agencies. The RMP addressed the following six major questions: (1) How will human activities and uses be managed? (2) What facilities and infrastructure are appropriate to provide visitor interpretation and administration of the Monument? (3) How will the BLM manage resource uses and protect the biological, historical, cultural, and visual values of the Monument? (4) How will Monument management be integrated with other agency and community plans? (5) How will transportation and access be managed? (6) How will Monument management affect economic and social conditions in the area?

The Approved RMP was prepared under the authorities of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) of 1976 and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969. The Approved RMP is nearly identical to the Proposed Plan (Alternative F) presented in the 2008 Proposed RMP/Final EIS. All decisions covered by the ROD are either land use planning decisions that were protestable under the planning regulations (43 CFR part 1610), or implementation decisions that are now appealable under the regulations discussed below.

The BLM received 45 protest letters during the 30-day protest period provided for the Proposed RMP/Final EIS in accordance with 43 CFR 1610.5-2. In response to the protests, the BLM Director decided to prohibit the personal collection of invertebrate fossils, petrified wood, and plant material except that managers and staff shall facilitate access to public lands for the purposes of Native American religious and traditional uses, such as gathering natural resources (BLM Manual 8120—Tribal Consultation under Cultural Resources .06.D. The Director also clarified the decision to carry forward the Cow Creek ACEC designation, adopted by reference the new transportation management terminology in Washington Office IM 2006–173, and made a few other minor adjustments and corrections. All of these changes are identified in the Modifications and Clarifications section of the ROD.

The Governor of the State of Montana, in his letter dated March 31, 2008, recommended six changes to the plan but did not identify any inconsistencies between the Proposed RMP and officially approved or adopted state or local plans, policies, and/or programs. All of the recommendations were considered previously in the public process and development of the Proposed RMP.

The following decisions are subject to a separate appeals process: (1) All road designations; (2) all backcountry airstrip designations; (3) limiting the group to 20 boaters launching from Coal Banks or Judith Landing from June 15 to August 1; (4) the 2-night camping limit at Level 2 sites from June 15 to August 1, and (5) the motorized watercraft restrictions on the Upper Missouri National Wild and Scenic River. These decisions are implementation decisions and are appealable under 43 CFR part 4, subpart E. They are contained in the section Decisions Subject to a Separate Appeals Process of the ROD. Any party adversely affected by these five decisions may appeal within 30 days of publication of this Notice of Availability pursuant to 43 CFR, part 4, subpart E. The appeal should state the specific road, airstrip, and/or river segment, as identified in the Record of Decision and Approved RMP, on which the decision is being appealed. The appeal must be filed with the Lewistown Field Manager at the above listed address. Please consult the appropriate regulations (43 CFR, part 4, subpart E) for further appeal requirements.

Authority: 43 U.S.C. 1712; 42 U.S.C 4332.

Gene R. Terland,

Montana State Director. [FR Doc. E9–228 Filed 1–8–09; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

General Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement, Tumacacori National Historical Park, AZ

AGENCY: National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement for the general management plan (GMP), Tumacacori National Historical Park.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), the National Park Service (NPS) is preparing an environmental impact statement for a general management plan for Tumacacori National Historical Park, Arizona. The environmental impact statement will be approved by the Director, Intermountain Region.

The general management plan will prescribe the resource conditions and visitor experiences that are to be achieved and maintained in the park over the next 15 to 20 years.

The clarification of what must be achieved according to law and policy will be based on review of the park's purpose, significance, special mandates, and the body of laws and policies directing park management. Based on determinations of desired conditions, the general management plan will outline the kinds of resource management activities, visitor activities, and development that would be appropriate in the future. A range of reasonable management alternatives will be developed through this planning process and will include, at a minimum, a no-action and a preferred alternative.

The NPS is required to prepare a GMP for all NPS units. A GMP was completed for the park in 1996, but it does not address the lands added to the park in 2002 or current NPS park planning standards or NPS management policies.

