Approved: February 6, 2007. **Gregg A. Cervi**, *Commander, JAGC, U.S. Navy Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty and Maritime Law).* [FR Doc. E7–4254 Filed 3–8–07; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P**

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[CGD05-07-018]

RIN 1625-AA-09

Drawbridge Operation Regulations; Susquehanna River, at Havre de Grace, MD

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of temporary deviation from regulations.

SUMMARY: The Commander, Fifth Coast Guard District, has approved a temporary deviation from the regulations governing the operation of the National Railroad Passenger Corporation (AMTRAK) Bridge, at mile 1.0, across Susquehanna River at Havre de Grace, Maryland. This deviation allows the drawbridge to remain closedto-navigation beginning at 6 p.m. on March 30, 2007, until and including 6 p.m. on June 8, 2007, to facilitate structural repairs.

DATES: This deviation is effective from 6 p.m. on March 30, 2007, until 6 p.m. on June 8, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Materials referred to in this document are available for inspection or copying at Commander (dpb), Fifth Coast Guard District, Federal Building, 1st Floor, 431 Crawford Street, Portsmouth, VA 23704–5004 between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is (757) 398–6222. Commander (dpb), Fifth Coast Guard District maintains the public docket for this temporary deviation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bill H. Brazier, Bridge Management Specialist, Fifth Coast Guard District, at (757) 398–6422.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The AMTRAK Bridge, a swing-type drawbridge, has a vertical clearance in the closed position to vessels of 52 feet, above mean high water.

AMTRAK, the bridge owner, has requested a temporary deviation from the current operating regulation set out in 33 CFR 117.575 and to close the drawbridge to navigation to perform needed structural repairs.

The repairs will include the renewal of 3,184 bridge timbers as well as the installation of new rail and expansion joints. To facilitate this work, the AMTRAK Bridge will be maintained in the closed-to-navigation position beginning at 6 p.m. on March 30, 2007, until and including 6 p.m. on June 8, 2007.

The Coast Guard has informed the known users of the waterway of the closure periods for the bridge so that these vessels can arrange their transits to minimize any impact caused by the temporary deviation.

In accordance with 33 CFR 117.35(e), the drawbridge must return to its regular operating schedule immediately at the end of the designated time period.

This deviation from the operating regulations is authorized under 33 CFR 117.35.

Dated: February 28, 2007.

Waverly W. Gregory, Jr.,

Chief, Bridge Administration Branch, Fifth Coast Guard District. [FR Doc. E7–4215 Filed 3–8–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

34 CFR Part 280

Magnet Schools Assistance Program

AGENCY: Office of Innovation and Improvement, Department of Education. **ACTION:** Final regulations.

SUMMARY: The Secretary amends the regulations governing the Magnet Schools Assistance Program (MSAP) in 34 CFR part 280. These amendments allow the MSAP to use an approach similar to that in §75.200 for establishing selection criteria in grant competitions. Under this approach the MSAP has the flexibility to use selection criteria from its program regulations, from the menu of general selection criteria in the Education Department **General Administrative Regulations** (EDGAR) in § 75.210, based on statutory provisions in accordance with §75.209, or from any combination of these. **DATES:** These regulations are effective April 9, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steven L. Brockhouse, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., room 4W229, Washington, DC 20202–5970. Telephone: (202) 260–2476 or via Internet:

steve.brockhouse@ed.gov.

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), you may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternative format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the contact person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 22, 2006 the Secretary published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for these amendments in the **Federal Register** (71 FR 48866).

In the preamble to the NPRM, the Secretary discussed on pages 48866 and 48867 the major changes proposed in that document to provide the MSAP with the flexibility to use different types of selection criteria when evaluating grant applications in a specific grant competition. These included the following:

• Amending § 280.30 to give the Secretary the flexibility to use selection criteria from § 280.31, from the approved menu of general selection criteria in § 75.210 or from selection criteria based on statutory provisions governing the MSAP, established in accordance with § 75.209.

• Amending § 280.31 to remove the mandatory point values from the selection criteria in this section of the MSAP regulations.

There are no differences between the NPRM and these final regulations.

Analysis of Comments

In response to the Secretary's invitation in the NPRM, nine parties submitted comments on the proposed regulations. An analysis of the comments follows.

We discuss substantive issues under the sections of the regulations to which they pertain. Generally, we do not address technical and other minor changes—and suggested changes the law does not authorize the Secretary to make.

Section 280.30 How Does the Secretary Evaluate an Application?

Comment: Two commenters expressed the opinion that the current selection criteria work effectively to address the MSAP's statutory purpose and requirements, and consequently that a change in approach to permit the use of other selection criteria is not needed at this time.

Discussion: The Department proposed these changes because we have been constrained in past MSAP competitions to using only the program selection criteria in § 280.31, whether or not their use continues to work well in the