

KANSAS*Crawford County*

Raymond Community Home, 301 Osage St., Girard, 07000255

Doniphan County

Highland Christian Church, (Highland, Doniphan County, Kansas MPS), 102 E. Main St., Highland, 07000250

Highland Presbyterian Church, (Highland, Doniphan County, Kansas MPS), 101 South Ave., Highland, 07000248

Highland Water Tower, (Highland, Doniphan County, Kansas MPS), Jct. N. Genesee and W. Illinois Sts., Highland, 07000249

Wynkoop, A.L., House, (Highland, Doniphan County, Kansas MPS), 307 West Pennsylvania, Highland, 07000251

Sedgwick County

Johnson Drug Store Building, 2329 E. Central, Wichita, 07000254

Keep Klean Building, 810 E. Third, Wichita, 07000252

Stoner Apartment Building, 938–940 North Market, Wichita, 07000253

OKLAHOMA*Coal County*

Keel Creek Bridge, OK 31 over Keel Creek, Coalgate, 07000257

Jackson County

Perryman Ranch Headquarters, 0.2 mi. E. of jct. of Cty. Rds. N193 and E159, Duke, 07000260

Oklahoma County

Douglass High School, Old, 600 N. High Ave., Oklahoma City, 07000259

Pontotoc County

Meaders, F.W., House, 521 South Broadway, Ada, 07000258

OREGON*Multnomah County*

Baruh—Zell House, 3131 SE Talbot Rd., Portland, 07000256

Goldsmith, Alan and Barbara, House, 4140 SW Greenleaf Court, Portland, 07000261

Lane—Miles Standish Company Printing Plant, 1539 NW 19th Ave., Portland, 07000262

Wilson—Chambers Mortuary, 430 N. Killingsworth St., Portland, 07000263

RHODE ISLAND*Providence County*

Earncliffe Woolen—Paragon Worsted Company Mill Complex, 25 and 39 Manton Ave., Providence, 07000265

Howard, Ebenezer, House, 1264 Round Top Rd., Burrillville, 07000264

TEXAS*Bee County*

Beeville Post Office, 111 N. St. Mary's St., Beeville, 07000272

Cooke County

Nelson Farmstead, 7729 FM 678, Gainesville, 07000270

Johnson County

Smith Ranch, FM 916, 1 mi. W of TX 174, Rio Vista, 07000271

San Augustine County

San Augustine Commercial Historic District, Roughly bounded by Main St., Montgomery St., Congress St., Broadway, Columbia St., property lines and Golden Way, San Augustine, 07000269

Tarrant County

Kress Building, 604 Main St., Fort Worth, 07000266

VIRGINIA*Goochland County*

Tinsley Tavern, 2791 Elk Island Rd., Columbia, 07000276

Isle Of Wight County

Ivy Hill Cemetery, W. of N. Church St., Smithfield, 07000275

Loudoun County

Purcellville Historic District, Roughly bounded by W&OD Trail, S. 32nd St., W. F and E. G Sts., and Maple Ave., Purcellville, 07000277

Louisa County

Boxley Place, 103 Ellisville Dr., Louisa, 07000273

Norfolk Independent City

Zion Methodist Church, 2729 Bowden's Ferry Rd., Norfolk (Independent City), 07000274.

[FR Doc. E7-4317 Filed 3-9-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-51-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**Bureau of Reclamation****Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review; Comment Request**

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice and Request for Comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this notice announces the following Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval: Recreation Survey, New Melones Lake Project, Sonora, CA. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected cost and hour burden.

DATES: OMB has up to 60 days to approve or disapprove this information collection, but may respond after 30 days; therefore, public comment must be received on or before April 11, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Please send your comments to the Desk Officer for the Department of the Interior at the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, via facsimile to (202) 395-6566 or e-mail to OIRA_DOCKET@omb.eop.gov. A copy of your comments should also be directed to the Bureau of Reclamation, Attention: E. Vasquez, CC 419, 7794 Folsom Dam Road, Folsom, CA 95630, or directed via e-mail to evasquez@mp.usbr.gov. Please reference OMB No. 1006-NEW in your comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information or a copy of the proposed forms contact Ms. Elizabeth Vasquez at the above address, or at (916) 989-7192.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Title:* Recreation Survey, New Melones Lake Project, Sonora, CA.

Abstract: The purpose of the on-site recreation survey is to characterize existing users, characterize their use of the New Melones Project, assess their satisfaction with their experience and the facilities, and find out what other opportunities or facilities they would like to see developed at the New Melones Lake Project. The purpose of the regional telephone survey is to characterize regional population, their outdoor recreation use, the demand for various types of outdoor recreation activities, trends in outdoor recreation use, and the extent to which the regional population uses New Melones Lake Project, Sonora, CA. The on-site survey and the regional telephone survey shall describe the recreational preferences of visitors to the New Melones Lake Project and provide guidance on what recreational planning objectives should be included in the New Melones Lake Project RMP/EIS.

