |  |  |  |
| Total---------------------------- | 4,800 | 960 | 3,840 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 1 year------------------------- | 440 | ${ }^{60}$ | 380 | - |  | 13,620 320 | 4,320 140 | 9,200 | 100 |
|  | 980 870 | 220 180 | 760 690 |  |  | 1,900 | ${ }_{660}^{140}$ | 1,200 | 40 |
|  | 950 | 120 | 830 |  |  | 1,900 | 780 | 1,100 | 20 |
| 10-14 years------------------------ | 740 | 170 | 570 | - |  | 3,520 <br> 2,240 | 1,000 | 2,520 | $-$ |
|  | 820 | 210 | 610 | - |  |  |  | 1,640 | 40 |
|  |  |  |  | - |  | 3,580 <br> 18 | 1,140 40 | 1,420 140 | - |
| kANSAS |  |  |  |  | SOUTH DAKOTA |  |  |  |  |
| Total------------------------------- | 5,170 | 1,250 | 3,880 | 40 |  | 854 | 197 | 656 | 1 |
|  | 300 920 | 120 250 | 280 660 | 10 |  | 64 | 15 | 49 | - |
|  | 710 | 190 | 580 | 10 |  | 126 | 42 <br> 21 | 129 105 | - |
| 5-9 yeara------------------------- | 1,490 | 300 | 1,180 | 10 |  | 200 | 52 | 148 | - |
|  | 690 | 120 | 570 | - |  | 129 | 34 | 94 | 1 |
|  | 950 10 | 260 10 | 670 | 20 | 15 years and over-------------------- | 162 | 32 | 130 | - |

[^11]2Includes 4 cases where plaintiff was other than hmsband or wife.
${ }^{\text {Inclu}}$

Table 4-12. Divorces and Annulments by Plaintiff and Duration of Marriage: DivorceRegistration Area and Each Registration State, 1961-Con.
(By place of occurrence. Based on sample data. For sample design and sampling variability, see Technical Appendix)

| AREA Ald duration of Marriage | $\begin{gathered} \text { Al3 } \\ \text { atvorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulments } \end{gathered}$ | PIALNTIFF |  |  | AREA AfD DURATIOI OF MARRTAGE | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { deforces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { armulments } \end{gathered}$ | FLADTIETF |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Husband | Wife | Not stated |  |  | Husband | Wife | Not stated |
| DIVORCE-REGISTRATION AREA-CON. TTENNESSEE |  |  |  |  | DIVORCE-REGISTRATION AREA-CON. WISCOMSIT |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9,380 | 2,180 | 7,120 | 80 |  | 4,280 | 980 | 3,280 | co |
|  | 680 | 260 | 380 | 40 |  | 180 | 40 | 140 | - |
|  | 1,860 | 400 | 1,480 | - |  | 570 | 140 | 430 | - |
|  | 1,440 | 320 | 1,120 | - |  | 640 | 100 | 540 | ${ }^{*}$ |
|  | 2,360 | 380 | 1,940 | 40 |  | 1,030 | 270 | 750 | 10 |
|  | 1,240 | 320 | 920 | - |  | 710 | 130 | 580 | - |
|  | 1,660 | 480 | 1,180 | - |  | 1,140 | 300 | 830 | 10 |
|  | 120 | 20 | 100 | - |  | 10 | - | 10 | - |
| UTAE |  |  |  |  | WYOMIMG |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,360 | 352 | 1,364 | 644 | Total------------------------- | 1,306 | 344 | 962 | - |
| Under 1 year------------------------ | 134 | 30 | 96 | 8 |  | 144 | 38 | 106 | $=$ |
|  | 448 | 88 | 342 | 18 |  | 308 | 88 | 220 | - |
|  | 282 | 42 | 230 | 10 |  | 220 | 54 | 166 | - |
|  | 432 | 90 | 310 | 32 |  | 284 | 54 | 230 | $\cdots$ |
|  | 208 | 38 | 158 | 12 |  | 1.30 | 46 | 84 | - |
|  | 258 | ¢ | 202 | 6 |  | 216 | 62 | 254 | - |
|  | 588 | 4 | 26 | 558 |  | 4 | 2 | 2 | $\checkmark$ |
| VIRGINIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7,580 | 2,670 | 4,910 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50 | 30 460 | 20 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,040 | 460 410 | 580 800 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,940 | 600 | 1,340 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,350 | 440 | 1910 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,960 30 | 730 | 1,230 | - |  |  |  |  |  |

# Section 5. Marriages and Divorces, Each State and County 

Table<br>Page<br>

## SYMBOLS USED IN TABLES

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Data not availabl |  |
| Quantity zero in frequency tables |  |
| Quantity zero in rate or percent |  |
| Rate or percent more than 0 but less than $0.05-\ldots-{ }^{-}$ | 0.0 |
| Both frequency and population base zero in rate or percent tables |  |
| igures within parentheses not inciud |  |

# Table 5-l. Marriages and Divorces and Annulments: United States, Each State and County, 1961 

(By place of occurrence. For sources of data, see Technical Appendix)

| AREA | Marriages | $\begin{gathered} \text { Divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulrents } \end{gathered}$ | AREA | Nerrizges | $\begin{gathered} \text { Divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulments } \end{gathered}$ | A.EA | Marriages | $\begin{gathered} \text { Divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulments } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNITIED STATES ${ }^{1}-\ldots . .-{ }^{-}$ | 1,547,945 | 414,000 | ARKANSAS ${ }^{\text {5 }}$---- | 18,768 | 5,872 | CALTFORNTA-Con. |  |  |
| ALABAMA------------------------- | 32,723 | 17,715 |  | 244 <br> 492 <br> 18 | 119 | Kıngs---------------------------------------------- | 427 | 103 |
|  | 279 | 46 |  | 310 | 14 |  | 35 | 23 |
|  | 909 | 361 | Benton | 378 | $\stackrel{121}{51}$ | Los Angeles--------------------- | 42,232 | 22,392 |
|  | 192 | 41 |  | 478 |  | Madera- | 303 | 121 |
|  | 158 | 25 | Bradley- | 108 | 28 | Marin---- | 700 | 447 |
|  | 235 | 39 | cealhoun | 61 | 13 | Mariposa----- | 42 | 10 |
|  | 79 | 21 | Carroll | 191 | 85 | Mendocino---- | 250 | 32 |
|  | 201 | 65 |  | 172 | 45 | Merced-- | 592 | 244 |
| Calhoun------------------ | 661 | 275 |  |  |  |  | 11 | 14 |
| Chambero | 309 | 72 | clay- | 333 | 5 | Nono----- | 11 | 4 |
| Cherokec | 271 | 32 | cleburn | 94 | 18 | Monterey---------------------- | 1,503 | 477 |
| chilton | 269 | 28 |  | 139 308 | 134 | Napa-- | 385 97 | 198 |
|  | 181 | 38 | Conway-. | 189 | ${ }_{3}$ |  | -918 | 2,192 |
|  | 234 162 | 14 |  | 723 | 175 |  | 202 | 138 |
|  | 121 | 21 | Crawford- | 251 | 62 | Plumas- | 24 | 37 |
| Corpee- | 324 | 91 |  | 692 | 119 | Riverside | 2,197 | 690 |
|  | 471 | 147 | Cross | 188 | 50 | Sacramen | 2,701 | 1,686 |
|  | 223 | 29 | Dallas-..- | 129 | 3 | San Benitown-- | 2,943 |  |
| Coosa | 146 | 21 449 | De | 226 | 55 | San Diego-------------------1-1 | 7,785 | 1,667 |
| Covington------------------------- | 832 | 449 | Dreu <br> Faulkmer- | $\begin{aligned} & 143 \\ & 309 \end{aligned}$ | 37 |  | 6,485 | 2,587 |
| Crenahav- | 127 | 413 | Frank11n- | 115 | 5 | Sen Joaquin------------------------ | 1,188 | 681 |
| cullman | 480 | 180 | Fuztor | 138 | 15 |  | 620 | 221 |
| Dale-- | 25 | 98 | GarIand------------------------------ | 523 | 330 | San Mateo-------------------- | 2,029 | 1,427 |
|  | 458 | 126 | Grant- | 122 | 2 | Santa Berbara- | 1,461 | 446 |
|  | 297 | 57 | Greene------------------------1---- | 329 | 92 | Santa Clara-- | 4,226 | 1,900 |
| Elmore-- | 300 | 59 | Fempstead- | 169 | 47 | Santa Cruz | 638 | 219 |
| Excambia | 894 | 101 | Hot Spring-------------------------- | 336 | 100 | Shasta------ | 429 | 251 |
|  | 646 170 | 360 42 |  | 109 | 6 | S1erra-.... | ${ }^{2}$ | 4 |
|  | 275 | 5 | Independence----------------------- | 204 | 26 |  | 680 | 418 |
| Geneva- | 272 | 2,867 |  | 303 | 83 | Sonoma- | 812 | 421 |
|  | 99 |  |  | 625 | 228 | Stanis | 1,143 | 434 |
|  | 133 | 13 | Johnson- | 173 | 57 | Sutter-- | 132 | 80 |
|  | 123 | 16 | Lafayette-------------------------- | 128 | 44 | Tehama- | 134 | 73 |
|  | 556 | 124 | Lewrence-------------------------- | 14 | 7 | Trinity | 26 | 41 |
| Jackron-- | 204 | 103 | Lee---- | 189 | 52 | Tulare- | 1,207 | 396 |
| Jefferion- | 5,194 | 1,957 | Lincolr | 122 | 8 | Tuolum | 57 | 52 |
| Lanar-.------ | 1968 | 14 |  | 76 | 12 | Ventur | 1,510 | 603 |
|  | 1,646 | 182 29 |  |  |  | Yolo- | 169 | 164 |
|  | 266 | 29 |  | ${ }_{268}^{138}$ | 52 | Yuba- | 282 | 77 |
|  | 387 | 227 | Madison- | $\stackrel{271}{51}$ | $\cdots$ | COLORADO-- | s $8_{16,842}$ | 15,600 |
| Limeatone-------------------------------- | 393 | 56 | Minler- | 335 | 150 | Adans-- | 495 |  |
|  | 116 | 7 |  | 747 | 259 | Alanose------------------------------- | 274 | 3 |
|  | 1,001 | 425 | Wonroe-- | 152 | 31 | Arapahoe- | 894 | 94 |
|  | 220 | 54 |  | 60 | 33 | Archuleta | 25 | 1 |
|  | 152 | 1,825 | Nevade | 88 | 23 | Baca----- | 44 | 12 |
|  | 472 | 168 | Newton----------------------- | 45 | --- | Bent--.-- | $\stackrel{41}{4}$ | 16 |
| Kobile--- | 2,356 | 676 35 | Ouachita------------------1-1-1- | 309 | 119 | Boulder-- | 740 | 188 24 |
| Nonrce | 190 | 35 |  | $\begin{array}{r}61 \\ 384 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 119 | Charfee-- | 14 | 1 |
|  | 1,456 | 664 | Philllips----------------------------- | 384 | 119 | clear Cre | 68 | 17 |
|  | 597 | 173 22 |  | 74 373 | 133 | Conejos- |  |  |
|  | 148 312 |  | Polk---------------------------------------------- | 95 | 135 39 |  | 733 | 3 |
|  | 371 | 56 |  | 286 57 | ${ }_{28}^{46}$ | Crowley- | 36 | 11 |
| Randolyh--------------------------- | 174 | 688 | Pulaski- | 1,948 | 1,223 | Celta--- | 186 | 5 |
|  | 1,313 | 472 50 |  | 1, 150 | 1, 32 |  | 6,207 | 2,322 |
| Bhelby | 301 333 | 59 |  | 150 335 | 75 | Dolores--------------------.-- | 14 | , |
| dunter- | 232 | 22 | Saline- | 789 | 112 |  | 224 | 12 |
| Talladega-----------------------...- | 790 | 193 |  | 54 | 15 | Eagle--- | 20 23 | 3 |
|  | 276 | 53 | Searcy------------------------------ | 92 | 24 |  |  |  |
|  | 892 | 323 | Sebastian | 488 | 279 |  | 1,428 | 510 |
|  | 677 | 167 | Sevier | 109 | 32 | Fremont-- | 167 | 42 |
|  | 200 | 69 | Sharp---- | --9 | --- |  | 114 27 | 29 |
| Wilcox----------------------------- | 110 | ${ }_{3,148}^{16}$ |  | ${ }_{488}$ | 260 | Grand---------------------------------- | 37 | 1 |
| Winaton--- | 210 | 3,148 | Van Buren- |  | 12 |  | 65 | 5 |
|  | 2,091 | 915 | Van Ruren- | 412 | 196 | Hinsdale---------------------------- | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 334 | 97 | Huerfano--------------------------- | 69 | 19 |
| Becond Judicial Biatrict--------... | 69 |  |  | $\cdots$ | 5 |  | 918 | 17 |
| Third Judiciel District--------..... | 1,205 | 561 | Ye31-------------------------- | 65 | 17 |  |  |  |
| Fourth Judicial District---------- | 438 | 177 | CALTFORRIA | 109,642 | 51,644 | Kiowe-- | 30 | ${ }^{8}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Take---- | 71 | 12 |
| ARTZOHA--------------- | 10,426 | 6,973 | Alamede- | 5,627 | 2,891 | La Plata | 194 | 65 |
|  | 86 | 14 | A1pine--------------------------------- |  |  | Iarimer- | 568 | 22 |
| Cochive-------n----------------------- | 377 | 181 | Amador------------------------------ | 39 | 23 | Las Anima | 134 | 27 |
|  | 301 | 140 | Butte---------------------------------- | 417 | 287 | İncoln--- | 46 | 7 |
|  | 191 | 99 | Calaveras | 31 | 13 |  | 179 | 42 |
|  | 124 | 55 | Colusa-- | 43 | 29 |  | 513 | 188 |
| Greenlee----------------------------- |  | 38 | Contra Costa------------------------1- | 1,718 | 1,068 | Wineral- | 2 | 1 |
| Maricopa------------------------- | $\mathbf{3}_{4,860}$ | 44,257 |  | 180 |  |  | 77 | 24 |
|  | 63 | 19 |  |  | ${ }_{867}^{65}$ |  | 134 | 50 |
| Havajo------------------------------ | ${ }_{3} 250$ | 75 4 | Fresno---------------------------- | 2,670 | 867 | Montrose | 128 | 56 |
|  | 31,856 | ${ }^{4} 1,425$ | Glenn--------------------------------- | 77 | 32 | Morgan-------------------------------- | 165 | 43 |
|  | 508 | 268 |  | 798 | 345 |  | 196 | 37 |
|  | 318 | 25 | Imperial-.-------------------------- | 5,101 | 251 |  | 25 | 2 |
| Yavapai--n------------n------------ | 213 | 153 |  | 41 | 56 | Park- | 16 | 9 |
|  | $3_{1,223}$ | 224 |  | 2,075 | 1,001 | Phillips- | 35 | 4 |

Bee footnotea at end of table.

# Table 5-l. Marriages and Divorces and Annulments: United States, Each State and County, 1961-Con. 

(By place of occurrence. For sources of data, see Technical Appendix)


See footnotes at end of table.

Table 5-1. Marriages and Divorces and Annulments: United States, Each State and County, 1961 -Con.
(By place of occurrence. For sources of data, see Technical Appendix)

| AREA | Marriages | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulrents } \end{array}$ | AREA | Marriages | $\begin{gathered} \text { Divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulments } \end{gathered}$ | AREA | Marriages | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulments } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IDAHO--..------------ | 11,151 | 2,685 | ITmanoxs-con. |  |  | mbiama-Con. |  |  |
|  | 1,005 | 339 | JefPerson | 347 | 54 | Fayette-.------------------------------- | 217 | 52 |
|  | 26 | 217 |  | 129 | 22 | Floyd---------------------------------------------- | $\begin{aligned} & 499 \\ & 158 \end{aligned}$ | 122 38 |
|  | 456 | 6 |  | 297 | 13 |  | 189 | 38 17 |
|  | 87 | 20 | Johnson------------------------------ | 68 | 7 | Fulton----- | 126 | 31 |
| bincham | 184 | 54 |  | 1,708 | 637 | Gibson--------------------------------- | 189 | 51 |
| R1ainc--- | 48 | 17 | Kankakee------------------------------------------ | ${ }_{149} 6$ | 4 | Grant------------------------- | 699 | 318 |
| Roine--------- | 17 |  |  |  |  | Greene--------------- | 239 | 98 |
| Ronner- | 221 | 62 |  |  |  | Hamilton-- | 494 | 121 |
| Eonneville-----------------.........- | 892 | 187 | Knox-- | 522 | 205 | Hancock---------------------------1 | 289 | 157 |
|  |  |  |  | 3,743 | 595 |  |  |  |
|  | 140 | 15 | La Salle------------------------ | 840 | 226 35 | Herrison-0--------------------------- | 156 | 31 |
|  | 19 | 8 | Lawrence- | $\begin{array}{r}341 \\ 262 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 35 65 | Hendricks- | 258 | 81 |
|  | ${ }^{6}$ | 8 8 |  | 255 | 49 |  | 392 | 155 |
|  | 517 | 259 | -Hvingston------------------------ | 255 | 45 |  | 600 | 254 |
| carthut | $4{ }^{4}$ | 14 |  | 231 | 40 |  | 281 | ${ }_{84}^{62}$ |
|  | 136 | 68 |  | 248 | 60 |  | 281 | 84 22 |
|  | 62 | 34 |  | 739 | 171 |  | 279 | 54 |
|  | 11 | 4 |  | 629 | 180 |  | 191 | 63 |
| ธıпวrc------------------------------- | 121 | 11 | Wacon- $\qquad$ | 894 302 | 307 52 | Jennings-------------------------- | 140 | ${ }^{1} 57$ |
| Frankl1n------------------------------ | 111 | 12 | Maditon-- | 2,007 | 653 |  |  |  |
| Fremont----------------- | ${ }^{87}$ | 6 | Mar1on---------------- | 329 | 83 | Knox----- | 324 | 175 |
|  | 63 | 33 | Yarchall | 102 | 9 |  | 360 | ${ }^{1}$ |
| doorling-- | 176 | 343 | Kason-- | 143 | 23 | Lagrange-------------------- | 202 | 28 |
|  | 118 <br> 68 | 34 12 12 |  |  |  | Lake---- | 4,388 | ${ }^{1} 1,662$ |
|  | 89 | 18 | Mascac--------------------------- | 376 | 47 |  | 783 | 1314 |
|  | 4,176 | 199 | Nenard- | 178 | 26 |  | 388 1,163 | 80 429 |
|  | 170 | 61 35 | Monroe---- | 396 | 11 | Marion- | 5,744 | 2,864 |
| Lemh1---------------------------- | 104 | 35 |  | 260 | 89 | Narshall- | 260 | 69 |
|  |  |  |  | 333 | 70 |  |  |  |
| Lancoln------------------------------ | 31 | 20 |  | 320 | 27 91 | Martin----------- | 77 | 8 |
|  | 54 | 37 |  | 1,607 | 608 | Miami- | 377 | 5 |
|  | 131 | 42 | Perry----------------------------* | 215 | 29 | \%ontgomery-- | 234 | 160 57 |
| Ilez Terve--------------------------- | 538 | 197 |  |  |  | Horgan- | 308 | 87 |
|  | ${ }_{55}^{68}$ | 1 |  | 104 | 28 |  | 96 | 47 |
|  | 55 | 1 | Pike- | 170 | 42 |  | 247 | 65 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}154 \\ 35 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1 | Pope--- | 52 | 9 | Ohio------------------------ | 37 | e |
| Fhoohone-------------------------- | 228 | 105 | Pulask | 83 | 23 | Orange-------------------------- | 129 | 45 |
|  |  |  |  | 40 |  | Owen------------------------------ | 125 | 15 |
|  | 22 |  |  | ${ }_{125} 23$ | 39 25 |  |  |  |
| Twin Fall | 448 | 38 |  | 1,566 | 510 | Parke | 133 | 62 |
|  | 38 | 1 |  |  |  | Perry-- | 124 | 37 |
|  | 195 | 12 | Sollne----------------------------------------- | 3,2195 | 78 | Pike | 105 | ${ }_{123}^{23}$ |
| ILITHOIS | 88,692 | 25,973 | Sangenon---------------------------- | 1,274 | 322 |  | 107 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  | 87 | 6 | Putnam- | 176 | 60 |
|  | 565 | 155 | Scott- | 60 | 7 | Randolph- | 288 | 65 |
|  | 190 | 35 |  | 165 | 16 |  | 214 | 56 |
|  | 103 | 15 |  | 47 | 7 | Rush------------------------------ | 180 | 74 |
| Roone-------------------------------- | 240 | 41 |  | 352 | 97 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}52 \\ 270 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  | 608 150 | 220 33 | St. Joseph-------------------------- | 1,856 | ${ }^{1} 775$ |
|  | 66 | 6 |  | 1,003 | 276 | Scott------------------------------ | 155 | 29 |
|  | 174 | 49 |  | 198 | 20 | Shelby-- | 298 | 119 |
|  | 114 | 29 |  |  |  | Spencer- | 130 | 34 |
|  | 951 | 243 | Warren-- | 225 | 25 | Steuben- | 781 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 117 | 7 | Sullivan- | 177 | ${ }^{70}$ |
|  | 302 | 82 | Wayne--------------------------------- | 176 | 28 | Swlzerland-- | 38 | 15 |
| clark----------------------------- | 238 | 26 |  | 227 | 51 | Tippecanoe------------------------ | 650 | 391 |
|  | 140 | 11 |  | 575 | 137 |  |  |  |
|  | 166 | 13 | Wi11-------n-n-a------------------- | 1,395 | 259 | Tipton------------------------------- | 101 | 30 |
|  | 371 42,715 | - $\begin{array}{r}131 \\ 15720\end{array}$ | Williamson-------------------------- | 413 | 127 | Union--------------------------------------- | 260 | 10 |
| Crakford-------------------------------------- | 42,715 171 | 15,720 39 | Winnebago <br> Woodford $\qquad$ | 1,861 | 577 18 |  | 995 | 1,205 |
|  | 80 | 17 |  |  |  | Vernmllion------------------------------------------- Vigo---- | 144 909 | ${ }_{3}{ }_{348}^{44}$ |
|  | 404 | $\frac{713}{32}$ | Indand-- | 42,261 | ${ }^{2} 15,241$ | Webash---------------------------------- | 310 | 76 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Warren- | 71 | 8 |
|  | 151 | 22 | Adams--------------------------1... | 240 | 79 | Warrick-----------------------1-1 | 123 | 62 |
|  | 1,840 | 374 | Allen---------------------------------- | 1,809 | 625 |  |  |  |
|  | 229 | 47 |  | $\begin{array}{r}379 \\ 83 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}155 \\ 34 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | -163 | 36 305 |
|  | 71 | 8 |  | 124 | 34 | Wells | 1,253 | 305 24 |
|  | 234 <br> 176 | 22 35 |  | 248 | 51 |  | 166 | 49 |
|  | 176 <br> 138 <br> 1 | 35 25 |  | 61 | 12 |  | 173 | 91 |
| FrankIIn--..------------------------- | 401 | 108 |  | 178 | 31 | County not stated------------------- | 34 | - |
|  | 333 | 90 | Cass----------------------------- | 330 | 82 |  |  |  |
|  | 2,014 | 17 |  | 1,849 | 1204 | IOWA--- | 21,962 | 4,777 |
|  | 128 | 25 | CLey-------------------------------- | 207 | 66 | Ada1r----------------------------- | 75 | 6 |
| Grundy--------------------------------- | 175 | 45 |  | 270 | ${ }^{2} 101$ |  | 57 | 7 |
|  | 75 | 14 |  | 92 | 29 |  | 210 | 11 |
|  | 233 | 22 | Daviess------------------------1.- | 238 | 37 | Appanoose---------------------------- | 17 | 18 |
| Harliln------------------------------ | 115 | 26 |  | 553 | ${ }^{195}$ |  | 53 | 5 |
|  | 90 | 13 |  | 172 | 16 |  | 134 | 19 |
|  | 355 | 50 |  | 280 | 52 | Black Hawk--------------------------- | 763 | 301 |
| Iroquoin-------------------------- | 271 | 40 | Delaware------------------------------ | 1,037 | 407 |  | 179 | 36 |
| Tracknon- | 421 79 | 9 | Dubois | 203 | 18 | Rremer- | 155 | 12 |
| Jnarer- |  |  | Elkhar |  | 362 |  |  | 24 |

Fen footnoter at end of table.

