amount of each penalty was determined; Zone is needed to protect divers, and vessels, and tows from destructio

(ii) Inform the person of the following:
(A) Payment of a civil penalty
imposed by the Final Notice of
Assessment must be made within 30
days of the date of the notice;

(B) Payment of a civil penalty imposed by the Final Notice of Assessment shall be paid by EFT in accordance with instructions provided in the notice, unless the assessing official has given written approval to have payment made by other means;

(C) If payment of a civil penalty imposed by the Final Notice of Assessment has been suspended on the condition that the person comply in the future with 31 U.S.C. 333 and this subpart, the failure by the person to so comply will make the civil penalty payable on demand;

(D) If a civil penalty is not paid within 30 days of the date of the Final Notice of Assessment (or on demand under paragraph (c)(3)(ii)(D) of this section), a civil action to collect the penalty or enforce compliance may be commenced at any time within two years of the date of the Final Notice of Assessment; and

(E) Any civil penalty imposed by the Final Notice of Assessment may be subject to judicial review in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 701 *et seq.* 

#### §92.18 Judicial review.

A Final Notice of Assessment issued under the procedures in this subpart may be subject to judicial review pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 701 *et seq.* 

Dated: October 22, 2007.

#### Edmund C. Moy,

Director, United States Mint. [FR Doc. E7–21132 Filed 10–25–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4810–02–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

## Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 165

[Docket No. COTP Morgan City-07-018]

RIN 1625-AA00

# Safety Zone; Morgan City-Port Allen Alternate Route, Mile Marker 0.5 to Mile Marker 1.0, Bank to Bank

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS. **ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary Safety Zone on the Morgan City-Port Allen Alternate Route, from Mile Marker 0.5 to Mile Marker 1.0, bank to bank. This Safety Zone is needed to protect divers, vessels, and tows from destruction, loss, or injury from salvage operations to remove a crane from beneath the Long-Allen Fixed Bridge, and to facilitate compliance with a court approved Consent Judgment whereby the crane must be removed prior to December 1, 2007.

**DATES:** This rule is effective from 6 a.m. on October 29, 2007 until 6 p.m. on November 11, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket are part of COTP Morgan City-07–018 and are available for inspection or copying at Marine Safety Unit Morgan City, 800 David Drive, Morgan City, Louisiana, 70380 between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lieutenant Commander (LCDR) Rick Paciorka, Marine Safety Unit Morgan City, at (985) 380–5320.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Regulatory Information**

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM, and under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the Federal Register. Establishment of this safety zone is required to comply with a Consent Judgment approved by the Honorable Kurt D. Engelhardt, U.S. District Judge, in his order dated May 17, 2007. Pursuant to his Order, the Consent Judgment between Jefferson Marine Towing Inc., et al and the United States requires the crane to be removed by Jefferson Marine not later than 1 December 2007. In order to effect the Consent Judgment's court approved deadline, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE), the U.S. Coast Guard, and Jefferson Marine met to discuss the parameters of a salvage plan. This plan was preliminarily approved on 29 August 2007. The preliminary plan projected salvage operations beginning on 17 September 2007. Given the potential impact on the public and industry of this near term major waterway closure, the Coast Guard and the ACOE negotiated a later date beginning 29 October 2007. This later date allowed for transit planning that accommodates the vast majority of fall harvest barge movement while still allowing for completion of the salvage work by the court ordered deadline. The 29 October date was tentatively agreed upon on 13 September 2007. Publishing

an NPRM and delaying its effective date would be contrary to public interest since immediate action is needed to protect divers, vessels, and mariners from the hazards associated with salvage operations in the area, and to facilitate compliance with the court approved Consent Judgment whereby the salvage operation must be concluded by 1 December 2007.

#### **Background and Purpose**

Due to an allision with the Long-Allen fixed bridge, a crane was lost from a barge into the Morgan City-Port Allen Alternate Route. Salvage operations will be conducted in the vicinity of the Long-Allen Fixed bridge to recover the crane. The Morgan City-Port Allen Alternate Route will be closed to marine traffic during salvage operations. This Safety Zone is needed to protect divers, vessels, and tows from destruction, loss or injury from the dangers associated with the salvage operations, and to facilitate compliance with a court approved Consent Judgment whereby the salvage operation must be concluded by 1 December 2007.

#### **Discussion of Rule**

The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary Safety Zone on the Morgan City-Port Allen Alternate Route, from Mile Marker 0.5 to Mile Marker 1.0, bank to bank. The temporary Safety Zone will continue in effect until the salvage operations are complete. Vessels and tows may not enter this zone while salvage operations are taking place. This rule is effective from 6 a.m. on October 29, 2007 until 6 p.m. on November 11, 2007.

#### **Regulatory Evaluation**

This rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order.

This rule will only be in effect for a 14 day period of time and notifications to the marine community will be made through broadcast notice to mariners. The impacts on routine navigation are expected to be moderate to great. Vessels may continue to transit through alternate routes to their destinations.

