assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On

December 10, 2007, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (72 FR 50696, September 4, 2007) was adequate and that the respondent interested party group responses with respect to Canada and Moldova were adequate and decided to conduct full reviews with respect to the antidumping duty orders concerning wire rod from Canada and Moldova. The Commission found that the respondent interested party group responses with respect to Brazil, Indonesia, Mexico, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine were inadequate. However, the Commission determined to conduct full reviews concerning the countervailing duty order on wire rod from Brazil and the antidumping duty orders on wire rod from Brazil, Indonesia, Mexico, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine to promote administrative efficiency in light of its decision to conduct full reviews with respect to the orders concerning wire rod from Canada and Moldova. A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 21, 2007.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. E7–25174 Filed 12–27–07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-955, 960, 963 (Preliminary) (Third Remand)]

Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Egypt, South Africa, and Venezuela

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of remand proceedings.

SUMMARY: The U.S. International Trade Commission ("Commission") hereby gives notice of the court-ordered remand of its preliminary determinations in the antidumping Investigation Nos. 731–TA–955, 960, 963 concerning carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Egypt, South Africa, and Venezuela. For further information concerning the conduct of this proceeding and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subpart A (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 21, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Messer, Office of Investigations, telephone 202-205-3193, or Robin L. Turner, Office of General Counsel, telephone 202-205-3103, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov). The public record of Investigation No. 731-TA-1088 may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket ("EDIS") at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—In September 2005, the Commission determined on remand that there is no potential that subject imports from South Africa will exceed the applicable individual statutory negligibility threshold of three percent of total wire rod imports in the imminent future, and that with respect to Egypt, South Africa and Venezuela collectively, there is no potential that aggregate subject imports from these countries would exceed seven percent of total wire rod imports in the imminent future. 19 U.S.C. 1677(24). The Court of International Trade ("CIT") issued an opinion in the matter on

January 17, 2007, Co-Steel Raritan, Inc. v. United States, Slip Op. 07–7 (Ct. Int'l Trade Jan. 17, 2007), and an order on November 8, 2007, Gerdau Ameristeel U.S. Inc. v. United States International Trade Commission, Slip Op. 07–165 (Ct. Int'l Trade Nov. 8, 2007), remanding the matter to the Commission for further proceedings not inconsistent with its opinion.

Participation in the proceeding.— Only those persons who were interested parties to the original investigation (i.e., persons listed on the Commission Secretary's service list) and were parties to the appeal may participate in the remand proceeding. Such persons need not re-file their appearance notices or protective order applications to participate in the remand proceeding. Business proprietary information ("BPI") referred to during the remand proceeding will be governed, as appropriate, by the administrative protective order issued in the original investigation.

Written submissions.—The Commission is reopening the record in this proceeding for the limited purpose of seeking new factual information regarding South African producers of steel wire rod that did not respond in the original investigation. In addition, the Commission will permit the parties to file comments pertaining to the inquiries that are the subject of the CIT's remand instructions and any new factual information. Comments should be limited to no more than twenty (20) double-spaced and single-sided pages of textual material. The parties may not submit any new factual information in their comments and may not address any issue other than the inquiries that are the subject of the CIT's remand instructions. Any such comments must be filed with the Commission no later than January 29, 2008.

All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (Nov. 8, 2002).

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the Commission's rules, each document filed by a party to the investigation must be served on all other parties to the investigation (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not

accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Parties are also advised to consult with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subpart A (19 CFR part 207) for provisions of general applicability concerning written submissions to the Commission.

By order of the Commission. Issued: December 21, 2007.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. E7-25236 Filed 12-27-07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-590]

In the Matter of Certain Coupler
Devices for Power Supply Facilities,
Components Thereof, and Products
Containing Same; Notice of
Commission Issuance of a Limited
Exclusion Order Against the Infringing
Products of Eight Respondents Found
in Default And Issuance of Cease and
Desist Orders Against the Five
Domestic Defaulters; Termination of
Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade

Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has issued a limited exclusion order against eight respondents found in default and cease and desist orders against the five domestic defaulters, and has terminated the above-captioned investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337").