Table 5-l. Marriages and Divorces and Annulments: United States, Each State and County, 1961 -Con.
(By place of occurrence. For sources of data, see Technical Appendix)

| AREA | Harriages | Divorces and annuluents | AREA | Marriages | $\begin{gathered} \text { Divorces } \\ \text { end } \\ \text { annulments } \end{gathered}$ | AFEA | Marriages | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Divorces } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { annulments } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IOWA-Con. |  |  | KANSAS------------------------ | 16,249 | 5,150 | KaISAS-Con. |  |  |
|  | 126 | 37 | Allen------------------------------ | 121 | 36 |  | 41 | 5 |
|  | 107 | 9 | Anderson-------------------------- | 73 | 8 | Sedgrick-------------------------- | 2,34E | 1,19\% |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}92 \\ 164 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 21 | Atchison-------------1------------- | 145 | 42 |  | 121 | 54 |
|  | 164 | 15 29 | Barber--------------------------- | 83 | 15 |  | 1,184 | 570 |
|  | 94 | 20 |  | 244 | 67 59 |  |  | 2 |
|  | 1,032 | 90 |  | 105 | 11 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \\ & \mathbf{6} \end{aligned}$ | 13 |
|  | 105 | 26 | Butler------- | 230 | 71 |  | 49 | 9 |
|  | 1,002 | 12 | Ghase------- | 35 | 8 |  | 7 | 3 |
|  | 71 | 19 |  | 92 | 14 | Stevens | 37 | 9 |
|  | 123 | 25 |  |  |  | Sumner------------------------------ | 215 | 3 |
|  | 191 | 21 |  | 211 | 82 |  | 60 | 9 |
|  | 566 | 118 |  | 32 | 1 |  | 45 | 2 |
| Crawford---------------------------1-2- | 129 | 9 | Clark <br> Clay- | 29 72 | 21 |  | 14 | 3 |
|  | 141 | ${ }_{8} 8$ | cloud------------------------------- | 100 | 16 |  | 97 | 10 |
|  | 74 | 17 |  | 59 | 8 | Wichita--... | 18 | 2 |
|  | 127 | 12 | Comanche | 29 | 3 | Hilson | 8 ? | 35 |
| Des Moines------------------------ | 322 | 97 | Cowley---------------------------1-1- | 233 | 106 | Hoodso | 35 | 7 |
|  | 92 | 17 |  |  |  | Wyandotte------------- | 1,480 | 590 |
|  | 1,179 | 72 | Crawford <br> Decatur- $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 252 \\ 48 \end{array}$ | 68 6 | Kenfucir-- | $3_{26,185}$ | ${ }^{5,457}$ |
| Fmmet---------------------------------------------- | $\begin{array}{r}95 \\ 165 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 18 |  | 48 147 | 43 |  |  |  |
|  | 123 | 26 | Doniphan----------------------------- | 141 | 17 |  | 81 82 | 43 27 |
|  | 106 | 11 |  | 391 33 | 95 |  | 92 | 26 |
| Fremont- | 96 | 19 |  | 33 36 | 5 | Ballard- | 49 | 15 |
| Greene----w---w--------------------- | 94 | 19 |  | 36 | 4 | Berren- | 162 | 83 |
|  | 98 | 6 | E1118--------------------------------- | 154 | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bath-- } \\ & \text { Eelli- } \end{aligned}$ | -94 | 115 |
| Guthrie---------------------------- | 91 | 26 |  | 72 | 13 | Boone- | 159 | 29 |
|  | 123 | 22 |  | 148 | 47 | Bourbon- | 170 | 45 |
| Eancock----------------------------- | ${ }^{88}$ | 7 |  | 186 | 36 |  | ¢82 | 135 |
|  | 132 | 19 |  | 168 | 31 |  |  |  |
| Harrison--------------------------- | 160 | 26 |  | 343 | 105 |  | 192 | 43 |
|  | 118 | 22 | Gove------------------------------- | 36 | 3 | Bracken-- | 70 | 15 |
|  | 122 97 | 18 | Graham Grant- | 29 29 | 9 8 8 | Breathitt--- | $16 \epsilon$ | 51 |
|  | 58 | 7 | Gray---------------------------------------------- | 47 | 2 | Bullitt-------------------- | 163 | 24 |
| Iowa--------.---- |  |  |  |  |  | Butler- | 74 | 16 |
|  | 146 | 28 | Greeley------------------------------ | 12 | 2 | Calawell |  |  |
|  | 244 | 56 |  | 81 | 22 | Calloway- | , 197 | 31 |
|  | 132 | 31 |  | 27 | 7 | Carilsle-- | , 34 | 171 |
|  | 488 | 90 | Harper----------------------------- | 59 | 15 |  |  |  |
|  | 144 | 20 | Harvey---------------------------- | 264 | 34 | Carroll----------------- | 145 | --1 |
| Keokuk- | 118 | 10 | Haskell---------------------------- | 22 | 5 |  | 242 | 51 |
|  | 170 | 19 | Hodgeman----------------------------- | 20 | 5 |  | 93 | 33 |
|  | 330 | 97 | Jackson---------------------------- | 87 | 15 |  | 415 | 日 |
|  | 885 | 370 |  <br> Jewell | $\begin{array}{r} 106 \\ 41 \end{array}$ | 13 2 | Clark <br> Clay- | 293 | ${ }_{67}$ |
|  | 59 | 11 |  |  |  |  | 35 | 27 |
|  | 77 | 20 | johnson----------------------------- | 658 | 251 | Crittenden---------------------1-1- | 34 | 17 |
|  | 115 | 4 |  | 31 | 3 |  | 25 | 18 |
|  | 96 | 13 |  | 90 | 7 | Daviess---------------------------1. | 514 | 170 |
| Mahaska----------------------------- | 167 | 52 |  | 26 | 4 | Edmonson- | $5 ¢$ | 14 |
| Marion------------------------------ | 189 | 44 | Labette | 211 | 52 | E11iott- | $4 \varepsilon$ | 10 |
|  | 255 | 73 |  | 15 | 4 | Estill- | 127 | 83 |
|  | 174 | 23 |  | 356 | 106 |  | 759 | 130 |
|  | 112 | 18 |  | 49 | 7 |  | 115 | 14 |
|  | 101 | 18 | THan- | 54 | 13 | Floyd--- | 244 | 59 |
|  | 54 |  |  | 37 | 5 | Franklin | 258 | $\cdots$ |
|  | 108 | 23 |  |  |  |  | 114 | $\sim$ |
|  | 266 | 98 |  | 192 | 50 |  | 44 90 | 12 |
|  | 122 | 12 | McPherson---------------------------- | 88 | 27 |  |  | 6 |
|  | 69 | 13 |  | 168 | 15 | Grant------------------------------1-1-- | 127 | 21 |
|  | 149 | 32 | Marshall--------------------------------------------- | $\begin{array}{r}183 \\ 45 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 16 4 |  | 232 | 83 33 |
|  | 114 | 17 |  | 135 | 28 |  | $\begin{array}{r}151 \\ 80 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}33 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
|  | 98 | 7 |  | 68 | 8 |  | 513 | a3 |
|  | 1,912 | 880 |  | 335 | 106 | Hancock---------------------------- | $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $\cdots$ |
|  |  |  |  | 62 | 10 |  | 491 | 200 |
| Pottawattanie-------.---------------- | 772 | 247 |  | 14 | 3 |  | 290 | 120 |
|  | 109 | 10 |  |  |  | Harrison | 122 | 29 |
|  | 45 | 9 |  | 86 | 4 | Hart- | 90 | -- |
|  | 106 | 9 |  | 132 | 41 |  | 230 | 13f |
|  | 809 | 305 |  | 44 | 5 |  | 92 | 34 |
|  | 207 | 13 9 |  | 77 | 21 |  | 35 | 10 |
|  | 272 | 59 |  | $\frac{173}{42}$ | 19 5 |  | 163 | 142 |
|  | 97 | 13 |  | 42 50 | 3 |  | $\begin{array}{r}191 \\ 4,024 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 45 1,793 |
|  | 67 | 9 |  | 75 | 20 |  | 4,024 | 1,793 $<0$ |
| Union-.. |  |  |  | 80 | 10 | Johnson---- | 271 | ¢0 |
|  | 92 71 | 19 8 |  | 87 | 15 |  | 1,4ย0 | 397 |
|  | 314 | 237 |  |  |  | Knott | 130 | 42 |
|  | 151 | 10 | Pratt------------------------------ | 92 | 34 |  | 187 | 22 |
| Washington-----------.------------ | 118 | 17 | Rawlins--------------------------- | 40 | 5 |  | 70 | 27 |
|  | 56 | 10 | Reno------------------------------ | 492 | 172 | Iaurel-----------------------*-- | 217 | 87 |
| Webster---------------------------- | 322 | 61 |  | ${ }_{171}^{81}$ | 8 |  | 160 | $\cdots$ |
|  | 107 | 12 |  | $\frac{171}{243}$ | 18 |  | 64. | 31 |
|  | 178 | 21 | $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r}243 \\ 66 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 15 |  | - 180 | 13 |
| Woodbury--------------------------- | 650 | 271 |  | 52 | 15 6 |  | 1284 | ${ }^{19}$ |
|  | 483 | 8 |  | 202 | 8 |  | 199 | ${ }^{2} 5$ |
| Wright------------------------------ | 107 | 20 | Saltne------------------------------- | 372 | 199 |  | 40 | 83 |

# Table 5-l. Marriages and Divorces and Annulments: United States, Each State and County, 1961 -Con. 

(By place of occurrence. For sources of data, see Technical Appendix)

| AREA | Marriages | $\begin{gathered} \text { Divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulrents } \end{gathered}$ | AREA | Marriages | $\begin{gathered} \text { Divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annuiments } \end{gathered}$ | AREA | Karriages | $\begin{gathered} \text { Divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulments } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KENMUCKY-Con. |  |  | LOUSTANA ${ }^{\text {B }}$-Con. |  |  | mLCHEGAN ${ }^{\text {9 }}$ - | 63,320 | 16,219 |
|  | 145 | 40 | Ouachita------------------------------ | 621 | 1 | Alcona-- | 55 | 8 |
|  | 39 | 9 | Plaquemines- | 135 | 24 | Alger- | 67 | 10 |
| McCracken | 398 | 279 |  | 188 | 10 |  | 424 | 74 |
|  | 110 | 18 |  | $\begin{array}{r}867 \\ 96 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 190 | Alpena--------------------------------------- | 205 | 45 |
| Madicon- | 307 | 62 | Richland- | 173 | 21 | Antran-------------------------------------- | 83 | 10 |
|  | 127 | 37 | Sabine---- | 118 | 26 |  | 54 | 8 |
| Marion-- | 146 | 18 | St. Bernard- | 918 | $\cdots$ |  | 258 | 62 |
|  | 138 | 47 |  | 132 | 15 | Bey------------------------------1-1 | 825 | 176 |
| Martin---------------------------- | 153 | 20 | St. Helena | 56 | 3 | Benzie---------------- | 77 | 14 |
| Macon-- | 322 | 34 |  | 145 | - |  | 1,775 | 377 |
| Neade--- | 594 | 9 | St. John the Baptist-------------- | 134 | 21 | Branch------------------------------ | 372 | 53 |
| Menifee-- | 54 | 9 | St. Iondry------------------------------ | 540 | - | Calhoun | 1,215 | 418 |
| Mercer---------------1.- | 123 | 19 | St. Martin-------------------------1-1 | 244 | 9 |  | 315 | 66 |
| Metcalie | ${ }^{24}$ | 19 | St. Mary-n------------------------- | 437 | 61 | Charlevoix------------ | 126 | 22 |
| Manroe---- | 48 181 | 48 | St. Tammany----------------------- | 262 | 70 | Cheboygan-- | 109 335 | 20 |
| Montgomery- | 181 | 48 | Trangipahoa------------------------1-1- | 497 | 113 | Chippera--------------------- | 335 | 45 |
| \%orean--.- | 164 | 31 | Tensas----- | 65 | 26 | Clare-- | 122 | 19 |
|  | 214 140 | 80 17 | Terrebonne---------------------------------------- | 498 19 | 66 21 | Clinton----------------------------- | 246 | 44 |
| nelson-u------------------------- |  | 17 | Union------------------------------- | 19 | 21 | Crawfora-------------------------- | 60 | 6 |
| Hicholamm | 48 | 6 |  | 304 | 45 | Delta | 293 | 35 |
|  | 125 | 29 | Vernon | 32 | 38 | Diekinson | 186 | 22 |
| Oldham- | 531 | - 12 | Washington------------------------ | 379 50 | 73 | Eato | 412 | 103 |
| Owen | 54 | 12 | Webster----------------------------- | 50 | 73 | Emmet-- | 153 | 29 |
| Owaley- | 61 | 14 | Hest Raton Rouge------------------ | 136 | 20 | Genesee | 3,090 | 1,094 |
| Pendlaton------------------------- | 108 | 27 |  | 129 75 | - | Gladrin-- | 94 | 21 |
|  | 281 | 67 |  | 75 133 | 32 | cogebic---- | 267 | 22 |
| Pike--- | 377 | 154 | Parish not stated- | 23 | - | Gratiot. | 309 | 57 |
| Роне11---------------------------- | 57 | --- |  |  |  | Hillsdaje- | 415 | 60 |
|  | 317 | 122 | MADE- | 7,908 | 2,027 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}24 \\ 128 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 33 |  |  |  |  | 248 | 20 |
| Rockcattle----------------------- | 128 | 33 | Androscoggin ---------------------------------- | $\begin{aligned} & 686 \\ & 653 \end{aligned}$ | 156 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 243 \\ 2.128 \end{array}$ | 26 |
|  | 180 | 40 |  | 1,619 | 474 | Ingham----------------------------------------- | $\begin{array}{r} 2,128 \\ 307 \end{array}$ | 635 80 |
|  | 99 | 5 |  | 198 | 46 | Iosco- | 186 | 35 |
|  | 148 | 35 | Hancock- | 283 | 80 |  |  |  |
|  | 201 | 60 |  |  |  | Tron------------------------------- | 132 | 12 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}224 \\ 53 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 36 8 8 |  | 697 297 | 185 | Iaabella--------------------------- | 343 | 61 |
|  | 150 | 43 | Lincoln-------------------------------- | 137 | 42 |  | 1,158 | 435 |
|  | 77 | 17 |  | 323 | 64 | Kalkaske | 1,31 | 47 |
| Trice--u-------------------------- | 64 | 7 | Penobscot- | 1,079 | 255 | Kent-- | 2,994 | 594 |
|  |  |  | Piscataquis----------------------------- | 139 | 47 | Кенеепак | 19 |  |
| Trimble-------------------------1 | 106 | 115 | Sagadahoc-- | 209 | 76 |  | 28 | 10 |
| Union------------------------------- | 887 | 50 |  | 339 | 72 | Iapeer--- | 307 | 89 |
| Warren--------------------------- | 287 | 143 | Waldo--------------------------------------- | 175 | 73 63 | Ieelanau | 43 | 11 |
| Waohington------------------------ | 107 97 | $\stackrel{9}{4}$ | Washington-------------------------- <br> York- | 259 885 | 63 190 |  |  |  |
|  | 97 50 | 4 | York- | 885 | 190 |  | 651 279 | 158 |
|  | 236 | 48 | MARYIAND--------------------- | 39,977 | 5,296 | - | 74 |  |
| Wolfo--------m--------------- | 64 | 36 |  |  |  |  | 89 | 20 |
| Woodford- | 112 | 27 | Allegany---------------------------- | 2,348 | 160 |  | 2,347 | 849 |
| toutiata ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ,057 | 55,142 |  | 1,463 <br> 3,141 | 273 |  | 153 | 34 |
|  | ,057 |  | Baltimore-(independent city) | 9,261 | 2,363 |  | 456 170 | 71 38 |
|  | 539 | 69 |  | 109 | 17 | Mecosta- | 197 | 37 |
|  | 172 | 11 | Caroline---------------------------- | 389 | 20 | Nenominee- | 324 | 24 |
| Abcenoion------------------------- | 227 | 29 | Carroll------------------------------ | 673 | 43 |  |  |  |
| Acnumptionu------------------------- | 138 | 19 |  | 7,231 | 74 | Mıdland------------------------------ | 363 | 87 |
| Avoyollea------------------------- | 396 | 70 | Charles- | 507 | 27 | Missaukee------------------------- | 63 | 3 |
|  | 154 | 25 | Dorcheater- | 244 | 52 |  | 2,856 | 111 |
|  | 1178 | 25 |  |  |  | Montcalm-------------------- | 305 | 71 |
|  | 1,466 | 1,250 |  | 1,694 | 23 | M M ${ }^{\text {M }}$ - | $\begin{array}{r}30 \\ 1,227 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ |
|  | 987 | 276 |  | 1,287 | 85 |  | 1,296 | 35 |
|  |  |  | Hovard----------------------------- | 608 | 35 | Oakland. | 4,332 | 1,505 |
|  | 70 | 23 | Kent---------------------------------- | 190 | 40 | Oceane | 141 | 35 |
|  | 44 |  | Montgomery----------------------- | 2,799 | 327 |  | 97 | 7 |
|  | 102 | 28 | Prince Georges---------------------- | 2,654 | 614 | O- |  |  |
| Conaiborne--------------------------------------- | 114 | --- | Queen Annec------------------------- | 163 309 | 26 | Ontonagon <br> Osceola | 82 127 | 18 |
| De soto-------------------------------------- | 113 | - |  | 309 267 | 46 23 |  | 41 | 18 |
|  | 1,541 | 430 |  | 259 | 26 |  | 59 | ${ }^{8}$ |
| Eant Carrollo--------------------- | 97 | 20 |  | 2,408 | 117 |  | 775 | 101 |
|  | 170 341 | 16 59 | Whiomico----------------------------------------- | 2,482 | 118 | Fresque Isle--------------------- | 100 | 16 |
| Evangeline------------------------ | 341 | 59 | Worcester-----------------------1.0.- | 457 | 42 |  | 67 1,458 | 22 325 |
|  | 149 135 | $\begin{array}{r}52 \\ 15 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | MASSACHUSETTS | 33,614 | 5,836 |  | ${ }^{936}$ | 247 68 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | St. Joseph------------------------ | 402 | 68 |
|  | 454 <br> 205 | --- |  | 526 | 139 | Sanilac-------------------------* | 202 | 22 |
|  | 136 | 54 |  | 872 3,203 | 253 535 | Schoolcraft----------------------- | 71 | 10 |
| Jerfernon--------------------------- | 1,255 | $\cdots$ |  | 3,203 | 13 | Shiarassee------------------------ | 429 | 100 |
|  | 223 571 | $\stackrel{22}{54}$ | Eธsex--------------------------------- | 3,474 | 497 | Tuscola----------------------------------------- | 300 | $\frac{41}{94}$ |
|  | 571 456 | $\begin{array}{r}54 \\ 55 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 357 | 60 |  | 1,448 | 390 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}456 \\ 83 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 18 | Hampden--------------------------- | 2,627 | 685 |  | 19,953 | 5,701 |
|  |  |  |  | 568 | 123 | Wexford-n--------------------- | 169 | 41 |
|  | 269 | 1 |  | 7,654 | 1,131 |  |  |  |
| Livingston------------------------ | 313 | 25 |  |  | 8 | MMITESOTA-------------------- | 24,123 | 4,227 |
|  | 136 220 | 44 36 |  | 2,998 | 229 | Aitkn | 85 |  |
|  | 196 | 36 |  | 6,033 | 1,098 |  | 444 | 94 |
| Orleano-------------------------- | 4,400 | 1,310 | Worcester------------------...- .--- | 3,648 | 724 | Be | 163 | 22 |

## Bee footnoted at end of table.
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Table 5-1. Marriages and Divorces and Annulments: United States, Each State and County, 1961 -Con.
(By place of occurrence. For sources of data, see Technical Appendix)


See footnotes at end of table.

# SECTION 5 - MARRIAGES AND DIVORCES <br> <br> Table 5-l. Marriages and Divorces and Annulments: United States, Each State and <br> <br> Table 5-l. Marriages and Divorces and Annulments: United States, Each State and County, 1961 -Con. 

 County, 1961 -Con.}
(By place of occurrence. For sources of data, see Technical Appendix)