Issues to be addressed will include but are not limited to the following: The management of lands added to the park in 2002, as well as visitor use, facilities, access, interpretation, natural and cultural resources, and park operations in the park as a whole.

A scoping newsletter will be prepared that describes the issues identified to date. Copies of the newsletter may be obtained in April at the Tumacacori National Historical Park Visitor Center 1891 East Frontage Road, Tumacacori, Arizona 85640, Phone: 520–398–2341, the park Web site http://www.nps.gov/tuma, or on the Planning, Environment, and Public Comment (PEPC) Web site at http://parkplanning.nps.gov/tuma.

DATES: Any comments on the scope of issues to be addressed in the plan should be submitted no later than 120 days after publication of this notice. In addition to the newsletter, public meetings regarding the general management plan will be held during the scoping period. Specific dates, times, and locations will be made available in the local media, on the National Park Service Planning, Environment, and Public Comment (PEPC) Web site (http:// parkplanning.nps.gov/tuma), or by contacting the Superintendent of Tumacacori National Historical Park.

ADDRESSES: Information will be available for public review and comment online at http://parkplanning.nps.gov/tuma, at the Tumacacori National Historical Park Visitor Center, 1891 East Frontage Road, Tumacacori, Arizona 85640, Phone: 520–398–2341.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa Carrico, Superintendent, P.O. Box 8067, Tumacacori, Arizona 85640, Phone:

520–398–2341 or by e-mail at *TUMA Superintendent@nps.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Public and agency involvement will be solicited at several key steps in the planning process including initial scoping, alternatives development, and the draft plan. If you wish to comment on any issues associated with the plan, you may submit your comments to the planning team by any one of several methods. You may mail comments to Tumacacori National Historical Park, Office of the Superintendent, P.O. Box 8067, Tumacacori, Arizona 85640. You may also comment via the Internet at http://parkplanning.nps.gov/tuma. Finally, you may hand-deliver comments to the park headquarters at 1895 East Frontage Road, Tumacacori, Arizona 85640. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. In addition, we will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

Dated: September 3, 2008.

Michael D. Snyder,

Director, Intermountain Region, National Park Service.

Editorial Note: This document was received in the Office of the Federal Register on Friday, January 2, 2009.

[FR Doc. E9–27 Filed 1–8–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1105-0008]

Civil Division; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 30-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Extension of a currently approved information collection; Claim for Damage, Injury, or Death.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Division, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 73, Number 215, page 65883–65884, on November 5, 2008, allowing for a 60-day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until February 9, 2009. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395–5806.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- —Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- —Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- —Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- —Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

- (1) Type of Information Collection: Extension of a currently approved collection.
- (2) Title of the Form/Collection: Claim for Damage, Injury, or Death.
- (3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:

Form Number: CIV SF 95. Civil Division, U.S. Department of Justice.

- (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: Individuals or households. Other: Business or other for-profit, not-for-profit institutions, and State, Local, or Tribal Governments. Abstract: This form is utilized by those persons making a claim against the United States Government under the Federal Tort Claims Act.
- (5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: It is estimated that there will be 100,000 respondents who will each require 6 hours to respond.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The total estimated annual burden hours to complete the certification form is 600,000 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: January 5, 2009.

Lynn Bryant,

Department Clearance Officer, PRA, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. E9–135 Filed 1–8–09; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on December 29, 2008, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States* v. *Amana Co. L.P., et al.*, Civil Action No. 08-cv-6000 was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

In this action the United States sought reimbursement of response costs incurred in connection with property known as the Novak Sanitary Landfill Superfund Site (the "Site"), located in South Whitehall Township, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania. The Consent Decree obligates the Settling Defendants to reimburse \$862,050 of the United States' past response costs paid in connection with the Site from January 10, 1998 through September 30, 2006, and all response costs paid or to be paid after that date.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the