

THOMAS, J., concurring in judgment

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 99–5153

CORNELL JOHNSON, PETITIONER v.
UNITED STATES

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF
APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

[May 15, 2000]

JUSTICE THOMAS, concurring in the judgment.

I agree with the Court’s textual analysis of 18 U. S. C. §3583(e)(3) (1988 ed., Supp. V), and think that analysis sufficient to resolve this case. I agree with JUSTICE KENNEDY that the Court’s discussions of §3583(a), *ante*, at 13–14, and §3583(e)(2), *ante*, at 18, are unnecessary to the result. I would not rely, as the Court (*ante*, at 14–15) and JUSTICE KENNEDY (*ante*, at 2) do, on any apparent congressional purpose supporting the Court’s reading of §3583(e)(3). With these observations, I concur in the judgment.