| AREA | Marriages | $\begin{gathered} \text { Divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulrents } \end{gathered}$ | AREA | Marriages | $\begin{gathered} \text { Divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { anulments } \end{gathered}$ | AREA | Marriages | $\begin{gathered} \text { Divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulments } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| krosouri-con. |  |  | NEARASKA-----------------..- | 10,976 | 2,373 | NEBRASKA-Con. |  |  |
|  | 81 | 23 | Adems---------------------------------- | 185 | 32 | Valley-------------------------------- | 39 | 5 |
|  | 549 | 87 |  | 60 | 5 |  | 118 | 19 |
|  | ${ }^{67}$ | 10 |  | 28 | - | Wayne------------------------------------------ | 50 46 | 4 |
|  | 3,020 | 1,305 | Blaine--------------------------------------- | 2 | - | Wheeler----------------------------------------- | 46 | 1 |
| Dit. Wuiv (independent city) $-\ldots-\ldots$ | 7,244 | 2,529 |  | 47 | 4 | York- | 127 | 15 |
| Dto. Genewleve------------------ | 70 |  | Box Butte | 80 | 19 |  |  |  |
|  | 215 | 49 | Boyd-------------------------------------- | 37 | 5 | NEVADA------------------------ | ${ }^{3} 63,967$ | 8,223 |
| Schuyler------------------------ | 136 | 9 |  | +28 | 13 41 |  |  |  |
| Dcotland-------------------------- | 72 | 5 |  | 171 | 41 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 195 \\ 29,492 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79 \\ 3,407 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 52 | 4 |  | 1,018 | 98 |
|  | 314 | 71 |  | 63 | 3 |  | 2,132 | 110 |
|  | 35 | 14 |  | 178 | 31 | Esmeralda-----------.--------- | 71 |  |
|  | 46 316 | 14 |  | 88 | 2 | Eureka---------------------1.- | 42 | 8 |
|  | 316 97 | 73 23 | Chase------------------------------- | 19 | 5 | Kumboldt---------------------------------- | 709 | 54 |
|  | 71 | 14 |  | 57 97 | 12 |  | 10 | 6 |
|  | 60 | 14 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | 5 |  | 108 83 | 15 |
|  | 145 | 41 | colfax- | 62 | 3 | L | 8 | 21 |
|  | 183 | 33 |  | 68 | 3 | Mineral | 274 | 50 |
| Warrcti--------------------------- | 92 | 9 |  |  |  | Hye | 231 | 45 |
|  |  |  | Custer------------------------1... | 98 | 23 | Ormsby----------------------------1000 | 6,478 | 311 |
| Waohington------------------------- | 11 | -- | Dakota------------------------------ | 316 | 24 | Pershing <br> Storey- | 102 387 | 17 16 |
| Wayne | 56 | 26 |  | 63 154 154 | 16 |  | 387 22,241 | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 3,893 \end{array}$ |
|  | 114 | 47 |  | $\begin{array}{r}154 \\ 20 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}32 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 22,241 | 3,890 |
|  | 100 | 18 |  | 53 | 5 |  | 7,347 | 1,126 |
|  |  |  | Dodge- | ${ }_{2}^{231}$ | $\begin{array}{r}77 \\ 933 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 5,635 | 2,034 |  | 2, 23 | 6 | Carroil- | 263 169 | 70 28 |
|  |  |  | F1llmore------------------------- | 50 | 6 | Cheshire- | 620 | 97 |
| Enaverhead--------..---------------- | 44 | 35 |  |  |  |  | 270 | 49 |
|  | 74 | 29 |  | ${ }_{22}^{43}$ |  |  | 390 | 174 |
|  | 52 <br> 28 | 11 |  | 46 | 10 |  | 1,987 | 262 |
|  | 103 | 22 | Gage------------------------------------ | 196 | 30 | RockIngham- | 2,276 | 198 |
|  | 10 | 6 | Garden--------------------------------- | 25 | 3 | Strafford- | 512 | 119 |
|  | 651 | 174 |  | 26 | 2 | Sulliven-- | 239 | 69 |
| Chouteau------.------------------- | 102 | 20 |  | 29 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 139 | 31 |  |  | $\stackrel{2}{1}$ | JEW JERSEY- | 40,699 | 5,124 |
|  | 24 | 3 | Greeley------------------------------- | 276 | 53 | Atlantic | 1,158 | 192 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,503 | 553 |
|  | 120 | 19 | Hamilton- | 54 | 2 | Burlington-------------------------- | 1,198 | 184 |
|  | 117 | 65 |  | 26 | 5 |  | 2,843 | 406 |
| Fa11on--------------------------. | 27 127 | 99 |  | 66 36 |  |  | 375 789 | 60 168 |
|  | 127 249 | 39 93 |  | 36 120 | 1 |  | 789 7,516 | 168 884 |
| Ballatin------------1------------ | 194 | 61 | Hooker- | 120 17 |  | Gloucester------------------------ | 834 | 139 |
|  | 10 | - |  | 40 | 4 | Hudson------------------------------ | 4,843 | 527 |
| diacier--------------------------- | 51 | 41 | Jefferson--------------------------- | 150 | 10 | Hunterdon | 309 | 34 |
|  | ${ }_{2}^{5}$ |  |  | 23 46 | 5 | Mercer---------------------------... | 1,823 | 271 |
| Oran1te-w------------------------- | 22 | 7 | Kearney | 46 | 2 | M1dalesex | 2,306 | 313 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,090 | 225 |
| H111--.-...------------------------- | 143 | 61 |  | 61 | 16 |  | 1,419 | 150 |
|  | 74 | 5 | Keya Paha------------------------ | 3 | 1 |  | 721 | 62 |
| Judith Rasin--------------------- | 19 | 9 | Kımball--------------------------------- | 66 | 13 | Passaic----------------------------- | 2,638 | 318 |
| Lnke-------------------------------- | 75 | 37 |  | 79 | 5 |  | 367 | 76 |
| Lewle and Clark--------------------------- Hiberty | ${ }_{2}^{240}$ | 109 |  | 1,069 | 403 | Somerset------------------------------ | 776 | 112 |
| ubberty-----------------------..... | 18 | - | LincoIn------------------------ | 189 | 63 |  | 370 | 33 |
|  |  |  | Logan--------------------------------- | 11 | 1 |  | 3,121 | 377 |
|  | 72 | 32 |  | 5 | 1 |  | 418 | 41 |
|  | 16 | 4 |  |  | ${ }_{28}^{1}$ | Mdilitary establishments---.-........ | 282 |  |
|  | 27 | 13 | Madison-- | 162 | 28 | State institutions- |  | - |
|  | 24 | 11 |  |  |  | NEW MEXIC05 ${ }^{5}$-------------------- | 11,825 | 3,220 |
|  | 395 | 2.0 |  | 56 55 | 10 |  |  | 3,220 |
|  | 50 | 26 |  | 40 | 9 |  | 2,362 | 1,188 |
|  | 114 | 62 |  | 75 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Fetroleurn------------------------1-1- | 19 | 3 |  | 49 | 8 |  | 621 700 | 362 13 |
|  | 49 | 6 |  | 172 32 | 23 |  | 700 614 | 13 182 |
|  |  |  |  | 32 25 25 | 2 |  | 614 45 | 182 |
|  | 53 13 | 21 1 |  | 64 | $\stackrel{2}{9}$ | Dona Ana-----------------------..- | 1,212 | 183 |
|  | 13 57 | 17 | Pierce---------------------------- | 43 | 4 |  | 601 <br> 267 | 154 54 |
|  | 19 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 72 | 53 |
|  | 85 | 30 |  | 180 | 22 | Gualape |  |  |
|  | 147 | 19 |  | 35 | 仡 |  | 10 |  |
| Hoonevelt----------------------.---- | 98 | 74 | Red W11low-------------------------- | 109 | 22 |  | 606 | 16 |
|  | 33 33 | 10 |  | 119 | 14 |  | 5933 | 22 |
|  | 76 | 13 |  | 17 94 | 10 |  | 5103 48 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  | 699 | 42 |  | 167 | 36 |
|  | 318 | 161 | Saunders---1------------------1...- | 163 | 11 | McKinley---- | 274 | 54 |
|  | 78 | 12 | Scotts Bluffo------------------------ | 324 | 62 |  | 41 | 10 |
| Sweet Oracs------------------------ | 36 | 10 | Seward--------------------------... | 127 | 14 |  | 365 | 147 |
|  | 59 | 4 |  |  |  | ৃuay-------------------------------- | 238 | 48 |
|  | 106 | 38 | Sheridan-----------------------.--- | 77 | 8 |  | 163 | 5 |
|  | 127 | 2 27 | Shernan <br> Stoux- $\qquad$ | 26 14 | 4 |  | 177 <br> 275 <br> 1 | 45 |
|  | 25 |  |  | $\stackrel{14}{28}$ | $\stackrel{7}{2}$ |  | 225 <br> 464 | 20 |
| W1bnuy---------------------------- | 20 |  |  | 99 | 8 |  | 217 | 59 |
| Yellowatone-------.------------- | 725 | 293 | Thomas---------------------------- | 10 | 3 | Santa Ferin. | 559 | - |
| Yellowatone Mational Park (part)- |  |  | Thut | 42 | 1 |  | 79 | 45 |

Sen footnoteo at end of table.
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Table 5-l. Marriages and Divorces and Annulments: United States, Each State and County, 1961-Con.
(By place of occurrence. For sources of data, see Technical Appendix)

| AREA | Marriages | $\begin{gathered} \text { Divorces } \\ \text { end } \\ \text { annulrents } \end{gathered}$ | AREA | Marriages | $\begin{gathered} \text { Divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulments } \end{gathered}$ | AREA | Narriages | $\begin{gathered} \text { Divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulments } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orro-Con. |  |  | OKLamoma ${ }^{\text {² }}$-Con. |  |  | PENNSYSVANIA-Con. |  |  |
|  | 219 | 75 | Kay---------------------------------- | 653 | 168 |  | 1,168 | 136 |
| Hocking-------------------1-------- | 191 | 57 | Kıngfisher----------------------- | 140 | 38 |  | 46 | 5 |
|  | 168 363 | ${ }_{83}^{19}$ |  | 123 68 | 51 26 | Carbon------------------------------- | 362 | 39 |
|  | 224 | 74 | Le Flore---------------------- | 1,114 | 151 |  | 2,104 | 241 |
| Jeffarcon-------------------------- | 652 | 194 |  | 216 | 66 |  | 319 | 30 |
|  | 245 | 73 |  | 310 | 68 |  | 600 | 60 |
| Laka------------1.------------------ | 776 | 374 | Iove------------------------------ | 291 | 26 |  | 304 | 58 |
| Inurence-m---------.-n--------- | 369 627 | 138 253 | McClain | 143 | 38 | Columbia- | 352 | 75 |
|  | 627 | 253 | McCurta | 288 | 126 | Crawford | 559 | 90 |
| Logan---------------------------- | 256 | 80 | MCIntosh------------------------0.0 | 136 | 48 | Cumberland-------------------- | 688 | 127 |
|  | 1,164 | 399 |  | 55 163 | 16 | Dauphin------------------------- | 1,346 | 342 |
|  | 4,460 | 1,257 |  | 166 | 30 60 | Delaware-------------------------------------------- | $\begin{array}{r}4,337 \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \hline 150\end{array}$ | 598 23 |
|  | 1,719 | 491 | Murray------------------------------------- | 76 | 48 |  | 1,767 | 238 |
|  | ${ }_{446}$ | 169 |  | 446 | 261 |  | 845 | 157 |
| Vedina- | 394 | 137 | TToble-n-------------------------------- | 97 | 22 | Forest--- | 35 | 5 |
| Mel $\mathrm{E}_{\text {O------ }}$ | 149 | 53 |  | 102 | 37 | Franklin- | 403 | 73 |
|  | 198 559 | 23 163 | Oxflekke------------------------------------- | 3,581 | 2,651 |  | 215 | $4{ }_{4}^{46}$ |
| M1and--------------------------- |  |  |  | 350 | 149 | Huntingdon------------- | 245 | 39 |
| Monroc----n-------------------------- | 97 | 21 |  | 235 | 132 | Indtana---- | 467 | 73 |
|  | 3,696 | 1,809 |  | 5,656 | 147 | Jefferson- | 326 | 51 |
|  | 104 | 14 | Pawnee--------------------------- | 129 | 20 | Juniata- | 128 | 22 |
|  | 155 | 24 | Payme---.-- | 356 | 108 | Leckewrana--- | 1,550 | 205 |
| Nupkin | 530 | 77 |  | 271 | 165 | Iancaster | 1,708 | 372 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}72 \\ 224 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 17 52 | Pontotoc-------------------------------------- | 302 | 93 162 | Lewrence-- | 822 | 153 |
| Paulding------------------------- | 116 | 20 | Pottawatomle-------------------------------- | 367 68 | 5 | Tebanon- | 628 | 129 |
|  | 194 | 53 |  | 36 | 8 | Lehigh- | 2,373 | 249 |
| Pickakay------------------------- | 251 | 59 | Rogers--------------.-----.-------- | 245 | 83 | Lycoming---------- | 721 | 220 |
|  | 144 | 30 | Seminole | 272 | 106 | McKean- | 468 | 93 |
| Portace-- | 528 | 204 | Sequoyah--------------------------- | 120 | 96 | Mercer-- | 947 | 149 |
|  | 245 | 69 | Stephens------------------------- | 268 | 165 | Mifflin- | 307 | 47 |
| Putnam | 189 | 21 | Texas--------------------------- | 141 | 54 | Monroe------------------------------- | 341 | 52 |
| Richland- | 837 | 294 |  | 175 | 37 | Montgomery-----------------..----- | 2,895 | 590 |
| Roon----- | 452 | $\underline{96}$ |  | 2,356 | 2,724 |  | 96 1,357 | 24 |
|  | 726 | 140 |  | 245 306 | $\begin{array}{r}57 \\ 146 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Northampton-a-- | 1,365 | 148 |
|  | 402 | 104 |  | 306 160 | 146 44 |  | 183 | ${ }^{148}$ |
| Cholby------------------------------- | 226 | 53 |  | 109 | ${ }_{28}$ | Philadelphia-------------..------ | 11,450 | , 729 |
|  | 2,279 | 802 |  | 130 | 51 | Pike------- | 84 | 15 |
|  | 3,300 | 1,418 |  |  |  | Potter- | 146 | 31 |
| Trumbull--- | 1,137 | 421 | ORECOI- | 10,798 | 6,023 | Schuylkill | 1,210 | 153 |
|  | 1828 | $\begin{array}{r}143 \\ 50 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 84 | 37 |  | 183 | 17 |
| Union---------------------------------------- | 184 | 50 49 | Benton--------------------------------------- | 214 | 64 | Somerset- | 393 | 66 |
| VInton---------------------------- | 96 | 13 | Clackames $\qquad$ | 640 145 | 275 90 | Su11van-------------------------------------- | 58 480 | ${ }_{27}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 115 | 17 |  | 372 | 76 |
|  | ${ }_{286}^{423}$ | 177 | Cоов | 361 | 201 | Unio | 168 | 28 |
| Waohington- | 286 557 | 115 |  | 66 | 20 | Venango- | 407 | 71 |
| Williama-- | 341 | 58 | Curry----------------------------- | 87 | 36 | Warren-------...---------- | 364 | 72 |
| Wood--- | 410 | 130 | Deschutes---------------------------------- | 149 | 105 |  | 1,292 | 259 |
|  | 143 | 30 | Douglas | 489 | 225 |  | 219 | 31 |
|  |  |  | Gilliam | 11 | 5 |  | 1,574 | 330 |
|  | 30,300 | 11,305 |  | 33 | 20 |  | -151 | 29 |
| Adatr-n--n-n-m--------------------- | 896 | 51 |  | 28 <br> 84 | 33 30 |  | 1,249 39 | 414 |
|  | 78 | 15 | Jackson-- | 430 | 277 |  |  |  |
|  | 118 | 55 | Jeffersor | 53 | 19 | RHODE ISLAND- | 5,640 | 1,040 |
| Beaver---------------------------- | 28 | 25 |  | 224 | 138 | Bristol-- | 217 |  |
|  | 188 | ${ }^{66}$ | K-amath-- | 265 | 179 |  | 655 | 97 |
|  | 1,467 | 32 10 | Leke--- | 32 | 24 | Newport-- | 578 | 107 |
|  | 1,467 | 104 |  | 1,142 | 625 | Providence- | 3,767 | 750 |
|  | 559 | 61 | Lincoln---------------------------- | 171 | 62 | Washington-*------------------ | 423 | 73 |
| Cartar-- | 489 | 193 |  | 444 | $\begin{array}{r}205 \\ 34 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | SOUIH CAROLINA-- | 39,997 | 3,178 |
|  | 202 | 83 | Marion-------------------- |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 507 | 83 | Worrow----------------1----------- | 24 | 8 |  | 220 | 20 |
| Cimarron-un------------------------ | 46 | 15 | Miltnomah | 3,020 | 2,196 |  | 1,125 | ${ }_{5}^{84}$ |
|  | 479 46 | 165 22 | Polk-...- | 153 | 64 |  |  | 5 142 |
| Coal------7---------------------- | 46 | 22 | Sher |  |  |  | 731 131 | 142 17 |
| Comanchen-mu-n----------------------- | 966 | 467 | T112amook------------------------- | 104 | 47 |  | 189 | 12 |
| Cotton- | $\begin{array}{r}79 \\ 114 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 29 48 |  | 240 | 183 | Beaufort- | 355 | 46 |
| Crcek ${ }^{\text {craig------------------------------------ }}$ | 558 | 228 | Union-wa----------------------------- | 170 38 | 55 15 |  | 391 | ${ }^{21}$ |
|  | 222 | 53 | Навсо------------------1.----------- | 174 | 70 |  | 1,963 | 379 |
|  | ${ }_{51} 8$ | 52 | Weshington--n----------------------- | 517 | 228 |  | 2,403 | 56 |
|  | 52 | 8 | Wheeler | 12 | 2 |  | 673 | 22 |
|  | 51 | 12 | Yamhtil- | 201 | 87 |  | 1,972 | 23 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}492 \\ 240 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}174 \\ 98 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | 207 | 8 |
|  | 240 | 98 | PERNSYLVANIA | 69,617 | 13,606 |  | 237 | 26 |
|  | 325 | 99 | Adems--------------------------- | 297 | 18 |  | 513 | 93 |
|  | 78 78 | 17 23 |  | 9,126 | 2,201 |  | 4,706 | 36 |
|  | 53 | 19 |  | + 4939 | 57 216 |  | 287 <br> 137 | ${ }^{8}$ |
|  | 45 | 10 | Bedford---------------------------- | 226 | 44 |  | 195 | 14 |
|  | 256 | 37 |  | 1,807 | 478 |  | 912 | 94 |
|  | 116 | 50 |  | 835 | 142 |  | 268 | 19 |
|  | 249 | 130 | Bradford---------------------- | 443 | 99 |  | 2,330 | 368 |
|  | 121 | 31 29 | Bucks Butler | 1,717 | 280 |  | 372 | 54 |
|  |  | 29 | Butler | 712 | 105 | \|Hampton--------------------- | 207 | 15 |

## Table 5-l. Marriages and Divorces and Annulments: United States, Each State and County, 1961 -Con.

(By place of occurrence. For sources of data, see Technical Appendix)