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James A. Worth, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3065. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's

electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This patent-based section 337 investigation was instituted by the Commission based on a complaint filed by Topower Computer Industrial Co., Ltd. ("Topower") of Xindian City, Taiwan. 72 FR 2554 (January 19, 2007). Topower alleged violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain coupler devices for power supply facilities, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of the infringement of one or more of claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,935,902. The complaint named thirty respondents located in China, Germany, Taiwan, and the United States (California, North Carolina, and Minnesota). Topower originally requested a general exclusion order. The investigation was assigned to Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Robert L. Barton, Jr., and subsequently reassigned to Judge Charles E. Bullock. Twenty-two respondents have been terminated from this investigation based on either a settlement agreement, consent order, or withdrawal of allegations.

On August 6, 2007, Topower filed a motion for an order directing respondents Aspire/Apevia International, Ltd. ("Aspire"), Xion/ Axpertec, Inc. ("Xion"), JPAC Computer, Inc. ("JPAC"), Sunbeam Co. ("Sunbeam"), Super Flower Computer, Inc. ("Super Flower"), Taiwan Youngyear Electronics Co., Ltd. ("Taiwan Youngyear"), Sun Pro Electronics Co., Ltd. ("Sun Pro"), and Leadman Electronics Co., Ltd. ("Leadman") to show cause why they should not be found in default for failure to respond to the Complaint and Notice of Investigation and advised that it was no longer seeking a general exclusion order. On August 30, 2007, the ALJ issued an order to show cause by September 14, 2007, why the respondents should not be found in default pursuant to Commission Rule 210.16. Order No. 37. On September 25, 2007, the ALJ issued an initial determination finding the eight respondents in default. Order No. 39. The Commission published notice in the Federal Register of its decision not to review this determination, and requested briefing from interested parties on remedy, the public interest,

and bonding. 72 FR 58883 (October 17, 2007).

The Commission investigative attorney (IA) submitted briefing on November 8, 2007. The IA proposed a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders directed to infringing coupler devices, components thereof, and products containing same of the defaulted respondents. The IA recommended allowing entry under bond of 100 percent of entered value during the period of Presidential review. Topower agreed with the recommendations of the IA.

The Commission found that each of the statutory requirements of section 337(g)(1)(A)–(E), 19 U.S.C. 1337(g)(1)(A)–(E), has been met with respect to the defaulting respondents. Accordingly, pursuant to section 337(g)(1), 19 U.S.C. 1337(g)(1), and Commission rule 210.16(c), 19 CFR 210.16(c), the Commission presumed the facts alleged in the complaint to be true.

The Commission determined that the appropriate form of relief in this investigation includes a limited exclusion order prohibiting the unlicensed entry of certain coupler devices for power supply facilities, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,935,902. The order covers certain coupler devices for power supply facilities, components thereof, and products containing same that are manufactured abroad by or on behalf of, or imported by or on behalf of respondents Aspire, Xion, JPAC, Sunbeam, Super Flower, Taiwan Youngyear, Sun Pro, and Leadman, or any of their affiliated companies, parents, subsidiaries, or other related business entities, or their successors or assigns. The Commission also determined to issue cease and desist orders prohibiting domestic respondents Aspire, Xion, JPAC, Sunbeam, and Leadman from importing, selling, marketing, advertising, distributing, offering for sale, transferring (except for exportation), and soliciting U.S. agents or distributors for certain coupler devices for power supply facilities, components thereof, and products containing same covered by the abovementioned claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,935,902. The Commission further determined that the public interest factors enumerated in section 337(g)(1), 19 U.S.C. 1337(g)(1), do not preclude issuance of the limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders. Finally, the Commission determined that the bond under the limited exclusion order

during the Presidential review period