

The information in both components of this ICR will be collected under authority of section 114 of the CAA. Section 114(a) states, in pertinent part:

For the purpose * * * (iii) carrying out any provision of this Chapter * * * (1) the Administrator may require any person who owns or operates any emission source * * * to— * * * (D) sample such emissions (in accordance with such procedures or methods, at such locations, at such intervals, during such periods and in such manner as the Administrator shall prescribe); (E) keep records on control equipment parameters, production variables or other indirect data when direct monitoring of emissions is impractical * * * (G) provide such other information as the Administrator may reasonably require * * *

The data collected will be used to revise the population of potentially affected boilers and process heaters, and update existing emission test data and fuel analysis information. These data will be used by the Agency to develop the revised NESHAP for boilers and process heaters (and potentially incinerators) under sections 112 and 129 of the CAA. Specifically, the data will respond in part to the two research needs, providing the Agency with updated information on the number of potentially affected units, available emission test data and fuel analysis data to address variability. For a subset of units that may become subject to CAA section 129, and thus be required to conduct stack tests, the data will be used to complete emission data gaps. All data collected will be added to existing emission test databases for boilers, process heaters, and when appropriate, incinerators; it will also be used to further evaluate the HAP emissions from these sources.

This collection of information is mandatory under section 114 of the CAA (42 U.S.C 7414). All information submitted to EPA pursuant to this ICR for which a claim of confidentiality is made is safeguarded according to Agency policies in 40 CFR part 2, subpart B. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in 40 CFR are listed in 40 CFR part 9.

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the

proposed collection of information, including the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Burden Statement: The projected cost and hour burden for this one-time collection of information is \$19,398,000 and 125,400 hours. This burden is based on an estimated 3,396 likely respondents to the electronic survey component and an estimated 350 respondents to the stack testing component. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The ICR provides a detailed explanation of the Agency's estimate, which is only briefly summarized here.

Estimated total number of potential respondents: 3,396.

Frequency of response: One time.

Estimated total average number of responses for each respondent: 1.1 (electronic survey component and stack testing component combined)

Estimated total annual burden hours: 125,400.

Estimated total annual costs: \$19,398,000. This includes an estimated burden cost of \$7,685,100 for the electronic survey component and an estimated cost of \$11,712,800 for the stack testing component.

What is the Next Step in the Process for This ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR

1320.12. At that time, EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.**

Dated: November 30, 2007.

Frederick Thompson,
Acting Director, Sector Policies and Programs Division.

[FR Doc. E7-23845 Filed 12-6-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6693-8]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202-564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 6, 2007 (72 FR 17156).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20070401, ERP No. D-GSA-D80032-DC, Department of Homeland Security Headquarters at the St. Elizabeths West Campus, To Consolidate Federal Office Space on a Secure Site, Washington, DC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to vegetation, historic woodlands, and the cultural landscape, soil contamination, and surface and groundwater. EPA also expressed concern about transportation and environmental justice issues. Rating EC2.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20070408, ERP No. F-AFS-J61107-ND, NE McKenzie Allotment Management Plan Revisions, Proposes to Continue Livestock Grazing on 28 Allotments, Dakota Prairie Grasslands Land and Resource Management Plan, Dakota Prairie Grasslands, McKenzie Ranger District, McKenzie County, ND.

Summary: The final EIS addressed EPA's concerns about impacts to water

quality and riparian areas. EPA does not object to the proposed project; however, we recommend future monitoring include numeric criteria along with other narrative indicators.

EIS No. 20070432, ERP No. F-FHW-G40193-LA, I-49 South Project, from Raceland to the Westbank Expressway Route U.S. 90, Funding, Coast Guard Bridge Permit, U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Jefferson, Lafourche, and St. Charles Parishes, LA.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20070467, ERP No. F-BLM-G65101-NM, Special Status Species Resource Management Plan Amendment, Managing Public Land and Federal Minerals in Portions of Chaves, Eddy, Lea, and Roosevelt Counties, NM.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20070468, ERP No. FS-SFW-K99034-CA, Coachella Valley, Revision to the Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP), Natural Community Conservation Plan, Santa Rosa and San Jacinto Mountains Trails Plan, Issuance of Incidental Take Permit, Riverside County, CA.

Summary: No formal letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: December 4, 2007.

Robert W. Hargrove,
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E7-23807 Filed 12-6-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6693-7]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/>.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed 11/26/2007 Through 11/30/2007 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 20070506, Final EIS, AFS, CA, Phoenix Project. Proposes to Use a Combination of Contract and Forest Service Crew to Treat Poor Forest Health and High Fire Hazard Conditions, Develop a Network Defensible Fuel Profile Zones (DFPZs), Sierraville Ranger District,

Tahoe National Forest, Sierra and Nevada Counties, CA, Wait Period Ends: 01/07/2008, Contact: Jeff Leach 530-994-3401 Ext 6680.

EIS No. 20070507, Final EIS, BLM, CA, Eastern San Diego County Resource Management Plan, Implementation, El Centro Field Office, San Diego County, CA, Wait Period Ends: 01/07/2008, Contact: Erin Dreyfuss 760-337-4436.

EIS No. 20070508, Draft EIS, AFS, 00, Wild and Scenic River Suitability Study for National Forest System Lands on the Ashley, Dixie, Fishlake, Manti-La Sal, Uinta and Wasatch-Cache National Forests in UT and Portion of National Forests extend into Colorado and Wyoming, several counties, UT, Montrose County, CO and Uinta County, WY, Comment Period Ends: 01/22/2008, Contact: Catherine Kahlow 435-783-4338.

EIS No. 20070509, Final EIS, FHW, SC, Interstate 73 Southern Project, Construction from I-95 to the Myrtle Beach Region, Funding, NPDES Permit, U.S. Coast Guard Permit, U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Dillon, Horry and Marion Counties, SC, Wait Period Ends: 01/07/2008, Contact: Patrick Tyndall 803-765-5460.

EIS No. 20070510, Draft EIS, FHW, NJ, I-295/176/Route 42 Direct Connection Project, To Improve Traffic Safety and Reduce Traffic Congestion, Funding and U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Borough of Bellmawr, Borough of Mount Ephraim and Gloucester City, Camden County, NJ, Comment Period Ends: 02/15/2008, Contact: Lawrence Cullari 609-637-4200.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 20070332, Draft EIS, BLM, OR, Western Oregon Bureau of Land Management Districts of Salem, Eugene, Roseburg, Coos Bay, and Medford Districts, and the Klamath Falls Resource Area of the Lakeview District, Revision of the Resource Management Plans, Implementation, OR, Comment Period Ends: 01/11/2008, Contact: Dick Prather 503-808-6627 Revision of FR Notice Published 08/10/2007: Extending Comment Period from 12/10/2007 to 01/11/2008.

Dated: December 4, 2007.

Robert W. Hargrove,
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E7-23820 Filed 12-6-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Public Information Collection(s) Requirement Submitted to OMB for Emergency Review and Approval

December 4, 2007.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before January 7, 2008. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contacts listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget, via e-mail to nfraser@omb.eop.gov or via fax at 202-395-5167, and to the Federal Communications Commission via e-mail to PRA@fcc.gov or by U.S. mail to Leslie F. Smith, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-C216, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information about the information collection(s), contact Leslie F. Smith via e-mail at PRA@fcc.gov or call (202) 418-0217. For further instructions on how to view a copy of this information collection request (ICR), go to this Web page: <http://www.fcc.gov/omd/pr/collections-review.html>.