| AREA | Marr1ages | $\begin{gathered} \text { Divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulrents } \end{gathered}$ | AREA | Marrlages | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annuIments } \end{gathered}\right.$ | AREA | Marriages | $\begin{gathered} \text { Divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annuiments } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOUTH CAROITNA-Con. |  |  | SOUTH DAKOTA-Con. |  |  | TEMTESSEE-Con. |  |  |
|  | 1,890 | 107 | Turner-------- | 89 | 5 | Sevter------------------------1.-- | 35. | 54 |
|  | 935 330 | 38 |  | 235 |  | Shelby---------------------------1-2- | 4,268 | 1,451 |
|  | 330 718 | 38 48 |  | 71 | 9 |  | 142 | 1. 27 |
| Laurens----------------------- | 390 | 52 |  | 180 | 23 |  | ${ }_{7}$ | - |
|  | 240 | 16 | Zzebach----------------------- | 13 | 1 |  | 453 | 10. |
|  | 581 | 71 |  |  |  |  | 3 cc | t |
|  | 255 | 4 27 | TEIMESSEE------------- | 31,666 | 9,323 |  | 159 | 16 |
|  | 281 1,935 | 27 127 |  |  |  |  | 122 | $3{ }^{39}$ |
|  |  | 127 | Anderson--------------------------- | 633 | 187 | Unio | 170 | 14 |
| Mewberry------------------------- | 257 | 13 |  | 193 79 | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 59 \\ & 21 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | Van Buren--------------------------- | 35 | 3 |
|  | 832 | 38 |  | 51 | 28 |  | 190 | tor |
|  | 555 | 56 |  | 470 | 121 |  | $\stackrel{448}{55}$ | 13 |
| P1ckens---------------------- | 614 | 38 |  | 283 | 146 |  | 234 | \% |
| Richland--------------------- | 1,842 | 394 |  | 1,344 | 75 |  | 162 | 45 |
| Saluda------------------------ | 124 | 6 | Cannon----------------------------- | 187 | 15 |  | צ25 | 5 |
|  |  |  | Carroll-------------------------- | 200 339 | 55 |  | 22 L | \% |
|  <br> Sumter | 1,519 | 233 93 | Carter | 339 | 100 |  |  |  |
|  | 278 | 67 |  |  |  | TEXAS-n----------------------- | 96,244 | 5x,340 |
| W1111amsburg--------------------- | 391 | 11 | Cheatham-------------------------------------- | 152 91 | 22 | Anderson----------------------------------- | 240 | 75 |
|  | 4,527 19 | 151 |  | 428 | 43 |  | 141 <br> 355 <br> 18 | $\underline{514}$ |
| - |  |  |  | 1,051 | 7 |  | $3^{3} \mathrm{zz}$ | 11 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA------------------- | 6,213 | 854 | Cocke---------------------------------------------- | 225 | 64 |  | 73 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | 152 | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ | Armatrong- |  | 4 |
| Aurora--------------------------- | 30 | - | Cumberland-------------------------- | 313 | 43 |  | 32 | 2 |
| Beadle--------------------------------- | 140 | 28 |  | 2,639 | 1,071 |  | 119 | 49 |
| Bennett------------------------------- | 36 | 5 | Decatur- | 48 | 24 |  | Er |  |
| Bon Howne------------------------------------ | $\begin{array}{r}78 \\ 157 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 15 |  |  |  |  | 169 | 13 |
| Brown-------------------------------- | 267 | 51 | De Kalb------------------ | 106 | 31 |  | 55 | 18 |
| Brule- | 51 | 4 | Heckson-- | 168 |  | Eee--------------------------- | 211 | 71 |
|  | 1 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 266 \\ & 163 \end{aligned}$ | 92 27 | Bell---- | 933 | 422 |
|  | 93 | 15 | Fentress----------------------------------------- | 205 | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ |  | 5,456 | , 6.37 |
|  |  |  |  | 189 | 60 |  | 11 | 13 1 |
| Canpbell------------------------- | 21 | 1 |  | 375 | 121 | Bosque-----------------------------1-- | 77 | 11 |
| Charles Mix---------------------------- | 62 51 | 8 | Giles------------------------------ | 235 | 29 | Borie-------------------------------- | 754 | 2.4 |
|  | 51 103 | 3 4 |  | 133 304 | 17 117 |  |  |  |
| Codyngton-------------------------- | 288 | 18 |  |  |  |  | 763 332 | ${ }_{120}^{241}$ |
| Corson $\qquad$ <br> Custer $\qquad$ | 35 55 | 20 | Grundy-------------------------------- | 89 | 38 |  | 73 | $1 E$ |
| Davison---------------------------------- | 128 | 17 | Hamblen------------------------------------ | 352 965 | 116 |  | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 33 \\ 146 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | ${ }^{7}$ |
|  | 224 |  | Hamiliton----------------------------------------- | 865 <br> 264 | 1873 17 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 146 \\ & 236 \end{aligned}$ | 13 |
|  | 44 | 1 | Eardeman--------------------------------------- | 126 | 34 | Burleson | 75 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  | 110 | 40 | Burnet---------------------- | 105 | 23 |
| Dewey-------------------------------- | 37 | 9 |  | 162 | 48 | Caldwell----------------------------1 | 137 | 17 |
| Douglas------------------------------ | 44 | 1 |  | 225 | 37 |  | 150 | 6 |
|  | 49 |  | Henderson------------------------- | 108 | 46 | Callahan- | 89 | 4 |
|  | 20 | 28 | Henry- | 230 | 31 | Cameron- | 1,332 | 314 |
| Grant--------------------------------- | 119 | 13 |  |  |  | Camp------ |  | 7 |
|  | 64 | 9 | Hickman------------------------------ | 85 | 16 | Cass-- |  | 14 |
|  | 23 | - | Houston------------------------------- | 35 | 12 | Castro- | 9 c | 9 |
|  | 49 | 1 | Humphreys------------------------------------------ | 99 <br> 53 | 13 13 | Chambers | 200 |  |
| Hand------------------------------- | 39 | 3 |  | $\begin{array}{r}53 \\ 149 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 13 | Cheroke--- | 281 | \% |
|  |  |  |  | 182 | 30 | Childress-------------------------- | 94 | 27 |
|  | 36 | - | Knox---------------------- | 1,533 | 846 |  | 120 | 41 |
|  | 179 | 19 |  | $\begin{array}{r}139 \\ 378 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 19 |  |  |  |
| Hughes------------------------------------------ | 173 84 84 | 19 | TRuderdale------------------------------------------ | 378 194 | 74 46 |  | 70 34 | $\stackrel{41}{9}$ |
|  | 13 | - | Larrence-- | 194 | 46 | Coleman- | 91 | 19 |
| Jackson------------------------------ | 24 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 407 | 114 |
| Jerauld--------------------------- | 27 | $\overrightarrow{2}$ |  | 80 278 | ${ }_{41}^{18}$ |  | 121 | 13 |
|  | 19 57 57 | 2 |  | 307 | 62 |  | 121 | $\frac{23}{4 \%}$ |
|  | 70 | 9 |  | 261 | 82 | Comanche- | 108 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 81 | 45 | Concho- | 34 | 4 |
| Lawrence---------------------------- | 156 | 27 | Macon------------------------------ | 117 | 24 | Cooke---- | 835 | 134 |
|  | 96 |  |  | 405 100 |  |  | 139 |  |
|  | 29 <br> 56 | 2 |  | 100 139 | $\stackrel{84}{39}$ |  | ${ }_{63}$ | 16 |
|  | 56 41 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | Marury | 300 | 134 | Crane------------------------------- | 79 | 11 |
| Marshall---------------------------- | 60 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 35 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | 36 | 13 |  |  | $\stackrel{20}{5}$ |
|  | 104 | 29 |  | 259 | 50 |  |  |  |
| Mellette------------------------------- | 26 | 2 | Montgomery------------------------- | 472 | 170 |  | 59 | 17 |
| Miner-------------------------------- | 32 | 2 | Moore----------------------------------------------- |  | ${ }_{2}^{4}$ | Davas------------------------------------------ | 9,359 | 5,1355 |
| M1anehaha----------- | 771 | 210 | Morgan--------------------------------------------- | 133 307 | 23 60 |  | ${ }_{131}^{232}$ | 8 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}47 \\ 667 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1678 |  | 505 | 60 27 |  | 59 | 19 |
|  | 67 4 | 167 |  |  |  | Den | ${ }^{3} 850$ | 171 |
| Potteru----------------------------- | 31 | 6 |  | 27 | 10 |  |  |  |
|  | 283 | 12 |  | 275 | 11 |  |  | 31 |
| Sanborn-------------------------- | 29 | 1 | Polk---------------------------------- | 45 | 11 |  | 60 | $3{ }_{3}$ |
| Shannon---------...-..---.---..----- | 5 |  |  | 261 <br> 134 | 64 99 | Donley----------------------------1. | 65 | 日 |
|  | 63 | 8 |  | 134 332 | 99 |  | 144 | 先 |
|  | 47 | 16 | Robertson--------------------------------------- | 369 969 | 86 |  | $\underline{625}$ | ${ }_{601}$ |
|  | 15 | 1 |  | 376 | 128 |  | 18 | 4 |
|  | 15 | - |  | 281 | 30 |  | 407 | 57 |
| Tripp- | 76 |  | Sequatch1e |  |  |  | 2,589 | I, eat |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 5-l. Marriages and Divorces and Annulments: United States, Each State and County, 1961 -Con.
(By place of occurrence. For sources of data, see Technical Appendix)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline AREA \& Marriages \& \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\text { Divorces } \\
\text { and } \\
\text { annulments }
\end{array}
\] \& AREA \& Marriages \& \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { Divorces } \\
\text { ancer } \\
\text { annulments }
\end{array}
\] \& AREA \& Marriages \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Divorces } \\
\text { and } \\
\text { annuIments }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline TEEXAS—Con. \& \& \& TEXAS-Con. \& \& \& TEEXAS—Con. \& \& \\
\hline Erath----------------------------- \& \({ }^{3} 139\) \& 32 \& Medins \& \& \& Winkler------------------------------ \& 114 \& 53 \\
\hline  \& 206
234
234 \& 40
94 \&  \& 134
26 \& 9 \& Wise- \& 251 \& 53 \\
\hline  \& 139 \& \({ }_{7}\) \& Midland--------------------------- \& 620 \& 328 \& Hood- \& 180 \& 31 \\
\hline  \& 76 \& 10 \&  \& 231 \& 41 \& Yoakum- \& \(\mathrm{I}_{126}{ }^{68}\) \& 29
37 \\
\hline Floyd--- \& 113 \& 20 \& 1.1115 \& 47 \& 10 \& zapate- \& -- \& 3 \\
\hline Foard- \& 46 \& 5 \&  \& 119 \& 47 \& zavala-------- \& 125 \& 26 \\
\hline Fort Bend-n----------------- \& 1,366 \& 85 \&  \& 3557 \& 47 \& \& \& \\
\hline  \& \begin{tabular}{l}
55 \\
94 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 18 \&  \& 109 \& 43 \& A- \& 7,400 \& 2,360 \\
\hline Frio \& 78 \& 11 \& Norris \& 103 \& 24 \&  \& 22 \& 8 \\
\hline Cained--------------------------1-2- \& 182 \& 26 \& \& \& \& Eox Elder--------------------1------ \& 124 \& 43 \\
\hline  \& \({ }^{3} 1,357\) \& 675 \&  \& 33
216 \& \({ }_{93}^{7}\) \&  \& 585 \& 45 \\
\hline  \& 107 \& 15 \&  \& 363 \& 102 \&  \& 108 \& 43 \\
\hline  \& 103 \& 13 \& Mewton- \& 195 \& 44 \&  \& 216 \& 139 \\
\hline Collad---------------------------------- \& 41 \& 5 \& 3olan-- \& 194 \& 75 \&  \& 53 \& 10 \\
\hline  \& 134 \& 33 \& Iueces------------------------------ \& 2,115 \& 764 \&  \& 34 \& 2 \\
\hline  \& 285 \& 154 \&  \& 116
34 \& 40
3
3 \&  \& 22 \& \({ }_{4}^{4}\) \\
\hline  \& 649 \& 235 \& Orange- \& 1,040 \& 340 \&  \& 51 \& 14 \\
\hline  \& 737 \& 579 \& Palo P4 \& 277 \& 113 \&  \& 57 \& 16 \\
\hline  \& 103 \& 16 \& \& \& \& Juab------------------------------- \& 28 \& 4 \\
\hline  \& 1,294 \& 72 \&  \& 132 \& 48 \&  \& 19 \& 4 \\
\hline  \& 417 \& 135 \&  \& 1,141 \& 88 \&  \& 27 \& 9 \\
\hline Hall---------------------- \& 90 \& 21 \& Parmer------------- \& 89 \& 16 \&  \& 12 \& \(\stackrel{2}{1}\) \\
\hline Hannliton---------------------------- \& \begin{tabular}{l}
55 \\
54 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 25
17 \& Pecosn--------------------- \& \(\begin{array}{r}86 \\ 142 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \& 42 \&  \& 25 \& 1 \\
\hline  \& 81 \& 22 \& Potter--------------------- \& 1,372 \& 214 \& Sait Iake---------------------------- \& 3,880 \& 1,260 \\
\hline  \& 896 \& 92 \&  \& 33 \& 8 \&  \& 32 \& 11 \\
\hline  \& 20,614 \& 5,770 \& Reinc------------------------------- \& 43 \& , \&  \& 297 \& 17 \\
\hline  \& 763 \& 91 \&  \& 169 \& 148 \& \& \& \\
\hline  \& 10 \& , \& Reagan \& 29 \& 8 \& Sevier----------- \& 69 \& 17 \\
\hline  \& 104 \& 18 \& \& \& \& Sumit-------------------------------------------- \& \& \\
\hline  \& 239 \& 21 \& Real--------------------------- \& 14 \& 7 \&  \& \[
{ }_{71}^{91}
\] \& 34 \\
\hline  \& 37
213 \& 711 \&  \& 138
200 \& 36
68 \&  \& 443 \& 227 \\
\hline  \& 1,467 \& 313 \&  \& 81 \& 10 \&  \& 46 \& 11 \\
\hline  \& 249 \& 38 \&  \& 13 \& \& Heshington------------------------- \& 386 \& 11 \\
\hline Hockley-------------------------1. \& 231 \& 60 \& Robertson---------------------------1.- \& 142 \& 25 \&  \& \& \\
\hline  \& 201 \& 13 \&  \& \({ }^{3} 578\) \& 14 \&  \& 583
53 \& 386 \\
\hline  \& \& \&  \& 165 \& 35 \& County not stated------------------ \& \& \\
\hline  \& 146 \& 54 \&  \& 322 \& 100 \& VERMONT- \& 3,161 \& 487 \\
\hline  \& 394 \& 201 \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Hudopeth------------------------- \& 47 \& 9 \& \& \& \& Addison------------------------ \& 156 \& 24 \\
\hline Hurt-..----------------- \& 373 \& 123 \&  \& \({ }^{3} 105\) \& 27 \& Bernington-------------------------- \& 259 \& 45 \\
\hline  \& 410 \& 2 \&  \& 139 \& 20 \&  \& 164 \& 31 \\
\hline Tr1on------------------------- \& 40 \& - \&  \& 346 \& 120 \&  \& 518 \& 73 \\
\hline  \& \({ }^{3} 84\) \& 34 \&  \& 561 \& \&  \& 51 \& 7 \\
\hline  \& 99 \& 23 \&  \& \(\begin{array}{r}21 \\ 150 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \& \& FranklIn--7-------------------------------------- \& 238
44 \& 44 \\
\hline Japper--------------------------- \& \& 94 \& Shacicelford \& \({ }^{150} 5\) \&  \& Grand Isle------------------------- \& 44 \& \\
\hline  \& 14 \& 2 \&  \& 599 \& 77 \& Lemoille- \& 87 \& 9 \\
\hline  \& 2,172 \& --- \& Sherman----------------------------- \& 22 \& \&  \& 156 \& 18 \\
\hline JIm Hotio------------------------ \& 47 \& \({ }^{4}\) \& Smith-- \& 722 \& 250 \& Orleens-----------------------1...- \& 161 \& 23 \\
\hline  \& ---196 \& 130 \& \& \& \&  \& 352 \& 39 \\
\hline  \& 193 \& 29 \& Somervell------------------------- \& 53 \& 8 \&  \& 277
358 \& 56 \\
\hline  \& 142 \& 21 \&  \& 158 \& \&  \& 340 \& \({ }_{75}^{42}\) \\
\hline  \& 315 \& 67 \&  \& 106 \& 34 \& \& \& \\
\hline Kendall \& 82 \& 20 \&  \& 213 \& \(\stackrel{2}{12}\) \& VIRGINIA \({ }^{10}\)------------------ \& 38,300 \& 7,559 \\
\hline  \& 3 \& \& Sutton--- \& \begin{tabular}{l}
13 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 12 \& \& \& \\
\hline  \& 20 \& 2 \&  \& 101 \& 25 \& Accomack------------------------------------ \& 65 \& 36 \\
\hline  \& 133 \& 53 \& Tarrant-- \& 4,125 \& 2,644 \&  \& \& [1346 \\
\hline  \& 39 \& 14 \& Taylor-- \& \({ }^{968}\) \& 423 \&  \& 36 \& 56 \\
\hline  \& 3 \& 1 \& Terrell------------------------- \& 17 \& \(\cdots\) \&  \& 184 \& 23 \\
\hline  \& 19 \& 3 \& \& \& \&  \& 83 \& 19 \\
\hline  \& 381 \& 37 \&  \& 238 \& 57 \&  \& 2,618 \& 347 \\
\hline  \& 61 \& 22 \&  \& 24 \& 5 \&  \& 269 \& 45 \\
\hline  \& \begin{tabular}{l}
374 \\
208 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 98 \& Titus----------------------------- \& \({ }_{691}\) \& 50 \&  \& 98 \& 10 \\
\hline  \& \(\begin{array}{r} \\ \\ 94 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \& \(\stackrel{29}{29}\) \&  \& \(\begin{array}{r}1619 \\ \hline 1,988 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \& \& Bedford----------------------------- \& 177 \& 29 \\
\hline  \& 65 \& 8 \&  \& 96 \& 30 \& Bland \& \& \\
\hline Invacn---------------------------- \& 134 \& 17 \& Tyler----- \& 113 \& 34 \& Botetourt----.---- \& 106 \& 22 \\
\hline  \& 92 \& 7 \&  \& 193 \& 66 \& Brunswlek- \& 124 \& 27 \\
\hline  \& 49 \& 18 \&  \& 164 \& 39 \& Buchanan--------------------------1. \& 286 \& 34 \\
\hline  \& 849 \& 149 \& \& 164 \& 39 \&  \& 74 \& 8 \\
\hline  \& 172
28 \& 57 \& Val Yerde \& 163 \& 53 \&  \& 170 \& 35 \\
\hline  \& 49 \& 16 \&  \& 202 \& 66 \& Carroll \& 129 \& 1235 \\
\hline  \& 42 \& 9 \&  \& 437 \& 127 \&  \& 34 \& 8 \\
\hline  \& \& \& Welker------------------------------ \& \({ }^{398}\) \& 70 \&  \& 95 \& 14 \\
\hline Iubback-----------------n-------- \& 1,672 \& 731 \&  \& 188 \& 25 \& \& \& \\
\hline \& \& \& Ward--------------------------------- \& 134 \& 69 \&  \& 303 \& 160 \\
\hline  \& 131 \& 15 \& Washington-------.---.--------------- \& 155 \& 24 \&  \& 84 \& 16 \\
\hline  \& 1,304 \& 554 \&  \& 747
354 \& 79 \&  \& \({ }_{129}^{16}\) \& 23 \\
\hline Nattullen------------------------------ \& 17 \& \&  \& 139 \& 35 \& Cumberland------------------------- \& 42 \& 10 \\
\hline Madibon--------------------------- \& 59 \& \(\bigcirc\) \& Wichita---------------------------...- \& 1,879 \& 591 \&  \& 2,063 \& 26 \\
\hline  \& 137 \& 17 \& \& \& \& Dinnlddie--------------------------1-1 \& 91 \& 23 \\
\hline Martin--------------------n-- \& 61 \& 9 \&  \& 375 \& 72 \&  \& 59 \& \\
\hline Naoon------------------------------- \& 34

315 \& 69 \&  \& 214 \& 32 \&  \& 867 \& ${ }^{13} 342$ <br>

\hline |  |
| :--- |
| Kaverick $\qquad$ | \& 315

149 \& 69
14 \& Williamson--------------------------
Hilson- \& 288 \& 60 \& Fauquier \& 199 \& 36 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 5-l. Marriages and Divorces and Annulments: United States, Each State and County, 1961 -Con.
(By place of occurrence. For sources of data, see Technical Appendix)

| AREA | Marriages | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Divorces } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { ennulments } \end{aligned}$ | AREA | Marr-lages | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Divorces } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { annulments } \end{aligned}$ | AREA | Narriagea | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D1vorces } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { annutmenta } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virginia ${ }^{10}$-con. |  |  | VIRGINIA ${ }^{10}$-Con. <br> Independent CHties-Con. |  |  | HEST VIRGINLAMCOn. |  |  |
| Fluvanna---------------------------- | 39 182 | 10 |  |  |  |  | 105 | 27 |
|  | 182 | 40 | Newport News---------------------- | 950 | 268 |  | 278 | 181 |
|  | 717 400 | 43 24 |  | 2,138 | ${ }^{8690}$ |  | 53 | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | 400 78 | 12 | Norton--------m------------------ | 62 | $\left({ }^{18}\right)$ |  | 396 | 70 |
|  | 62 | ${ }^{9} 9$ |  | 409 | 136 |  | 346 | 03 |
|  | 61 | 1438 | Portsmouth-------------------------------- | 939 | 426 |  | 47 | 21 |
|  | 44 | 5 | Radford- | 74 | 14 |  | 180 | $\underline{3}$ |
|  | 877 257 | 2573 |  |  |  |  | 571 | 112 |
|  | 257 | 2573 |  <br>  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,402 \\ 835 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 934 \\ & 293 \end{aligned}$ |  | 51 | 11 |
|  | 174 | 32 |  | 48 | $\left({ }^{15}\right)$ |  |  |  |
|  | 386 | 252 | South Morfolk---------------------1-2- | 218 |  |  | 275 | 5 |
|  | 237 | 49 | Staunton---- | 157 | 27 | Pocahontes-- | 73 | 10 |
|  | 44 | 2 | Sufioik- | 112 |  | Preston-- | $\stackrel{49}{ }$ | 33 |
| Isle of W1ght--------------------- | 79 | 23 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 102 \\ & 148 \end{aligned}$ | 41 |  <br>  | 241 573 | 163 |
|  | 47 | 8 |  | 121 | 10 |  | 164 | 81 |
|  | 35 28 | 10 |  | 3,033 | 34 | Ritchie---------------------1-1 | 76 | 8 |
|  <br>  | 28 48 | 9 7 |  |  |  | oan | 177 | 27 |
|  | 46 66 | 17 | WASHENGION------------------- | 27,659 | 59,355 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Summer } \\ & \text { Taylor } \end{aligned}$ | 114 | 40 14 |
|  | 457 | 38 | Adams-------------------------------- | 35 | 27 |  |  |  |
|  | 239 | 37 | Asotin | 17 | 215 |  | ${ }_{80} 31$ | 15 |
|  | 75 | 21 |  | 324 | 66 |  | 142 | 23 |
| Lunenburg--------------------------- | 92 | 17 |  | 252 | 129 |  | 304 | 86 |
|  | 59 | 6 9 |  | 2,379 | 303 | Webster- | 101 | 37 |
|  | 261 | 43 |  | 70 | 16 | Wetzel-- | 159 | 28 |
|  | 44 | 5 |  | 600 | 251 | W1rt- | 36 | 9 |
| Montgomery-------------------------- | 233 | 42 |  | 23 21 | 39 1 | Hood $\qquad$ <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & 643 \\ & 278 \end{aligned}$ | 353 69 |
|  | 213 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 97 | 16 |  | 3 527 26 | 87 5 | WISCOMSIN---------------------- | 24,232 | 4,300 |
|  | 26 | 7 | Grant- | 305 | 143 |  |  |  |
|  | 196 | 128 |  | ${ }^{3} 504$ | 207 |  | 30 | 14 |
|  | 76 | 20 |  | 180 | 62 | Ashland- | 148 | 10 |
| Orange----------------------------- | 94 | 23 |  | 703 | 209 |  | 873 | 103 |
|  | 142 | 17 |  | 215 | 55 |  | 63 | 5 |
|  | 96 | 15 |  | 140 | 35 | Eurnett | 49 | - |
|  | 360 | 67 |  |  |  |  | 137 | $4{ }_{4}^{4}$ |
|  |  |  | Lew1s---------------------------------------- | 417 | 136 |  | 212 | 17 |
| Powhatan------------------------------------- Prince | 43 102 | 6 29 |  | 82 199 | 7 16 |  | 212 |  |
|  | 98 | 20 |  | 180 | 69 |  | 265 | 44 |
| Prince William----------------- | 423 | 43 |  | 133 | 29 |  | 129 | 11 |
| Princess Anne------------------- | 394 | ${ }^{18} 229$ |  | 57 | 11 | Dane | 1,378 | 224 |
| Pulasici-- | 197 | 43 | Plerce- | 3,142 | 1,089 |  | 378 | 40 |
|  | 25 | 5 | San Juan------------------------------ | 17 | 3 |  | 139 | $\square$ |
| R1dhmond--------------------------- | 47 349 | 10 |  | 569 | 160 |  | 219 | 59 |
|  | 349 150 | 97 35 | Skenomia---------------------------- | 2,054 | 21 |  | ${ }_{3}^{161}$ |  |
|  | 150 | 35 |  |  |  |  <br> Florence- | 333 24 4 | $\stackrel{93}{6}$ |
|  | 295 | ${ }^{17} 62$ |  | 1,486 | 1,082 | Fond du Lac- | 445 | ¢0 |
|  | 1,054 | 38 |  | 174 | 36 |  |  |  |
|  | 984 | 28 |  | 871 | 220 |  | 68 | 7 |
| Shenandoah-----------..-------------- | 185 | 23 |  | 47 | 3 | Grant | 272 | 29 |
| Smyth------------------------------- | 229 | 33 | Walla Hallem----------------------- | 344 | 151 | Green- | $16 €$ | 27 |
|  | 165 | 28 | Whatcow-------------------------- | 901 | 150 | Green | 124 | 7 |
| Spotsylvanis---------------------- | 83 | 24 |  | 157 3995 | 25 474 |  | 117 51 | 9 |
|  | 104 21 | 30 5 |  | 995 | 474 |  | 105 | 5 7 |
| Sussex---------------------------10 | 73 | 5 | WEST VIRGTILA | 13,465 | 3,837 |  |  |  |
|  | 524 | 43 | Barbour---------------------------- | 103 | 24 |  <br> Juneau $\qquad$ | 331 114 | 59 |
|  | 149 | 23 | Berkeley---7----------------------- | 127 | 76 |  | 515 | 144 |
|  | 309 | 40 |  | 236 130 | $\begin{array}{r}27 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 129 | 7 |
| Westmoreland----------------------- | 69 |  |  | 251 | 33 |  | 456 | 102 |
|  | 709 | ${ }^{18} 81$ |  | 947 | 336 |  | 108 | 15 |
| Hythe----------------------------- | 151 | 29 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \\ 70 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 33 17 | tanglade $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | 147 179 | 14 11 |
|  | 108 | 33 |  | 731 131 | 24 |  Manitowoc- $\qquad$ | 179 502 | 11 |
| Independent Clites |  |  |  | 63 490 | 6 106 |  | 527 | 57 |
|  | 1,260 | 219 |  |  |  |  | 225 | 29 |
|  | 216 | 28 |  | ${ }_{32}^{66}$ | 2 |  | 57 | 4 |
|  | ${ }^{46}$ | 7 |  | 125 | ${ }_{51}$ |  | 5,987 | 1,547 |
|  <br>  | 329 310 | 81 |  | $\begin{array}{r}125 \\ 35 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 22 |  | 200 175 | 29 |
|  <br> Colonial Helghts | 310 61 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ |  | 433 | 159 |  | 175 | 14 |
|  | 61 196 |  | Hardy----------------------------- | 26 | 20 |  | 129 | 29 75 |
| Danville------------------------- | 501 |  |  |  |  |  | 702 183 | 75 18 |
| Falls Church---------------------1 | 188 | $\left({ }^{13}\right)$ |  |  | 147 36 |  | 183 | 18 6 |
|  | 216 | 52 |  <br>  | 132 | 36 36 |  | 127 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | 2,152 | 600 |  |  |  |
| Galax----------------------------- | 44 | $\left({ }^{12}\right)\left({ }^{14}\right)$ |  | 136 | 25 |  | 152 | 18 |
| Hampton--------------------------- | 554 |  |  | 217 | 4 4 | Portage--------------------------- | 242 | 19 |
|  | 109 | $\left({ }^{17}\right)$ |  | 422 | 62 |  | 103 | 7 |
|  <br> Lynchburg $\qquad$ | 113 | 43 |  | 249 | 116 |  | 733 | 189 |
|  | 436 | 145 |  | 401 | 73 |  | 121 | 12 |
|  | 212 | 45 | Marshail | 266 | 58 | Rock- | 703 | 186 |

See footnotes at end of table.,

## Table 5-l. Marriages and Divorces and Annulments: United States, Each State and County, 1961-Con.

(By place of occurrence. For sources of data, see Technical Appendix)

| AREA | Marrieges | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulrents } \end{array}$ | AREA | Marriages | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dtvorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulments } \end{gathered}$ | AREA | Narriages | $\begin{gathered} \text { Divorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulments } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WISCONSIN-Con. |  |  | WISCONSIT-Con. |  |  | HYOMTNG-Con. |  |  |
| Rusk------------------------------ | 103 | 7 |  | 285 | 21 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 26 |  | 69 | 13 |  | 40 | 24 |
|  | 231 75 | 36 18 |  | 625 401 | 136 53 |  | 412 | 251 |
| Savyer-------------------------- |  |  | Hood---------1--------------------- |  | 53 |  | 32 | 16 |
|  | 291 | 22 | HYOMCNG----------------------- | 3,246 | 1,307 |  | 176 | 57 |
|  | 538 | 94 |  |  |  | Sheridan--------------------------------- | 192 | 82 |
| Taylormo----------------------------- | 120 | 17 |  | 169 | 78 | Sublette-- | 21 | 13 |
|  | 155 | 10 |  | 94 56 | 32 31 |  | 133 | 55 |
|  | 162 | 14 |  | ${ }_{94}^{56}$ | 70 |  | 116 | 15 |
|  | 79 | 17 | Converse------------------------------------------- | 87 | 16 |  |  |  |
| Walworth------------------------- | 31.3 | 68 |  | 37 | 9 | U1nta--------------------------------- | 293 | 20 |
|  |  |  | Fremont-------------------------------- | 226 | 82 | Washakide---------------------------- | 57 | 24 |
| Weahburn------------------------------- | 82 | 20 |  | 93 | 25 |  | 42 | 30 |
|  | 299 | 29 | Hot Springs-------------------------- | 55 | 42 | Yellowstone Mational Park (part)-- | 3 | - |
|  | 779 | 148 | Johnson------------------------------- | 50 | 21 | Yellowstone National Park (total)- | 3 | - |

${ }^{1}$ Data are entimated.
Diditricta shown
Einning with 1960 .
4 Pctitiona filed.
Data are incomplete.
Includer eatimates for missing areas.
Entimated from reports for 11 months.
Diviaions are parishes.
10 Marriageas by county where license was issued.
${ }^{11}$ Independent cities have the same status as counties and are listed after counties.
${ }_{12}^{11}$ Covington (city) fifurea included with Alleghany County.
${ }^{12}$ galax (city, part) P1gures included with Carroll county:
${ }^{13}$ Fanlis Church (city) Pigures included with Fairfax County.
${ }_{14}^{14}$ anlax (city, part) figures included with Grayson County.
${ }^{16}$ vireinia Beach (city) figures included with Princess Anne County.
${ }^{17}$ Harrisisonburg (city) flgures included with Rockingham County.
${ }_{28} \mathrm{E}_{\text {Hortion }}$ (city) ${ }_{\text {fitures }}$ Included with Wise County.

## TECHNICAL APPENDIX

## Introduction

The marriage and divorce data presented in this report cover the 50 States of the United States and the District of Columbia. All but a few of the county and State totals were secured on a pretabulated basis from individual States. Exceptions are noted below. Totals for all States in the mar-riage- and divorce-registration areas have been compared for consistency with totals estimated from probability samples of marriage and divorce records received from these States. In all cases, pretabulated totals as reported remained unchanged after these checks.

Totals are available for the United States and for most individual States and counties for marriages and divorces and annulments for 1887-1906, 1916, and 1922-32, for marriages alone for 1948 to date, and for divorces and annulments for 1949 to date.

## Sources of data

County totals of marriages were reported to the National Vital Statistics Division from 41 States and the District of Columbia, all with central files of records. Special surveys were conducted in the nine other States and New York City. These surveys were made by State officials in Arizona, Ilinois, Nevada, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas, and Washington; county officials reported totals on a monthly basis from Colorado and North Carolina; the city clerk provided the figures for New York City. Altogether, annual marriage figures were obtained for 3,098 counties or equivalent local areas; no data were obtained for 16 counties.

The number of marriages performed was reported for 46 States. The number of marriage licenses issued was reported for the District of Columbia and for 4 States-Colorado, Kentucky, Nevada, and North Carolina-as well as for 17 counties in other States. The number of marriage licenses issued is usually larger by 1 or 2 percent than the number of marriages performed. ${ }^{1}$ The State registrar in the department of health made special collections of county totals in Nevada; totals were reported in Kentucky by the department of revenue and in Colorado and North Carolina

[^12]by county officials who reported directly to the National Vital Statistics Division. Reports for the District of Columbia were received from the U.S. district court.