OMB No. 1006-NEW

Frequency: One-time voluntary survey.

Respondents for On-site Survey: Persons who recreate at New Melones Lake Project.

Respondents for Telephone Survey: Residents of Sonora and Tuolumne counties.

Estimated Total Number of Respondents: 1,750.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 438 hours.

Estimate of Burden for Each Form:

| <i>Form</i> | Burden estimate per form (in minutes) | Number of respondents | Annual burden on respondents (in hours) |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| On-site survey | 15 | 1,250 | 313 |
| Telephone survey | 15 | 500 | 125 |
| Total | | 1,750 | 438 |

Comments

A notice allowing the public a 60-day comment period was published in the **Federal Register** on May 2, 2006 (71 FR 25857, May 2, 2006). No comments were received in response to the 60-day comment period. The Public now has a second chance to comment.

Comments are Invited on

(a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of our functions, including whether the information will have practical use;

(b) the accuracy of our burden estimate for the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(c) ways to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Reclamation will display a valid OMB control number on the survey forms.

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Michael R. Finnegan,

Area Manager, Central California Area Office, Mid-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. E7-4406 Filed 3-9-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 05-22]

Planet Trading, Inc., d/b/a/ United Wholesale Distributors, Inc.; Denial of Application

On February 15, 2005, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, issued an Order to Show Cause to Planet Trading, Inc., (Respondent) of Orlando, Florida. The Show Cause Order proposed to deny Respondent's pending application for a DEA Certificate of Registration as a distributor of the list I chemicals ephedrine and pseudoephedrine on the ground that Respondent's registration would be inconsistent with the public interest. Show Cause Order at 1, *see also* 21 U.S.C. 823(h).

More specifically, the Show Cause Order alleged that both ephedrine and pseudoephedrine are "commonly used to illegally manufacture methamphetamine, a Schedule II controlled substance." Show Cause Order at 1. The Show Cause Order alleged that "DEA knows by experience" that a "gray market" exists "in which certain pseudoephedrine and ephedrine products are distributed only to convenience stores and gas stations, from where they have a high incidence of diversion" into the illicit manufacture of methamphetamine. *Id.* at 2. Relatedly, the Show Cause Order alleged that only "[a] very small percentage" of legitimate sales of list I chemical products occur in gray market retailers and that the average gray market retailer "could expect to sell * * * only about \$10.00 to \$30.00 worth of pseudoephedrine products" a month. *Id.* at 3. The Show Cause Order also alleged that the expected sales for combination ephedrine products are "only one-fourth of" this amount. *Id.*

The Show Cause Order alleged that during a pre-registration investigation, Respondent's president advised DEA investigators that his firm distributes sundry items and tobacco products to convenience stores, gas stations, and small independent groceries, which constitute the gray market for list I

chemical products. *Id.* at 2. The Show Cause Order further alleged that during an interview, Respondent stated that he had "little or no background in handling list I chemical products." *Id.* The Show Cause Order also alleged that Respondent told the investigators that he intended to sell list I products that were marketed in bottles and not blister packs because the latter "were not good sellers." *Id.*

The Show Cause Order also alleged that Respondent intended to store the list I products in a warehouse "with all other items [and] without any additional security installed." *Id.* at 3. The Show Cause Order further alleged that "[b]ecause [Respondent's] customers are allowed to serve themselves from the warehouse shelves, all customers will have unescorted access to the list I chemicals stored in the warehouse." *Id.*

Finally, the Show Cause Order alleged that Respondent's "proposed sales of combination ephedrine and pseudoephedrine products are inconsistent with the known legitimate market and known end-user demand for products of this type," and thus Respondent "would be serving an illegitimate market for [these] product[s]." *Id.* The Show Cause Order concluded by alleging that because Respondent's owner had "no experience handling list I chemicals" and its warehouse has "insufficient security," its "registration would likely lead to increased diversion of list I chemicals." *Id.*

Respondent, through its owner Mr. Vihang Patel, requested a hearing. The case was assigned to Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Mary Ellen Bittner, who conducted a hearing in Tampa, Florida, on November 1, 2005. At the hearing, both parties put on witnesses and introduced documentary evidence. Following the hearing, the Government submitted proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law.

On April 25, 2006, the Administrative Law Judge submitted her decision which recommended that Respondent's application be denied. Neither party filed exceptions. The record was then forwarded to me for final agency action.

Having considered the record as a whole, I hereby issue this decision and final order. I adopt the ALJ's decision in