#### **Small Entities**

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601—612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605 (b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule will affect the following entities, some of which may be small entities: the owners or operators of vessels intending to transit through the Safety Zone from 6 a.m. CDT on October 29, 2007 until 6 p.m. CST on November 11, 2007. This Safety Zone will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because this rule will be in effect for a 14 day period of time. Additionally, vessels may continue to transit through alternate routes to their destinations.

If you are a small business entity and are significantly affected by this regulation, please contact LCDR Rick Paciorka, Marine Safety Unit Morgan City, at (985) 380–5320.

#### Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we offered to assist small entities in understanding the rule so they could better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247).

## **Collection of Information**

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501– 3520).

#### Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

# **Unfunded Mandates Reform Act**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

## **Taking of Private Property**

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

## **Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

# **Protection of Children**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

# **Indian Tribal Governments**

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

#### **Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that Order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

### Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have concluded that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, this rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2-1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation because this rule is not expected to result in any significant adverse environmental impact as described in NEPA.

Under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction, an "Environmental Analysis Check List" and a "Categorical Exclusion Determination" are not required for this rule.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

# PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1226, 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Pub. L. 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. A new temporary § 165.T08–018 is added to read as follows:

#### § 165.T08–018 Safety Zone; Morgan City-Port Allen Alternate Route, from Mile Marker 0.5 to Mile Marker 1.0, bank to bank.

(a) *Enforcement Areas*. Morgan City-Port Allen Alternate Route, from Mile Marker 0.5 to Mile Marker 1.0, bank to bank.

(b) *Effective date*. This section is effective from 6 a.m. on October 29, 2007 until 6 p.m. on November 11, 2007.

(c) *Regulations*. (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.33 of this part, entry into this zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Morgan City.

(2) Vessels requiring entry into or passage through the Safety Zone must

request permission from the Captain of the Port Morgan City, or a designated representative. They may be contacted on VHF Channel 11, or by telephone at (985) 380–5320.

(3) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Captain of the Port Morgan City and designated on-scene U.S. Coast Guard patrol personnel. On-scene U.S. Coast Guard patrol personnel include commissioned, warrant, and petty officers of the U.S. Coast Guard.

Dated: October 23, 2007.

J. Scott Paradis,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Morgan City. [FR Doc. 07–5354 Filed 10–24–07; 1:09 pm] BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

# 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2007-0192; FRL-8486-6]

### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Michigan; Consumer Products Rule

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is approving a request submitted by the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) on February 13, 2007, to revise the Michigan State Implementation Plan (SIP). The state has requested approval of two rules in two areas of Part 6, Emission Limitations and Prohibitions-Existing Sources of Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) Emissions by adding R 336.1660, Standards for VOC Emissions from Consumer Products, by adopting by reference the Ozone Transport Commission's Model Rule with some modifications, and adding R 336.1661, Definitions for Consumer Products, to define VOC.

**DATES:** This direct final rule will be effective December 26, 2007, unless EPA receives adverse comments by November 26, 2007. If adverse comments are received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R05–OAR–2007–0192, by one of the following methods:

1. *http://www.regulations.gov:* Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

2. E-mail: mooney.john@epa.gov. 3. Fax: (312) 886–5824.

4. *Mail:* John M. Mooney, Chief, Criteria Pollutant Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

5. *Hand Delivery:* John M. Mooney, Chief, Criteria Pollutant Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information. The Regional Office official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. excluding Federal holidays.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-R05-OAR-2007-0192. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at http:// www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through http:// www.regulations.gov or e-mail. The http://www.regulations.gov Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through http:// www.regulations.gov your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

*Docket:* All documents in the docket are listed in the *http:// www.regulations.gov* index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other

material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in http:// www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. This Facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. We recommend that you telephone Douglas Aburano, Environmental Engineer, at (312) 353-6960 before visiting the Region 5 office.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Douglas Aburano, Environmental Engineer, Criteria Pollutant Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353–6960, *aburano.douglas@epa.gov.* 

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean EPA. This supplementary information section is arranged as follows:

## I. Background

- A. When did the State submit the requested rule revisions to EPA?
- B. Did Michigan hold public hearings for each of these rule revisions?
- II. What are the revisions that the State is requesting for incorporation into the SIP?
  - A. Standards for Volatile Organic Compounds Emissions from Consumer Products
  - **B.** Definitions for Consumer Products
- III. What action is EPA taking?
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

# I. Background

A. When did the State submit the requested rule revisions to EPA?

MDEQ submitted the requested rule revisions on February 13, 2007.

# B. Did Michigan hold public hearings for each of these rule revisions?

MDEQ held hearings on July 10, 2006 and August 17, 2006, and did not receive any adverse comments.

# II. What are the revisions that the State is requesting for incorporation into the SIP?

The State has requested the following revisions in Part 6, Emission Limitations and Prohibitions—Existing Sources of VOC Emissions: (1) Changes to R 336.1660, Standards for VOC Emissions from Consumer Products; and (2) changes to R 336.1661, Definitions for Consumer Products. The revisions are described in more detail below.