Data on divorces and annulments were received from State officials of 48 States. In 14 States (Arizona, California, Illinois, Indiana, Minnesota, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Washington, and West Virginia) the State registrars of vital statistics conducted special surveys to obtain statistics. Totals for two counties in Arizona refer to divorce petitions. County figures for South Dakota were prepared from transcripts of all records provided by State officials. Data for Colorado, some counties of Kentucky, Missouri, and New Mexico, and some parishes of Louisiana were obtained from a survey of local officials conducted by the National Vital Statistics Division. Divorce records for the District of Columbia are administered and reported by the municipal court. Annual divorce and annulment figures were obtained for a total of 3,068 counties or equivalent local areas; no information was obtained for 46 counties.

## Methods of estimating marriages

United States.-The total number of marriages for the United States is based on reported data on marriages or marriage licenses, including estimates for míssing counties in two States (Colorado and North Carolina).

Individual States.-The totals for Colorado and North Carolina include estimates for areas for which reports had not been received for 1 or 2 months. These estimates are made each month by assigning to each area for which a report is lacking the same proportion of the month's total as the area represented in the preceding 11 -month period.

## Methods of estimating divorces

United States. - The total number of divorces and annulments granted in the United States is estimated from State totals; an estimate for the nonreporting counties of Arkansas, Kentucky, Missouri, New Mexico, and Texas and the parishes of Louisiana is included in the national figure. This estimate was prepared on the assumption that the divorce rate of the nonreporting areas was identical with that for the reporting areas of the State.

Individual States.-The State total for Colorado was estimated in the national office.
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## NOTES

## Reporting areas

Marriage data are shown for both Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands, Divorce data are shown for the Virgin Islands only.

## Geographic classification

Data are shown by place of occurrence. Totals are shown for the local areas St. Croix Island and St. John and St. Thomas Islands (the latter two combined) in the Virgin Islands and for the primary geographic subdivisions, "municipios," in Puerto Rico.

## Race and color

For 1961, brides and grooms are not shown by color for either Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands. Husbands and wives divorced in 1961 are classified as white or nonwhite for the Virgin Islands.

## Sample data

Since the sampling rate for the Virgin Islands for both marriage and divorce records was 100 percent, there is no sampling error in the statistics for this area. The marriage estimates for Puerto Rico are based on a 5-percent sample; sampling errors for estimated frequencies which are specified percents of the total marriages are as follows:

| Frequency expressed as percent | Sampling error ( $\sigma$ ) | Frequency expressed as percent | Sampling error ( $\sigma$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 or 99 | 63 | 10 or 90 | 191 |
| 2 or 98 | 89 | 15 or 85 | 228 |
| 3 or 97 | 109 | 20 or 80 | 255 |
| 4 or 96 | 125 | 25 or 75 | 276 |
| 5 or 95 | 139 | 50 -------- | 319 |
| 7 or 93 --- | 163 |  |  |

Section 7-the Technical Appendix-discusses other factors concerning these marriage and divorce statistics.

Table 6-l. Marriages, Divorces, and Rates: Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands, 1940-61
(By place of occurrence. Rates per 1,000 total population in each area except as noted. Rates for 1940,1950 , and 1960 based on population enumerated as of April 1 ; for all other years based on population estumated as of July 1)

| yEAR | marriages |  |  |  | divorces |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Puerto Ridco |  | Virgin Tsiands ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Puerto Rico |  | Virgin Islands ${ }^{2}$ |  |
|  | Number | Rate | Number | Rate | Number | Rate | Number | Rate |
|  | ${ }^{3} 21,379$ | ${ }^{3} 8.9$ | 397 | 11.2 | 5,512 | 2.3 | 152 | 4.3 |
|  | ${ }^{4} 21,212$ | ${ }^{4} 8.6$ | 359 | 11.2 | 5,218 | 2.2 | 135 | 4.2 |
|  | 20,135 | 8.7 | 306 | 9.9 | 5,471 | 2.3 | 138 | 4.5 |
|  | 19,925 | 8.7 | 330 | 17.0 | 5,028 | 2.2 | 132 | 4.4 |
|  | --- | --* | 278 | 9.5 | 5,040 | 2.2 | 117 | 4.0 |
|  | --- | --- | 305 | 10.7 | --- | --- | 129 | 4.5 |
|  | 18,912 | 8.4 | 221 | 8.0 | 4,622 | 2.1 | 103 | 3.7 |
|  | 19,437 | 8.8 | 243 | 8.9 |  |  | 123 | 4.5 |
|  | 18, -7 | --2 | $\begin{array}{r}247 \\ 237 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 9.2 | 55,000 | 52.3 | 236 343 | 8.8 |
|  | 18,288 | 8.2 | 237 | 8.5 | 4,173 | 1.9 | 343 | 12.3 |
|  | 18,140 | 8.1 | 225 | 8.1 | 3,676 | 1.6 | 312 | 21.3 |
|  | 20,532 | 9.3 | 139 | 5.2 | 3,591 | 1.6 | 271 | 10.2 |
|  | 16,156 | 7.4 | 194 | 7.3 | 3,409 | 1.6 | 181 | ${ }^{6.8}$ |
|  | 15,379 | 7.0 | 156 | 5.8 | 3,334 | 1.5 | 143 | 5.3 |
|  | 16,779 | 7.8 9.5 | 190 | 7.0 | 3,582 4,047 | 1.7 | 124 90 | ${ }_{3.3}^{4.6}$ |
|  | 20,345 | 9.5 | 195 | 7.2 | 4,047 | 1.9 | 90 | 3.3 |
|  | 17,490 | 8.3 | 238 | 8.9 | 3,247 | 1.6 | 52 | 2.0 |
|  | 16,190 | 7.9 | 342 | 12.6 | 3,281 | 1.6 | 63 | 2.4 |
|  | 14,341 | 7.1 | 223 | 10.7 | 2,508 | 1.3 | ${ }^{76}$ | 3.1 |
|  | 16,114 | 8.1 | 311 <br> 248 | $\underline{71.9}$ | 2,433 2,464 | 1.2 | --- | --- |
| 1940--. | 19,457 | 10.4 | 122 | 4.9 | 2,600 | 1.4 | --- | --- |

${ }^{1}$ For 1940-49, data represent marriage 1 ifenses; for 1940-50, data are for fiscal years ended June 30.
3Inciudes reported annuiments.
${ }_{3}$ Data are provisional.
${ }^{4}$ Data are incomplete.
$5_{\text {Data }}$ are estimated.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Divorce rates are based on civilian population.

Table 6-2. Marriages and Divorces and Annulments: Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and County Equivalents, 1961
(By place of occurrence. For Puerto Rlco, county equivalents are municiplos; for Virgin Islands, the three principal islands are the primary administrative units)

| AREA | Marriages | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Divarces } \\ & \text { ard } \\ & \text { annuiments } \end{aligned}$ | Afea | Martiages | $\begin{gathered} \text { puvorces } \\ \text { qud } \\ \text { emulments } \end{gathered}$ | AREA | Marriages | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Divorces } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { annulments } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FJERTO RICO---------------- | ${ }^{121,379}$ | 25,512 | FUERETO RICO-Con. |  |  | PUERTO RICO-Con. |  |  |
|  | 127 | - |  | 231 | 363 | Pexueles---------------------- | 142 |  |
| Afuada---------------------------- | 172 | - | Guayanille------------------- | 123 |  | Ponce---------------------- | 1,154 | 5 |
|  | 482 | 416 | Guaynabo------------.-------- | 321 |  | Quebradilles----------.------ | 175 |  |
|  | 143 |  |  | 206 | - |  | 72 |  |
|  | 116 | - |  | 91 | - | Sabana Grande--.-.---.------ | 173 |  |
| Arecibo---------n----------------- | 790 | 487 |  | 269 | 514 |  | 147 |  |
| Arxoyo---------7------------------ | 83 | - |  | 251 |  | San Germin | 190 |  |
| Barceloneta-------------------- <br> Barranquitas | 240 152 | - |  | 179 174 | - |  | $\begin{array}{r}4,495 \\ \hline 214\end{array}$ | 1,270 |
|  | 604 | 529 | Juncos-------------..---------- | 288 | - |  | 307 |  |
|  | 190 |  |  | 268 | - | Santa Isabel --...-.-...---...-- | 137 |  |
|  | 706 | 949 |  | 264 |  | Toa Alta------------------------ | 114 |  |
|  | 172 | - | Las Marias--------------------- | 55 | - | Toa Beja--------------------- | 163 |  |
| Carolina----------------------- | 377 | - | Las PLedras-------------------- | 202 | - | Truyilio Alto-.--------------- | 193 |  |
| Сатвイ\%--------------------------- | 270 | - | Lorza ---------------------------- | 300 | - | Utuado----------------------- | 305 |  |
| Cayey | 343 106 | - |  | $\begin{array}{r}85 \\ 296 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - | Vega A1ta------------------.- | 201 |  |
|  | 143 | - |  | 48 | - |  | 163 83 |  |
| C1dra-------------------------- | 168 | - | Маunabo- | 112 | - |  | 83 126 |  |
| Conmo-----.----------------- | 188 | - |  | 798 | 539 | Yabucos------------------------- | 187 |  |
|  | 143 | - |  | 173 |  | Yauco- | 296 |  |
|  | 194 | - | Morovis------------------------ | 136 | - | VIRGIN ISLANDS------...-- | 397 | 152 |
| Culebra------------------------ | 9 | - |  | 179 | - |  |  |  |
| Forado-0-\%--------------------------------- | 118 209 | $-$ |  | 139 129 | - | St. Croix-------------------1 | 255 | 115 |
|  | 130 | - | Patillas-----------------------1 | 125 | - | St. John and St. Trnomas------ | 142 | 37 |

[^13]Table 6-3. Marriages by Month Marriage Performed: Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands, 1961

| (By place of occurrence) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARRA | Total | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | ALuE. | Sept. | oct. | Nov. | Dac. |
|  | 21,379 | 1,647 22 | 1,400 24 | 1,513 27 | 1,720 52 | 1,649 37 | 1,736 46 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,124 \\ 36 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,703 \\ 43 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,747 \\ 32 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 1,585 \\ 26 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,707 \\ 27 \end{array}$ | 2,849 |

${ }^{1}$ Data are prowlisional.

Table 6-4. Marriages by Day of Week Marriage Performed: Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Data for Puerto Rico based on a sample. Totals by day of week include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated," see Technical Appendix)

| AREA | Total | Sunday | Monday ${ }^{-1}$ | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thureday | Fxiday | Saturdes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 21,380 397 | 2,000 45 | 2,200 3 | 1,460 33 | 1,560 66 | 1,840 62 | 3,200 86 | 9,020 |

'Table 6-5. . Marriages by Resident Status of Bride and of Groom: Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands, 1961
(Data for Puerto Rico based on a sample. Totals by resident status include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated," see Téchnical Appendix)

| Appendix) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hrsiment siatus | PUERTO RTCO |  | VITGIN IELATIS |  |
|  | Bride | Groom ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | Bride | Groon |
|  | 21,380 | 21,380 | 337 | 397 |
|  | 21,200 | 20,840 | 360 | 361 |
|  | 180 | 540 | 37 | 36 |

Table 6-6. Marriages by Marriage Order and Age of Bride and of Groom: Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Data for Puerto Rico based on a sample. Totals for each characteristic include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated" for a specified characteristic; see Technical Appendix)


## Table 6-7. Marriages by Age of Bride, by Age of Groom: Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands, 1961

(By place of occurrence. Data for Puerto Rico based on a sample. Totals for each characteristic include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated" for a specified characteristic, see Technical Appendix)

| AREA AND ACE OF BRIDE | Total | AGE OF GROOM |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } 20 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-34 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35-54 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 55 years and over |
| PUERTO RICO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22,380 | 2,140 | 7,720 | 6,120 | 3,380 | 2,020 |
|  | 7,840 | 1,700 | 4,080 | 1,820 | 220 | 20 |
|  | 6,180 | 420 | 2,900 | 2,340 | 480 | 40 |
| ${ }^{25-34}$ yeara-- | 3,700 | 20 | 660 | 1,760 | 1,180 | 140 |
|  | 2,860 | - | 80 | 260 | 1,440 60 | 1,020 800 |
| VIRGII ISLASDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 397 | 15 | 128 | 149 | 82 | 21 |
|  | 71 | 9 | 39 | 21 | 2 | - |
|  | 120 | 6 | 64 | 44 | ${ }^{6}$ | - |
|  | 127 | - | 23 | 72 | 30 | 2 |
|  | 64 | - | 2 | 12 | 40 | 8 |
|  | 14 | - | - | - | 3 | 12 |

Table 6-8. First Marriages of Both Bride and Groom, by Age of Bride, by Age of Groom: Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands, 1961
(By place of occurrence. Data for Puerto Rico based on a sample. Totals for each characteristic include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for number of "not stated" for a specified characteristic, see Technical Appendix)

| AfEa Amd age of hrite | Total | AGE OF GROOM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under 20 years | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-34 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 35 years and over |
| PUEFIO RICO |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16,700 | 2,120 | 7,280 | 4,760 | 2,540 |
|  | 7,440 | 1,700 | 3,940 | 1,640 | 160 |
|  | 5,240 | 420 | 2,780 | 1,920 | 120 |
|  | 2,260 1,740 | - | 500 60 | 1,120 80 | ${ }_{1, \mathrm{E} \times 0}$ |
| virgin islands |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 283 | 13 | 116 | 119 | 34 |
|  | 64 | 9 | 36 | 18 |  |
|  | 107 | 4 | 61 | 39 | 3 |
|  | 86 26 | - | 17 2 | 55 | 14 |

Table 6-9. Marriages by Age and Previous Marital Status of Bride and of Groom: Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands, 1961



| AREA AKD AGE AT MARRIAGE | BRTDE |  |  |  | GROOM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Totel | Previous marital status |  |  | Total | Previous marital status |  |  |
|  |  | Single | Widowed | Divorced |  | Single | Widowed | divorced |
|  | 21,380 | , 18,920 | 340 | 2,100 | 21,380 | 17,900 | 720 | 2,760 |
|  | 14,020 | 13,740 | - | 280 | 9,860 | 9,620 | - | 240 |
|  | 2,580 | 2,200 | 20 | 340 | 4,340 | 3,840 | - | 500 |
|  | 1,120 | 640 | - | 480 | 1,780 | 1,220 | 80 | 480 |
|  | 1,680 | 1,000 | 80 | 600 | 2,180 | 1,280 | 120 | 780 |
|  | 1,980 | 1,340 | 240 | 400 | 3,220 | 1,940 | 520 | 760 |
| VIRGIE ISLATDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 397 | 323 | 17 | 57 | 397 | 318 | 15 | 63 |
|  | 191 | 184 | - | 7 | 143 | 140 | - | 3 |
|  | 79 | 68 | 1 | 10 | 93 | 88 | 1 | 4 |
|  | 48 | 33 | 1 | 14 | 56 | 43 | 1 | 12 |
|  | 43 | 22 | 3 | 18 | 57 | 28 | 4 | 24 |
|  | - 35 | 15 | 12 | 8 | 46 | 17 | 9 | 20 |

## Table 6-10. Marriages by Previous Marital Status of Bride, by Previous Marital Status of Groom: Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands, 1961

(By place of occurrence. Data for Puerto Rico based on a sample. Totals for each characteristic include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, andfor number of "not stated" for a specified characteristic, see Technical Appendix)

| area and prgvious maritai status of hride | All marriages | Previous marital staius of groom |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Single | Hidowed | Divorcea |
| PUERTO RICO |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21,380 | 17,900 | 720 | 2,760 |
|  | 18,920 | 16,700 | 500 | 1,720 |
|  |  | 180 1,000 | 80 140 | 80 960 |
| VIRGIII ISLATDS |  |  |  |  |
|  | 397 | 318 | 15 | 63 |
|  | 323 | 283 | 10 |  |
|  | 17 57 | 7 28 | 3 2 2 | 77 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 6-11. Marriages by Number of This Marriage of Bride and of Groom: Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands, 1961

(By place of occurrence. Data for Puerto Rico based on a sample. Totals by number of this marriage include "not stated." For sample design, sampling variability, and for num-ber of "not stated," see Technical Appendix)

| AREA | All maxriages | mater of this mardias of brite |  |  | Mmber of this marrtage or crocm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | One | Two | Three or more | Ore | Tvo | Three or more |
|  | 21,380 | 18,920 | 2,250 | 120 | 17,900 | 3,120 | 260 |
|  | 397 | 323 | 20 | - | 318 | 24 | - |

Table 6-12. Divorces and Annulments by Specified Characteristics: Virgin Islands, 1961


Table 6-13. Divorces and Annulments by Specified Characteristics of Husband and of Wife:Virgin Islands, 1961
(By place of occurrence)

| CEARACTERISTIT | Husband | Whfe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{152}$ | 154 |
| Age at Time of Decree |  |  |
|  | 1. | 2 |
|  | 18 | 20 |
|  | 26 | 24 |
|  | 18 | 27 |
|  | 67 | 50 |
|  | 1 | 2 |
| Age ot Time of Marriage |  |  |
|  | 19 | 42 |
|  | 51 | 51 |
|  | 33 | 25 |
|  | 23 | 10 |
|  | 7 | 13 |
|  | 18 | 7 |
|  | 3 | 4 |
| Color |  |  |
|  | 04 | 62 |
|  | 89 | 90 |
|  |  |  |
| Marriage Order at time of Deocree |  |  |
|  | 120 | 128 |
|  | 32 | - 23 |
|  | - | 1 |

Table 6-14. Divorces and Annulments by Resident Status of Defendant Husband and Wife: Virgin Islands, 1961

| (By place of occurrence) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - hestient slatus | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \begin{array}{c} \text { atvorces } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { annulments } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | Defendant husband | Defenanant | Other and not etated |
|  | 152 | 89 | ${ }_{60}$ | 3 |
| Resident of Virgin Islands <br> Not resident of Virgin Islands <br> Residence not stated | 63 <br> 88 <br> 1 | 45 43 1 | 17 43 -- | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ |

Table 6-15. Divorces and Annulments by Duration of Marriage and Number of Children. Under 18 Years of Age: Virgin Islands, 196l

| (By place of occurrence) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| duratioat of marriacis | $\begin{gathered} \text { AII divorces } \\ \text { andar } \\ \text { anuliments } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Kone | 1 | 2 | 3 or more | Hot statea' |
|  | 152 | 50 | 26 | 32 | 32 | 3 |
|  | 5 22 21 32 32 27 43 23 | 1 12 9 9 9 118 18 | 2 <br>  <br> 5 <br> 3 <br> 5 <br> 2 <br> 2 <br> 8 <br> 1 | 1 <br>  <br> 5 <br> 7 <br> 7 <br> 5 <br> 8 | 1 3 11 9 8 -1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |

Table 6-16. Divorces and Annulments by Party to Whom Decree Granted Whether Plaintiff or Other: Virgin Islands, 1961

| (By place of occurrence) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| framintr | $\begin{gathered} \text { AI2 ¿avorcees } \\ \text { annummenta } \\ \text { and } \end{gathered}$ | mecrer granied ro- |  |  |  |
|  |  | Huabana | Hffe | Other party ${ }^{1}$ | Party not stated |
|  | 152 | 55 | 92 | 1 | 4 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}60 \\ 89 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 54 - $i$ | 3 88 -1 | $\vdots$ | $\frac{3}{-}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Both husband and wife.

Table 6-17. Divorces and Annulments by Duration of Marriage and Plaintiff: Virgin Islands, 1961

| (By place of occurrence) |
| :--- | :--- |
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## SECTION 7. TECHNICAL APPENDIX

## INTRODUCTION

In 1961 two methods were used for collecting marriage and divorce statistics. Most of these statistics were based on information obtained from records of marriage and of divorce or annulment and were estimated by the National Vital Statistics Division (NVSD) from samples of transcripts of these records. Annual marriage and divorce totals for States and counties were provided by State and local officials. Marriage totals by month of occurrence were secured by both methods. Sources of marriage and divorce data since 1867 are shown in table 7-1, and a description of these sources was published in Section 7, Volume 111, of Vital Statistics of the United States, 1960.

## Marriage- and divorce-registration areas

In order to promote regular, timely, and complete reporting, marriage- and divorce-registration areas comparable to those developed for the collection of natality and mortality statistics were established in 1957 and 1958, respectively. The areas are made up of those States and independent areas which meet the following criteria:

1. To have established central files of marriage or of divorce records
2. To have adopted a statistical report form which includes required items of information that appear on the Standard Record of Marriage (fig. 7-1) or the Standard Record of Divorce or Annulment (fig. 7-2)
3. To maintain regular and timely reporting by all local areas
4. To carry out, in cooperation with NVSD, tests of marriage or divorce registration completeness and accuracy

Table 7-2 shows the years central files were established and when the States and independent areas began participating in the registration areas. Figures 7-3 and 7-4 indicate the areas participating in the marriage-registration area (MRA) and in the divorce-registration area (DRA) in 1961 as well as those maintaining central files of records of marriage and of divorce or annulment but not included in the registration areas. In 1961, 35 States were in the MRA and 20 in the DRA; in addition the District of Columbia, New Orleans, and Puerto Rico were included in the MRA and the Virgin Islands in both the MRA and the DRA. Marriages performed in 1961 in the MRA States and areas combined represented 62.5 percent of the national total as compared with 57.3 percent in 1960; comparable percentages for the DRA were 30.2 for 1961 and 23.9 for 1960 .

## Statistics based on information from records

In 1960 a probability sample program was initiated for collecting marriage and divorce statistics; for earlier years they were compiled from predesigned tables submitted by the States. The 1960 program was continued in 1961 with two modifications.

1. The 1961 program was limited to samples obtainedfrom States in the marriage-registration area and the divorceregistration area whereas the 1960 program was nationwide and consisted of samples from States in the MRA, the DRA, and the remainder of the Nation. Hence national and regional statistics based on data from samples of records are not available for 1961. The national total of children involved in divorce cases was estimated; all other data are limited to the registration areas and the individual registration States.
2. In January 1961 the District of Columbia, Indiana, and Massachusetts were added to the MRA and Michigan and Missouri were added to the DRA.

The statistical tables for 1961 follow the same design as those for 1960 with revisions that were made necessary by changes in the sample program-national and regional data are omitted and the newly included States are added to the registration areas (Sections 1-4). Data for Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands are shown in Section 6.

## State and county totals

In addition to probability samples from which detailed statistics were prepared, NVSD collected the annual State and county totals of marriages and divorces and annulments which are published in Section 5; State totals are shown in table 1-D of Section 1 and tables $3-\mathrm{B}$ and 3-C of Section 3. Marriage totals by local areas were also received from Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands and divorce totals from the Virgin lslands; these figures are published in table 6-2, Section 6. Marriage totals by month of occurrence are shown for all States in table 2-1, Section 2. The State and area totals shown in these tables differ slightly from totals which are estimates based on the samples for the same States shown in all other tables in this report.

County totals of marriages reported from 41 States and the District of Columbia were based on data available in central files of records. In the nine States and New York City which did not have central files of records, special surveys were conducted. This was done by State officials in Arizona, Illinois, Nevada, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas, and Washington and by the city clerk in New York City.

FIGURE 7-I


In Colorado and North Carolina county officials reported totals to NVSD on a monthly basis.

Annual marriage figures were obtained for 3,098 counties or equivalent areas of the United States; no figures were obtained for 16 counties. The annual marriage total for the United States is the sum of these figures.

The number of marriages performed was reported for 46 States. The number of marriage licenses issued was reported for Colorado, Kentucky, Nevada, North Carolina, and the District of Columbia as well as for 17 counties in
other States. The number of marriage licenses issued is usually larger by 1 or 2 percent than the number of marriages performed (see page 2-1, Section 2, Volume 1, Vital Statistics of the United States, 1959).

Data on divorces and annulments were received from State officials of 48 States and the District of Columbia. In 14 States where central files of divorce records were not maintained (Arizona, California, Illinois, Indiana, Minnesota, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Washington, and West Virginia)

FIGURE 7-2


State officials conducted special surveys to obtain county totals. For South Dakota these totals were tabulated in the National Vital Statistics Division from transcripts of records of all divorces or annulments provided by State officials. Data for Colorado, for some counties of Kentucky, Missouri, and New Mexico, and for some parishes of Louisiana were obtained from surveys of local officials conducted by NVSD. Annual divorce and annulment totals were obtained for 3,068 counties or equivalent local areas of the United States; no totals were obtained for 46 counties.

County data were also received from the Virgin Islands and a report of the annual total from Puerto Rico.

