

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated April 21, 2004.

*No significant hazards consideration comments received:* No.

*Tennessee Valley Authority, Docket No. 50-390, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, Rhea County, Tennessee*

*Date of application for amendment:* August 22, 2003, as supplemented March 19, 2004.

*Brief description of amendment:* The amendment revises Technical Specification 3.3.1, "Reactor Trip System Instrumentation." The revision adds a Surveillance Requirement for response time to the Source Range Neutron Flux Reactor Trip function.

*Date of issuance:* April 19, 2004.

*Effective date:* As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days of issuance.

*Amendment No.:* 52.

*Facility Operating License No. NPF-90:* Amendment revises the Technical Specifications.

*Date of initial notice in Federal Register:* September 18, 2003 (68 FR 54753). The supplemental letter provided clarifying information that was within the scope of the initial notice and did not change the initial proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated April 19, 2004.

*No significant hazards consideration comments received:* No.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of April 2004.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Ledyard B. Marsh,**

*Director, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 04-10305 Filed 5-10-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

---

## OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

### Revised Information Quality Bulletin on Peer Review

**AGENCY:** Office of Management and Budget, Executive Office of the President.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comment: correction.

**SUMMARY:** This Notice provides the contact information and suggested approach for submitting comments on the "Revised Information Quality Bulletin on Peer Review," published in

the **Federal Register** on April 28, 2004 (69 FR 23230); this information was inadvertently omitted from the April 28th notice. As that notice indicated, interested parties should submit comments on or before May 28, 2004, to OMB's Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs. The April 28th notice contains the text of the proposed "Revised Information Quality Bulletin on Peer Review" as well as background and explanatory information.

**ADDRESSES:** Due to potential delays in OMB's receipt and processing of mail, respondents are strongly encouraged to submit comments electronically to ensure timely receipt. We cannot guarantee that comments mailed will be received before the comment closing date. Electronic comments may be submitted to:

*OMB\_peer\_review@omb.eop.gov.* Please put the full body of your comments in the text of the electronic message and as an attachment. Please include your name, title, organization, postal address, telephone number, and e-mail address in the text of the message. Comments may also be submitted via facsimile to (202) 395-7245. Comments may be mailed to Dr. Margo Schwab, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., New Executive Office Building, Room 10201, Washington, DC 20503.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Margo Schwab, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., New Executive Office Building, Room 10201, Washington, DC 20503 (tel. (202) 395-3093).

**John D. Graham,**

*Administrator, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 04-10633 Filed 5-10-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3110-01-P**

---

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension: Rule 6a-3, SEC File No. 270-0015, OMB Control No. 3235-0021.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995,<sup>1</sup> the Securities and Exchange

Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Section 6 of the Exchange Act<sup>2</sup> sets out a framework for the registration and regulation of national securities exchanges. Under Commission Rule 6a-3,<sup>3</sup> one of the rules that implements Section 6, a national securities exchange (or an exchange exempted from registration as a national securities exchange based on limited trading volume) must provide certain supplemental information to the Commission, including any material (including notices, circulars, bulletins, lists, and periodicals) issued or made generally available to members of, or participants or subscribers to, the exchange. Rule 6a-3 also requires the exchanges to file monthly reports that set forth the volume and aggregate dollar amount of securities sold on the exchange each month.

The information required to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Rule 6a-3 is designed to enable the Commission to carry out its statutorily mandated oversight functions and to ensure that registered and exempt exchanges continue to be in compliance with the Act.

The respondents to the collection of information are national securities exchanges and exchanges that are exempt from registration based on limited trading volume.

The Commission estimates that each respondent makes approximately 25 such filings on an annual basis at an average cost of approximately \$21 per response. Currently, 11 respondents (nine national securities exchanges and two exempt exchanges) are subject to the collection of information requirements of Rule 6a-3. The Commission estimates that the total burden for all respondents is 137.5 hours (25 filings/respondent per year × 0.5 hours/filing × 11 respondents) and \$5775 (\$21/response × 25 responses/respondent per year × 11 respondents) per year.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the

<sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f.

<sup>3</sup> 17 CFR 240.6a-3.

<sup>1</sup> 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*