The total number of divorces and annulments granted in the United States was prepared from the State totals; estimates for the nonreporting counties of Arkansas, Kentucky, Missouri, New Mexico and Texas and parishes of Louisiana were included in the national figure. These estimates were prepared on the assumption that the divorce rate in the nonreporting areas of a State was identical with that for the reporting areas of the State.


## The 1961 data

All variables included in the 1961 tabulations appear on the marriage and divorce or annulment record forms of all the registration States with the few exceptions indicated in tables $7-3$ and $7-4$. Of the 36 forms that were in use in the marriage-registration area in 1961, 27 specified the county where the marriage was performed and 33 the city or town. Estimates of civil and religious ceremonies were made chiefly by distinguishing between officiants who were clergymen and those who were civil officials. These data were not requested on the forms of Ohio or of Kentucky, but they were often reported by Kentucky, since the name of the person performing the ceremony was given on the Kentucky records. Among items of data about the bride and groom, age at marriage or date of birth and State of residence were available from the record forms of all registration States. Some data about the marital history of the bride and groom were also available from all MRA States. However, the record forms of Ohio and Michigan included only information about number of previous marriages of each person and not about marital status before the marriage. On the other hand, neither the number of this marriage nor of previous
marriages appeared on the forms of four MRA States (Idaho, Kansas, Maryland, and Oregon). Despite these variations in the records, marriage order (first marriage or remarriage) could be determined for all MRA States.

Items coded for divorces were found on the record forms of States included in the DRA with only three exceptionsKansas secured no data on residence of husband and wife; Nebraska and Virginia requested no data on number of times parties to the divorce had been married. The variables available on all records were date and place where the decree was granted, age or date of birth and race or color of husband and of wife, date and place of marriage (date of marriage was used for computing duration of marriage to decree), the number of children involved, legal grounds for the decree, which party was the plaintiff, and party to whom the decree was granted.

Several additional items of information are found on the marriage and the divorce forms of a number of States. These include birthplace, occupation, residential address, religion, and last grade of school completed. A few marriage forms include one or two items of demographic information about the parents of the bride and the groom. Several divorce reporting forms include added data about such legal matters

as contesting initial action, custody of children, and arrangements for financial support. None of these items were tabulated for 1961.

## DESIGN OF THE SAMPLES

Information about the structure of the samples is shown in table 7-5. The marriage sample was designed to yield estimates of area totals as well as frequency distributions and estimates of their parameters. These estimates were to be made for the marriage-registration area and for each State in the registration area.

Maximum sampling errors (one $\sigma$ ) were specified for each of the percent estimates shown in table 7-6. Samples for each registration State as well as for each registration area as a whole were so designated that sampling errors would not exceed the entries (percentage points) in table 7-6. For example, the sampling error for an estimate of 5 percent was not to exceed 1.1 percentage points in any marriage- or divorce-registration State; hence, in approximately two of three similar samples the true percent
would be located in the interval 3.9 to 6.1 percent ( 5 percent plus and minus the maximum sampling error tolerance of 1.1 percentage points).

A sampling rate was selected for each State so that the resulting sample would be at least 400 records, the number required to secure estimates having less than predesignated maximum sampling errors (table 7-6). Only four different sampling rates were designated for the States in the mar-riage-registration area-all records, $1 / 10,1 / 20$, and $1 / 100$. While each State's records were sampled independently, that is, with a randomly selected number designating the first record to be selected in each State, in computing sampling errors each of the four groups of States with a uniform sampling rate was treated as a stratum. Sampling errors computed using these four groups as strata are likely to be somewhat larger than those that might have been computed using each State as a stratum; hence, the former very probably have an extra safety margin as estimates of variation in any statistic based on sample data.

Sampling procedures in the divorce sample paralleled those for the marriage sample except that the sampling
rates in each stratum as shown in table $7-5$ were much larger than corresponding rates in the marriage sample-all records, $1 / 2,1 / 10$, and $1 / 20$-in order to secure a minimum of 400 sample records from each State in the DRA.

## COLLECTING AND PROCESSING THE SAMPLE DATA

Agreements specifying procedures for selecting sample records and payments to be made by NVSD were arranged for each reporting area. For marriages the records to be sampled referred to all marriages which had been performed during 1961 irrespective of the date of issue or date of filing of the license. For divorces the records to be sampled referred to all annulments and absolute decrees of divorce which were granted during 1961 except for Utah, where they referred to decrees which became final during the year. In States where interlocutory divorce decrees are granted, decrees granted during the latter part of 1961 became final in 1962 and decrees which had been granted late in 1960 became final in 1961. It is possible that some interlocutory decrees never become final because of death or reconciliation, but it is believed that the number of such cases is very small. In all these States except Utah divorce records are filed in the State office of vital statistics when decrees are granted and not when they become final. In most cases such decrees become final automatically after the lapse of a certain period of time.

The following variables were tabulated from returns of sample marriage records of States in the MRA: month of marriage, age, color, marital status, number of this marriage, county and State of residence of bride and of groom, and type of ceremony performed. The basic items for divorces were age, color or race, marriage order, and residence of husband and of wife, place and duration of the marriage, and the number of children in the family being dissolved. Legal items relative to the plaintiff and the person to whom the decree was granted and the legal grounds for the decree were also tabulated.

Early in the sequence of procedures, table plans were drawn up prescribing the detailed tabulations to be prepared. After these tabulations were completed and reviewed, the final tables, which are shown in Sections 2 and 4, were prepared giving much less detail in order to reduce the number of published frequencies with large sampling errors. Since these data are estimates based on samples which were small, several of the tabulations are less detailed than those prepared from pretabulated reports from the States in 1959 and preceding years. Because of high proportions of missing responses for the personal items on divorce records, it is impossible to publish the divorce statistics in Section 4 in as great detail as the marriage statistics in Section 2.

In the analytical text and tables for marriages (Section 1) great emphasis is given to the comparison of variations in age at marriage for the marriage-registration area among such subpopulations as white and nonwhite and single, widowed, and divorced brides and grooms. In addition the
distributions of several variables such as residence and marriage order are shown for each registration State,

The analytical tables for divorces feature relatively complete analyses of variations in duration of the marriage dissolved and in number of children involved. These are critical variables-the first as an index of marital and family stability and the second as part of an estimate of the number of persons affected by the dissolutions of these marriages. The other demographic items were tabulated in broad categories only.

## ESTIMATING PROCEDURES

## Area totals

Before data were tabulated and statistics estimated, adjustments were made in order to reconcile totals estimated from samples received with pretabulated counts for each reporting area. The procedures were as follows:

Marriages. - No adjustments were made of totals estimated from samples and pretabulated totals for those States in which these totals differed by 1 percent or less. A difference of more than 1 percent was found for one State, Kentucky, where the number of sample records was short. The estimated total for this State was footnoted as incomplete in all tables that give detailed marriage statistics.

Divorces.-Adjustments were made if the pretabulated count for a reporting State differed from the estimated total based on .the sample by more than l percent. It was found that the samples from three States (Idaho, Maryland, and Utah) were short 401 records, representing 1,522 events, slightly more than 1 percent of the divorce total of 125,128 for all registration States combined. In all detailed divorce tables the number of not stated cases was increased for those three States in order to bring their totals up to figures representing complete samples.

## Frequencies

Estimating procedures of frequencies were essentially similar for both samples. Frequency distributions were estimated first, and from these, percents and means or medians were computed. Frequencies were estimated in two steps:

1. Each sample case, and all items tabulated for that case, was assigned a weight which was the reciprocal of the probability with which the case was selected. Thus if a marriage record was selected from a State with a probability of $1 / 100$, each item on that record carried a weight of 100 , whereas if 100 percent of the records were processed from a State, each item on each such record carried a weight of 1 .
2. Frequencies were estimated by summing the weights instead of tabulating the number of sample cases. Thus each frequency distribution such as age at marriage of firstmarried brides is a sum of the weights of the sample cases included.

It should be noted that the weights of all sample records are identical within each registration State. However, as
shown in table 7-5, the marriage records in a distribution of all MRA States vary in weights from 1 to 100 and divorce sample records for the DRA vary from 1 to 20. Variation between two or more equal subtotals in the relative proportions of cases with various weights results in each such subtotal having its distinctive sampling error, as discussed below.

## Percents and rates

Percents in the analytical tables were computed using data which excluded estimated numbers of not stated cases. All rates appearing in the analytical tables were based on populations from the U.S. Bureau of the Census. These are populations present in the area estimated as of July 1, 1961, including Armed Forces stationed in the area but excluding Armed Forces abroad.

## Children involved in divorces

Procedures for estimating the number of children reported in divorce suits presented a special case. The number of children was estimated for each registration State, for the DRA, and for the United States. The distribution of divorces and annulments by the number of children reported was prepared for each reporting State as follows. In order to obtain a State total of children reported, the category "children not stated" was first distributed proportionally over the distribution of divorces by number of children reported; then the number of divorces in each category with a given number of children was multiplied by the number of children per divorce (that is, the number of divorces involving one child was multiplied by 1 , the number involving two children was multiplied by 2 , etc.). The sum of the products is the estimate of the number of children reported in a given registration State and the sum of State estimates for participating States is the estimate for the DRA. The national estimate was obtained by multiplying the combined estimates for 14 States by 5.40039 . The 14 States were Georgia, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Maryland, Montana, Nebraska, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. The factor 5.40039 is the ratio of the 1960 national estimate of children prepared from the nationwide sample and the comparable figure for the 14 States combined. Data for 14 States were used because the other 4 States that participated in the DRA in 1960 were either nonrepresentative of the United States or had a very high proportion of divorces with the number of children not stated.

## SAMPLING ERRORS OF THE ESTIMATES

Each estimate computed from the samples, except statistics of States where the sample includes all records, has a variability termed its sampling error (one $\sigma$ ) since it is not based on a complete count of marriages or of divorces occurring in 1961. Since all cases in these samples were selected with known probabilities, this sampling error can
be computed for each estimate. Each sampling error shown in table 7-7 and table 7-8 is the amount which when added to and subtracted from the estimated frequency gives the interval within which the actual quantity being estimated lies in approximately two out of three similar samples. For example, if the sample estimate of the number of wives under 20 years of age at the time of the divorce in 1961 is 100,000 , which has a sampling error of 2,700 , the chance is $2 / 3$ that the result of a complete count of wives under 20 years at divorce in 1961 will lie between 97,300 and 102,700. If one lengthens the interval to two sampling errors above and below the estimate (in this example, 94,600 to 105,400 ) the chance of including the actual quantity becomes 95/100.

In order to facilitate comparisions of sampling errors among registration States with widely varying totals of marriages or divorces, the sampling errors are shown for frequencies expressed as percents of State or registration area totals. Sampling errors for frequencies equal in size are markedly larger for the MRA than for the DRA (compare the sampling error of 734 for $9,640,1$ percent of the MRA total, with the sampling error of 391 for $12,513,10$ percent of the DRA total). This greater precision of estimates for the DRA results from the much larger sampling rates of $1 / 2,1 / 10$, and $1 / 20$ for strata 2,3 , and 4 than the rates of $1 / 10,1 / 20$, and $1 / 100$ for equivalent strata in the MRA sample. The sampling error for the estimated percent corresponding to each frequency can be estimated by dividing the sampling error shown for the frequency by the total number of 1961 events reported for the area. As an example of the procedures described above for the States and registration areas, suppose the number of nonwhite marriages in California to be $10,960,10$ percent of the total for the State. The error shown in table 7-7 for this frequency is 988. By adding and subtracting 988 from 10,960 , one secures the interval 9,972 to 11,948 ; the chances are about 68 out of 100 that the actual number of nonwhite marriages is in this interval. In order to obtain the sampling error for 10 percent itself, divide 988 by the State total, 109,600, with a resulting sampling error of 0.9 percent. Thus the chances are about 68 out of 100 that the actual percent of nonwhite marriages in California falls between 9.0 and 10.9.

Estimation of the standard error of a proportion in a subgroup of a subtotal-say, the proportion of nonwhite marriages in the age group 20-24 years-is accomplished by use of the formula

$$
S_{\mathrm{p}} \cong \sqrt{P_{y}^{2} S_{x^{\prime}}^{2}-P_{x}^{2} S_{y^{\prime}}^{2}} / P_{y}^{2} N .
$$

$P_{y}$ is the proportion the denominator is of the total marriages of the State. $P_{\mathrm{x}}$ is the proportion the numerator is of the State total. $S_{y^{\prime}}$ is the standard error of the denominator; $S_{X^{\prime}}$ is the standard error of the numerator. $N$ is the total number of marriages for the State. Use of this equation can be best illustrated by an example. Suppose for the State of Alabama that 45 percent of the marriages of nonwhite brides from the sample fell into the age group 15-19 years and
we want to approximate the standard error of this proportion. Suppose also that the estimated number of nonwhite marriages for the entire State was 8,000 and the number falling into the age group $15-19$ years was 3,600 . Eight thousand marriages is close to 25 percent $\left(P_{y}\right)$ and 3,600 is close to 10 percent ( $P_{\mathrm{x}}$ ) of the $32,720(N)$ marriages in Alabama during 1961. From table 7-7 we find for Alabama the standard error ( $S_{y^{1}}$ ) of the 8,000 to be 341 and ( $S_{x^{1}}$ ) of the 3,600 to be 237 . Substituting the preceding values into the formula we obtain

$$
\frac{S_{p}=\sqrt{(.25)^{2}(237)^{2}-(.10)^{2}(341)^{2}}}{(.25)^{2}(32,720)}=.024 .
$$

Therefore the chances are about 68 out of 100 that the true proportion would be between .426 and .474 .

To determine if two proportions are significantly different, divide the difference by the square root of the sum of the squares of their standard errors. If the quotient of this division is greater than 2, then the probability that the difference is due to chance is less than 1 in $20 .{ }^{1}$ (The figure 2 is the rounded value of the quantity "Student' $s$ " $t$, at the 5 percent level of significance for the hypothesis that the difference between the two estimates is zero when samples are large, 60 or more.) To illustrate, suppose we wish to compare the proportion of nonwhite marriages in the 15-19age group for the two States, Alabama and Louisiana. Assume that the proportions were 45 percent with standard error .024 for Alabama and 49 percent with standard error .027 for Louisiana. Division of the difference by the square root of the sum of the squares of the standard errors gives us


This value is less than 2; therefore the difference between the two proportions may well have been due to chance.

## COMPLETENESS OF THE DATA

Among the various factors which produce errors in the 1961 marriage and divorce statistics, incompleteness of reporting is one of the most important. It is the one factor for which detailed and complete estimates are available. Table 7-9 (marriages) and the tables in Section 4 (divorces and annulments) show the numbers of cases with various characteristics not stated because of incompleteness of returns of sample records, because of items not appearing on State record forms, and because of data not reported on individual sample records.

An important quality check was introduced to improve accuracy of record count. Estimates of the number of records which constituted complete reporting were developed for

[^14]comparing, for each registration State, the total number of 1961 marriages or divorces as estimated from sample records received with the annual total as reported for the areas at the end of the year. Whenever these two totals differed by more than 1 percent, investigation to reconcile this difference was undertaken. In most instances this involved querying State officials, but in several cases it was found that some records such as unused marriage licenses, duplicates, and divorce decrees which were not absolute, had been included in the samples or in the reported totals or in both. This querying was a marked aid in improving the accuracy of the pretabulated totals and of the samples.

The final outcome was that the totals of marriages and divorces were more thoroughly checked than those used when only pretabulated data were secured. In terms of these totals it is estimated that marriage records were not recelved for 0.4 percent of all marriages for the MRA. Among the States in the sample, the largest proportion of records not secured was in Kentucky, where an estimated 14 percent of all records were not received.

For the DRA the sample records were not received for 1.2 percent of all divorces. The samples from three States were short-2.4 percent of the decrees granted in Idaho were not received, 17.0 percent of those granted in Maryland, and 23.6 percent of those in Utah.

Principal sources of incompleteness differed for the two samples. For marriages, lack of an item on the marriage record forms of a few reporting areas was the principal source. The fact that color or race was not reportable for the entire year in Ohio with 66,000 marriages and for about $31 / 2$ months in California with an estimated 25,000 marriages accounted for over 9 percent of the MRA marriage total, whereas this item not being completed on individual records in other States accounted for 1 percent. Analysis of the data on items not stated shows parallel situations for the reporting of marriage order, previous marital status, and type of ceremony.

Further standardization of the types of items to be requested on the marriage record forms of each reporting area is needed and should be feasible, particularly since responses to most of the items are relatively complete in all areas that request them.

The principal source of incompleteness in the 1961 divorce statistics arises from failure to secure key items of personal and demographic data in several States even in cases where these items are on the recordforms. The proportion of records not stating age at decree varies among the 20 DRA States from less than 1 to 97 percent; for race or color the corresponding range is from 0 to 80 percent; for marriage order the analogous range among DRA States having this item is from 0 to 97 percent; for duration of marriage the range is 0 to 25 percent; and for number of children the range is from less than 1 to 29 percent.

Estimates of incompleteness of the data, whether items not available from a reporting area or missing responses on individual sample records, were made by inspection during the processing of the sample records in NVSD. Missing responses are shown as not stated marriages in table 7-9 and as not stated divorces in the tables in Section 4.

The following test of completeness was applied to the 1961 data for the registration areas and participating States
and other areas: Annual totals reported by the States were compared with annual totals estimated from sample records and discrepancies of more than 1 percent were investigated. Final results indicated incompleteness of reporting greater than 1 percent in only four States. Over the approximately 5 years of planning, collecting, and processing the samples for 1960 and 1961 there have been improvements in registration and statistical procedures resulting from State and Federal efforts to secure more uniform marriage and divorce statistics in the registration areas.

## SYMBOLS USED IN TABLES

Class or item not applicable------------------------- .


Quantity zero in rate or percent tables----.-...-.-. 0
Rate or percent more than 0 but less than $0.05------\quad 0.0$

Figures within parentheses not included in totals---- ()

Table 7-1. Sources of Marriage and Divorce Data: United States, 1867-1961

| TIME PERIOD | Marriage | Divorce ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimates prepared by National office of Vital Statistics based on results of field survey covering 20 -year period conducted in $1887-88$ by the U.S. Department of Labor. | Wumbers and detailed statistics (legal grounds, duration of marriage, number of children reported, etc. $)$ obtained in fleld survey covering 20 -year period conducted in 1887-88 by the U.S. Department of Labor, |
|  | Estimates based on data from field survey covering 20-year periad conducted in 1906-07 by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. | Numbers and detailed statistics (legaI grounds, duration of marriage, number of children reported, etc.) obtained in field survey coverinc 20 -year period conducted fn 1906-07 by the U.S. Bureau of the Cencus. |
|  | Estimates prepared by Bureau of the Census based on records from selected States. | Same as for marriage. |
| 1916 | Numbers collected through mall survey conducted in 1917-18 by Bureau of the Census. | Numbers and detailed atatistics collected through mail survey conducted in 1917-18 by Bureau of the Census. |
|  | Estimates prepared by Bureau or the Census based on records from selected States. | Same as for marriage. |
| 1922-32-1 | Annual collection by Bureau of the Census through mail query to States with central files and to counties in the remaining states. | Numbers and detalled statistica collected annually by Bureau of the Census through mail query to States with central files and to counties in the remainiag States. |
|  | Estimates by S. A. Stouffer and L. M. Spencer on basis of data available from selected States (American Journal of Sociology, January 1939). | Seme as for marriage. |
|  | Estimates based on transcript returns and mail surveys of state and county offices conducted by Bureau of the Census. Some detailed statistics for 1940 based on transcript program. | Same as for marriage. |
|  | Estimates based on dete from published State reports and from files of Bureau of the Census and Federal Home Loan Bank Board. | Same as for marriage. |
|  | Estimates based on figures for marrieges and marriage licenses obtained by National Office of Vital Statistics from State and local registration offices. | Estimates based on fizures for divorees and annulments obtained by National office or vital Statis. tics from State and local registration offices. |
|  | Estimates based on figures for marriages and marriage licenses obtained by National Office of Vital Statistics from State and local registration offices; detailed statistics based on pretabulated data provided by State offices of vital statistics. | Estimates baced on figures for divorees and annulments, obtained by Hational office of Vital Statistics from State and local regiatration offices; detailed statistics based on pretabulated data provided by State offices of vital statistics. |
|  | Estimates based on figures for maritages and marriage licenses obtained by National Office of Vital Statistics from State and local registration offices, detalled statistics based on pretabulated data provided by states participating in the marriage-registration aréa (MRA). | Same as for 1948-56, |
|  | Same as for 1957. | Estimates based on figures for divorces and annulments obtained by National orfice of Vital Statistics from State and local registration offices; detailed statistics based on pretabulated data provided by States participating in the divorce-registration area (DRA). |
|  | Statistics prepared in the National Vital Statiatics Division from nationvide probability samples of marriage records designed to provide estimates for the United States, the four regions, the marriageregistration area, and each registration State. Totals of efther marriages or marriage licenses by county and month also tabulated in each State. These and totals estimated from samples were checked for consistency. | Statistics prepared in the National vital statistics DHVIsion from nationwle probability samples of divorce records. Records in ths sample provided sta tistics on absolute decrees of divorce and annulmen ${ }^{+}$ for the United States, the four regiona, the d1-vorce-registration area, and each registration Statc. Totals for each State by county aloo tabulated in each State. These and totals estimated from samples were checked for consistency. |
| 1961--- | Statistics prepared in the National vital Statistics Division for the MRA and each MRA State from probability samples of the marriage reconds collected by each MRA State. Pretabulated totals of marriages or marriage Itcenses by coumty and by month, reported for all States, used to compute totals for the United States, four regions, and nine geograph ic aivisions; also, for MRA states, checked for consistency with totais estimated for sample records. | Statistics prepared in the National Vital Statictice Division for the DRA and each DRA State frem probability camples of the records of absolute divorcos and annuiments collected by each DRA State. Fretabulated totals by county, reported for all States, used to compute totale for the United States, fcur resions, and nine geographic divisions; zlsc, for DRA States, checked for consistency with totals estirated from sample records. |

${ }^{1}$ Includes reported annulments.

Table 7-2. Years Central Files of Marriage and Divorce Records Were Established and Years Admitted to the Marriage- and Divorce-Registration Areas: States and Outlying Areas
(The marriage-registration area was established January 1, 1957, and the divorce-registration area January 1, 1958)

| AREA | MARRIAGES |  | DIVORCES |  | AFEA | MARRIAGES |  | DIVORCES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Central fyles established | $\begin{gathered} \text { State } \\ \text { admytted } \\ \text { to the MRA } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Central } \\ \text { files } \\ \text { established } \end{gathered}$ | State admitted to the DRA |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Central } \\ \text { fliles } \\ \text { established } \end{gathered}$ | State admitted to the MRA | $\begin{gathered} \text { Central } \\ \text { files } \\ \text { established } \end{gathered}$ | State admitted to the DRA |
|  | 1908 | 1957 | 1908 | 1958 |  | 1909 | 1957 | 1909 | 1958 |
|  | 1913 | 1957 | 1949 | 1958 |  |  | - |  | - |
|  |  | - | - | - | Neer Hampshire-------------------------- | 1858 | 1957 | 1881 | - |
|  | 1917 | - | 1923 | - |  | 1848 | 1957 | 1795 | - |
|  | 1905 | 1957 | - | - |  | $\cdots$ | - | - | - |
|  |  |  | $\overline{7}$ | - |  | 1880 | 1957 | - | - |
|  | 1897 | 1957 | 1947 | - |  | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1913 | 1957 | 1935 | - |  | - | - | 1958 | - |
|  | 1811 | 1961 | 1802 | - |  | 1925 | - | 1949 | - |
|  | 1927 | 1957 | 1927 | - |  | 1949 | 1957 | 1949 | - |
|  | 1952 | 1957 | 1952 | 1958 |  | 7 | - | - | - |
|  | 1896 | 1957 | 1951 | 2958 |  | 1907 | 1957 | 1925 | 1958 |
|  | 1947 | 1957 | 1947 | 1958 |  | 1906 | 1957 | 1943 | 1958 |
|  |  |  | - | - |  | 1852 | 1957 | - | - |
|  | 1959 | 1961 | - | 7959 |  | 1.950 | 1957 | 1905 | 1958 |
|  | 1880 | 1957 | 1914 | 1958 | South Dakota-------------------------- | 1905 | 1957 | 1905 | 1958 |
|  | 1913 | 1957 | 1951 | 1959 |  | 1945 | 1.957 | 1945 | 1958 |
|  | 1958 | 1959 | 1958 | - |  |  | 1957 | 1953 |  |
|  | 1937 | 1957 | 1942 | - |  | 1919 | 1957 | 1953 | 1958 |
|  | 1870 | 1957 | 1912 | - |  | 1857 | 1957 | 1896 | - |
|  | 1892 | 1957 | 1892 | - |  | 1853 | 1957 | 1918 | 1958 |
|  | 1914 | 1957 | 1914 | 1959 |  | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1841 | 1961 | 1882 | - |  | 1921 | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
|  | 1867 | 2957 | 1897 | 1961 | Hisconsin <br> Wroming- | 1907 | 1957 | 1907 | $\begin{aligned} & 1958 \\ & 1958 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1958 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1966 | 1957 | $19 \mathrm{c6}$ | - | Puerto Rieo-------------------------- | 1931 | 1957 | 1931 | - |
|  | 1948 | 7 | 1948 | 1961 |  | 1953 | 1957 | 1953 | 1958 |
| Hontanam------------------------------ | 1943 | 1957 | 1943 | 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7-3. Selected Items of Statistical Information on Marriage Records of Marriage-Registration States: 1961

| STATE | ITEESS CONCERRINTS MARRIAGE |  |  |  | Iters concerinig bride and groom |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Date | County or county equivalent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { City } \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { tom } \end{aligned}$ | THtle or denomination of officiant ${ }^{2}$ | Date of birth or age | Previous marital status | Number of this marriage ${ }^{3}$ | Face or color |  |
| Alabama | X | X |  | X | x | X | X | X | X |
| Alaska | x | x | x | x | x | x | X | x | x |
| caviforila | x |  | X | x | x | x | x | (4) | X |
| Connecticut | x | (5) | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| Delaware | x | x | x | x | x | x | X | x | X |
| District of Columbie | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| Floride | x |  | X | x | x | x | x | x | X |
| Georgia | x | X | X | x | X | x | x | x | X |
| Hawail | X | X | X | x | x | x | X | X | x |
| Idaho | x | x | x | x | X | x |  | x | x |
| Indiana | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| Iowa | x | x | x | x | X | x | x | x | x |
| Kanses | x |  | x | x | x | x |  | x | x |
| Kentucky | x | x |  | ${ }^{(8)}$ | X | X | X | X | X |
| Ioussiana | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | X | X |
| Maine | X | (5) | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Maryland | x |  | x | x | x | x |  | x | x |
| Massachusetts | X | (5) | X | x | X | x | x | x | x |
| Michigan | . X | x | x | x | x |  | x | x | X |
| Mississippi | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| Montana | X | x | X | $x$ | X | x | x | x | x |
| Nebraska | x | x | x | x | X | X | x | x | X |
| New Hampshire | X | X | X | X | X | X | x | x | X |
| New Jersey | x | x | x | x | x | X | X | x | x |
| New York (excl. N.Y.C.) | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Ohio | X | X |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Oregon | X | x | X | X | X | X |  | X | X |
| Penasylvania | X | X | X | x | X | X | X | x | X |
| Rhode Island | X | (5) | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| South Dakota | X | X | X | x | X | X | X | X | X |
| Tennessee | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Utah | X | X | X | X | X | $X$ | X | X | X |
| Vermont | X | (5) | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Virginia | x | X | X | X | X | x | x | X | X |
| Wisconsin | x | X | x | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Wyoming | X | X | X | x | X | X | X | X | X |

${ }^{1}$ Not on Standard Record of Marriage. (Information used in WVSD to deteraine county of marriage if county is not stated.)
${ }^{2}$ Not on Standard Record of Marriage. (Information used in NVSD to distinguish religious fron civil ceremonies if type of ceremony is not stated.)
${ }^{3}$ Reportable in 20 States as "Number of previous marriages."
${ }^{4}$ "Color this race" deleted from marriage certificate effective September 15, 1961.

${ }^{E_{\text {Name }}}$ of officiant only is required on State recori form. THtle of officiant was aiso given on approximately 40 percent of sample fecords received.

Table 7-4. Selected Items of Statistical Information on Divorce or Annulment Records of DivorceRegistration States: 1961
(Items listed are those on the Standard Record of Divorce or Annulment, PHS-2040. "X" denotes presence of Item on the State record form)

| STATE | ITEMS COMCERNTIG DIVORCE |  |  |  |  |  | ITIEMS CONCERNINGMARRTAGE |  | mitwi concerning husbard and hife |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Date | County or county equivalent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iegal } \\ & \text { grounds } \end{aligned}$ | Plaintiff | $\begin{gathered} \text { To } \\ \text { whom } \\ \text { granted } \end{gathered}$ | Number of <br> children | Date | State where marriage occurred | Date of birth or age | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Race } \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { color } \end{aligned}$ | Number of this marriage ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { State } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { residence } \end{gathered}$ |
| Alabama | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| Alackn | X | X | $x$ | X | X | X | X | X | $x$ | X | X | x |
| Georcia | x | $x$ | x | x | X | $x$ | x | x | X | x | x | x |
| Hawali | x | $x$ | x | x | $x$ | x | x | x | X | $x$ | x | x |
| Idaho | x | x | X | x | x | x | X | x | X | x | x | x |
| Iowa | x | x | X | X | x | x | X | x | x | x | X | x |
| Kınoso | x | x | x | x | x | x | X | x | X | x | X |  |
| Maryland | X | x | x | x | x | X | X | x | x | x | x | x |
| Mratigan | x | x | x | X | x | X | X | x | x | x | x | x |
| Minonuri | x | x | X | x | X | X | X | x | X | x | X | x |
| Montana | x | x | X | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| Mebracka | x | X | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |  | x |
| Orecon | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | X | x |
| Pennoylvanio | x | X | X | x | X | x | X | x | x | X | x | x |
| South Dakota | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| Tennascec | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | X | x | x |
| Utah | x | x | x | x | x | x | X | x | x | x | x | x |
| Virginia | x | X | x | X | x | X | X | x | X | x |  | x |
| Hisconain | x | x | x | X | x | X | X | x | x | X | X | x |
| Hyomins | x | x | X | X | x | X | X | X | x | X | x | X |

"Roportable in Georgia and South Dakota as "fumber of previous marriages."

Table 7-5. Marriage and Divorce SamplesSampling Rates and Sample Size: Marriageand Divorce-Registration Areas: 1961
(Refers only to events occurring in a specified area)

| AREA ARD STRATIM | Number of primary sampling areas | Sampling rate | Number of semple records | Estimated number of events ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MARRIAGE-REGISITATION AEEA |  |  |  |  |
| Marriage-registration States---.-- | 36 | ... | 42,595 | 963,995 |
| Stratum 1----------------------- | 4 | All records | 11,065 | 11,065 |
| Stratum 2 | 7 | 1/10 | 4,543 | 45,430 |
| Stratum 3 | 18 | 1/20 | 22,390 | 447,800 |
| Stratum 4-- | 7 | 1/100 | 4,597 | 459,700 |
|  | 1 | 1/20 | 1,069 | 21,390 |
| Virgin Islands---------------------- | 1 | All records | 397 | 397 |
| DIVORCE-REGISTRAMION AFEA |  |  |  |  |
| Divorce-registration States------- | 20 | $\cdots$ | 15,610 | 125,128 |
| Stratum 1-------------------------- | 2 | All records | 1,770 | 1,770 |
| Stratur 2------------------------ | 6 | 1/2 | 6,154 | 12,308 |
| Stratum 3 | 7 | 1/10 | 4,267 | 42,670 |
| Stratum 4. | 5 | 1/20 | 3,419 | 68,380 |
| Virgin Islands---------------------- | 1 | All records | 152 | 152 |

Table 7-6. Marriage and Divorce SamplesMaximum Sampling Errors in Percentage Points, for Specified Estimates of Marriage and Divorce Records:Registration Areas and Registration States, 1961

| ama |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : | 5 | 10 | ${ }^{25}$ |  |
| Marriage-registration Divorce-registration | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \\ & 0.25 \\ & 0,07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \\ & 0.51 \\ & \hline, 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.9 \\ 0.5 \\ i, 5 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{\substack{0 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.6}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | ${ }_{\substack { 0.6 \\ \begin{subarray}{c}{0.5{ 0 . 6 \\ \begin{subarray} { c } { 0 . 5 } } \\{2.5}\end{subarray}}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Nunker of sample records weighted by reciprocal of sampling rate.

Table 7-7. Marriage Sample-Sampling Error of Estimated Frequency Expressed as Percentage of Area Total: Marriage-Registration Area, Each Registration State, Puerto Rico, and Virgin Islands, 1961

 errors since all records were tabulated)

| AREA | All marriages | SAMPLTNG ERROR OF ESTIMATED FREQUENGY EMPRESSRED AS PERCENTAGE OF AREA TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 or 99 | 2 or 98 | 3 or 97 | 4 or 96 | 5 or 95 | 7 or 93 | 10 or 90 | 15 or 85 | 20 or 80 | 25 or 75 | 50 |
|  | 963,995 | 734 | 1,032 | 1,258 | 1,446 | 1,608 | 1,882 | 2,213 | 2,634. | 2,951 | 3,194 | 3,689. |
|  | 32,720 | 78 | 110 | 134 | 154 | 172 | 201 | 237 | 282 | 31.5 | 341 | 394 |
|  | 2,091 | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 179 | \% 318 | . ${ }^{12}$ | -•1\% |
|  | 109,600 | 328 | 461 | 562 | 645 | 718 | 840 | 988 | 1,176 | 1,318 | 1,426 | 1,647 |
|  | 17,780 | 58 | 81 | 99 | 114 | 127 | 148 | 174 | 208 | 232 | 252 | 291 |
|  | 2,560 | $\cdots$ | 59 | 71 | 91 | -91 | 106 | 125 | 149 | 1 T 6 | 180 | 2 O |
| District of Columbia | 9,080 | 41 | 58 | 71 150 | 81 173 | 91 192 | 106 | 125 | 149 | 166 353 | 180 392 | 209 441 |
|  | 40,940 | 88 | 123 | 150 | 173 | 192 | 225 | 265 | 315 | 353 908 | 382 983 | $\begin{array}{r}441 \\ \hline, 135\end{array}$ |
|  | 52,100 | 226 | 318 | 387 | 445 | 495 | 579 | 681 | 811 | 908 | 983 | 1,135 |
|  | 5,290 | 22 | 31 | 37 | 43 | 48 100 | 56 117 | $\begin{array}{r}65 \\ 130 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}78 \\ 164 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 87 183 | $\begin{array}{r}94 \\ 198 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 109 229 |
|  | 17,060 | 46 204 | $\begin{array}{r}64 \\ 286 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 78 349 | $\begin{array}{r}90 \\ 401 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 100 446 | 117 522 | 138 <br> 614 <br> 1 | 164 731 | 183 819 | 198 886 | 1029 $\times, 023$ |
|  | 42,300 | 204 64 | 286 90 | 349 110 | 401 127 | 446 141 | 522 165 | 614 194 | 731 231 | 819 258 | 886 280 | - 1,323 |
|  | 16,140 | 55 | 78 | 94 | 108 | 121 | 141 | 166 | 198 | 221 | 240 | 277 |
|  | 22,240 | 65 | 91 | 171 | 127 | 142 | 166 | 195 | 232 | 260 | 281 | 325 |
|  | 24,060 | 67 | 95 | 175 | 132 | 147 | 173 | 203 | 241 | 270 | 293 | 330 |
| Maine--- | 7,880 | 26 | 37 | 45 | 52 | 58 | 68 | 80 | 95 | 106 | 135 | 133 |
|  | 39,980 | 87 | 122 | 149 | 171 | 190 | 222 | 261 | 311 | 349 | 377 | 436 |
|  | 33,660 | 80 | 112 | 136 | 157 | 174 | 204 | 240 | 286 | 320 | 346 | 400 |
| Michigan---- | 63,300 | 249 | 350 | 427 | 491 | 546 | 639 | 751 | 894 | 1,001 | 1,084 | 1,251 |
| Mississippi- | 21,600 | 64 | 90 | 109 | 125 | 140 | 163 | 192 | 229 | 256 | 277 | 320 |
|  | 5,630 | 22 | 32 | 38 | 44 | 49 | 57 | 68 | 80 | 90 | 97 | 113 |
|  | 10,980 | 45 | 64 | 78 | 90 | 100 | 137 | 137 | 163 | 183 | 198 | 228 |
| New Harpshire- | 7,350 | 26 | 36 | 44 | 50 | 56 | 66 | 77 | 92 | 103 | 111 | 123 |
| New Jersey--.-..-- | 40,700 | 87 | 123 | 150 | 172 | 192 | 224 | 264 | 314 | 352 | 391 | 440 |
|  | 56,800 | 236 | 332 | 404 | 465 | 517 | 605 | 711 | 847 | 949 | 1,027 | 1,185 |
| Ohio - | 66,000 | 254 | 358 | 436 | 501 | 557 | 652 | 767 | 913 | 1,022 | 1,107 | 1,278 |
|  | 10,780 | 45 | 63 | 77 | 89 | 99 | 115 | 136 | 162 | 181 | 196 | 226 |
|  | 69,600 | 261 | 367 | 448 | 514 | 572 | 670 | 787 | 937 | 1,050 | 1,136 | 1,312 |
|  | 5,640 | 22 | 32 | 38 | 44 | 49 | 57 | 68 | 80 | 90 | 98 | 113 |
|  | 6,250 | 24 | 33 | 40 | 46 | 52 | 61 | 71 | 85 | 95 | 103 | 11a |
|  | 31,660 | 77 | 109 | 132 | 152 | 169 | 198 | 233 | 277 | 310 | 336 | 389 |
| Utah | 7,390 | 26 | 36 | 44 | 51 | 56 | 66 | 77 | 92 | 103 | 112 | 123 |
|  | 3,160 | . |  | … |  |  |  |  |  | \%i |  |  |
| Virginia- | 38,220 | 85 | 119 | 145 | 167 | 186 | 217 | 256 | 304 | 341 | 369 | 426 |
| W1sconsin-- | 24,240 | 68 | 95 | 116 | 133 | 148 | 173 | 204 | 242 | 271 | 294 | 333 |
|  | 3,246 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . $\cdot$ | -•• | $\cdots$ | . $\cdot$. | $\cdots$ | ... | . $\cdot$ | '.. | . $\cdot$ |
|  | 21,380 | 63 | 89 | 109 | 125 | 139 | 163 | 191 | 228 | 255 | 276 | 319 |
|  | 397 | . $\cdot$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | . $\cdot$. | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | * $\cdot$ | . $\cdot$. | [.] | ' $\cdot$ |

Table 7-8. Divorce Sample-Sampling Error of Estimated Frequency Expressed as Percentage of Area Total: Divorce-Registration Area, Each Registration State, and Virgin Islands, 1961
(Estimates for the entire DRA have distributions of sampling errors generated by changes in contributions of cases from each stratum. For sampling errors in this table for the entire DRA, it is assumed that these contributions are proportionate to stratum totals. Figures for Alaska, South Dakota, and Virgin Islands have no sampling errors since all records were tabulated)

| AREA | ```All divorces and ammulments``` | SAMPITMG ERROR Of estimated frequrngy expressed as ferceintage of area total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 or 99 | 2 or 98 | 3 or 97 | 4 or 96 | 5 or 95 | 7 or 93 | 10 or 90 | 15 or 85 | 20 or 80 | 25 or 75 | 50 |
|  | 125,128 | 130 | 182 | 222 | 255 | 284 | 332 | 391 | 465 | 521 | 564 | 651 |
|  | 17,700 | 58 | 81 | 99 | 124 | 126 | 148 | 174 | 207 | 232 | 251 | 290 |
|  | 916 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | . 7 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | 9,540 | 29 | 41 | 50 | 57 | 64 | 75 | 88 | 105 | 117 | 127 | 146 |
|  | 1,554 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 20 |
|  | 2,684 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 16 | 18 | 21 | 22 | 26 |
|  | 4,800 | 21 | 29 | 35 | 41 | 45 | 53 | 62 | 74 | 83 | 90 | 104 |
|  | 5,170 5,300 | 21 22 | 30 31 | 37 37 | 42 | 47 | 55 56 | 65 | 77 78 | 86 87 | 93 95 | 109 109 |
| Naryland | 5,300 | 22 | 31 | 37 | 43 | 48 | 56 | 66 | 78 | 87 | 95 | 109 |
|  | 16,120 | 55 | 77 | 94 | 108 | 121 | 141 | 166 | 198 | 221 | 240 | 277 |
|  | 11,560 | 47 | 65 | 80 | 92 | 102 | 120 | 141 | 167 | 187 | 203 | 234 |
|  | 2,034 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 20 | 23 |
| Hebracka- | 2,370 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 17 | 19 | 21 | 24 |
|  | 6,000 | 23 | 33 | 40 | 46 | 51 | 59 | 70 | 83 | 93 | 101 | 116 |
|  | 13,520 | 51 | 71 | 87 | 100 | 17. | 130 | 153 | 182 | 203 | 220 | 254 |
|  | 854 | $\cdots$ | - ${ }^{\circ}$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  | 끅 | $\cdots$ | 끙 | ‥7 | iil |
|  | 9,380 | 42 | 59 | 72 | 83 | 92 | 108 | 127 | 151 | 169 | 183 | 211 |
|  | 2,360 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 13 | 12 | 15 | 17 | 19 | 21 | 24 |
|  | 7,580 | 26 | 37 | 45 | 51 | 57 | 67 | 78 | 93 | 104 | 173 | 131 |
|  | 4,280 | 20 | 27 | 33 | 38 | 43 | 50 | 59 | 70 | 79 | 85 | 98 |
|  | 1,306 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 16 | 18 |
|  | 152 | ... | $\ldots$ |  | ... | $\ldots$ | . |  | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ |

[^15]Table 7-9. Number of Marriages "Not Stated" for Specified Characteristics: Marriage-Registration Area, Each Registration State, Puerto Rico, and Virgin Islands, 1961

| AREA | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { All } \\ \text { marriages } \end{array}$ | CHARACTERISIIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Day of } \\ \text { week } \\ \text { marriage } \\ \text { performed } \end{gathered}$ | Age |  | Color |  | Marciage order |  | Previous marital status |  | Number of this marxiage |  | Resident status <br> in place where married |  | Thpe of ceremony per formed |
|  |  |  | Bride | Graom | Bride | Groom | Bride | Groom | Bride | Groon | Bride | Groom | Bride | Groom |  |
| MARRIAGE-KEGISTRAITION AREA ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | 963,995 | 2,722 | 1,871 | 1,502 | 100,547 | 98,547 | 9,012 | 8,297 | 43,191 | 42,907 | 34, 145 | 33,44I | 600 | SEO | 19,800 |
|  | 32,720 | 2,540 | 20 | - | 60 | - | - | - | 40 | 20 | 100 | 20 | - | - | 20 |
| Alaske----------------------- | 2,091 | 1 | 24 | 16 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | - | - | 39 |
|  | 109,600 | - | - | - | 229,500 | 229,500 | 100 | 100 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 300 | 300 | - | - | 10\% |
|  | 17,780 | - | - |  |  | 20 | - | - | 20 |  | - | 20 | - | - | 43 |
|  | 2,560 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 3 | - | - | 31 |
| District of Columbia.-n-mon- | 9,080 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 60 | 40 | $\rightarrow$ | $-$ | - | - | 20 |
|  | 40,940 | - | - | 20 | 20 | 40 | 220 | 160 | 300 | 240 | 960 | 760 | 320 | 320 | 280 |
|  | 52,100 | - | 100 | - | 1,100 | 200 | 700 | 500 | 1,200 | 900 | 900 | 600 | 200 | - | * |
| Hawai1--------2.------------- | 5,290 | - | - | 5 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | - | 10 |  | - | - | 120 |
|  | 11,060 | - | 220 | 180 | 80 | 40 | 40 | - | 80 | 60 | 33,200 | 33,180 | - | - | 20 |
|  | 42,300 | - | 900 | 800 | 500 | 200 | 600 | 300 | 700 | 400 | 1,700 | 900 | - | - | 700 |
| Iowa-m-----------n------------- | 21,960 | - | - | - | 100 | 20 | - | - | 60 | - |  | - | 20 | - | 20 |
|  | 16,140 | - | 200 | 140 | 100 | 80 | 160 | 120 | 260 | 220 | ${ }^{3} 3,400$ | $3_{3,520}$ | - | - | 40 |
|  | 22,240 | - | 20 | - | 20 | 40 | 180 | 120 | 180 | ${ }_{3} 140$ | 3,580 | 3,480 | - | - | 13,900 |
| Louisiana----------------------- | 24,060 | 100 | 20 | - | - | - | 3,360 | 3,400 | 3,540 | 3,540 | 3,580 | 3,720 | - | - | 3,20. |
| Maine-.-------------------------- | 7,880 | - | - | - | 20 | 10 | - | - | 40 | - |  | 10 | - | - | 10 |
| Maryland ----------------------- | 39,980 | - | 40 | 40 | 40 | - | 20 | 20 | 80 | 60 | ${ }^{3} 9,160$ | ${ }^{3} 9,260$ | - | 20 | 53,240 |
|  | 33,660 | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - | - |  | - | 20 |  | - | - | 40 |
| Michigan---------------------- | 63,300 | - | - | - | - | 100 | 2,400 | 2,400 | ${ }^{1} 16,400$ | ${ }^{6} 17,000$ | 2,400 | 2,400 | - | - | 4,400 |
|  | 21,600 | - | - | 40 | 20 | - | 40 | 80 | 180 | 180 | 100 | 140 | - | - | 100 |
|  | 5,630 | 10 | 70 | 70 | - | - | - | - | 50 | 40 | 280 | 260 | - | - | 40 |
|  | 10,980 | - | 80 | 80 | 40 | 20 | - | - | * | - | 40 | 40 | - | - | 140 |
| New Harmpshire----------------- | 7,350 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20 | 10 | - | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| New Jersey----------------...- | 40,700 | 20 | - | - | 2,020 | 1,520 | 280 | 260 | 400 | 400 | 580 | 460 | 40 | 20 | 1,000 |
| New York (excl. N.Y.C.)---n-- | 56,800 | - | - | - | - | 700 | - | - | 300 | 100 | - | - | - | - | $\rightarrow$ |
|  | 66,000 | - | - | - | ${ }^{766,000}$ | 766,000 | 600 | 200 | ${ }^{6} 17,400$ | ${ }^{6} 17,300$ | 3. 600 | 3. 200 | - | 200 | 766,000 |
|  | 10,780 | - | 60 | 100 | 440 | 380 | - | $\sim$ | 20 | - | 32,320 | 32,580 | - | - | 45 |
| Pennsylvania-------------1----- | 69,600 | - | 100 | - | 200 | 100 | - | 400 | 300 | 800 | 300 | 800 | - | - | 500 |
|  | 5,640 | - | 10 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 40 | 10 | 20 | - | - | 10 |
|  | 6,250 | - | - | - | 10 | 10 | - | - | 10 | - | 50 | 40 | 2 | - | 10 |
| Tennessee----------------------- | 31,660 | $-$ | - | - | 180 | 180 | 200 | 140 | 220 | 200 | 300 | 200 | 20 | - | 120 |
|  | 7,390 | 40 | - | - | 40 | 40 | 10 | 10 | 40 | 40 | 30 | 20 | - | - | 395 |
| Vermont --------...------------ | 3,168 | - | 3 | 4 | 17 | 10 | 1 | - | 9 | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 11 |
| Virginia.-..------------...---- | 38,220 | - | - | - | $\square$ | - | 20 | 40 | 80 | 80 | 120 | 80 | - | - | 140 |
|  | 24,240 | - | - | - | 20 | - | 60 | 20 | 16 | 80 | 60 | 20 | - | - | 20 |
| Hyoming------------------------ | 3,246 | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 4 | - | - | - |
| Puerto Rico-----..-------------- | 21,380 | 20 | - | - | --- | --* | 20 | - | 20 | - | 80 | 100 | - | - | - - |
| Virgin Islands ----------------- | 397 | - | 1 | 2 | - | --- | - | - | 1 | 1 | 54 | 55 | - | - | - |

${ }^{2}$ Excludes Puerto Ritco and Virgin Islemas.
${ }^{2}$ Ttem not reportable effective september $15,1961$.
${ }^{3}$ This item not reportable in four registration States. Figures for these States inclute remarriages of previously married brides and grooms as follous; Idaho, 3 , lico brides and 3,180 grooms; Kanses, 3,240 brides and 3,400 grooms; Maryland, 9,140 brides and 9,240 grooms; Oregon, 2,320 brides and 2,580 grooms.
${ }^{5}$ Data incomplete.
elhis item not reportable in Michigan and Ohio. For Michigan, figures include remarriages of 14,000 brides and 14,600 grooms; for Ohio, figures include remarriagos of 16 , $g 00$ brides and 17,100 grooms.

IItem not reportable.
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## SECTION 8. SUBJECT INDEX


#### Abstract

The various subjects covered in the textportions of Volume III and the principal variables of the tables and charts are listed in this index in three major groupsMarriages, Divorces and Annulments, and Technical Appendix. For a comprehensive listing of the contents of the individual tables, the reader is referred to the "Guides to Tables" for Sections 1 through 7. These guides show the specific variables covered in each table, the geographic coverage, and the type of entry (frequencies, rates, ratios, percentages). Specific cross-classifications are not shown in the "Guides to Tables."

The page numbers of the volumes are two-part numbers separated by hyphens; the first part refers to the section and the second refers to the page within the section; for example, 1-15 means page 15 of Section 1.


## MARRIAGES

## AGE AT MARRIAGE

By age of partner, 1-3, 1-11, 1-13f.
By color, 1-3, 1-11, 1-13
By marriage order, 1-3, 1-11, 1-12
By month of marriage, 1-8
By previous marital status, 1-3, 1-13f.
By resident status, 1-3, 1-10f.
By type of ceremony (civil or religious), 1-4, 1-14
First marriages, 1-3, 1-4, 1-11, 1-12, 1-13; fig. 1-3
Frequency distribution, 1-11; see guide to Section 2
Mean age, 1-3, 1-11f.
Median age, 1-1lf.
Peak age, 1-11
Percentage distribution, 1-3, 1-8, 1-10f., 1-14; fig. 1-3; see guide to Section 1
Ratios, 1-13
Remarriages, 1-3, 1-12f.
Trends, 1-3, 1-8; tables 1-P and 1-Q

## COLOR

Age at marriage by, 1-3, 1-11, 1-13
Completeness of reporting, 1-14
First marriages by, 1-3, 1-9, 1-13
Frequency distribution, 1-3, 1-11, 1-13; see guide to Section 2
Marriage order, 1-3, 1-13; fig. 1-4
Month of marriage by, 1-9; fig. 1-4
Peak age groups by, 1-3,1-11
Percentage distribution, fig. 1-4; see guide to Section 1
Previous marital status by, 1-3f., 1-13f.
Ratio, 1-4, 1-13
Remarriages by, 1-3, 1-9, 1-13

## DAY OF WEEK MARRIAGE PERFORMED

MRA, 1-9; see guide to Section 2
Peak days, 1-3, 1-9
Regions, 1-9
Percentage distribution, 1-3, 1-9
Weekly cycles, 1-9

DIVORCED, see also Previous marital status
Age at remarriage, 1-3, 1-11, 1-12f., 1-14
Color, 1-3, 1-9, 1-13, 1-14; fig. 1-4
Frequency distribution, l-13; see guide to Section 2
Mean age at remarriage, 1-14
Peak age at remarriage, 1-3, 1-12f.
Percentage distribution, 1-3, 1-4; figs. 1-4 and 1-6; see guide to Section 1
Ratios at remarriage, 1-4, 1-13
Resident status at remarriage, 1-9

FIRST MARRIAGES, see also Marriage order, Previous marital status, and Single

Age at, 1-3, 1-4, 1-8, l-11f., 1-13, 1-14; fig. 1-3
By color, 1-3, 1-9, 1-13
By month, 1-3, 1-8f.
By resident status, 1-3, 1-9
By type of ceremony, 1-4, 1-14
Frequency distribution, 1-13; see guide to Section 2 Mean or median or peak ages at, 1-3, 1-4, 1-8, 1-11ff., 1-13
Percentage distribution, 1-3, 1-4, 1-9, 1-12, 1-13, 1-14;
figs. 1-3, 1-4, and 1-6; see guide to Section 1
Ratios, 1-4, 1-13
Trends, 1-8; fig. 1-3

## MARRIAGE ORDER

By age, 1-3, 1-4, 1-11ff., 1-13, 1-14; fig. 1-3
By color, 1-3, 1-9, 1-13; fig. 1-4
By mean and peak ages, 1-3, 1-11
By month of marriage, 1-3, 1-8f.; fig. 1-4
By resident status, 1-3, 1-9, 1-11; fig. 1-5
By type of ceremony, 1-4, 1-14f.
Frequency distribution, see guide to Section 2
Percentage distribution, 1-3, 1-4, 1-9, 1-12f., 1-14f.; figs. 1-3, 1-4, and 1-6
Ratios, 1-4, 1-13
Trends, 1-8

MONTH OF MARRIAGE
By age, 1-8
By color, 1-9
By region, division, and State, 1-8, 1-9
First marriages by, 1-3, 1-8f.; fig. 1-4
Frequency distribution, see guide to Section 2
Peak month, 1-3, 1-8, 1-9
Percentage distribution, 1-8, 1-9; see guide to Section 1
Rates, fig. 1-2; see guide to Section 1
Remarriages by, 1-3, 1-8f.; fig. 1-4
Seasonal cycle, 1-8; fig. 1-2
Totals, 1-8; see guide to Section 2

## NUMBER OF THIS MARRIAGE

Frequency distribution, see guide to Section 2
Percentage distribution, 1-4, 1-14; see guide to Section 1

## PREVIOUS MARITAL STATUS

By age, 1-3, 1-13
By color, 1-3, 1-13
By previous marital status of partner, 1-13f.
Completeness of reporting, 1-14
Frequency distribution, 1-13; see guide to Section 2
Median age, 1-14
Peak age, 1-13
Ratios, 1-3f., 1-13

## PUERTO RICO

Marriages
By age, tables 6-6 through 6-9
By day of week, table 6-4
By marriage order, table 6-6
By month, table 6-3
By number of this marriage, table 6-11
By previous marital status, tables 6-9 and 6-10
By resident status, table 6-5
First marriages, table 6-8
Frequency distribution, see guide to Section 6
Geographic classification, 6-2
Rates, table 6-1
Sample data, 6-2

REMARRIAGES see also Marriage order
Age at, 1-3, 1-11, 1-12f.; fig. 1-4
By month of marriage, 1-3, 1-8f.; fig. 1-4
By number of this marriage, 1-14
By type of ceremony, 1-4, 1-14
Color, 1-3, 1-8, 1-13
Frequency distribution, see guide to Section 2
Median age, 1-14
Peak age, 1-3, 1-13
Percentage distribution, 1-3, 1-9, 1-14; figs. 1-4 and 1-6; see guide to Section 1
Previous marital status, 1-3f.
Ratios, 1-3f., 1-13
Resident status, 1-3, 1-9, 1-11
Trends, 1-8; see guide to Section 1

## RESIDENT STATUS

Allocating county of residence--problems, 1-10
By age, 1-3, 1-10f.
By marriage order, 1-3, 1-9, 1-11
By resident status of partner, 1-9, 1-11; fig. 1-5
Frequency distribution, see guide to Section 2
In county of marriage, 1-3, 1-10f.
In State of marriage, 1-3, 1-9, 1-11
Percentage distribution, 1-3, 1-9, 1-10f.; fig. 1-5; see guide to Section 1

SINGLE, see also First marriages, Marriage order, and Previous marital status
Age at marriage, 1-3, 1-4, 1-8, 1-11, 1-12, 1-13, 1-14
Color, 1-3, 1-4, 1-9, 1-13; fig. 1-4
Frequency distribution, see guide to Section 2
Mean age, 1-3
Median age, 1-8, 1-11
Month of mariage, 1-3, 1-8f.; fig. 1-4
Peak age, 1-3, 1-11, 1-13
Percentage distribution, 1-3, 1-4, 1-8, 1-9, 1-12, 1-13;
figs. 1-4 and 1-6; see guide to Section 1
Ratios, 1-4, 1-13
Resident status, 1-3, 1-9
Trends, 1-8
Type of ceremony, 1-4, 1-14

SOURCES OF DATA, 1-4;1-5

## TRENDS

Age at first marriage, 1-8; fig. 1-3
Annual rates, 1-3, 1-4f., 1-7f.; fig. 1-1; see guide to Section 1
By age, 1-4, 1-6, 1-8; figs. 1-2 and 1-3
Cycle-trends (component of time series ), 1-5, 1-6, 1-7;
fig. 1-2
Marriage order, 1-8
Median age, 1-8
Monthly rates, 1-3, 1-5, 1-6f.; fig. 1-2
Seasonally adjusted, 1-5f.; fig. 1-2
Monthly totals, 1-3, 1-5, 1-8
TYPE OF CEREMONY (CIVIL OR RELIGIOUS)
By age at marriage, 1-4, 1-14
By marriage order, 1-4, 1-14f.
Completeness of reporting, 1-15
Frequency distribution, see guide to Section 2
Percentage distribution, 1-4, 1-14f.; see guide to Section 1
Religious ceremony by denomination, 1-15
VIRGIN ISLANDS
Marriages
By age, tables 6-6 through 6-9
By day of week, table 6-4
By marriage order, table 6-6
By month, table 6-3
By number of this marriage, table 6-11
By previous marital status, tables 6-9 and 6-10

VIRGIN ISLANDS-Con.
Marriages-Con.
By resident status, table 6-5
First marriages, table 6-8
Geographic classification, 6-2
Race and color, 6-2
Rates, table 6-1
Sample data, 6-2

WIDOWED, see also Previous marital status
Age, 1-3, 1-13, 1-14
Color, 1-3f., 1-13, 1-14
Frequency distribution, see guide to Section 2
Median age, 1-14
Peak age, 1-3, 1-13
Percentage distribution, 1-3; see guide to Section 1 Ratios, 1-4, 1-13

## DIVORCES AND ANNULMENTS

AGE
At divorce, 3-6; table 4-3
Availability of data, 3-6
Distribution of divorced persons compared with distribution of married population, 3-6
DRA, 3-6
Median age, 3-6; table 3-D
Modal age, 3-6
Of husband, 3-6; table 4-3
Of wife, 3-6; table 4-3
Percentage distribution, 3-6
Rates, 3-6
Registration States, 3-6
At marriage, 3-6; table 4-4
And probability of divorce, 3-7
Availability of data, 3-6
DRA, 3-6f.; table 4-4
Median age, 3-6f.; table 3-D
DRA, 3-6f.
Registration States, 3-6f.
Modal age, 3-6
Of husband, 3-6f.; table 4-4
Of wife, 3-6f.; table 4-4
Overrepresented age groups, 3-7
Registration States, 3-6f.; table 4-4
Underrepresented age groups, 3-7
ANNULMENTS, 3-6; table 3-C

## CHILDREN REPORTED IN DIVORCE CASES

Availability of data, 3-12
Childless couples, 3-12f.
Definitions of children, 3-13; tables 3-G and 4-8
Median duration of marriage by, 3-10; tables 3-F, 3-J, and 4-9
DRA, 3-10
Registration States, 3-10
National data, 3-13f.; table 3-J; fig. 3-7
Number of, per divorce (U.S., DRA, States), 3-12ff.
Percentage distribution (DRA, States), 3-12ff.; table 3-G Couples reporting, 3-12ff.
Of all married couples, 3-13
Of divorced couples, 3-13; fig. 3-7; tables 3-G and 4-8
Rate of children per divorce, 3-13
Ratio of children per divorce, 3-13; tables $3-\mathrm{H}$ and $3-\mathrm{J}$
Total number of, 3-13f.; tables 3-H and 3-J
Trends, 3-13

COLOR OR RACE
Availability of information on, 3-7
DRA, 3-7
Interracial divorces, 3-7
Number of divorces by, 3-7
Of husband, 3-7; table 4-5
Of wife, 3-7; table 4-5
Percentage distribution by, 3-7
Rates by, 3-7
Registration States, 3-7

DURATION OF MARRIAGE AT TIME OF DECREE
Availability of data, 3-9
DRA, 3-9f.; tables 4-7, 4-9, and 4-12
Legal grounds for decree, duration by, 3-10
Legal procedures, 3-10f.
Median duration, 3-10f.; fig. 3-5; tables 3-F and 4-9
By legal grounds, 3-10
By plaintiff, 3-10
By State, 3-9ff.
By number of children involved, tables 3-J and 4-9
Modal duration, 3-9
Number of children, duration by, 3-10; tables 3-F and 4-9
Percentage distribution, 3-9f.; fig. 3-4
Plaintiff, duration by, 3-10; table 4-12
Registration States, 3-9f.; tables 4-7, 4-9, and 4-12
Single years of, 3-9
State divorce laws and duration of marriage, 3-10f. Variables associated with, 3-10

INTERRACIAL DIVORCES, 3-7, see also Color or Race

LEGAL GROUNDS FOR DECREE
Adultery, 3-14
Coding procedures, 3-14
Cruelty, 3-14
Definitions, 3-14; table 4-10
Desertion, 3-14
Incompatibility, 3-14
Legal grounds and underlying cause, 3-14; table 4-10
Nonsupport, 3-14
Other grounds, 3-14
Percentage distribution, 3-14
Registration States, 3-14
Separation, 3-14

MARRIAGE ENDED BY DIVORCE
Month marriage performed, 3-11
DRA, 3-11
Number of divorces by, 3-11
Peak month, 3-11
Percentage distribution, 3-11
Seasonal distribution compared with that of all marriages performed, 3-11
States, 3-11
Place marriage performed
DRA, 3-11f.; fig. 3-6
Migration for the purpose of obtaining divorce, 3-11
National data, 3-12
States, 3-11f.; table 4-1; fig. 3-6
MARRIAGE ORDER
Availability of data, 3-7
Comparison with preceding years, 3-9
DRA, 3-8; table 4-6
First marriages, 3-8
Of husband, 3-8f.
Of wife, 3-8f.
Percentage distribution, 3-8f.
Registration States, 3-9
Remarriages, 3-9
PARTY TO WHOM DECREE GRANTED
DRA, 3-14f.
Granted to husband and wife jointly, 3-15
Husband plaintiff, 3-14f.; table 4-11
Other party, 3-15
Percentage distribution, 3-14f.
Registration States, 3-15
Wife plaintiff, 3-14f.; table 4-11
PLAINTIFF, see also Party to whom decree granted and Residence of defendent
Distribution by, 3-14f.
Residence of, 3-9

## PUERTO RICO

Divorces
Frequencies, tables 6-1 and 6-2
Rates, table 6-1
Totals, table 6-2

## RATES

By region, 3-5f.; table 3-B; fig. 3-3
By State, 3-5; table 3-B; fig. 3-3
Crude, 3-5
Per 1,000 married females, 3-4; table 3-A; fig. 3-2

RESIDENCE OF DEFENDENT, see also Plaintiff
Availability of data, 3-9
By residence of plaintiff, 3-9
DRA, 3-8; table 4-2
Husband defendant, 3-9
Percentage distribution, 3-9
Residence in the region, 3-9
Residence in the State, 3-9
Residence out of region, 3-9
State differences, 3-9
Wife defendant, 3-9
SOURCES OF DATA, 3-3

TOTALS
Completeness of data, table 5-1
County, table 5-1
National, 3-4; tables 3-B and 5-1
Number of children involved, 3-13f.; table 3-J
Regional, 3-5; table 3-B
State, 3-5f.: table 3-C
TREND
Divorce rates, 3-4; table 3-A
Divorce totals, 3-4; table 3-A
Of number of children involved in divorce cases, 3-13

VIRGIN ISLANDS
Divorces
By age at time of decree, table 6-13
By age at time of marriage, table 6-13
By color, table 6-13
By county, table 6-2
By duration of marriage, tables 6-12, 6-15, and 6-17
By legal grounds, table 6-12
By marriage order at time of decree, table 6-13
By number of children under 18 years of age, tables 6-12 and 6-15
By party to whom decree granted, table 6-16
By place where marriage performed, table 6-12
By plaintiff, tables 6-16 and 6-17
By resident status, table 6-14
By specified characteristics, tables 6-12 and 6-13
Frequencies, tables 6-1 and 6-2
Geographic classification, 6-2
Race and color, 6-2
Rates, table 6-1
Sample data, 6-2
Totals, table 6-2

## TECHNICAL APPENDIX

COMPLETENESS OF DATA
County totals, 7-3ff.
Estimating procedures, 7-8f., 7-10f.
Items and variables, 7-3, 7-6, 7-10
Age at decree (divorce), 7-10
Age at marriage, 7-6
Children in divorce, 7-3, 7-6, 7-10
Color or race, 7-6, 7-10
Duration of marriage to divorce, 7-10
Marriage order, 7-6, 7-10
Previous marital status, 7-6, 7-10
Type of ceremony, 7-6, 7-10
Quality check, 7-10
Sources of incompleteness, 7-10f.
Divorce data, 7-10
Marriage data, 7-10
Estimates of, 7-10
Test of, 7-10f.
COLLECTING AND PROCESSING SAMPLE DATA
Agreement specifying procedures for, 7-8
Filing arrangements, 7-8
Method of collecting, 7-3; table 7-1
Preparation of data, 7-8
Population sampled, 7-8
Registration procedures, 7-3, 7-8
Variables tabulated, 7-6f., 7-8; tables 7-3 and 7-4
DATA PREPARATION
Analyses of data, 7-8
Limitations of small samples, 7-8
Table plans, 7-8
Tabulations, 7-8

## ESTIMATING PROCEDURES

Area totals, 7-3ff., 7-8, 7-10f.
Adjustment of pretabulated with sample estimates, 7-8
Estimates from sample records, 7-8
Pretabulated, 7-8
Children involved in divorce, 7-9
Frequencies, 7-8
Percents, 7-9
Rates, 7-9
Weights, use of, 7-8

SAMPLE DESIGN
Structure of, 7-7; table 7-5
Maximum sampling error, 7-7, 7-9f.; tables 7-6, 7-7, and 7-8
Sampling procedure, 7-7f.; table 7-5
Sampling rate, 7-7; table 7-5
Probability sample programs, 7-3ff., 7-9
Counties, 7-3ff.
Registration area, 7-7, 7-9; figs. 7-3 and 7-4
States, 7-3ff.
SAMPLING ERRORS OF ESTIMATES
Definition of sampling error, 7-9.
Differences between two estimates, 7-10
Divorce and marriage compared, 7-9
Frequencies, 7-9; tables 7-7 and 7-8
Percents and proportions, 7-9f.; tables 7-6, 7-7, and 7-8 Of an area total, 7-9
Of a subtotal, 7-9f.
Registration area, 7-9f.
States in registration areas, 7-9; figs. 7-3 and 7-4
SOURCES OF DATA
Divorce-registration area, 7-3
Central files, table 7-2
States participating, 7-3; fig. 7-4; table 7-2
Divorce totals, 7-3ff.
Counties, 7-3ff.
Sources of, 7-4f.; table 7-1
States, 7-3ff.
Marriage-registration area, 7-3; fig. 7-3
Central files, table 7-2
States participating, 7-3; table 7-2; fig. 7-3
Marriage totals, 7-3ff. Counties, 7-3ff. Sources of, 7-4f.; table 7-1 States, 7-3ff.
Standard certificates, figs. 7-1 and 7-2
Statisitcal information, 7-3
DRA, 7-3; table 7-3
MRA, 7-3; table 7-4
VARIABLES
1961 data, 7-6f.
Not stated, table 7-9

## Guide to tables in Section 1

(A11 data are for marriages occurring in the United States. Data for geographic areas are by place of occurrence unless otherwise noted)

${ }_{2}^{1}$ Total for selected States.
${ }^{2}$ United States only.
${ }_{4}$ Marriage-registration area only.
${ }_{5}^{4}$ With percent changes from preceding year.
${ }^{5}$ With and without seasonal adjustments.

Guide to tables in Section
(Frequencies only. All data are for marriages occurring in the United States in 1961. Data for geographic areas are by place of occurrence unless otherwise noted)

TABLE: 2 

PAGE: 2 

Geographic area:

| Divisions------------------- | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marriage-registration area-- | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |
| Regions--------------------- | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Registration States--------- | X |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |  | X | $\mathrm{x}^{1}$ |
|  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States--------------- | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Characteristics: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age at marriage <br> Single-year distribution- |  | X |  |  | X | X |  |  | X |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-year age groups-------- |  | X |  |  | X | X |  |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |  | X |  |  | X |  | X |
| 10-year age goups-------- |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Color- |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| Day of week marriage was performed |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marriage order <br> All marriages |  |  | X |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |
| First marriages---------- |  |  | X |  | X | X |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| Remarriages--------------- |  |  | X |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| Month marriage was performed | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of this marriage----- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| Previous marital status.n--- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{x}^{3}$ | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| Resident status------------- |  |  |  |  | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type of ceremony <br> (civil or religious) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ Six reporting States.
${ }_{3}$ For brides only.
${ }^{3}$ For grooms only.
(All data are for divorces occurring in the United States. Data for geographic areas are by place of occurrence)


[^16]
## Guide to tables in Section 4

(Frequencies only. All data are for divorces occurring in the United States in 1961. Data for geographic areas are by place of occurrence unless otherwise noted)

|  TABLE: 4 <br>  PAGE: 4 <br> Geographic area:   | -1 | -2 | -3 | -4 | -5 | -6 | -7 | -8 | -9 | -10 | -11 | -12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -3 | -3 | -4 | -5 | -6 | -6 | -7 | -7 | -8 | -9 | -10 | -11 |
|  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Registration States where decrees were granted- | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Characteristics: <br> Age at time of decre |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age at time of marriage--------------- |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Color------------------------------------ |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duration of marriage at time <br> of divorce |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |  | X |
| Legal grounds for decree-------------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| Marriage order-------------------------- |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of children reported------------ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| Party to whom decree was granted-n--.-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| Place where marriage was performed----- | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plaintiff-------------------------------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |
| Resident status of defendent---------- |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(All data are for events occurring in Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands. Data are by place of occurrence unless otherwise noted)

${ }_{2}^{1}$ At time of decree and at time of marriage.
${ }_{3}^{2} \mathrm{At}$ time of decree.
${ }_{4}^{3}$ Marriages only.
${ }^{4}$ Defendant husband and wife.

## Guide to tables in Section 7

| TABLE: 7 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -4 | -5 | -6 | -7 | -8 | -9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAGE : | -12 | -13 | -14 | -15 | -16 | -16 | -16 | -17 | -18 |
|  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collection of data, 1867-1961- | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth of marriage- and divorce-registration areas------- |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{x}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data completeness: <br> Comparison of items shown on State records with those shown on "Standard Records," 1961- |  |  | $\mathrm{X}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of marriages "not stated" for specified characteristics, 1961 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| Sample specifications and estimates: <br> Number of primary sampling areas- |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| Number of sample records |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated number of events |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| Sampling rates |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum sampling errors |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| Sampling errors of estimates----------------------------- |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{X}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ |  |

${ }^{1}$ Marriages.
${ }^{2}$ Divorces.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ civil ceremonies are not provided for in the laws of Maryland.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data are incomplete.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Paul C. Glick. American Families, New York, John Wiley and Sons. Inc., 1957. table 102.
    $2^{2}$ U. S. Bureau of the Census. ${ }^{\text {Marital }}$ Status and Family Status: March 1961, ${ }^{\text {n Current Population Reports, Series P-20, }}$ No. 114. January 31, 1962.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ National office of vital Statistics, Vital statistics of the United States, 1959 . Volume I. U. S. Government Printing Office. Washington. D.C.. 1959. table 9.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes 4,967 decrees with month of marriage not stated.

[^5]:    ${ }^{4}$ U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Household and Family Characteristics: March igis, " Current Population Reports, Series P-20, No, 116. May 1, 1962.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Population enumerated as of April 1 for 1940, 1950, and 1960 and estimated as of July 1 for all other years. Populations include Armed Forces overseas for 1941-46. ${ }^{2}$ Population enumerated as of January 1 for 1920 and as of April 1 for 1930, 2940, 1950 , and 1960 and estimated as of July 1 for ald other years.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ Data are incouplete.
    ${ }^{2}$ Percent is not computed.
    ${ }^{3}$ Data are estimated.

[^8]:    $\mathrm{I}_{\text {Kaneas }}$ did not require reporting of residence

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ rhis State did not require reporting of this information.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ llumber of minor ohlldren affected.

[^11]:    Includes 10 cases where platntiff was other than husband or wife.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Based on findings for 1959 from 10 states reporting both totals, as shown on page 2-1, Section 2, Volume 1 of Vital Statastics of the United States, 1959.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data are provisionel.
    ${ }^{2}$ Excludes annulments. Divorces are granted only in municipios in which courts are located.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Helen M. Walker and Joseph Lev, Statistical Inference. New York, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1953. pp. 154-157.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Excludinc Virgin Iolande.

[^16]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ With percent changes from preceding year.
    ${ }^{2}$ Median duration.

